

## Bibliography

---

- Abreu, D., 'External Equilibria of Oligopolistic Supergames', 39 *Journal of Economic Theory*, 1986, 191–225.
- Ahlborn, C., and Evans, D.S., 'The Microsoft Judgment and Its Implications for Competition Policy towards Dominant Firms in Europe', 74 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2008, 887–932.
- Ahlborn, C., and Jorge Padilla, A., 'From Fairness to Welfare: Implications for the Assessment of Unilateral Conduct under EC Competition Law', in C.D. Ehlermann and M. Marquis (eds), *European Competition Law Annual 2007: A Reformed Approach to Article 82*, Hart Publishing, 2008, 55–102.
- Aigner, G., Budzinski, O., and Christiansen, A., 'The Analysis of Coordinated Effects in EU Merger Control: Where Do We Stand after Sony/BMG and Impala?', 2006, (available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=898845>, accessed 22 July 2012).
- Akman, P., 'Searching for the Long-Lost Soul of Article 82EC', 29 *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 2009, 267–303.
- Albano, G.L., Spagnolo, G., and Zanza, M., 'Regulating Joint Bidding in Public Procurement', 5 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2009, 335–360.
- Albæk, S., Møllgaard, P., and Baltzer Overgaard, P., 'Transparency and Coordinated Effects in European Merger Control', 6 *Journal Competition Law & Economics*, 2010, 839–851.
- Albors-Llorens, A., 'Horizontal Agreements and Concerted Practices in EC Competition Law: Unlawful and Legitimate Contacts between Competitors', 51 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2006, 837–875.
- Albors-Llorens, A., 'The Role of Objective Justification and Efficiencies in the Application of Article 82 EC', 44 *Common Market Law Review*, 2007, 1727–1761.
- Alese, F., 'The Economic Theory of Non-Collusive Oligopoly and the Concept of Concerted Practices under Article 81', 20 *European Competition Law Review*, 1999, 379–383.
- Alexiadis, P., 'Abuse of Dominance Position', *International Company and Commercial Law Review*, 1991, 200–202.
- Allendesalazar, R., 'Can We Finally Say Farewell to the "Special Responsibility" of Dominant Companies?', in C.D. Ehlermann and M.

- Marquis (eds), *European Competition Law Annual 2007: A Reformed Approach to Article 82 EC*, Hart Publishing, 2008, 319–327.
- Amato, G., ‘Tutela della concorrenza e dei consumatori. Due fini confliggenti?’, in *Mercato Concorrenza Regole*, 2009, 381–383.
- Anderson, A.M., ‘Conscious Parallelism in the Use of Delivered Pricing Systems: a Modified Per se Standard of Review under the Federal Trade Commission Act’, in *Cornell Law Review*, 1981, 1194–1217.
- Anderson, M. and Hoffman, M., ‘Iqbal, Twombly, and the Cost of False Positive Error’, 20 *Cornell Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 2010, 18–30.
- Andrews, P., ‘Is Meeting Competition a Defence for Predatory Pricing? The Irish Sugar Decision Suggests a New Approach’, 19 *European Competition Law Review*, 1998, 49–57.
- Andrews, P., ‘Regime Change in Ireland: but Are the New Merger Rules Better’, 24 *European Competition Law Review* 2003, 288–294.
- Angland, J., ‘Spring 2007 from the Section Chair’, 21 (Spring) *Antitrust*, 2007, 1–5.
- Ankoudinova, M., and Petrov, V., ‘Antitrust and Three Rising Giants – Part 2: Russia’, *International Company and Commercial Law Review*, 2008, 363–372.
- Appel, D., ‘Air Cargo Fuel Surcharges and Tacit Collusion under the Sherman Act: What Good Is Catching a Few Bad Guys if Consumers Still Get Robbed?’, 73 *Journal of Air Law and Commerce*, 2008, 375–406.
- Ardiyok, S., ‘Comparative Analysis of Collective Dominance’, 3 *Journal of Yeditepe University – Faculty of Law*, 2006 (also available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1162187>).
- Areeda, P., ‘The Changing Contours of the Per Se Rule’, 54 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1985, 27–30.
- Areeda, P., Hovenkamp, H., and Solow, J.L., *Antitrust Law – An Analysis of Antitrust Principles and Their Application*, Aspen Law & Business, vol. VI, 2nd edn., 2002.
- Arezzo, E., ‘Is There a Role for Market Definition and Dominance in an Effects-based Approach?’, in M.O. Mackenrodt, B. Conde Gallego, and S. Enchelmaier (eds), *Abuse of Dominant Position: New Interpretation, New Enforcement Mechanisms?*, Springer Verlag, 2008.
- Arquit, K.J., and Wolfram, R., ‘Mergers and Acquisitions: United States Government Antitrust Analysis and Enforcement’, *Practising Law Institute – Corporate Law and Practice Course Handbook Series*, 2001, 317–471.
- Ashenfelter, O., and Sullivan, D., ‘Nonparametric Tests of Market Structure: an Application to the Cigarette Industry’, 35 *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 1987, 483–498.

- Assant, G., 'Anti-trust Intra-corporate Conspiracies: a Comparative Study of French, EEC and American Laws', 11 *European Competition Law Review*, 1990, 65–79.
- Attenborough, N., Jimenez, F., and Leonard, G., 'Are Three to Two Mergers in Markets with Entry Barriers Necessarily Problematic?', 28 *European Competition Law Review*, 2007, 539–552.
- Ayres, I., 'How Cartels Punish: a Structural Theory of Self-enforcing Collusion', 87 *Columbia Law Review*, 1987, 295–323.
- Ayres, I., and Madison, K., 'Threatening Inefficient Performance of Injunctions and Contracts', 148 *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1999, 45–108.
- Azevedo, J.P., and Walker, M., 'Market Dominance: Measurement Problems and Mistakes', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 640–653.
- Baccaro, V., 'Failing Firm Defence and Lack of Causality: Doctrine and Practice in Europe of Two Closely Related Concepts', 25 *European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 11–24.
- Bailey, D., 'Damages Actions under the EC Merger Regulation', 44 *Common Market Law Review*, 2007, 101–139.
- Bailey, D., 'Presumptions in EU Competition Law', 31 *European Competition Law Review*, 2010, 362–369.
- Bailey, D., 'Scope of Judicial Review under Article 81 EC', 41 *Common Market Law Review*, 2004, 1327–1360.
- Bailey, D., 'Standard of Proof in EC Merger Proceedings: a Common Law Perspective', 40 *Common Market Law Review*, 2003, 845–888.
- Bain, J., *Industrial Organization*, John Wiley & Sons, 1959.
- Baker, B., 'Identifying Horizontal Pricefixing in the Electronic Marketplace', 62 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1996, 41–56.
- Baker, D.I., and Blumenthal, W., 'The 1982 Guidelines and Preexisting Law', 71 *California Law Review*, 1983, 311–347.
- Baker, J.B., and Bresnahan, T.F., 'The Gains from Merger or Collusion in Product-Differentiated Industries', *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 1985, 427–444.
- Baker, J.B., 'Mavericks, Mergers, and Exclusion: Proving Coordinated Competitive Effects under the Antitrust Laws', 77 *New York University Law Review*, 2002, 135–203.
- Baliga, S., 'Monitoring and Collusion with "Soft" Information', 15 *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, 1999, 434–440.
- Ballard, J.R., 'Bell Atlantic v. Twombly: Has the Court Re-Set the Bar with a Heightened Pleading Standard?', *American Journal of Trial Advocacy*, 2008, 183–205.

