

# Index

---

- ADM lysine cartel *see* lysine cartel  
Anand, V 33  
*The Art of the Steal* (BBC documentary on auction cartel) 17, 44, 79, 148  
Ashforth, B 33  
Aubert, C 49  
Australia  
    competition law 30, 115  
    *Marine Hose* 203  
Austria, criminal law 166  
  
Bailin, A 118, 120  
Baker, D 5, 43, 48, 51, 58, 61, 83, 218–19, 224  
Barnett, B 1, 5, 27, 41, 61, 90–91, 105, 197  
Beaton-Wells, C 76, 218  
Beckenstein, A 26  
Becker, G 13, 14, 30, 32–3, 41  
Bell, M 61, 97  
Bennett, D 61–2  
Benson, B 13  
bid-rigging  
    UK 109, 110, 111, 154, 161, 216  
    US 63, 66–7, 76, 85, 86–7, 91–2, 93, 205  
    *see also* price-fixing  
Block, M 29  
Bolotova, Y 27–8  
Bork, R 57  
Bos, I 47  
Braithwaite, J 29, 133  
Breit, W 30–31, 32, 183  
*British Airways* 2, 3, 14, 75–6, 77, 89, 90–91, 114–18, 146, 147–50, 213  
    *R v Burns and others* 153–9  
    *R v Burns and others*, Board Review outcome 156–8  
    *R v Burns and others*, criticisms of OFT approach 158–9  
    *R v George, Crawley and others* 116–17, 135–8  
Bryant, P 26, 40  
  
Calvani, T 167  
Canada  
    cartel legislation 8  
    lysine cartel 194  
    vitamins cartel 198  
cartel criminalisation *see*  
    criminalisation of cartel activity  
cheating incentives 9–10, 19–20, 24–5, 35–6  
civil law  
    civil/criminal case challenge, UK 8, 122, 128–9, 136, 146–8, 151, 158–62, 215–17, 219–20, 223  
    competition law link, UK 108, 126  
    and criminal law distinctions, US 8, 9, 76–7, 78–9  
    enforcement, lack of, Ireland 176  
    penalties, EU 89–90, 194, 200–201  
    penalties, UK 89–90  
    *see also* competition law; criminal law  
Clark, J 58  
Clarke, J 13, 34, 35, 195, 196, 200  
Cleveland, F 71  
Combe, E 26, 40  
competition law  
    compliance research, UK 36–8  
    creation of, Ireland 167–8  
    criminalisation arguments 2  
    EU domestic, supremacy of 8  
    *see also* civil law; criminal law  
Conklin, J 45, 49  
Connor, J 1, 11, 15–16, 27–8, 31, 32, 39, 40, 41–2, 56, 105, 188, 189, 193, 194–5  
Cooke, J 167, 186, 187

