Index

Titles of publications are in italics.

Abbott, D. 40
Abbott, P. 24
affective job security 49, 50
affordability and legitimacy of welfare state 138–9, 142–3, 149
age
and attitude to the welfare state 81, 148, 236
and attitudes to childcare provision 121–2
and social risk 25, 33, 41
Ajzen, I. 102
Albrekt Larsen, C. 202–3, 204
Allen, J. 20, 42
Alesina, A. 176
altruism 89–90, 93
Anderson, B. 189
Anderson, C.J. 50, 51, 52
anti-solidarity hypothesis 153, 154, 155–7, 167–9
Arneil, B. 197
Arts, W. 42, 143
attitude formation
individual characteristics 89–90
societal determinants 90–92
Barcelona Summit, childcare targets 107–8
Bauman, Z. 22
Beck, U. 23, 24, 40
behavioural effects of benefits 234–5, 242–3, 246
benefits
benefit level preferences 74–5, 79, 81, 83
criticisms 233–4, 240–42, 245
fraud, see fraud
and generalized trust 195–211
means-tested, and trust 196–7, 199, 200–202, 206, 207
negative effects on behaviour 234–5, 242–3, 246
non-take-up 234
unemployment, and employment security 46–7, 50–52, 56–7
universal, and trust 195–6, 199, 200–202, 206
benevolence 89–90, 93
Bettio, F. 107
Blekesaune, M. 72
Böckerman, P. 50, 52
Bonoli, G. 19, 43
breadwinner models 110
Briggs, A. 3
Calzada, I. 136
care ideals 110–11
Castles, F. 233
challenges to the welfare state 7–9
cheating the system, see fraud
child-related policies, attitudes to 94–6
childcare 107–29
attitudes to government intervention 111–29
care regimes 109–11
children in the family and welfare state attitudes 88–102
child-related policies 94–6
income equalization policies 96–8
support for other groups 98
Christian denominations
by country 218–19
and support for welfare state 216–17, 224–6
Chung, H. 53
Church–state relationship 216–17
Citrin, J. 156

Heikki Ervasti, Jørgen Goul Andersen, Torben Fridberg and Kristen Ringdal - 9781781001271
Downloaded from Elgar Online at 01/26/2019 10:48:21AM
via free access
civic engagement and generalized trust 198