- Balto, D., 'Returning to the Elman Vision of the Federal Trade Commission: Reassessing the Approach to the FTC Remedies', 72 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2005, 1113–1125.
- Balzano, M., and Grillo, M., 'Concorrenza e regolazione: limiti dell'intervento antitrust', in *Analisi Giuridica dell'Economia*, 2002, 485–502.
- Barletta, A., 'La competenza sull'inibitoria antitrust', in *Rivista di Diritto Processuale*, 2006, 499–526.
- Barnett, T.O., 'Section 2 Remedies: What to Do After Catching the Tiger by the Tail', 76 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2009, 31–42.
- Barton, J.H., 'Antitrust Treatment of Oligopolies with Mutually Blocking Patent Portfolios', 69 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2001, 851–882.
- Bastianon, S., 'I nuovi lati oscuri dell'antitrust: posizione dominante collettiva verticale e sconti selettivi', *Foro Italiano*, 2000, IV, 54.
- Bastianon, S., *L'abuso di posizione dominante*, Giuffrè, Milano, 2001.
- Basu, P., 'Collusion in Finitely-Repeated Oligopolies', 10 *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 1992, 595–609.
- Bauer, J.P., 'Refusals to Deal with Competitors by Owners of Patents and Copyrights: Reflections on the Image Technical and Xerox Decisions', in 55 *DePaul Law Review*, 1211–1246.
- Baumol, W.J., 'Contestable Markets: An Uprising in the Theory of Industry Structure', 72 *American Economic Review*, 1982, 1–15.
- Bavasso, A., 'Gencor: a Judicial Review of the Commission's Policy and Practice: Many Lights, Some Shadows', 22 *World Competition*, 1999, 45–66.
- Bavasso, A., and Lindsay, A., 'Causation in EC Merger Control', 28 *European Competition Law Review*, 2007, 181–202.
- Bavasso, A., 'The Role of Intent Under Article 82 EC: from "Flushing the Turkey" to "Spotting the Lioness in Regent's Park"', 28 *European Competition Law Review*, 2007, 616–623.
- Baxter, S., and Dethmers, F., 'Collective Dominance under the EC Merger Control – After Airtours and the Introduction of Unilateral Effects Is There Still a Future for Collective Dominance?', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 148–160.
- Baxter, S., and Dethmers, F., 'Unilateral Effects under the European Merger Regulation: How Big Is the Gap?', 26 *European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 380–389.
- Baxter, W.F., 'Responding to the Reaction: the Draftsman's View', *California Law Review*, 1983, 618–631.
- Bay, M.F., and Ruiz Calzado, J., 'Tetra Laval II: the Coming of Age of the Judicial Review of Merger Decisions', 28 *World Competition*, 2005, 433–453.

- Bellamy, C., and Child, G.D., *Common Market Law of Competition*, Sweet & Maxwell, 4th edn, 1993.
- Berg, W., and Ostendorf, P., 'The Reform of EC Merger Control: Substance and Impact of the Proposed New Procedural Rules', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 594–603.
- Berge, W., *Cartels – Challenge to a Free World*, Public Affairs Press, 1946.
- Bernard, K.S., 'Gatekeeper Issues: Seeing What Is in Front of You – a Transatlantic Lesson in Two Cases', 30 *European Competition Law Review*, 2009, 189–193.
- Bernardini, P., 'Disciplina comunitaria e disciplina interna in materia di concorrenza', *Rivista del Diritto Commerciale*, 1963, I, 282–310.
- Bernini, G., *La tutela della libera concorrenza e i monopoli*, vol. II, Comunità Europee e legislazione degli Stati Membri, Giuffrè, 1963.
- Bertolini, A., and Parisi, F., 'The Rise of Structuralism in the Merger Control', in *Stanford Journal of International Law*, 1996, 13–36.
- Bieberstein, J., 'The German Cartel Law and Its Administration: Role of the Federal Cartel Office in Regard to the EEC Anti-trust Provisions', 12 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 1963, 850–885.
- Billard, O., Ivaldi, M., and Mitraille, S., 'Evaluation of the Risks of Collective Dominance in the Audit Industry in France', 7 *European Competition Journal*, 2011, 349–378.
- Bishop, S., and Lofaro, A., 'A Legal and Economic Consensus? The Theory and Practice of Coordinated Effects in EC Merger Control', 49 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2004, 195–242.
- Bishop, S., and Ridyand, D., 'Prometheus Unbound: Increasing the Scope for Intervention in EC Merger Control', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 357–363.
- Bishop, S., 'Power and Responsibility: The ECJ's Kali-Salz Judgment', 20 *European Competition Law Review*, 1999, 37–39.
- Black, O., 'Collusion and Co-ordination in EC Merger Control', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 408–411.
- Black, O., 'Communication and Obligation in the Arrangements and Concerted Practices', 13 *European Competition Law Review*, 1992, 200–205.
- Black, O., *Conceptual Foundations of Antitrust*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- Black, O., 'Concerted Practices, Joint Action and Reliance', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 219–228.
- Blair, R.D., Mak, J., and Bonham, C., 'Collusive Duopoly: the Economic Effects of the Aloha and Hawaiian Airlines' Agreement to Reduce Capacity', 74 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2007, 409–438.