- corporate policy
  - approved, and executive incentives 9–10, 24–5, 35–6
  - corporate liability and penalties 39–40
  - corporate targeting, EU 28
  - decision-making models 33–4, 43, 53
  - see also* individuals
- costs
  - enforcement, impact of 43
  - incarceration, reputational effects 41–2, 44–5
  - transaction, and financial penalties 31–3, 34–5
- Craycraft, C 41
- criminal law
  - of cartelisation, development of offence, US 57–62
  - of competition, consideration of, EU 220–23
  - of competition, Ireland 168–9
  - and morality, relationship between 13–15, 49–51
  - sanctions, perceived effects of, UK 36–9, 210–11
  - see also* civil law; competition law
- criminalisation of cartel activity 12–54
  - cartel success and industry concentration, relationship between 23–4
  - cartelisation extent and duration 25–7
  - cheating incentives 9–10, 19–20, 24–5, 35–6
  - competitors, new, ways of dealing with 23
  - compliance encouragement 33–9
  - concealment methods 24–5
  - corporate policy, approved, and executive incentives 9–10, 24–5, 35–6
  - custodial sentencing *see* custodial sentencing
  - customers, effects of large 24
  - decision-making models 33–4, 43, 53
  - demand slumps, reaction to 23
  - detection rate 16–17, 25–7
  - deterrence *see* deterrence
  - director disqualification orders 53, 212, 219–20
  - enforcement *see* enforcement
  - financial penalties *see* financial penalties
  - game theory examples 20, 21–3
  - harmfulness of cartels, perceived 15–16, 27–8
  - incarceration *see* custodial sentencing
  - incentive problem *see* incentive problem
  - law efficiency assessment, problems with 15–16, 32–3
  - learning process, importance of 24
  - leniency programmes *see* leniency programmes
  - media representation 17–18
  - member numbers, significance of 22
  - morality *see* morality
  - oligopoly theory and incentive problem 18–25
  - penalties *see* penalties
  - pricing *see* pricing
  - prisoners' dilemma example 20
  - punishments and breakdowns, distinguishing between 21
  - recidivism 27, 35–6, 42–3, 105–6, 197
  - regulatory investigations, effects of earlier 36
  - see also* individual countries
- Crowther, P 158, 164
- Cseres, K 220, 223
- Curtis, M 180, 181
- custodial sentencing
  - Ireland 171, 172, 177–81, 183–4, 186
  - and personal liability 40–46
  - as punishment method 31–2, 35
  - reluctance to apply 45–6
  - reputational effects and costs to individual 41–2, 44–5
  - UK 132–3, 212, 213
  - US 45–6, 52, 56, 72–6, 90–92, 102, 190, 206, 208–11
  - see also* financial penalties; penalties
- customers, effects of large 24
- Czech Republic, Criminal Code 167
- damages
  - awards, US 57, 59, 62, 72, 97, 191, 194, 212
  - claims, EU 140, 141–2, 143–4, 194

- De Grazia, J 215  
 De Roos, N 191  
 death penalty suggestion 29, 51  
 decision-making models 33–4, 43, 53  
 detection rate 16–17, 25–7  
 deterrence  
   OECD individual sanctions 44  
   optimal 28–49  
   over-deterrence, suggested  
     impossibility of 29  
   over-enforcement, arguments against  
     21–2  
   penalties as 15, 30–31  
   recidivism 27, 35–6, 42–3, 105–6, 197  
   retribution-based approach 29–30  
   and sanctions, links between 17,  
     36–9  
   under-deterrence profits used to pay  
     penalties 39  
   *see also* enforcement; penalties  
 director disqualification orders 53, 212,  
 219–20  
   *see also* individuals  
 disclosure  
   EU rulings 139, 141  
   leniency programme, non-disclosure  
     ruling, US 97, 139  
   UK Cartel Offence 135–45, 150–51  
 dishonesty *see* morality  
 Duff, R 14
- ears, removal of 4  
 ECHR (European Convention on  
 Human Rights)  
   fair trial rights, UK 135, 162  
   incompatibility, UK dishonesty test  
     114–15  
   *see also* EU  
 Eckard, E 26, 40  
 economic evidence, use of  
   Ireland 173–4  
   UK 9, 119–20, 160–61  
   *see also* jury trials  
 Ehmer, C 28  
 Eichenwald, K 17, 106, 190, 192  
 Elzinga, K 30–31, 32, 183  
 enforcement  
   of competition laws, and leniency  
     programmes 48  
   compliance encouragement 33–9  
   costs, impact of 43  
   detection rate 16–17, 25–7  
   experiences, US 69–76  
   individual criminalisation, benefits  
     of 43  
   Ireland 175–86  
   price rises, inverse relationship  
     between 35  
   and punishment, US 88–92  
   support, OECD 5, 6, 16–17, 112  
   UK 132–45  
   *see also* deterrence; investigative  
     powers; penalties
- EU  
 Arrest Warrant and extradition  
   130–31  
 cartel detection rate 26–7  
 civil law penalties 89–90, 194,  
 200–201  
 competition law, links with UK law  
   121–7  
 corporate targeting 28  
 criminal law of competition,  
   consideration of 220–23  
 custodial sentencing, reluctance to  
   apply 45  
 damages claims 140, 141–2, 143–4,  
 194  
 disclosure rulings 139, 141  
 domestic competition law,  
   supremacy of 8  
 ECHR *see* ECHR (European  
 Convention on Human Rights)  
 ECJ 'concerted practice' definition  
   162  
 EEC antitrust compliance  
   programmes 26  
 international cartels, dealing with 74,  
   *see also* individual cases  
 leniency programme 46, 47, 93, 139,  
 142–5, 201, 211  
 penalties, as turnover percentage  
   39–40  
 recidivism 197  
   *see also* individual countries  
 EU, cases  
   *Amino Acids* (lysine cartel) 190, 191,  
     192, 193–4 *see also* lysine cartel  
   *Daiichi Pharmaceutical Co Ltd v*  
     *Commission* 194