civic/voluntarist aspects of identity 174

Clark, A. 51, 52

class, social, see socio-economic position

co-ordinated market economies 21

cognitive employment insecurity 49

cognitive job security 49

Cohen, A. 189

collectivist view of welfare state 20

comparability problems, ESS 252

comparative advantage measurement 75

compensation hypothesis 154, 155, 158–9, 167, 168

conservative model 6

Consideration on Representative Government (Mill) 155

contact theory 154–5, 157–8, 166, 167–8

Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) 144

and support for the welfare state 149–50

Coughlin, R.M. 200

Crepaz, M. 159

criticism of the welfare state 137–9, 231–47

benefit systems 233–4, 240–42, 245

country-level differences 240–43

economic 232–3, 240, 245

effect on behaviour 234–5, 242–3, 246

cross-national surveys, problems 252–4

crowding-out hypothesis 208–10

cultural heterogeneity, see ethnic heterogeneity

culturalist approach to childcare 110

del Pino, E. 136

demos 155
denmark

attitudes to government responsibilities 100

childcare regime 109

dependency culture 235

deservingness 199–200

Diamond, L. 190

Dogan, M. 231–2

Eastern European regime 7

economic impact of welfare state 5

economic sustainability of welfare state 8

criticism 232–3, 240, 245

economic systems and social risks 20–21

Edlund, J. 136

education level

and attitudes to childcare provision 122

and criticism of the welfare state 246–7

and risk perception 37

and support for the welfare state 141, 148

and welfare state preferences 81

efficiency and legitimacy of the welfare state system 138, 149

eight groups and national identity 174–5

employment market regulation in market economies 21

employment sector and attitude to the welfare state 236–7

employment security 46–63

country variations 56–60

definition 49

determinants 50, 53

and labour market situation 47, 52–3, 60–63

and unemployment benefit schemes 64–7, 50–52, 60–63

measurement 54–5

employment status

and attitudes to childcare provision 122–3, 124

and support for the welfare state 141, 148

equality ideology

and attitudes to childcare provision 123

and religiosity 220–21

Erlinghagen, M. 51, 52

Esping-Andersen, G. 6, 20, 42, 110

ESS, see European Social Survey

ethnic heterogeneity 153–69

anti-solidarity hypothesis 153, 154, 155–7, 167–9

and attitudes to welfare state 158–9, 165–9, 172–90
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compensation hypothesis</th>
<th>154, 155, 158–9, 167, 168</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact theory</td>
<td>154–5, 157–8, 167–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and interpersonal trust 163–4, 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and support for the welfare state 165, 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and welfare state chauvinism 164–5, 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and welfare state development 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and social risk 25, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnos</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etzioni, A.</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Social Survey (ESS)</td>
<td>2, 159, 249–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative research problems</td>
<td>252–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare Attitudes in a Changing Europe module 2, 9–10, 250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Social Survey 2 (ESS2)</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Social Survey 4 (ESS4)</td>
<td>27, 54, 73, 92, 113–14, 135, 159, 205, 239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European welfare state regimes</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor analysis (explorative)</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairness and legitimacy of the welfare state system</td>
<td>137–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family structure</td>
<td>112, 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and attitudes to childcare provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>presence of children, impact on welfare state attitudes 88–102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and welfare services 4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farndale, E.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female employment and attitudes to childcare provision</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminization of poverty</td>
<td>24–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childcare regime</td>
<td>202, 205–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized trust and welfare benefits</td>
<td>202, 205–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finseraas, H.</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm-specific skills, see skill specificity</td>
<td>Fishbein, M. 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal childcare provision</td>
<td>109, 119–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraud</td>
<td>138, 234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of 241–2</td>
<td>and support for welfare state 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fridberg, T.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuchs, M.</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>38, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And risk perception</td>
<td>38, 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And welfare state preferences</td>
<td>72–3, 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelissen, J.</td>
<td>42, 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gellner, E.</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And altruism 89</td>
<td>and attitudes to childcare provision 111, 121, 122, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And attitude to the welfare state 81, 101, 140, 148, 236–7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And social risk 24–5, 37, 41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized trust and the welfare state 195–211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>205–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic regimes 207–10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaeser, E.L.</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globalization, impact on welfare state preferences 71–2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldthorpe, J.</td>
<td>22, 28, 220, 239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goul Andersen, J.</td>
<td>136, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government responsibility preferences</td>
<td>74, 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childcare 95–6, 107–29</td>
<td>Impact of having children in family 92–102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income equalization policies 96–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group conflict theory 156–7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grusky, D.B.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunther, R.</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habermas, J.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagendoorn, L.</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hakim, C.</td>
<td>110, 112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heath, A.</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity, see ethnic heterogeneity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hjerm, M.</td>
<td>158, 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobbsawm, E.</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household composition, see family structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And risk perception</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And welfare state preferences 81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index