- Blaise, J.B., *Le statute juridique des ententes economique*, Librairies Techniques, 1964.
- Blassel, R., *Traité de droit européen de la concurrence*, Tome I, Edition Publisud, 2002.
- Bloch, R.E., Kamann, H.G., Brown, J.S., and Schmidt, J.P., 'A Comparative Analysis of Article 82 and Section 2 of the Sherman Act', 7 *Business Law International*, 2006, 136–183.
- Blumstein, A.S., "Twombly" Requires More for Notice Pleading', *Tennessee Bar Journal*, August 2007, 12–15.
- Bobbio, N., *Teoria generale del diritto*, Giappichelli, 1993.
- Bobbio, N., 'Le bon législateur', in *Le raisonnement juridique*, Emile Bruylant, 1971, 241.
- Bogart, J.H., 'The Supreme Court Decision in Twombly: a New Federal Pleading Standard?', 20 *Utah Bar Journal*, 2007, 20–22.
- Bok, D.C., 'Section 7 of the Clayton Act and the Merging of Law and Economics', 74 *Harvard Law Review*, 1960, 226–354.
- Bolotova, Y., Connor, J.M., and Miller, D.J., 'Factors Influencing the Magnitude of Cartel Overcharges: an Empirical Analysis of the US Market', 5 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2009, 361–381.
- Bork, R.H., *The Antitrust Paradox – a Policy at War with Itself*, The Free Press, 2nd edn, 1993.
- Botta, M., 'Merger Remedies Imposed by Competition Authorities of the Emerging Economies', 34 *World Competition*, 2011, 321–338.
- Botteman, Y., 'Mergers, Standard of Proof and Expert Economic Evidence', 2 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2006, 71–100.
- Bozano Gandolfi, M.F., 'Brevi note sullo sfruttamento di posizione dominante collettiva', in *Diritto Marittimo*, 2002, 506–514.
- Brandenburger, A.M., and Nalebuff, B.J., *Co-opetition*, Currency Doubleday, 1996.
- Brandenburger, R., Janssens, T., and Aitken, J., 'Bertelsmann and Sony Judgment: Implications for the Law and Practice of EC Merger Control', 23 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2008, 87–92.
- Brander, J.A., and Spencer, B.J., 'Tacit Collusion, Free Entry and Welfare', *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 1985, 277–294.
- Brannon, L., and Bradish, K., 'The Revised Horizontal Merger Guidelines: Can the Courts Be Persuaded?', in *The Antitrust Source*, ([http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/publishing/antitrust\\_source/Oct10\\_Brannon10\\_21f.pdf-9k-2011-06-18](http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/publishing/antitrust_source/Oct10_Brannon10_21f.pdf-9k-2011-06-18), accessed 16 July 2012) 2010, 1–4.
- Brent, R., 'The Certain Pursuit of Oligopoly: a Reply', 17 *European Competition Law Review*, 1996, 163–165.
- Bresnahan, T.F., 'A Remedy That Falls Short of Restoring Competition', 15 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2001, 67–70.

- Bresnahan, T.F., 'Competition and Collusion in the American Automobile Industry: The 1955 Price War', 35 *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 1987, 457–482.
- Briones, J., 'Oligopolistic Dominance: Is There a Common Approach in Different Jurisdictions? A Review of Decisions Adopted by the Commission under the Merger Regulation', 16 *European Competition Law Review*, 1995, 334–347.
- Briones, J., and Padilla, A.J., 'The Complex Landscape of Oligopolies under EU Competition Policy – Is Collective Dominance Ripe for Guidelines?', 24 *World Competition*, 2001, 307–318.
- Broberg, M.P., *The European Commission's Jurisdiction to Scrutinize Mergers*, Kluwer Law International, 1998.
- Brock, J.W., 'Antitrust Policy and the Oligopoly Problem', 51 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2006, 227–280.
- Brod, A., and Shivakumar, R., 'Advantageous Semi-collusion', 47 *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 1999, 221–230.
- Brodley, J.F., 'Antitrust Law and Innovation Cooperation', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 1990, 97–112.
- Brown, O., 'The Philip Morris Case: Part I', *Journal of Business Law*, 1988, 351–356.
- Bruzzo, G., and Saja, A., 'Misure tutelari e decisioni con impegni nell'applicazione delle regole antitrust: i presupposti e le garanzie', *Contratto e Impresa/Europa*, 1–2007, 268–288.
- Bunda, M.M., 'Monsanto, Matsushita and "Conscious Parallelism": Towards a Judicial Resolution of the "Oligopoly Problem"', 84 *Washington University Law Review*, 2006, 179–210.
- Burnside, A., 'Les incertitudes liées à la position dominante collective en matière des contrôle des concentrations', in *Review de la Concurrence et de la Consommation*, 2002, available at ([http://www.minefi.gouv.fr/fonds\\_documentaire/dgcrf/02\\_actualite/ateliers\\_concu/dominer6.htm](http://www.minefi.gouv.fr/fonds_documentaire/dgcrf/02_actualite/ateliers_concu/dominer6.htm), accessed 24 July 2012)
- Cabral, L.M.B., 'Collusion Theory: Where to Go Next?', in *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 2005, 199–206.
- Callery, C., 'Considering the Oligopoly Problem', 32 *European Competition Law Review*, 2011, 141–152.
- Callery, C., 'Should the European Union Embrace or Exorcise Leegin's "Rule of Reason"?', 32 *European Competition Law Review*, 2011, 42–49.
- Camilli, E.A., 'Optimal Fines in Cartel Cases and the Actual EC Fining Policy', 29 *World Competition*, 2006, 575–605.
- Campbell, A.N., and Rowley, J.W., 'The Internationalization of Unilateral Conduct Laws – Conflict, Comity, Cooperation and/or Convergence?', *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2008, 267–351 at 75.

- Capobianco, A., 'Information Exchange under EC Competition Law', 41 *Common Market Law Review*, 2004, 1247–1276.
- Carlson, S.C., 'Patent Pools and the Antitrust Dilemma', 16 *Yale Journal of Regulation*, 1999, 359–399.
- Carlton, D.W., 'Revising the Horizontal Merger Guidelines', 6 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2010, 619–652.
- Carstensen, P.C., 'Commentary: Reflections on Hay, Clark, and the Relationship of Economic Analysis and Policy to Rules of Antitrust Law', *Wisconsin Law Review*, 1983, 953–988.
- Carstensen, P.C., 'False Positives in Identifying Liability for Exclusionary Conducts: Conceptual Error, Business Reality, and Aspen', *Wisconsin Law Review*, 2008, 295–329.
- Cavanagh, E.D., 'Antitrust Remedies Revisited', 84 *Oregon Law Review*, 2005, 147–225.
- Cavanagh, E.D., 'Twombly: The Demise of Notice Pleading, the Triumph of Milton Handler, and the Uncertain Future of Private Antitrust Enforcement', 28 *Review of Litigation*, 2008, 1–33.
- Cave, M., and Crowther, P., 'Pre-emptive Competition Policy Meets Regulatory Antitrust', 26 *European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 481–490.
- Chamberlin, E.H., *The Theory of Monopolistic Competition*, Harvard University Press, 1933.
- Chapman, B., *Rational Choice and Reasonable Interactions*, in *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 2006, 75–94.
- Cherry, V.J., 'The Substantive Rules of Antitrust in the Common Market: Analysis and Approach', 17 *Stanford Law Review*, 1965, 257–279.
- Cintioli, F., *Giudice Amministrativo, Tecnica e Mercato – Poteri tecnici e "giurisdizionalizzazione"*, Giuffrè, 2005.
- Cintioli, F., 'The Interpretation of Competition Law between the Legal Method and the Economic Approach', *Diritto Unione Europea*, 2007, 873–884.
- Citron, P., 'European Court of First Instance Annuls the Clearance of Sony/Merger', *International Trade Law & Regulation*, 2006, 131–133.
- Citron, P., 'Merger Remedies – New Guidelines Published', 30 *European Competition Law Review*, 2009, 52–53.
- Clark, D.S., 'Price Fixing without Collusion: an Antitrust analysis of Facilitating Practices after Ethyl Corp', *Wisconsin Law Review*, 1983, 887–952.
- Coate, M.B., 'Efficiencies in Merger Analysis: an Institutionalist View', 13 *Supreme Court Economic Review*, 2005, 189–205.
- Colangelo, G., *Mercato e Cooperazione Tecnologica. I Contratti di Patent Pooling*, Giuffrè, 2008.