- Henkel and Henkel France v Commission* 144
- Hüls v European Commission* 15
- Marine Hose see Marine Hose*
- Morgan Crucible Company (MCC)* 74–6
- Pfleiderer* 141–3
- Re Rubber Chemicals* 139–40
- Showa Denko KK v Commission* 194
- Vitamins see Vitamins*
- EU, EC Regulation 1/2003 6, 8, 200–201, 207–8, 220–21, 222
- Ireland 173, 176, 187
- UK 111, 121–2, 123–4, 125–6, 129, 141–4, 162, 165
- EU, TFEU, Articles 101 and 102 5, 46, 47, 109, 121, 122
- criminalisation of competition law 220–22
- Ireland 124, 169–74
- UK 109, 111, 120–27, 134, 140, 142–4, 156, 162, 208
- vitamins cartel 199
- Evenett, S 13, 16, 21–2, 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 42, 195, 196, 200
- executives
- director disqualification orders 53, 212, 219–20
- ‘good character’ references 45, 133, 184–5
- incentives and approved corporate policy 9–10, 24–5, 35–6
- see also* individuals
- extradition cases 62, 74–5, 130–31, 209–11
- Feinberg, R 26
- financial penalties
- Ireland 171–2, 176–8, 179, 180–81
- in relation to profits, US 61–2, 189–90, 194–5, 196–7
- and transaction costs 31–3, 34–5
- as turnover percentage 39–40
- UK 132, 212–13, 219
- under-deterrence profits used to pay 39
- US 59, 61–2, 72, 88–9, 206
- see also* custodial sentencing; penalties
- Fisse, B 76, 218
- Fleming, P 33, 35
- Fraas, A 22
- France, *Henkel and Henkel France v Commission* 144
- Frazer, T 36
- Galbreath, C 169, 175, 178
- game theory examples 20, 21–3
- Garoupa, N 13
- Gazal-Ayal, O 98
- Geary, D 179, 180
- Geis, G 35, 44, 52, 71, 72, 73, 74, 134
- Germany 166
- Pfleiderer* and leniency programme 141–3
- Gibbons, D 51
- Goldberg, P 71, 72
- ‘good character’ references 45, 133, 184–5
- Gorecki, P 108, 174, 178, 179
- Greece, criminal law 166–7
- Green, S 14
- Greer, D 22
- Griffin, J 189
- Hammond, S 1, 5, 27, 34–5, 40–41, 46, 48–9, 61, 90–91, 93, 94, 105, 152, 197, 210
- Harding, C 43, 50, 51, 109, 114
- Harrison, G 61, 97
- Hay, G 39, 71
- Heilbronner, R 71
- imprisonment *see* custodial sentencing
- incentive problem 9–10, 24–5, 35–6
- and oligopoly theory 18–25
- individuals
- culpability, US 41, 56, 71–2, 74–6
- decision-making models 33–4, 43, 53
- director disqualification orders 53, 212, 219–20
- dishonesty test involvement, UK 116–17, 123
- enforcement benefits of criminalisation 43
- executive incentives and approved corporate policy 9–10, 24–5, 35–6
- ‘good character’ references 45, 133, 184–5

