

Index

- adverse selection 33, 128–9
- Austria 86, 88, 101, 192, 215, 232, 235
- behavioural economics 134–5, 147–8, 180–81, 293
- ‘Brussels I’ Regulation 226–7
- bundling of legal norms 9, 98, 261–2, 299
- bureaucracy 32–4, 41, 121
- cartels 200–202, 207–24, 224–44
- case allocation (in competition law enforcement)
 - horizontal 212–13
 - vertical 210–12
- collective action 157, 231–2, 233–7
- co-opetition 18–20, 24–7, 52, 101–2, 155, 177, 213–23, 244, 254–5, 268, 271, 287–8, 292
- corporate ownership structures 56–7
- credible commitments 34, 92, 253, 287–8
- cumulative regulatory features 168–71, 186–7
- delegation of powers 34–5, 39, 44, 149–50, 152, 160, 182
- divergence of norms, scope for company law 84–5
 - Market Abuse Directive 166–7
 - private enforcement 230–32
 - Prospectus Directive 163–4
 - Takeover Bids Directive 167–8
 - Transparency Directive 164–5
- ECJ landmark cases
 - Cartesio (C-210/06) 78–80
 - Centros (C-212/97) 75
 - Daily Mail (81/87) 77
 - Inspire Art (C-167/01) 76
 - Laval (C-341/05) 73
 - Tobacco Advertising (C-376/98) 279–80
 - Überseering (C-208/00) 75–6, 77
 - Vodafone (C-58/08) 281–2
- ESMA 151–5, 176, 182, 186, 268, 269–70, 292
- evolutionary approach to legal change 275–6
- externalities 7, 9, 44, 46–7, 64, 106–7, 114–19, 123, 141, 160, 163, 173, 183, 193–5, 206, 245, 253–4, 258, 266, 285–8, 304
- extraterritorial enforcement 196
- federalism
 - fiscal 2
 - laboratory *see* yardstick competition 43, 205, 224, 254
 - regulatory 3–11, 192–5, 284–9
- France 57, 86–7, 89, 183, 215, 230, 235–6, 238–9, 243, 268
- GDP 173, 233, 274, 300
- Germany 57, 58, 75–6, 79, 86–9, 91, 101, 103, 151, 157–8, 205, 215, 231, 232, 235–6, 238–9, 247, 248, 267, 270
- governance 20, 51–2, 69–70, 151–5, 182, 186, 207–12, 221–2, 255, 268–73, 276, 290–93
- impact assessment 216–17, 232, 282, 289–90, 296, 303
- incorporation doctrine 55, 64, 76, 74–81
- information asymmetries 7–8
 - in bureaucracies 33

- in governance design 70–71, 93, 111–13, 171–2, 177–80, 224, 260–61, 276, 287, 297, 304
- in public firms 62–3, 109, 115
- in securities transactions 125–9, 178–80
- see also* law and economics theory of financial disclosure; law and economics theory of insider trading; market efficiency
- institutional investors 125, 162, 179–80, 183
- internal affairs doctrine 64
- investor confidence 129
- Ireland 86, 88, 173, 215, 230, 235
- Italy 57, 86, 88, 89–90, 103, 215, 218, 235
- Lamfalussy 148–50
- law and economics theory of
 - corporate governance
 - management theory 60
 - shareholder empowerment 59
 - stakeholder theory 61–2
 - financial disclosure 139–45
 - financial markets 135–9
 - insider trading 145–8
- legal actors 267–71
 - independent regulatory agency 34–9, 151–5, 156, 207–13, 218–19
 - judiciary and ADR 39–44, 101–5, 156–8, 219–22, 238–43, 268
 - legislator 32–4, 97–8, 213, 216–18, 233–7, 267
 - private regulator 44–7, 98–100, 158–60, 183
- legal paradigm 261, 273–6
- Leviathan state 6, 25, 193
- market efficiency 130–34
- merger control 196–9
- Netherlands 76–7, 86–7, 89, 151, 215, 234–6
- outcome of regulatory competition
 - in company law 85–96
 - in competition law 206–24, 224–44
 - convergence of legal norms 28–30, 70, 90, 153–4, 161–2, 204–5, 213–19, 255, 275–6, 293–5
 - divergence of legal norms 28–30, 94, 98–9, 160–68, 185, 206, 209, 218, 222–3, 230, 237, 246, 254, 275–6
 - measuring 20–24
 - race in laxity 28, 67, 114, 173, 178–80, 184–5, 202–3, 246–54
 - race in stringency 28, 114, 168–9, 173–4, 178–80, 184–9, 202–3, 245–6, 249–54
 - race to the bottom 27–8, 67, 84, 114–15, 141, 173, 178, 185, 195, 186–8, 294–5, 297, 304
 - race to the top 27–8, 67, 114, 141, 184, 186–7, 294–5, 297, 304
 - in securities law 168–77
- path dependence 29, 275, 291
- portability of regulatory feature (regulatory feature accumulation) 168–70, 189
- pre-notification referral 197–8
- principal agent problems in bureaucracies 33–5, 121
- collective actions 232
- corporate governance 58–64, 87, 90, 108
- private regulation 46
- regulatory design to allocate choice of law 263
- securities transactions 128, 139–48, 180
- private enforcement (damages action) 224–44
- private limited company 86–9
- proportionality 290–91
- public limited company 89–91
- real seat doctrine 56, 74–81
- Regulation 1/2003 (‘Modernisation Regulation’) 207–24
- regulatory competition
 - broad definition of *see* four channels of regulatory competition

- competition through choice or mobility 2–11, 16–17, 64–8, 85–91, 114–19, 168–74, 200–202, 226–44, 260–64, 270–71, 296–7, 298–9
- factor competition 15–16, 32, 43–4, 72–3, 103, 122, 174–5, 203, 245–54, 262–3, 299
- four channels of 12–17
- horizontal 17, 41, 53, 122, 198–9, 201–2, 207–8, 210, 212, 215, 224–5, 255
- narrow definition of *see* regulatory competition through choice or mobility
- trade competition 14–15, 32, 38, 71–2, 93, 102–3, 174–5, 176, 202–3, 206, 222–4, 245–54, 266–7, 270, 272–3, 274, 280, 291, 299, 301
- vertical 17, 40–41, 122, 182, 199, 201–2, 207, 209, 213, 214, 224–5, 249, 254
- yardstick competition 12–14, 19, 29, 38, 43–4, 69–71, 91, 175, 182, 186, 187–8, 195, 204–6, 224, 234, 254, 266, 273, 291, 292, 295, 299–300, 301
- retail investors 125, 132–3, 147–8, 164, 171, 178–80, 183
- ‘Rome II’ Regulation 228
- SMEs (small and medium-size enterprises) 69, 81, 246, 260
- Spain 86, 151, 199, 215, 221, 235
- subsidiarity
 - academic interpretations of 19, 269, 284–9
 - ECJ case law on 278–83
 - national parliaments’ checks 283–4
- systemic risks 129–30
- Tiebout model of fiscal federalism 2–3
- UK 56–7, 58, 75–9, 86–9, 98–100, 105, 134, 167, 173, 183, 199, 216–17, 230–31, 235, 237, 238–43, 255, 268, 296
- unilateral conduct 200–202, 207–24, 224–44

