

Index

- abbreviations
 - Dutch 251
 - German 214–15
- ABM programmes 78–9, 94, 110
- activation, through co-operation 219–51
- active labour market policies (ALMPs)
 - 1–6
 - agenda for research and policy 304–8
- AIA
 - models 19–45
 - France 49–74
 - Germany, LTU 77–110
 - Netherlands 115–36
 - Spain 137–59
 - conclusions 293–9
 - implementation *see* implementation
 - social exclusion 6–8
 - Sweden, objectives 262
 - transitional labour markets 8–13
- adverse selection 170
- agency relationships 169–70
- aggregate impact analyses (AIA) 5
 - models 19–37
 - modelling implementation 37–40
 - empirical results 40–44
 - France 49–74
 - Germany, LTU 77–110
 - Netherlands 115–36
 - Spain 137–59
 - conclusions 293–9
- aggregation approach 26–8, 32
- AIA *see* aggregate impact analyses
- ALMPs *see* active labour market policies
- AMV *see* Labour Market Policy Activities Ordinance
- apprenticeship contracts 142
- basic co-operation 232, 233–6
 - Belgium, poverty 7
- beneficiaries, Netherlands 115
- Beveridge curve 22–3, 34, 38, 60
- bipartite boards (*uvis*) 220
- blue-collar workers, Germany 88, 92–3, 98
- BOR district 199–200
 - co-operation, PES and municipalities 208, 209, 210–11
 - implementation, ALMP 206
 - PES director 202
 - regional employment response 200
 - role of PES 202
 - targeting 204
- budgeting process, PES, Germany 180–81
- Calmfors' criticism 60, 61
- career flexibility 11–12
- centres for work and income (CWIs) 221, 222, 241
- co-location, co-operation through 233, 238–9
- co-operation
 - adverse effects 265–7
 - between two or more parties 264–5
 - thoughts on 223–5
 - transitional labour markets 219
 - see also* local level co-operation; network-based co-operation
- co-operation structures
 - measuring and scaling 243–4
 - performance comparison 244–7, 248, 249
- co-operatives, employment promotion 144
- co-ordination failure 37–8
- collective action problem 172–4
- conflicts
 - local and national interests 269
 - network-based co-operation 210–11
- contracting out 168–70

- contracts
 - with economic incentives 141–2
 - without economic incentives 142–3
- cosmetic versus productive effects, AIA 60–61
- county labour boards 259, 260
- coverage indicator 244
- Cox proportional hazard model 279, 280–83
- creaming 170
- decentralization
 - ALMPs, France and Sweden 55–6
 - management by objectives 167–8
 - Netherlands 119, 221–2
 - PES, Germany 181–2
- demand conditions, LTU 87–91
- Denmark, ALMPs 13
- deregulation
 - new dynamic ALMPs 270–71
 - placement services 119
- direct effects, ALMPs 20
- directives
 - management by 267–70
 - need for 270–72
- disabled
 - labour market integration, Spain 144
 - unemployment, Netherlands 115
- disadvantaged persons, reintegration 4, 5
- disequilibrium, labour market, analyses 24–37
- displacement, of employment 12, 20
- double fixed effect model 67–70
- duration
 - performance indicator 244
 - unemployment, France and Sweden 56–8
 - vacancies and unemployment 25, 30
- dynamic unemployment 8
- economic cycle, employment 156–7
- economic turbulence 9, 13
- economies of scale 211
- economies of scope 211–12
- effectiveness, ALMPs 297, 298
- effectiveness indicator 244–7
- efficiency
 - ALMP implementation 38–40
 - labour market 23, 24, 116–17
- empirical results, aggregate models 40–44
- empirical studies, aggregate data 25
- employability 4
- employers, ALMPs 55
- employment
 - ALMP effects 20
 - displacement of 12, 20
 - economic cycle 156–7
 - patterns 8–9, 11
 - socially useful 143
 - see also* local employment; self-employment; total employment; unemployment
- employment equation 28–30, 34
- employment offices, Sweden 260
- employment promotion
 - France 54
 - Spain 138–40, 141, 151–6
- Employment Services Act (1991) 119
- endogeneity problem 32, 126, 145, 297
- entitlements 11
- entrepreneurial initiatives, Spain 140, 143–4
- equal opportunity 4, 10
- equity, labour market 117
- estimation problems, AIA 32–3
- EU Observatory on National Policies to Combat Social Exclusion 7
- Europe, policy discourse, exclusion 7
- European Commission 19
- European Social Fund 164
- expenditures, ALMPs
 - European countries 2, 3
 - France and Sweden 50–54
 - Netherlands 120–24, 130
 - Spain 139, 140, 145
 - Sweden 262–3
- experimental municipalities
 - and comparison municipalities 279–86
 - intensified local co-operation 274–6
- federal aid programme 79–80
- federal employment office (FEO) 77, 78, 79
- financial data 120–22
- fixed effect models 32, 67–70, 83–6
- fixed-term employment promotion
 - contracts 142–3