- incarceration, reputational effects  
 and costs of 41–2, 44–5  
 targeting, UK 28  
*see also* corporate policy
- investigative powers  
 Ireland 171, 175–6  
 preliminary, US 77–9  
 UK 1–2, 5, 7–8, 107–8, 127–32,  
 215–20  
 US 76–88  
*see also* enforcement
- Ireland  
 Cartel Immunity Programme (CIP)  
 174–5  
 civil enforcement, lack of 176  
 Competition Act (CA) 167–8, 169,  
 186  
 Competition Act (CA), Section 6  
 169–71  
 Competition Authority, limited  
 powers of 168–9, 176  
 competition law, creation of 167–8  
 criminal competition law 168–9  
 criminalisation in 166–87  
 economic evidence, use of 173–4  
 enforcement 175–86  
 fines, imposition of 171–2, 176–8,  
 179, 180–81  
 ‘good character’ references 184–5  
 incarceration sanction 171, 172,  
 177–81, 183–4, 186  
 international cases, lack of 187  
 investigative powers 171, 175–6  
 jury trials 173–4  
 legislative framework 169–75  
 leniency programme 174–5, 185,  
 186  
 plea bargaining restrictions 172  
 and Regulation 1/2003 173, 176,  
 187  
 TFEU articles 101 and 102 124,  
 169–74
- Ireland, cases  
*Citroën Dealers Association* 24, 177,  
 178, 181–3  
*DPP v Michael Flanagan* 22  
*DPP v Morgan* 176  
*Duffy* 24, 177, 178, 179, 181–3,  
 184–5, 186  
*Heating Oil* 22, 108, 134, 174, 177–9  
*Irish Ford Dealers Association (DPP  
 v Manning)* 176–8, 179–81,  
 182–4, 185  
*Irish Rail* 177–8  
*Mayo Waste* 177
- Japan  
 lysine cartel 190, 191  
*Marine Hose* 202, 203, 205–6, 207
- Joshua, J 3, 8, 51, 75, 109, 113, 114,  
 115, 118, 122, 131, 153, 154, 155,  
 158, 217
- jury trials  
*Ghosh* common law test for jurors,  
 UK 116, 117–18, 161  
 Ireland 173–4  
 UK 9, 116, 117–20, 160–61  
 US *see under* US (grand jury  
 proceedings)
- Kagan, R 34  
 Kelley, D 39, 71  
 Klawiter, D 48
- Korea  
 lysine cartel 190, 192  
 US prison sentences, compensation  
 for 198
- Kovacic, W 49, 52  
 Kriesberg, S 33  
 Kroes, N 220
- Lande, R 1, 15–16, 31, 32, 40, 41–2,  
 194–5
- Landes, W 32  
 Landis-Gabel, H 26  
 Lawrence, J 152
- leniency programmes  
 and enforcement of competition  
 laws 48  
 EU 46, 47, 93, 139, 142–5, 201, 211  
 Ireland 174–5, 185, 186  
 UK *see* UK, leniency programme  
 US *see* US, leniency programme  
 and whistle-blowers 48–9
- Leslie, C 47  
 Lester, M 131  
 Letwin, W 55, 58, 60  
 Levenstein, M 16, 21, 23, 28, 29, 31, 42  
 Lever J 42, 117  
 Lewis, J 109, 127