ideals of care 110–11
identity
  individual 93, 175
  national, see national identity
ideological beliefs
  and attitudes to childcare provision
  112, 115, 123, 128
  as challenges to the welfare state 8
  and support for the welfare state
  141, 148
immigration and support for the
  welfare state 153–69; see also
  ethnic heterogeneity
income
  and attitudes to childcare provision
  122
  and social risk 37
  and welfare state preferences 81
income equalization, see redistribution
policies
income inequality and welfare state
  preferences 72–3
income redistribution, see
  redistribution policies
individual identity 93
  and national identity 175
  individualization 17–18, 22–3
informal childcare provision 109,
  119–20
Inglehart, R. 236
institutional trust
  as measure of social cohesion 178
  and willingness to accept taxation
  181–2
institutions and generalized trust 198–9
interpersonal trust 159–61, 238
  impact of immigration 163–4, 168
  as measure of social cohesion 178
Iversen, T. 24, 28, 71, 75, 85
Jeger, M.M. 93
Jessop, B. 21
job security 49, 50; see also
  employment security
Jobard, F. 176
Jones, F.L. 179
justice and generalized trust 199
Kangas, O. 20
Kankainen, T. 202, 207
Korpi, W. 211
Kouvo, A. 202, 206
Kremer, M. 108–9, 110–11, 113
Kumlin, S. 211
labour market situation and
  employment security 47, 52–3
Lappegard, T. 101
Larsen, C.A. 235
legitimacy and support for the welfare
  state 134–51
Lewis, J. 110
liberal market economies 21
liberal welfare regimes 6, 20
locus of control and attitude formation
  90
Maduz, L. 24, 28, 71–2, 73, 75, 85
market economies, classification 21
market-oriented childcare regimes
  109–10
Marshall, T.H. 155
Marx, K. 22
means-tested benefits and generalized
  trust 196–7, 199, 200–202, 206,
  207
Mediterranean welfare model 7
middle classes and attitude to the
  welfare state 237–8
Mill, J.S. 155
multilevel logistic regression models
  258–9
multilevel regression models 255–8
multiple regression analysis 255
Murray, C. 235
Myles, J. 176
Nagayoshi, K. 158
nation states 173
  and welfare state policies 175–6
  and acceptance of redistribution
  policies 186, 187
  and acceptance of taxation 182–3,
  188
difficulties of definition 189
needs-based attitude formation 91
Netherlands
  attitudes to government
  responsibilities 100, 136
Index