- flow model
 approach 28–32, 45, 73
 programme participation and unemployment 134–6
- FORCEM 140
- France
 AIA
 model 59–62
 data and estimated equation 62–8
 results 68–73
 conclusions 73–4
 main features 295–6
 ALMPs 49, 50–58
 poverty 7
 social exclusion 6, 56–8
 unemployment 2
- friction, in labour market 26–8, 32
- frontier approach 39
- gaming 170
- Germany
 AIA
 level, structure and dynamic of unemployment 83–99
 unemployment outflows 99–109
 conclusions 109–10
 main features 295–6
 ALMPs 78–83
 delivery system 182–5
 implementation case studies 199–212
 regional profiles 185–98
 bureaucratic administration 180–82
 poverty 7
 unemployment 2, 9, 10
- government agencies, co-operation 264–5
- Greece, poverty 7
- guaranteed youth employment act (GYEA) 226–7, 228, 229, 230
 co-operation
 failure 234
 in implementation 231
 in a joint venture 240
 performance 245, 246, 247
 through co-location 238
 through joint staff 236
see also Youth Work Guarantee Act
- hidden unemployment 24, 115
- hiring functions 29–31, 45, 124
- hirings
 AIA, Netherlands 115–36
 matching technology and ALMPs 59–60
- human resources, co-operation through 236–7, 243
- impact analysis, programme-oriented 163–5
- implementation, ALMPs
 France, institutional arrangements 54–6
 Germany
 decentralization and co-operation 178–215
 long-term unemployment 94, 98, 104, 110
 modelling 37–40
 Netherlands 122, 219–51
 process evaluation 163–5, 299–303
 Sweden
 institutional arrangements 54–6
 local level co-operation 256–89
 trends in 166–75
- impulse response functions 35–6, 37
- incentives, for co-operation, Germany 207–10
- income arrangements 11
- income maintenance policies 137
- indirect effects, ALMPs 20, 21, 33–4
- INEM 140, 143
- informal contacts 242
- information exchange, co-operation 243
- information function, ALMPs 4
- insecurity, in labour markets 10
- institutional arrangements
 France 54–6
 Germany 183
 Netherlands 220–21
 Sweden 54–6, 258–61
 transitional labour markets 11–12
- institutional fragmentation, co-ordination problems 171, 172–3
- institutional reform, Sweden 256–7, 267
- insurance organizations (*uvis*) 118, 220, 221, 222
- intensified co-operation 264, 267–8
 estimated effects 274–87