- Lieber, J 17, 55, 190  
 Lopatka, J 15  
 Lucraft, M 202, 212, 213  
 lysine cartel  
   *Amino Acids* (EU) 190, 191, 192, 193–4  
   Canada 194  
   Japan 190, 191  
   Korea 190, 192  
   Mexico 194  
   US 67, 74, 181, 189–95  
   *US v Andreas* 67  
 MacCulloch, A 14, 50, 107, 114, 117, 120, 121, 123, 127–8  
 McFadden, D 108, 174, 178, 179  
 McNally, J 180, 181, 186  
*Marine Hose*  
   Australia 203  
   EU 134–5, 191, 202–3, 204, 207, 208, 211  
   Japan 202, 203, 205–6, 207  
   *R v Whittle* 132–3, 153, 213  
   UK 1–2, 7, 20, 22, 74–5, 133–5, 154, 203, 204, 206–14  
   US 79, 96, 103–4, 202–12  
   *US v Jacques Cognard* 206–7  
   *US v Peter Whittle* 104, 204, 205, 206, 208  
   *US v Northcutt* 211  
 Mason, C 17, 44, 148  
 Massey, P 43, 167, 168, 174, 175–6, 186, 187, 220  
 Matsushita, M 202  
 media representation 17–18  
 Mexico, lysine cartel 194  
 Monnier, C 26, 40  
 Monti, M 1  
 morality  
   and criminal law, relationship  
     between 13–15, 49–51  
   dishonesty, legal understanding of, US 117  
   dishonesty test, UK *see* UK, dishonesty test  
 Morgan, E 131, 217–18, 219  
 Neal, P 71, 72  
 Nesbitt, N 13, 15, 50, 75, 195, 200, 201  
 Nielsen, V 30, 38  
 no-action letters, UK 93, 146–8, 149–50  
 OECD  
   enforcement support 5, 6, 16–17, 112  
   harm done by cartelisation, concern over 27  
   individual sanctions, deterrence effects of 44  
 Ogus, A 15, 30, 42, 49, 219  
 O’Kane, M 22, 45, 106, 213–14  
 oligopoly theory, and incentive problem 18–25  
 Ormosi, P 16, 25, 26–7  
 Page, W 15  
 Parker, C 30, 38  
 Parkinson, S. 114–15, 118, 215  
 penalties  
   and corporate liability 39–40  
   as deterrents 15, 30–31  
   financial *see* financial penalties  
   punishments and breakdowns, distinguishing between 21  
   sentencing guidelines, US 92  
   *see also* custodial sentencing  
 Peretz, G 109, 127  
 Peritz, R 58, 60, 70  
 Pike, J 117  
 plea bargaining  
   Ireland 172  
   UK 151–2  
   US *see* US, plea agreements  
 Porter, R 23  
 Posner, R 183  
 price-fixing 16, 32–3, 38, 50–52, 63  
   Ireland 171, 178–9, 181, 182  
   UK 8, 50–52, 65, 112–13, 122, 131, 158, 161, 162  
   US 63, 64–7, 76, 90–91, 205  
   *see also* bid-rigging; individual cases  
 pricing  
   price elasticities of demand, effects of 24  
   price information exchange agreements as rule of reason, US 66  
   price rises, and enforcement, inverse relationship between 35

- price wars as evidence, problems with 21
- purchaser/seller assignment and avoidance of price-cutting 20–21
- structure, oligopoly joint-profit 19–20
- surcharges 27–8
- prisoners' dilemma example 20
- Purnell, N 145, 148–9, 153, 155, 217
- recidivism 27, 35–6, 42–3, 105–6, 197  
*see also* deterrence
- Regulation 1/2003 *see* EU, EC  
Regulation 1/2003
- Richardson, G 71
- Riley, A 28, 53, 181
- Riza, L 98
- Rodger, B 4, 34
- Rosati, F 28
- Rossiter, N 17, 148
- Schmidt, J 35, 48, 195
- Scholz, J 34
- Scotland *see under* UK
- Shaffer, G 13, 15, 50, 75, 195, 200, 201
- Sidak, J 29
- Simester, A 45
- Simon, M 1, 32–3, 41, 50
- Simonsson, I 223
- Simpson, S 33
- Slovak Republic, Criminal Code 167
- Smith, R 16–17, 71
- Snow, T 62
- Soderbergh, S 17, 190, 193
- Spicer, A 33
- Stephan, A 16, 50–51, 52, 118, 134, 154, 155, 161, 217
- Stigler, G 18–21, 24, 46
- Stone, C 53
- Stucke, M 14, 30, 45–6, 50, 89
- Suslow, V 16, 21, 23, 28, 29, 31, 42
- Sutherland, E 22
- Tassopoulou, L 213
- Taylor, C 189, 191
- TFEU, Articles 101 and 102 *see* EU, TFEU, Articles 101 and 102
- Thorelli, H 17–18, 58, 59, 60, 70
- trials *see* jury trials
- UK
- The Art of the Steal* (BBC documentary on auction cartel) 17, 44, 79, 148
- bid-rigging 109, 110, 111, 154, 161, 216
- BIS (Business Innovation and Skills), consultation and response (2011) 7, 8, 152, 160–65, 217
- Cartel Offence *see* UK, Cartel Offence (below)
- case law and practice 153–9
- civil law of competition link 108, 126
- civil penalties 89–90
- civil/criminal case challenge 8, 122, 128–9, 136, 146–8, 151, 158–62, 215–17, 219–20, 223
- Competition Act 109, 111, 123, 125
- competition law compliance research 36–8
- competition law, links with EU law 121–7
- Competition and Markets Authority, creation of 165
- conflicting prosecution by another jurisdiction 127, 130–31, 138
- corporate and individual targeting 28
- Crime (International Co-operation) Act 138
- Criminal Justice and Police Act 128
- criminal law of competition, Americanisation of 5–6
- criminal law of competition, history of 3–4
- Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 135
- criminal sanctions, perceived effects of 36–9, 210–11
- criminalisation and Cartel Offence 107–65
- criminalisation support 49–52
- custodial sentencing 132–3, 212, 213
- custodial sentencing, reluctance to apply 45
- director disqualification orders 53, 212, 219–20
- dishonesty test *see* UK, dishonesty test (below)