- Colangelo, G., 'Oligopolio, facilitating practices, posizione dominante collettiva, tie-in e ... un pizzico di Kodak', *Diritto Industriale*, 2001, 287–292.
- Colombo, L., and Grillo, M., 'Collusion when the Number of Firms Is Large', in *Quaderni dell'Istituto di Economia e Finanza – Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milano*, n. 66, March 2006, available at <http://www.unicatt.it/Istituti/EconomiaFinanza/Quaderni/660306.pdf>, accessed 23 July 2012.
- Colombo, L., and Labrecciosa, P., 'The Suboptimality of Optimal Punishments in Cournot Supergames', 90 *Economics Letters*, 2006, 116–121.
- Comanor, W., 'The Problem of Remedy in Monopolization Cases: the Microsoft Case as an Example', 46 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2001, 115–134.
- Combe, E., *Économie et politique de la concurrence*, Dalloz, 2005.
- Compte, O., Jenny, F., and Rey, P., 'Capacity Constraints, Mergers and Collusion', 46 *European Economic Review*, 2002, 1–29.
- Connor, J.M., and Lande, R.H., 'How High Do Cartels Raise Prices? Implications for Optimal Cartel Fines', *Tulane Law Review*, 2005, 513–564.
- Conti, L., 'Concorso apparente di norme', in *Novissimo Digesto Italiano*, vol. III, 1959, 1007–1017.
- Cook, C.J., 'Commitment Decisions: the Law and Practice under Article 9', 29 *World Competition*, 2006, 209–228.
- Cook, C.J., and Kerse, C., *EEC Merger Control*, Sweet & Maxwell, 1991.
- Cook, C.J., and Kerse, C., *E.C. Merger Control*, Sweet & Maxwell, 2nd edn, 1996.
- Cook, J.P., 'Auction Design and Collusion', 20 (Summer) *Antitrust*, 2006, 89–91.
- Cooper, R.W., and Ross, T.W., 'Sustaining Cooperation with Joint Ventures', *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, 2009, 31–54.
- Coppi, L., and Walker, M., 'Substantial Convergence or Parallel Paths? Similarities and Differences in the Economic Analysis of Horizontal Mergers in US and EU competition law', 49 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2004, 101–152.
- Coscelli, A., and Lofaro, A., 'Dominanza collettiva e fusioni alla prova di San Tommaso', *Mercato concorrenza regole*, 2001, 193–209.
- Crandall, R.W., and Elzinga, K.G., 'Injunctive Relief in Sherman Act Monopolization Cases', *Journal of Research in Law and Economics*, 2002, 1–106.
- Crandall, R.W., 'The Failure of Structural Remedies in Sherman Act Monopolization Cases', *Oregon Law Review*, 2001, 109–198.

- Crew, E., 'Matsushita v. Zenith: the Chicago School Teaches the Supreme Court a Dubious Lesson', 1 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 1986, 11–16.
- Cyrenne, P., 'On Antitrust Enforcement and the Deterrence of Collusive Behaviour', 14 *Review of Industrial Organization*, 1999, 257–272.
- Damania, R., Fredriksson, P.G., and Osang, T., 'Collusion, Collective Action and Protection: Theory and Evidence', *Public Choice*, 2004, 279–308.
- Dannecker, G., and Jansen, O. (eds), *Competition Law Sanctioning in the European Union*, Kluwer, 2004.
- Daskin, A.J., and Wu, L., 'Observations on the Multiple Dimensions of Market Power', 19 (Summer) *Antitrust*, 2005, 53–57.
- D'Aspremont, C., and Jacquemin, A., 'Cooperative and Noncooperative R&D in Duopoly with Spillovers', *American Economic Review*, 1987, 1133–1137.
- Davies, J., and Schlossberg, R., "'Once More unto the Breach, Dear Friends": Judicial Review of Antitrust Agency Merger Clearance Decisions', 21 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2006, 17–22.
- Davis, P.J., and Huse, C., 'Estimating "Coordinated Effects" of Mergers', Working Paper, March 2009, (<http://cristianhuse.webs.com/research.htm>, accessed 23 July 2012).
- Davis, R.W., 'FTC v. Heinz: Two Views on the Role of Efficiencies in Merger Analysis', 16 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2001, 73.
- Davis, R.W., and Driscoll, J.M., 'The Urge to Converge – the New EU Discussion Paper on Abuse of a Dominant Position', 20 (Spring) *Antitrust*, 2006, 82–88.
- De Coninck, R., 'Application of the Nonhorizontal Merger Guidelines', 55 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2010, 929–952.
- De Francesco, L., 'Concorso apparente di norme', in *Digesto delle Discipline Penali*, II, 1988, 416–440.
- Decker, C., *Economics and the Enforcement of European Competition Law*, Edward Elgar, 2009.
- Delfino, M., *Enforcement Discretion and American Antitrust*, La Piramide, 1984.
- Denicoló, V., Geradin, D., Layne-Farrar, A., and Padilla, A.J., 'Revisiting Injunctive Relief: Interpreting Ebay in High-tech Industries with Non-Practicing Patent Holders', 4 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2008, 571–608.
- Denozza, F., 'Norme, principi e clausole generali nel diritto commerciale: un'analisi funzionale', *Rivista Critica di Diritto Privato*, 2011, 379–397.
- Denozza, F., 'Clausole generali, interessi protetti e frammentazione del sistema', in *Studi in Ricordo di Pier Giusto Jaeger*, Giuffrè, Milano, 2011, 25–53.