- inter-organizational co-operation 224
- inter-organizational co-ordination 172
- International Labour Organization (ILO)
 - 1
- Ireland
 - ALMPs 13
 - poverty 7
- Italy, poverty 7
- job brokering 3, 4, 120, 122
- job centre, with mixed teams 238
- job-creation schemes 3, 12
 - France 54, 71
 - Germany 78–9, 94, 110
 - job seeker numbers 37
 - Netherlands 119–20, 121, 131, 133–4
 - Spain 143–4
 - Sweden 51, 71
 - total employment 20
 - see* labour pool programme
- job hirings *see* hirings
- job opportunities 4
- job search assistance 13
- job seekers
 - effects of ALMPs 127–30
 - job creation 37
 - Netherlands 122
- Job Training Partnership Act 170
- Job-pool 119–20, 121
- joint consultation, co-operation through 243
- joint staff, co-operation through 232–3, 236–7
- joint venture, co-operation through 233, 239–40
- Kooiman–Kloek model 26–8
- labour contracts
 - Spain 141–3
 - standard 11
- labour and employment offices 56
- labour market
 - disequilibrium
 - aggregation approach 26–8
 - flow model approach 28–32
 - u/v-analysis 24–5
 - economic turbulence 9, 13
 - effect on ALMPs 20
 - efficiency 23, 24, 116–17
 - equity 117
 - exclusion from 8
 - outcomes
 - ALMP influence 20, 21
 - social exclusion 6, 7
 - state intervention 3
 - supply and demand 5, 22, 23, 26–8
 - see also* market co-ordination; transitional labour markets
- Labour Market Policy Activities Ordinance (AMV) 258, 259
- labour pool programme 225–9, 230
 - co-operation
 - failure 234
 - in implementation 231
 - in a joint venture 240
 - performance 245, 246, 247
 - through co-location 238
 - through joint staff 236
 - see* job-creation schemes
- labour promotion act, Germany 78–80, 184
- labour supply effect 12
- LAR region 199, 201
 - co-operation, PES and municipalities 208, 209, 210, 211
 - level of ALMP 203
 - PES director 202
 - professionalism 204, 205
 - regional employment response 200
 - role of PES 202
 - targeting 204
- lay-offs 29, 31, 32
- Layard–Nickell approach 34, 35
- local authorities *see* municipalities
- local employment, promotion of 144
- local employment agencies, France 56
- local employment service committees 259–60
- local level co-operation 267–8
 - estimated effects 274–87
- lognormal employment function 26–8
- ‘long’ long-term unemployed 86–7
- long-term unemployed, recruitment 5
- long-term unemployment (LTU)
 - European countries 2–3
 - France 56–8
 - Germany
 - AIA 77–110
 - ALMP participation 193–8

- targeting, ALMPs 189–91, 192, 193, 213
- social exclusion 7, 115
- Spain 151, 153, 156
- Sweden 56–8
- LTU *see* long-term unemployment
- lump-sum funding 221
- Luxembourg, poverty 7

- macroeconomic evaluations 138
- macroeconomic models 34, 35
- macroeconomic variables, ALMP effects 20
- management culture 166
- management by objectives
 - decentralization though 167–8
 - Sweden 56, 257–8, 260–61, 267–70
- manpower policy 10
- MAR region 205
- market co-ordination
 - contracting out 168–70
 - problems 170–73
 - strategies for improving 173–4
- market mechanism, socio-economic problems 3
- matching functions, regional data 72–3
- matching models
 - ALMPs and unemployment outflows 100–102
 - policy change and search efficiency 103–4
 - policy responsiveness 102–3
 - technology and ALMPs 59–60
 - matching process 22–3, 25
- mathematical programming 39–40
- Melkert 1 measure 228, 230
 - co-operation failure 235
 - co-operation in implementation 231, 232
 - co-operation in a joint venture 240, 241
 - co-operation through co-location 238
 - joint staff co-operation 237
- Melkert 2 measure 228, 230
 - co-operation failure 235
 - co-operation in implementation 231, 232
 - co-operation in a joint venture 240, 241
 - co-ordinating actor 239
 - joint staff co-operation 237

- methodological considerations, AIA 60–62
- micro-studies 5, 19
- micro-markets 32
- mixed programmes 49, 51
- modelling, implementation 37–40
- models, AIA 19–37
- moral hazard 89–90, 170
- multivariate analysis, municipalities, Sweden 279–86
- municipalities
 - co-operation between 265
 - Netherlands
 - implementation, ALMPs 119
 - implementation, passive LMPs 220
 - performance indicators, ALMP programme 246, 247
 - unemployment benefits 118
 - see also* local level co-operation; network-based co-operation
- mutual communication, co-location 239

- national employment agency (ANPE) 56
- National Labour Market Board (AMS) 55, 259, 260
- National Plan for Labour Market Training 140–41
- national policy discourses, social exclusion 6–7
- National Social Insurance Board 261
- NES region 199, 201
 - co-operation, PES and municipalities 208, 209, 210, 212
 - professionalism 204–5, 206
 - regional employment response 200
- Netherlands
 - AIA
 - main features 295–6
 - conclusions 133–4
 - data and estimation 127–33
 - flow model, unemployment and programme participation 134–6
 - model specification 123–6
 - ALMPs 13, 117–23
 - institutional setting 220–21
 - decentralization 221–2
 - implementation, ALMPs 173

