

Figures

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| F.1 | Interaction between built, social, human and natural capital required to produce human well-being | xx |
| 2.1 | Phases of a joint ecosystem assessment and economic analysis for a single scenario | 29 |
| 2.2 | Marginal benefit curves for two goods | 41 |
| 2.3 | Change in cereals and market price assessment under a simple climate change scenario | 46 |
| 2.4 | Agricultural production expressed via its market price and economic value, the latter adjusting for subsidies, market distortions, etc. | 47 |
| 2.5 | Shadow values for various land use benefits | 49 |
| 2.6 | Tradeoff relationships between ecosystem stocks and depletion drivers with corresponding marginal resilience-weighted shadow values (MRSV) | 55 |
| 5.1 | Example choice card for the small good | 115 |
| 5.2 | Example choice card for the large good | 116 |
| 5.3 | (a) Predicted mean WTP for unique and non-charismatic (UNC) species; (b) predicted mean marginal WTP by species type | 121 |
| 6.1 | Regression scatterplot and parameters for Total Damage/GDP estimation for all hurricanes | 137 |
| 6.2 | Net primary productivity (NPP) by photosynthesis mapped as \$50 trillion across the globe | 141 |
| 7.1 | Partial equilibrium welfare changes associated with a regional loss of pollination services in the market for a pollinator-dependent crop | 152 |
| 7.2 | Partial equilibrium welfare changes associated with a local loss of pollination services in the market for a pollinator-dependent crop | 153 |
| 10.1 | A hypothetical relationship between the density of a focal forest-dependent species and the intensity of logging (or its reduced selectivity) in its abode | 232 |
| 10.2 | A possible relationship between the profitability per km ² from logging and its intensity (or reduced selectivity) | 234 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 10.3 | An illustration of the alternatives of (1) a combination of a protected area (A) plus a heavily logged area (B) and (2) a lightly logged (selective) area (A + C) plus a smaller heavily logged area (D) (no fully protected area exists) as ways of conserving a targeted level of the population of a forest-dependent focal species | 235 |
| 10.4 | Diagram used to highlight the fact that the density of a forest species following logging depends on the passage of time and the scope for a species to recolonize a logged area | 238 |
| 11.1 | Oku Aizu Forest Ecosystem Reserve in Fukushima, Japan | 247 |
| 13.1 | Changes in cultivated land area in Japan | 279 |
| 14.1 | Conceptual diagram for explicating the interactions between the biophysical and social systems that enable (or restrict) the provisioning of urban ecosystem services | 298 |
| 15.1 | Distribution of estimated total recreation values in coastal NUTS-2 regions of Europe | 325 |
| 16.1 | Conceptual diagram of the Gulf Coast oyster market | 342 |
| 16.2 | Profiles of wave height in the presence and absence of oyster reefs | 348 |
| 16.3 | Percent wave height and power attenuation for all wind-generated waves at Swift Tract and Barton Island in the presence of bagged shell reefs, computed at MSL, MLLW and MHHW | 349 |
| 16.4 | Potential for oyster reefs to protect marshes against erosion | 349 |
| 17.1 | Global averages for carbon pools (soil organic carbon and living biomass) of focal coastal habitats. Tropical forests are included for comparison | 364 |
| 17.2 | Gross carbon credit revenue potential, and average costs of protection for coastal habitats and tropical forest on a present value (PV) per hectare basis | 372 |
| 18.1 | Mean numbers of trees under different scenarios | 385 |
| 18.2 | Demand for tree seedlings amongst sampled households in Lake Victoria Basin | 391 |