- Denoza, F., and Toffoletto, A., 'Contro l'utilizzazione dell'"approccio economico" nell'interpretazione del diritto antitrust', *Mercato concorrenza regole*, 2006, 562–579.
- De Pretis, D., *Valutazione amministrativa e discrezionalità tecnica*, Cedam, 1995.
- Deroux, X., and Voillemot, D., *Le droit de la concurrence des communautés européennes*, Gide, Loyrette, 1969.
- Desanti, S.S., and Nagata, E.A., 'Competitor Communications: Facilitating Practices or Invitation to Collude? An Application of Theories to Proposed Horizontal Agreements Submitted for Antitrust Review', 63 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1994, 93–131.
- Dethmers, F., 'The Abuse of Hoffmann–La Roche: the Meaning of Dominance under EC Competition Law', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 537–549.
- Dethmers, F., and Dodoo, N., 'The Abuse of Hoffmann–La Roche: the Meaning of Dominance under EC Competition Law', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 537–549.
- Devlin, A., 'A Proposed Solution to the Problem of Parallel Pricing in Oligopolistic Markets', 59 *Stanford Law Review*, 2007, 1111–1151.
- Devlin, A., 'Problem of Parallel Pricing in Oligopolistic Markets', 59 *Stanford Law Review*, 2007, 1111–1150.
- Devlin, A., and Jacobs, M., 'Antitrust Error', 52 *William & Mary Law Review*, 2010, 76–132.
- Dibadj, R., 'Conscious Parallelism Revisited', 47 *San Diego Law Review*, 2010, 589–639.
- Dicagno, A., 'La disciplina delle intese e delle concentrazioni nel trattato istitutivo della Ceca', *Rivista di Diritto Civile*, I, 1957, 759–773.
- Dick, A.R., 'Coordinated Interaction: Pre-Merger Constraints and Post-Merger Effects', *George Mason Law Review*, 2003, 65–88.
- Dick, A.R., 'When Are Cartels Stable Contracts?', *Journal of Law and Economics*, 1996, 241–283.
- Doerr, C., 'The Problem of Collusion: How Best to Resolve it?', *Auckland University Law Review*, 2000–2003, 104–126.
- Dolmans, M., 'Standards for Standards', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 2002, 163–208.
- Douglas Melamed, A., 'Antitrust: the New Regulation', 10 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 1995, 13–15.
- Draetta, U., 'Art. 85', in R. Quadri, R. Monaco, and A. Trabucchi (eds), *Trattato Istitutivo della CEE – Commentario*, Vol. II, Giuffrè, 1965.
- Draetta, U., 'La giurisdizione internazionale della Commissione in materia di controllo delle concentrazioni', in *Diritto del Commercio Internazionale*, 1999, 785–799.

- Dreher, D., and Adam, M., 'Abuse of Dominance under Reform – Sounds Economic and Established Case Law', 28 *European Competition Law Review*, 2007, 278–282.
- Dubow, B., Elliot, D., and Morrison, E., 'Unilateral Effects and Merger Simulation Models', 25 *European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 114–117.
- Duncan, R.A., and McCormac, B.S., 'If It Takes Two to Tango, Do They Conspire? Twombly and Standards of Pleading Conspiracy', 8 *Sedona Conference Journal*, 2007, 39–55.
- Duso, T., Gugler, K., and Yurtoglu, B., 'How Effective is European Merger Control?', 55 *European Economic Review*, 2011, 980–1006.
- Easterbrook, F.H., 'Workable Antitrust Policy', *Michigan Law Review*, 1986, 1696–1713.
- Easterbrook, F.H., 'The Limits of Antitrust', 63 *Texas Law Review*, 1984, 1–40.
- Eddy, A.J., *The New Competition: An Examination of the Conditions Underlining the Radical Change That Is Taking Place in the Commercial and Industrial World – the Change from a Competitive to a Cooperative Basis*, A.C. McChung & Co, 1912.
- EGGE, M.G., Bay, M.F., and Calzado, J.R., 'The New EC Merger Regulation: a Move to Converge', 18 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2004, 37–41.
- EGGE, M.G., Bay, M.F., and Calzado, J.R., 'The New EC Merger Regulation: Recipe for Profound Change or More the Same?', *Diritto dell'Unione Europea*, 2004, 461–499.
- Ehlermann, C.D., Volcker, S.V., and Gutermuth, G.A., 'Unilateral Effects: the Enforcement Gap under the Old EC Merger Regulation', 28 *World Competition*, 2005, 193–203.
- Eilmansberger, T., 'Dominance – The Lost Child? How Effects-Based Rules Could and Should Change Dominance Analysis', 2 *European Competition Journal*, 2006, 15–29.
- Eilmansberger, T., 'How to Distinguish Good from Bad Competition under Article 82 EC: in Search of Clearer and More Coherent Standards for Anti-Competitive Abuses', 42 *Common Market Law Review*, 2005, 129–177.
- Elhauge, E., and Geradin, D., *Global Antitrust Law and Economics*, Foundation Press, 2007.
- Elliot, P., 'More Than an Ocean Separates Us', *Business Law Today*, 2007, 55–59.
- Elzinga, K.G., 'New Developments on the Cartel Front', 29 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 1984, 3–26.
- Engel, C., 'How Much Collusion? A Meta-Analysis of Oligopoly Experiments', 4 *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, 2007, 491–549.

- Ersboll, N.C., 'Commitments under the Merger Regulation', 22 *European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 357–364.
- Erscrihuella-Villar, M., 'A Note on Cartel Stability and Endogenous Sequencing with Tacit Collusion', *Journal of Economics*, 2009, 137–147.
- Erscrihuella-Villar, M., 'On Endogenous Cartel Size under Tacit Collusion', *Investigaciones Económicas*, 2008, 325–338.
- Eswaran, M., 'Cross-licensing of Competing Patents as a Facilitating Devise', *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 1994, 689–708.
- Etter, B., 'The Assessment of Merger under the EC Concept of Collective Dominance – an Analysis of the Recent Decisions and Judgements – by an Economic Approach', 23 *World Competition*, 2000, 103–139.
- Ezrachi, A., 'Behavioural Remedies in EC Merger Control – Scope and Limitations', 29 *World Competition*, 2006, 459–479.
- Ezrachi, A., 'The European Commission Guidance on Article 82 EC – the Way in Which Institutional Realities Limit the Potential for Reform', Oxford University Paper Series, Paper No. 27/2009, [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1463854](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1463854), accessed 22 July 2012.
- Fabbio, Ph., *L'abuso di dipendenza economica*, Giuffrè, 2007.
- Facey, B.A., and Assaf, D.H., 'Monopolization and Abuse of Dominance in Canada, the United States, and the European Union: a Survey', 69 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2002, 513–588.
- Falzea, A., 'Gli standards valutativi e la loro applicazione', in *Teoria generale del Diritto*, Giuffrè, 1999, 369–423.
- Farrell, J., Paulter, P.A., and Vita, M.G., 'Economics at the FTC: Retrospective Merger Analysis with a Focus on Hospitals', 35 *Review of Industrial Organization*, 2009, 369–385.
- Fattori, P., and Todino, M., *La disciplina della concorrenza in Italia*, Il Mulino, 2004.
- Feaster, T., and Treacy, P., 'Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports SA v Commission of the European Communities (T24/93) [1997] 4 C.M.L.R. 273 (CFI)', 18 *European Competition Law Review*, 1997, 467–472.
- Feinstein, D.L., 'Recent Trends in US Merger Enforcement: Down but Not Out', 21 (Summer) *Antitrust*, 2007, 74–80.
- Fernandez, B.M., Hashi, I., and Jegers, M., 'The Implementation of the European Commission's Merger Regulation 2004: an Empirical Analysis', 4 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2008, 791–809.
- Fernandez, P.M., 'Increasing Powers and Increasing Uncertainty: Collective Dominance and Pricing Abuses', 25 *European Law Review*, 2000, 645–653.