- ECHR fair trial rights 135, 162
- economic evidence in jury trials 9, 119–20, 160–61
- enforcement 132–45
- Enterprise Act, Cartel Offence included in 6–7, 12
- Enterprise Act, Section 188 9, 10, 66, 93, 108–11, 114–16, 119, 125–6, 128–30, 132, 146, 161
- extradition cases 62, 74–5, 130–31, 209–11
- ‘good character’ references 45, 133, 184–5
- leniency programme *see* UK, leniency programme (below)
- Monopolies and Restrictive Practices Act 3–4
- National Competition Agency, OFT as 128–9
- no-action letters 93, 146–8, 149–50
- OECD recommendation for legal effectiveness 112
- OFT arguments against dishonesty test 118–20, 158–9
- OFT investigative responsibilities 1–2, 5, 7–8, 107–8, 127–32, 215–20
- OFT, resource restrictions 219
- penalties 132, 212–13, 219
- penalties as turnover percentage 39–40
- Penrose Report 112–13
- plea bargaining 151–2
- Police Act 128
- price-fixing 8, 50–52, 65, 112–13, 122, 131, 158, 161, 162
- price-fixing survey results 51–2
- Project Condor 153, 154, 155, 157
- Regulation 1/2003 111, 121–2, 123–4, 125–6, 129, 141–4, 162, 165
- Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act (RIPA) 128
- Restrictive Trade Practices Act 4
- Scottish leniency programme 146
- Scottish prosecution powers 132
- Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 152
- territoriality and effects doctrine 127, 130–31
- TFEU, articles 101 and 102 109, 111, 120–27, 134, 140, 142–4, 156, 162, 208
- US cartel law comparison, problems with 13
- White Paper and call for clarity 111–12, 113
- UK, Cartel Offence
  - corporate and individual targeting 28
  - criminalisation and 107–65
  - disclosure duties 135–45, 150–51
  - enactment of 6–7, 48, 50, 108–21, 122, 123, 125
  - Enterprise Act, included in 6–7, 12
  - introduction of 1, 6–7, 48, 50
  - language used 108–11, 114–15, 116, 119, 125–6, 132, 161
  - language used, criticism of 109, 111
  - undertakings in breach of 10, 28, 39, 109–11, 113, 117, 121–6
- UK, cases
  - Bid Riggings in the Construction Industry in England* 216
  - British Airways see British Airways*
  - Director General of Fair Trading v Pioneer Concrete (UK) Ltd* 4
  - Ghosh* common law test for jurors 116, 117–18, 161
  - Marine Hose see Marine Hose*
  - National Grid* 140–41
  - R v B* 10
  - R v Bow Street Metropolitan Magistrate* 75
  - R v Burns and others see under British Airways*
  - R v Daniels and Others* 138
  - R v George, Crawley and other see under British Airways*
  - R v Goldshield Group Plc and others* 126, 131
  - R v Goodyear* 152
  - R v IB* 118, 125–6, 154
  - R v Whittle see under Marine Hose*
  - Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare (UK)* 156
  - Tobacco* 216
  - Uniform Eurocheques* 162
  - Visa International Multilateral Interchange Fee* 162