new social risks 19
non-religious people 217
attitude to welfare state 224, 227
Nordic welfare regimes 6, 200–202
and generalized trust 195–7, 207–10
and social capital 195
see also Denmark; Finland; Norway; Sweden
Norway, childcare regime 109
objective job insecurity 49, 50
objective risk measurement 75–6
occupational risk 23–4, 38–9, 40–41
measurement 28, 75
and welfare state attitudes 71, 83, 85
occupational unemployment rate 75
offshoreability 24
and risk perception 39, 40
and welfare state preferences 72, 83
offshoreability index 28, 75–6
Pacelli, L. 50
Palme, J. 211
part-time employment and attitudes to childcare 124
path dependency in attitude formation 92
pension level preferences 74–5, 79, 81, 83
performance and legitimacy of the welfare system 138, 149
personal risk, see risk
Pfau-Effinger, B. 110, 112
Pickett, K. 197
Plantenga, J. 107
political affiliation
and attitude to the welfare state 236
see also ideological beliefs
political elites and national identity 174–5
Pontusson, J. 50, 51, 52
Postel-Vinay, F. 51, 52
poverty
and attitudes to welfare policies 94, 101
and gender 24–5
progressive dilemma 153
Protecting Soldiers and Mothers (Skocpol) 199–200
public/private sector employment
and risk perception 41
and welfare state preferences 83, 85
random coefficient model 256–8
rational self-interest 89
Razin, A. 233
realist group contact theory 156–7
redistribution policies, acceptance of 177
impact of having children in the family 96–8
impact of immigration 158, 166
impact of social cohesion 183–6, 187–8
Rehm, P. 23–4, 28, 70–71, 73, 75
religiosity, measurement 218
religious belief and support for welfare state 5, 214–27
denominational differences 216–17, 224–6
non-religious people 224, 227
religious denominations 218–19
and support for welfare state 216–17, 224–6
research problems 252–4
resource redistribution, see redistribution policies
risk 3–4, 18–19
and age 25
and gender 24–5
measurement 75–6
objective 175–6
old and new social risks 3, 19
and social inequality 21–5
subjective 76
and welfare state preferences 69–73, 83–4
risk perception 3–4, 26–43
and age 33, 41
country differences 28–30, 41–3
and education 37
and ethnicity 37, 41
and gender 37, 41
and income 37
measurement 76
occupational risk 38–9, 40–41
and social class 33, 37–8, 40
and support for the welfare state
83–4, 85–6, 141, 148
and welfare regimes 29–30, 38, 42
Risk Society (Beck) 23
Rothstein, B. 195, 199, 200–201, 202, 208, 211
Sadka, E. 233
Scheve, K. 217
Scruggs, L. 20, 42
Sears, D.O. 156
secularization
and support for welfare state 5
see also non-religious people
selective benefits, see means-tested benefits
self-interest 89–90, 135
Semyonov, M. 167
Shapiro, R.Y. 1
Silverstein, M. 90
skill specificity
and risk perception 39, 40
and welfare state preferences 71, 83
Skocpol, T. 199–200
Smith, P. 179
Smyth, R. 90
Sniderman, P.M. 169
social capital, Nordic welfare states 195
social class, see socio-economic position
social cohesion
measurement 178
and the welfare state 172–90
social democratic regimes 6, 20
social inequality and risk 21–5
social risks, see risk
societal attitude formation 90–92
socio-economic position
and attitude to the welfare state 69–72, 237–8
and risk 22–4, 33, 37–8, 40
solidarity 4
in ethnically diverse societies 153–9
individual 89–90
see also anti-solidarity hypothesis
Soskice, D. 24, 28, 71, 75, 85
Southern Europe
childcare regime 110
welfare model 7
St-Arnaud, S. 176
Stasavage, D. 217
state-Church relationship 216–17
subjective employment security 49, 50
subjective job security 49
subjective risk, see risk perception
sustainability and legitimacy of the welfare state system 138–9, 142–3, 149
Svallfors, S. 2
Swan, R.S. 234
Sweden
attitudes to welfare state 136
childcare regime 109
symbolic prejudice 157
taxation
acceptance of 177, 181–3
criticism of 233
preferences 74, 79–84
support for increased taxation 139–40, 144–51
and trust 208, 210
Taylor-Gooby, P. 22, 43, 176
Tilly, C. 187
Tocquevillean view 198
trade compensation hypothesis 70–71
Tros, F. 49
trust
and acceptance of taxation 181–2
and ethnic heterogeneity 163, 168
generalized trust 195–211
in institutions 178, 181–2
interpersonal 159–61, 178
as measure of social cohesion 178
and support for the welfare state 141–2, 148–9
and welfare chauvinism 164–5
UK, childcare regime 109
unemployment benefits and employment security 46–7, 50–52, 56–7
unemployment level
and employment insecurity 47–8, 52–3, 60–63
measurement 28, 75
and perceived unemployment rates 57–8
Index

and risk perception 40–41
and welfare state preferences 72
union membership
and risk perception 38
and welfare state preferences 83
universal benefit system and trust
195–6, 199, 200–202, 206

van Oorschot, W. 53, 136, 156, 187, 200
variance component model 255–6

Walter, S. 24, 28, 71–2, 73, 75, 85
Weber, M. 22
Weeden, K.A. 23
Welfare Attitudes in a Changing Europe (ESS) 2, 250
conceptual framework 9–10
welfare benefits, see benefits
welfare chauvinism 161
and ethnic heterogeneity 164–5
welfare fraud, see fraud
welfare regimes 6–7, 20
and criticism of welfare state 238, 240
and perceived risk 29–30, 38, 42–3

welfare state
challenges to 7–9
criticism of 231–47
definition 3
economic impact 5
and generalized trust 197–211
legitimacy 137–9
welfare state attitudes
effect of having children in the family 88–102
and heterogeneity 153–69, 172–90
and legitimacy 134–51
and personal risk 68–86
and religious belief 214–27
Wilensky, H.L. 2, 237
Wilkinson, R. 197
Wilthagen, T. 49
women
support for welfare state 89–90
see also gender
xenophobia 178
and acceptance of taxation 182–3
Young, J.T. 1