- Ferry, J.E., 'Towards Completing the Charm: the Woodpulp Judgment', 20 *European Competition Law Review*, 1989, 58–73.
- Fershtman, C., and Gandal, N., 'Disadvantageous Semicollusion', 12 *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 1994, 141–154.
- Fershtman, C., and Pakes, A., 'A Dynamic Oligopoly with Collusion and Price Wars', *RAND Journal of Economics*, 2000, 207–237.
- Feuerstein, S., 'Collusion in Industrial Economics – a Rejoinder', 5 *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 2005, 235–239.
- Feuerstein, S., 'Collusion in Industrial Economics – a Survey', 5 *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 2005, 163–198.
- Fiebig, A., 'Crisis Cartels and the Triumph of Industrial Policy over Competition Law in Europe', *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*, 1999, 607–638.
- Filippelli, M., 'Collective Dominance in the Italian Mobile Telecommunications Market', 31 *European Competition Law Review*, 2010, 81–89.
- Filippelli, M., 'Le misure correttive per abusi di posizione dominante e cartelli tra imprese nel diritto della concorrenza', in *Concorrenza e Mercato*, 2011, 567–640.
- Fine, F.L., 'The Substantive Test of the EEC Merger Control Regulation: the First Two Years', 40 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1993, 699–735.
- Fingleton, 'Does Collective Dominance Provide Suitable Housing for All Anti-Competitive Oligopolistic Mergers?', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2003, 181–199.
- Floridia, G., and Catelli, V., *Diritto Antitrust. Le Intese Restrittive della Concorrenza e gli Abusi di Posizione Dominante*, IPSOA, 2003.
- Floyd, C.D., 'Vertical Antitrust Conspiracies after Monsanto and Russell Stover', 33 *University of Kansas Law Review*, 1985, 269–304.
- Flynn, J., and Stratford, J., *Competition: Understanding the 1998 Act*, Palladian Law Publishing, 1999.
- Foer, A.A., 'Section 5 as a Bridge Towards Convergence', in *Antitrust Source*, February 2009, 1–7.
- Forgioni, P.A., *Os Fundamentos do Antitrust*, Editora Revista Dos Tribunais, 2nd edn, São Paulo, 1998.
- Foros, Ø., Hansen, B., and Sand, J.Y., 'Demand-side Spillovers and Semi-collusion in the Mobile Communications Market', *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 2002, 259–278.
- Forrester, I.S., 'Article 82: Remedies in Search of Theories?', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 2005, 919–951.
- Fotis, P., and Polemis, M.L., 'The Use of Economic Tools in Merger Analysis: Lessons from US and EU Experience', 7 *European Competition Journal*, 2011, 323–345.

- Fountoukakos, K., and Ryan, S., 'A New Substantive Test for EU Merger Control', 26 *European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 277–296.
- Fox, E.M., 'Collective Dominance and the Message from Luxembourg', in *Antitrust*, Fall, 2002, 57–58.
- Fox, E.M., 'Mergers in Global Markets: GE/Honeywell and the Future of Merger Control', *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*, 2002, 457–468.
- Fox, E.M., 'Monopolization, Abuse of Dominance, and the Indeterminacy of Economics: the US/EU Divide', *Utah Law Review*, 2006, 725–740.
- Fox, E.M., 'Remedies and the Courage of Convictions in a Globalized World: How Globalization Corrupts Relief', *Tulane Law Review*, 2005, 571–594.
- Fox, E.M., 'The Market Power Element of Abuse of Dominance – Parallels and Differences in Attitudes – US and EU', in C.D. Ehlermann and M. Marquis (eds), *European Competition Law Annual 2007: A Reformed Approach to Article 82*, Hart Publishing, 2008, 109–118.
- Fox, E.M., 'The Merger Regulation and Its Territorial Reach', 20 *European Competition Law Review*, 1999, 334–336.
- Fox, E.M., 'What Is Harm to Competition? Exclusionary practices and anticompetitive effects', 70 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2002, 371–411.
- Fox, E.M., and Halverson, J.T. (eds), *Collaborations among Competitors – Antitrust Policy and Economics*, American Bar Association, 1991.
- Fox, E.M., Sullivan, L.A., and Peritz, R.J.R., *Cases and Materials on US Antitrust in a Global Market*, 2nd edn, West Thomson, 2004.
- Franceschelli, R., *Trattato di Diritto Industriale*, Parte Generale, volume I, Giuffrè, 1973.
- Freed, M., Kovacic, W.E., Moltenbrey, M.J., and Eimer, N., 'The Detection and Punishment of Tacit Collusion', *Loyola Consumer Law Review*, 1997, 151–168.
- Friedman, E.A., 'Airline Antitrust: Getting Past the Oligopoly Problem', *University of Miami Business Law Review*, 2001, 121–143.
- Friedman, J.W., 'A Non-cooperative Equilibrium for Supergames', 35 *Review of Economic Studies*, 1971, 1–12.
- Friedman, J.W., and Thisse, J.F., 'Partial Collusion Fosters Minimum Product Differentiation', 24 *RAND Journal of Economics*, 1993, 631–645.
- Frignani, A., 'Posizioni Dominanti e Imprese Pubbliche nelle Decisioni dell'Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato', *Diritto del Commercio Internazionale*, 1997, 549–588.

- Frignani, A., 'L'azione inibitoria per violazione di norme antitrust nella CEE e nell'ordinamento Italiano', *Rivista di Diritto Industriale*, 1968, I, 128–194.
- Frignani, A., *L'injunction nella common law e l'inibitoria nel diritto italiano*, Giuffrè, 1974.
- Frignani, A., 'L'insufficienza dei modelli sanzionatori attuali: necessario un tertium genus?', in C. Rabitti Bedogni and P. Barucci (eds), *20 Anni di Antitrust – L'evoluzione dell'Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato*, Giappichelli, 2010, Tomo I, 471–492.
- Frignani, A., Pardolesi, R., Patroni Griffi, A., and Ubertazzi, L.C., *Diritto antitrust italiano*, Zanichelli, 1993.
- Frignani, A., 'The Collective Dominant Position', in E.A. Raffaelli (ed), *Antitrust between EC Law and National Law*, Giuffrè, 2006.
- Fritzsche, E., 'Discretion, Scope of Judicial Review and Institutional Balance in European Law', *47 Common Market Law Review*, 2010, 361–403.
- Furse, M., 'The Decision to Commit: Some Pointers from the US', *25 European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 1–11.
- Galetta, D.U., *Principio di proporzionalità e sindacato giurisdizionale nel diritto amministrativo*, Giuffrè, 1998.
- Garcia Perez, M., 'Collective Dominance under the Merger Regulation', *23 European Law Review*, 1998, 475–480.
- Garzaniti, L., and Liberatore, F., 'Recent Development in the European Commission's Practice in the Communications Sector: Part 3', *25 European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 286–298.
- Gavil, A.I., 'Copperweld 2000: the Vanishing Gap between Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act', *68 Antitrust Law Journal*, 2000, 87–110.
- Gavil, A.I., Kovacic, W.E., and Baker, J.B., *Antitrust Law in Perspective: Cases, Concepts and Problem in Competition Policy*, Thomson West, 2002.
- Geradin, D., Hofer, P., Louis, F., Petit, N., and Walker, M., 'The Concept of Dominance in EC Competition Law', GCLC Research Paper on the Modernization of Article 82 EC, (available at [www.coleurop.be](http://www.coleurop.be) accessed 24 July 2012), 2005.
- Geradin, D., and Petit, N., 'Judicial Remedies under EC Competition Law: Complex Issues Arising from the "Modernization" Process', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2005, 393–439.
- Gerard, D., 'Merger Control Policy: How to Give Meaningful Consideration to Efficiency Claims?', *40 World Competition*, 2003, 1367–1412.
- Gerber, D.J., 'Convergence in the Treatment of Dominant Firm Conduct: the United States and the European Union, and the Institutional Embeddedness of Economics', *76 Antitrust Law Journal*, 2010, 951–973.