- Wood pulp (Ahlström (A.)) Oy v Commission* 130
- UK, dishonesty test
- Consultation Document 115–16, 118, 126, 161, 163–5
  - criticism of 50, 109, 111, 113–21, 123, 160
  - ECHR incompatibility 114–15
  - individual involvement 116–17, 123
  - moral implications 120
  - OFT arguments against 118–20, 158–9
- UK, leniency programme 46, 47–8, 136, 138–9, 140–52, 154
- applications and dishonesty admission 118, 158–9
  - civil and criminal enforcement 146, 147–8, 151
  - continued participation in cartel meetings 96
- US
- anti-cartel enforcement, possible global use of 35
  - Antitrust Amendments Act 61
  - Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Act 61–2, 97
  - bid-rigging 63, 66–7, 76, 85, 86–7, 91–2, 93, 205
  - cartel detection rate 26
  - cartel law comparison, UK problems with 13
  - cartelisation offences, cross-political agreement on 57–8
  - cartels and corporate and individual targeting 28
  - civil and criminal law distinctions 8, 9, 76–7, 78–9
  - Clayton Act 61, 78
  - Comprehensive Crime Control Act 89
  - Criminal Fine Act 59, 61–2, 88–9
  - Criminal Fines Enforcement Act 61
  - criminal law of cartelisation, development of offence 57–62
  - criminal suits 84–8
  - criminal suits, multiple charges 85–6
  - criminal suits, notice to defendants 88
  - criminalisation in 55–106
  - custodial sentencing 45–6, 52, 56, 72–6, 90–92, 102, 190, 206, 208–11
  - damages awards 57, 59, 62, 72, 97, 191, 194, 212
  - death penalty suggestion 29, 51
  - dishonesty, legal understanding of 117
  - early case law 69–71
  - early failures 55
  - enforcement experiences 69–76
  - enforcement and punishment 88–92
  - Enterprise Act 66
  - extradition cases 62, 74–5, 131, 209–11
  - FBI cooperation 76, 79
  - Federal Trade Commission Act 56
  - financial penalties 59, 61–2, 72, 88–9, 206
  - fines in relation to profits 61–2, 189–90, 194–5, 196–7
  - grand jury proceedings 79–83, 103
  - grand jury proceedings, Fifth Amendment impact 81, 82–3, 84
  - grand jury proceedings, search warrants 83
  - grand jury proceedings, subpoenas issued 80–83
  - indictment legal requirement 84–5
  - individual culpability 41, 56, 71–2, 74–6
  - international cartels, dealing with 74–6, 90–1, *see also* individual cases
  - investigation, preliminary 77–9
  - investigative procedures 76–88
  - leniency programme *see* US, leniency programme (below)
  - market sharing and customer allocation 67–9
  - modern cases 74–6
  - policy success 105–6, 218, 224
  - price information exchange agreements as rule of reason 66
  - recidivism 105–6, 197
  - Right to Financial Privacy Act 82
  - Robinson-Patman Act 57