- development of 219–23
- co-operation study 222–33
- case study results 233–42
- comparing co-operation structures 243–7
- conclusions 247–51
- unemployment 2, 8, 9
- network-based co-operation
 - Dutch study
 - ALMP measures 225–31
 - implementation structures 231–3
 - research aim and design 222–3
 - results 233–42
 - German study 184–5
 - conflicts 210–11
 - gains 211–12
 - incentives 207–9
 - patterns of 209–10
 - market co-ordination 170–74
- new public management 166–7, 168
- OECD 19, 145
- office activities, integration of 241
- older generations, unemployment 9, 80, 115
- on-the-job-training 78
- outcome functions 264–5
- output-related funding 170
- part-time employment 89, 93
- participants, ALMPs
 - creaming 170
 - data 298
 - European countries 2, 3
 - Netherlands 122
- participation
 - Germany
 - ALMP measures 80–83
 - analysis results 191–8
 - levels 185–9, 203, 206
 - Netherlands, unemployment outflows 134–6
 - Spain, unemployment rates 145, 146
- passive policies
 - France and Sweden 52
 - Netherlands 220
 - Spain 137
- PER programme 143
- performance comparison, co-operation structures 244–7, 248, 249
- permanent contracts 141
- physical organizational features, co-operation 243
- pilot projects, co-operation 256, 257
- placement officers, REOs 91
- placement services 4
 - contracting out 169
 - deregulation 119
 - private agencies 3, 117–18
- policy
 - discourses, exclusion 6–7
 - flaws, ALMPs 37
 - interventions 89–90, 94, 98
 - organization, and outcomes 163
 - portfolios 77, 91, 99
 - provisions 11
 - responsibility, Spain 159
 - uptake 244
- policy reaction function 145
- political consensus, ALMPs, France and Sweden 54–5
- political gains, co-operation 212
- pooled cross-section analysis 83
- pooled data 67
- Portugal, poverty 7
- positive outflow rate 244
- poverty
 - social exclusion 7
 - Sweden 261
- practice contracts 142
- prisoners' dilemma game 266
- private placement agencies 3, 117–18
- process evaluation, implementation 163–5, 174, 299–303
- production function 264
- productive wage cost subsidies 80
- professionalism, organization of ALMPs 204–6
- programme-oriented impact analysis 163–5
- public agencies, co-operation between 264
- public employment offices 3
- public employment services (PES)
 - changes in 166
 - contracting out 168–70
 - Germany
 - best practice cases 179, 199–201
 - budgeting process 180–81
 - decentralization 181–2

- district offices as policy actors 201–2
- level of ALMP 203
- local ALMP 182–5
- professionalism 204–6
- reform trends 178
- role of directors 202
- role in local ALMP networks 202
- scope and limits of local 180
- targeting ALMP 203–4
- management by objectives 167–8
- Netherlands 117, 118
 - ALMP implementation 220
 - evaluation of 221–2
 - purchaser-provider model 173–4
- new public management 166–7
- Sweden 260
 - see also* network-based co-operation
- public relief work, VAR model 36
- public sector jobs 143
- purchaser/provider model, PES 173–4

- quasi flow model approach 124–5
- quits, from employment 29, 32

- random effect models 86
- recruitment, of unemployed 5
- recruitment subsidies 79–80
- regional boards (RBAs) 119, 122
- regional employment offices (REOs) 77, 78, 79, 80, 91
- regional variations, Germany
 - ALMPs 78–83
 - long-term unemployment 91–3
- registered unemployment
 - ALMP impact 130–31
 - financial data 125
- regulations *see* rules and regulations
- reintegration, of unemployed 4, 5, 79–80
- reintegration balance sheets 167
- reintegration budget 182
- relief contracts 141–2
- research, ALMP impacts 5
- retraining, Germany 78
- returns matching function 59–60
- revolving door effect 211
- Riksdag 258
- rules and regulations, management by 267–70