- Gerber, D.J., *Law and Competition Policy in Twentieth Century Europe – Protecting Prometheus*, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- Gerber, D.J. and Cassinis, P., ‘The “Modernization” of European Community Competition Law: Achieving Consistency in Enforcement: Part 2’, 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 51–57.
- Ghosal, V., ‘The Genesis of Cartel Investigations: Some Insights from Examining the Dynamic Interrelationships between US Civil and Criminal Antitrust Investigation’, 3 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2007, 61–88.
- Giannini, M.S., *Il potere discrezionale della pubblica amministrazione. Concetto e Problemi*, Giuffrè, 1939.
- Gifford, D.J., and Kudrle, R.T., ‘Rhetoric and Reality in the Merger Standards of the United States, Canada and the European Union’, 72 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2005, 423–469.
- Gilbert, R.J., and Rubinfeld, D.L., ‘Revising the Horizontal Merger Guidelines: Lessons from the US and the EU’, in M. Faure and X. Zhang (eds), *Competition Policy and Regulation – Recent Developments in China, the US and Europe*, Edward Elgar, 2011, 262–277.
- Gilo, D., Moshe, Y., and Spiegel, Y., ‘Partial Cross Ownership and Tacit Collusion’, 2005, (available [citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.172.8364](http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.172.8364), accessed 23 July 2012).
- Ginsburg, D.H., ‘Comparing Antitrust Enforcement in the United States and Europe’, 1 *Journal Competition Law & Economics*, 2005, 427–439.
- Giordano, M., ‘Abuso di posizione dominante collettiva e parallelismo oligopolistico: la Corte di Giustizia tenta la quadratura del cerchio?’, *Foro Italiano*, 2001, IV, 327–333.
- Giordano, M., ‘Comments on Gencor case’, *Foro Italiano*, IV, 2000.
- Gippini-Fournier, E., ‘The Elusive Standard of Proof in EU Competition Cases’, 33 *World Competition*, 2010, 187–207.
- Glatz, A., and Utzschneider, Y., ‘France: Abuse of Dominant Position – Road Works’, 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 114–115.
- Glick, M.A., Cameron, D.J., and Mangum, D.G., ‘Importing the Merger Guidelines Market Test in Section 2 Cases: Potential Benefits and Limitations’, 42 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 1997, 121–150.
- Goetz, C.J., and McChesney, F.S., *Antitrust Law – Interpretation and Implementation*, Foundation Press, 3rd edn, 2006.
- Goldfarb, C.B., ‘Telecommunications Act: Competition, Innovation, and Reform’, *Practising Law Institute-PAT*, 2005.
- Goldman, L., ‘Oligopoly Policy and the Ethyl Corp. Case’, 65 *Oregon Law Review*, 1986, 73–121.

- Goldman, L., 'Trouble for Private Enforcement of the Sherman Act: Twombly, Pleading Standards, and the Oligopoly Problem', *Brigham Young University Law Review*, 2008, 1057–1102.
- Gonzalez Diaz, F.E., 'Recent Developments in EC Merger Control Law: the Gencor Judgment', 22 *World Competition*, 1999, 3–28.
- Gonzalez Diaz, F.E., 'The Reform of European Merger Control: *Quid novi sub sole?*', 27 *World Competition*, 2004, 177–199.
- Gordon, C., and Richardson, R., 'Collective Dominance: the Third Way?', 22 *European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 416–423.
- Gorrie, A., 'Competition between Branded and Private Label Goods. Do Competition Concerns Arise When a Customer is Also a Competitor?', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 217–227.
- Gottesman, J.G., 'Speculating as to the Plausible: Pleading Practice after *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*', *Widener Law Journal*, 2008, 973–1029.
- Goyder, D.G., *EC Competition Law*, Oxford University Press, 3rd edn, 2003.
- Grant, J., and Neven, D.J., 'The Attempted Merger between General Electric and Honeywell: a Case Study of Transatlantic Conflict', 1 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2005, 595–632.
- Greaney, T.L., 'Not for Import: Why the EU Should Not Adopt the American Efficiency Defence for Analysing Mergers and Joint Ventures', in *Saint Louis University Law Journal*, Summer, 2000, 871–895.
- Green, E.J., and Porter, R.H., 'Noncooperative Collusion under Imperfect Price Information', 52 *Econometrica*, 1984, 87–100.
- Grillo, M., 'Collusion and Facilitating Practices: a New Perspective for Antitrust Analysis', *European Journal of Law and Economics*, 2002, 151–169.
- Grillo, M., 'The Economic Analysis of Collusion and the Detection of Collusive Behaviour: New Perspectives for Antitrust Policy and Law', in E.A. Raffaelli (ed.), *Antitrust between EC Law and National Law*, Giuffrè, 2000, 27–42.
- Grillo, M., 'The Theory and Practice of Antitrust – a Perspective in the History of Economic Ideas', 68 *Quaderni dell'Istituto di Economia e Finanza – Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milano*, 2006, (available at <http://www.unicatt.it/Istituti/EconomiaFinanza/Quaderni/680906.pdf> accessed 25 July 2012).
- Grimmond, J., 'E.I. du Pont de Nemours v. FTC: Facilitating Practices under FTC Act Section 5', 70 *Iowa L. Review*, 1985, 1045–1060.
- Grisoli, A., *Disciplina delle intese e diritto europeo della concorrenza – Corso di lezioni*, Cedam, 1969.
- Grunfeld, C., and Yamey, B.S., 'Co-operative Competition', *The Modern Law Review*, 1959, 657–664.