- sentencing guidelines 92  
 Sentencing Reform Act 61, 99  
 Sherman Act *see* US, Sherman Act  
 (below)
- US, cases  
*Addyston Pipe & Steel Co v US* 68  
*Aérospatiale* 139  
*Blackburn v Sweeney* 65  
*Blue Cross & Blue Shield United v Marshfield Clinic* 67–8  
*Branzburg v Hayes* 82  
*Braswell v US* 81  
*Braverman v US* 86  
*Broadcast Music, Inc. v CBS* 63, 66, 68  
*Catalano Inc. v Target Sales* 65  
*Chicago Board of Trade v US* 62–3  
*Continental TV Inc. v GTE Sylvania Inc.* 63  
*Cooper v US* 103  
*Correale v US* 103  
*Furukawa Electric Co Ltd* 76  
*General Electric* (heavy electrical equipment) 16–17, 25, 35, 71–4, 134  
*Jellico Mountain Coal and Coke Co et al* 69  
*Kiefer-Stewart Co v Joseph E Seagram & Sons* 65  
*Leegin* 64  
 lysine cartel *see* lysine cartel  
*Macaroni* 67  
*Marine Hose see Marine Hose*  
*Morgan Crucible Company (MCC)* 74–6  
*National Society of Professional Engineers v US* 66  
*NCAA v Board of Regents* 65, 68  
*Norris v US* 74–6, 117, 131  
*Northwest Wholesale Stationers v Pacific Stationery* 68  
*Palmer v BRG of Georgia Inc* 69  
*In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation* 139, 140  
*Re Rubber Chemicals* 139–40  
*Rothery Storage & Van Co v Atlas Van Lines* 68  
*Santobello v New York* 98  
*Sealy* 68  
*Standard Oil* 62, 71  
*Stolt-Nielsen* 97, 159, 160  
*Swift & Co v US* 63  
*Topco* 68  
*Trans-Missouri Freight Association* 70  
*Trenton Potteries* 64–5  
*US v American Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corp* 65, 67  
*US v Andreas* (lysine cartel) 67  
*US v Ashland-Warren Inc* 87  
*US v Baggott* 79  
*US v Beachner Construction Co* 87  
*US v Booker* 89  
*US v Brown University* 66  
*US v Chesapeake and Ohio Fuel Co* 70  
*US v Consolidated Packaging Corp* 86–7  
*US v Container Corp of America* 66  
*US v EC Knight Co* 55  
*US v Federal Salt Co* 70  
*US v Hammerman* 103  
*US v Isaac* 160  
*US v Jacques Cognard (Marine Hose)* 206–7  
*US v John Doe Inc I* 79  
*US v Koppers Co* 63  
*US v Krasn* 103  
*US v McDonough Co* 71  
*US v Nelson et al* 69–70  
*US v Northcutt (Marine Hose)* 211  
*US v Patterson et al* 69  
*US v Peter Whittle (Marine Hose)* 104, 204, 205, 206, 208  
*US v Portsmouth Paving Corporation* 67  
*US v Sarivola* 160  
*US v Sells Engineering* 79  
*US v Under Seal* 81  
*US v United States Gypsum Co* 66  
*US v VandeBrake* 92  
*US v Workingmen's Amalgamated Council of New Orleans et al* 70  
*Vitamins see Vitamins*  
*Young As Chor v Dulles* 159  
 US, leniency programme 46, 48, 85, 92–7, 105, 159, 198, 205–6  
 Amnesty Plus discount 94

- continued participation in cartel
  - meetings 96
  - non-disclosure ruling 97, 139
  - restrictions 94–5
  - Types A, B and C 95–7
- US, plea agreements 98–105, 132–3, 136, 197, 198, 206, 208–11, 212–13
- antitrust enforcement, effect on
  - 104–5
- ‘B’ type 99, 102–3
- before indictment 103
- benefits of 102–3
- ‘C’ type 99–100
- contract law standards 103
- factors considered 100
- number of charges 101
- private plaintiffs, effects of 100–101
- US, Sherman Act
  - enforcement 5, 6, 9, 25, 55–6, 159
  - introduction 58–61
  - penalty levels 59, 88–91, 189
  - per se* rule 62–9, 78
  - per se* rule, exceptions 65–6
  - rule of reason 62–3, 64, 65, 66, 71, 78
  - violations as felonies 61, 62–9
- Utton, M 12
- Vitamins*
  - Canada 198
  - EU 195–6, 198–9, 200–201
  - US 89, 139, 140, 195–201
- Von Hirsch, A 50
- Walker, F. 64
- Walton, C 71
- Wandschneider, F 47
- Wardhaugh, B 118, 163–4
- Watkins, M 25, 71
- Werden, G 1, 5, 18, 27, 29, 30, 32–3, 41, 50, 56, 61, 90–91, 105, 182–3, 197
- Whelan, P 1, 28, 29, 30, 131, 183
- whistle-blowers, and leniency
  - programmes 48–9
- White, L 189, 191, 195
- white collar crime, origins of term 22
- whistle-blowers 48–9
- Whiting, R 74
- Williams, R 50
- Wils, W 40, 121, 122, 129, 217–19, 220–21
- Zimmerman, P 13
- Zyglidopoulos, S 33, 35