- rural employment programme 143

- SAM *see* structural adjustment measures
- search efficiency, and policy change 103–4
- segmentation theories 31
- self-employment, promotion of 143–4
- short long-term unemployed 86
- short long-term unemployment
 - blue-collar workers 98
 - policy interventions 94
- short-term unemployment
 - poverty and social exclusion 8
 - younger generations 9
- simultaneity bias 61–2
- social assistance
 - Germany, local ALMPs 182–5, 204–7
 - Netherlands
 - administration 220
 - effect of ALMPs 131–3
 - system 118
 - Sweden 261
- social consensus, ALMPs, France and Sweden 54–5
- social exclusion
 - ALMPs 6–8
 - France and Sweden 56–8
 - LTU 115
- social insurance
 - Netherlands 118
 - Sweden 261
- social insurance council, Netherlands 220–21
- social rights 11
- socio-economic policy, ALMPs 3
- socio-economic problems, market mechanisms 3
- solidarity wage policy 51
- Spain
 - AIA
 - regional, multivariate setting 144–57
 - conclusions 157–9
 - main features 295–6
 - ALMPs 137, 138–43
 - poverty 7
 - unemployment 2
- standard labour contracts 11
- state intervention
 - co-operation 267–70

- labour markets 3, 54–5, 260
- stipulations, LMP activities 268
- structural adjustment measures 80
- structural equation model 83–6
 - dependent variables 86–91
 - results 94–9, 109
- structural issues, implementation 165
- structural policies 90
- structuralization 99, 109
- sub-markets, labour performance 26–8
- subsidies, wage cost 79–80, 94, 98, 110
- subsidized labour schemes 4, 71, 118, 132
- substitution effects 12, 20
- supply and demand, labour market 5, 22, 23, 26–8
- supply-oriented policies 90
- Sweden
 - AIA, main features 295–6
 - ALMPs 49, 50–58
 - crisis of the 1990s 262–3
 - institutional framework 258–61
 - institutional reform 256–7, 267
 - intensified local co-operation
 - analysis, experimental and comparison municipalities 279–86
 - development of target variables 276–8
 - experimental municipalities 274–6
 - social insurance and assistance 261
 - unemployment 2
- targeting, ALMPs, Germany 189–91, 192, 193, 198, 203–4, 213
- technology, and ALMPs, matching 59–60
- temporary work agencies 117–18
- theoretical considerations, AIA 60–62
- three-variable VAR model 37
- time-series analysis 32, 35–7
- total employment, effect of ALMPs 4, 5, 20, 21
- training programmes 3
 - contracting out 169, 170
 - employability 4
 - France 51–4, 72
 - Germany 78, 98, 99, 109
 - Spain 138, 140–41, 151–6
 - Sweden 71
- transaction cost theory 169
- transitional labour markets and ALMPs 8–13, 158
 - alternative to ALMPs 5
 - co-operation 219
- transitions 44–5
- turnover effect 12
- two-step approach 38
- u/v-analysis, traditional 24–5
- u/v-curve, matching process 22–3
- unemployment
 - European countries 2–3
 - Germany 9–10
 - Netherlands 9–10, 115–16
 - participation rates 145, 146
 - Spain, ALMP effect 151, 152
 - Sweden 262
 - transitional labour markets 12
 - see also* dynamic unemployment; employment; long-term unemployment; registered unemployment; short-term unemployment
- unemployment benefits
 - activation of 171
 - Netherlands 115, 118, 125, 131–3, 134, 220
 - Spain, capitalization 143
 - Sweden 263
- unemployment outflows
 - ALMP impact
 - France and Sweden 71–2
 - Germany 99–109
 - Netherlands 134–6
 - Spain 154, 155, 156
 - ALMP provision 145
- unions, ALMPs 55
- United States
 - Job Training Partnership Act 170
 - social exclusion 6
- unskilled workers, Germany 88, 92–3, 98
- uvis* 118, 220, 221, 222
- vacancies
 - REOs, Germany 92
 - and unemployment 25, 30
- VAR models 35–7
- vocational training 54

- wage cost subsidies 79–80, 94, 98, 110
- wage setting equation 34
- wage setting principle 54
- wages, effects of ALMPs 20
- willingness, to co-operate 224–5, 240–41
- women
 - as peripheral labour force 10
 - permanent contracts 141
 - short-term unemployment 9
- work experience 3–4
- work organizations 11
- work promotion *see* employment promotion
- younger generations
 - FIP plan 141
 - unemployment 9, 156
- youth counters 234
- Youth Work Guarantee Act (JWG)
 - expenditure 119–20, 121
 - see also* guaranteed youth employment act