- Gual, J., Hellwig, M., Perrot, A., Polo, M., Rey, P., Schmidt, K., and Stenbacka, R., 'An Economic Approach to Article 82', 2 *Competition Policy International*, n. 2, 2006, 43–104.
- Guerrero, K., 'New "Convincing Evidence" Standard in European Merger Review', 72 *University of Cincinnati Law Review*, 2003, 249–284.
- Guerrin, M., and Kyriazis, G., 'Cartels: Proofs and Procedural Issues', 16 *Fordham International Law Journal*, 1992/1993, 266–341.
- Guglielmetti, G., 'Introduzione allo studio delle restrizioni della concorrenza nel Mercato Comune Europeo', *Rivista di Diritto Industriale*, 1958, I, 73–96.
- Guglielmetti, G., 'Le regole di concorrenza nel Trattato sul Mercato Comune: loro identificazione e disciplina', *Rivista di Diritto Industriale*, 1958, I, 221–257.
- Guizzi, G., 'Concentrazione tra imprese e insolvenza. Appunti a margine del caso Alitalia', in *Rivista Diritto Commerciale*, I, 2009, 337–363.
- Gurrea, S.D., and Owen, B.M., 'Coordinated Interaction and Clayton § 7 Enforcement', *George Mason Law Review*, 2003, 89–118.
- Han, J., 'Antitrust and Sharing Information about Product Quality', *University of Chicago Law Review*, 2006, 995–1017.
- Harding, C., and Joshua, J., *Regulating Cartels in Europe*, Oxford University Press, 2nd edn, 2010.
- Harrington Jr, J.E., 'Behavioural Screening and Detection of Cartels', in C.D. Ehlermann and I. Atanasiu (eds), *European Competition Law Annual 2006: Enforcement Prohibitions of Cartels*, Hart Publishing, 2007, 51–69.
- Harris, B.C., and Veljanovski, C.G., 'Critical Loss Analysis: Its Growing Use in Competition Law', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 213–218.
- Harris, H.S., Jr., and Ganske, R.J., 'The Monopolization and IP Abuse Provisions of China's Anti-Monopoly Law: Concerns and Proposal', 75 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2008, 213–229.
- Harris, H.S., Jr., and Zhang, Y., 'Antitrust and Three Rising Giants: Part 1 – China', *International Company and Commercial Law Review*, 2008, 339–347.
- Haupt, H., 'Collective Dominance under Article 82 EC and the EC Merger Control in the Light of the Airtours Judgment', 23 *European Competition Law Review*, 2002, 434–444.
- Hausman, J., '2010 Merger Guidelines: Empirical Analysis', *The Antitrust Source*, October 2010, ([http://www.americanbar.org/content/newsletter/publications/antitrust\\_source\\_home/antitrust\\_source\\_index/10\\_10.html](http://www.americanbar.org/content/newsletter/publications/antitrust_source_home/antitrust_source_index/10_10.html), accessed 16 July 2012) 1–5.

- Hausman, J.A., and Sidak, J.G., 'Evaluating Market Power Using Competitive Benchmark Price Instead of the Herfindahl–Hirschman Index', 74 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2007, 387–406.
- Hay, G.A., 'Horizontal Agreements: Concept and Proofs', 51 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2006, 877–914.
- Hay, G.A., 'Oligopoly, Shared Monopoly and Antitrust Law', 67 *Cornell Law Review*, 1982, 439–481.
- Heeb, R.D., Kovacic, W.E., Marshall, R.C., and Marx, L.M., 'Cartels as Two-Stage Mechanisms: Implications for the Analysis of Dominant-Firm Conduct', *Chicago Journal of International Law*, 2009, 213–231.
- Heller, T.C., 'Structuralism and Critique', 36 *Stanford Law Review*, 1984, 127–198.
- Hellström, P., Maier-Rigaud, F., and Wenzel Bulst, F., 'Remedies in European Antitrust Law', 76 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2009, 43–63.
- Heritier, A., 'The Politics of Public Services in European Regulation', MPI Collective Goods Preprint, No. 2001/1 (available at [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=269314](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=269314), accessed 24 July 2012).
- Hesse, R.B., 'Section 2 Remedies and U.S. v. Microsoft: What Is to Be Learned?', 75 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2008, 847–869.
- Hewitt, I., *Joint Ventures*, Sweet & Maxwell, 3rd edn, 2005.
- Heyer, K., 'Predicting the Competitive Effects of Mergers by Listening to Customers', 74 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2007, 87–125.
- Heyer, K., Shapiro, C., and Wilder, J., 'The Year in Review: Economics at the Antitrust Division, 2008–2009', *Review of Industrial Organization*, 2009, 349–367.
- Hildebrand, D., *The Role of Economic Analysis in the EC Competition Rules*, Kluwer Law International, 3rd edn, 2009.
- Hobbs, C.O., 'Antitrust in the Next Decade – a Role for the Federal Trade Commission?', 31 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 1986, 451–480.
- Hobbs, C.O., 'Swings of the Pendulum – the FTC's First Seventy-five Years', 56 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1989, 9–15.
- Hoehn, T., and Rab, S., 'UK Merger Remedies: Convergence or Conflict with Europe? A Comparative Assessment of Remedies in UK Mergers', 30 *European Competition Law Review*, 2009, 74–94.
- Hoover, H., 'Trade Associations Should Stabilize Business', in *Cooperative Competition*, New York Evening Post (articles reprinted from), 1922.
- Hovenkamp, H.J., *Federal Antitrust Policy – the Law of Competition and Its Practice*, Thomson West, 3rd edn, 2005.
- Hovenkamp, H.J., 'Merger Policy and the 2010 Merger Guidelines', University of Iowa – Legal Studies Research Paper n. 10–34,

- December 2010, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm?abstractid=1693246>, accessed 16 July 2012.
- Hovenkamp, H.J., 'The Obama Administration and Section 2 of the Sherman Act', 90 *Boston University Law Review*, 2010, 1611–1665.
- Huffman, M., 'The Necessity of Pleading Elements in Private Antitrust Conspiracy Claims', 10 *University of Pennsylvania Journal of Business and Employment Law*, 2008, 627–661.
- Huser, H., and Depoortere, F., 'Substantive Enforcement Standards in Horizontal Merger under the EC Merger Regulation', 17 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2002, 44–49.
- Hylton, K.N., 'Remedies, Antitrust Law and Microsoft: Comments on Shapiro', 77 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2008, 773–786.
- Immordino, G., and Polo, M., 'Judicial Errors, Legal Standards and Innovative Activity', Center for Studies in Economics and Finance, Working Paper n. 19, June 2010 (available at <http://ideas.repec.org/p/sef/csefwp/196.html>, accessed 22 July 2012).
- Irvine, H., 'Does the South African Competition Act Accommodate the Concept of Collective Dominance?', *South African Mercantile Law Journal*, 2004, 448–457.
- Ivaldi, M., Jullien, B., Rey, P., Seabright, P., and Trimble, J., 'The Economics of Tacit Collusion', Final Report for DG Competition, European Commission, 2003 (available at [www.europa.eu](http://www.europa.eu)).
- Jacobs, M.S., 'An Essay on the Normative Foundations of Antitrust Economics', *North Carolina Law Review*, 1995, 219–266.
- Jebsen, P., and Stevens, R., 'Assumptions, Goals and Dominant Undertakings: the Regulation of Competition under Article 86 of the European Union', *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1996, 443–516.
- Jehiel, P., 'Product Differentiation and Price Collusion', 10 *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 1992, 633–641.
- Jenny, F., 'Collective Dominance and the EC Merger Regulation', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2001, 361–373.
- Jephcott, M., and Withers, C., 'Where to Go for EC Oligopoly Control', 22 *European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 295–303.
- Joilet, R., *Monopolization and Abuse of Dominant Position*, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1970.
- Jones, A., 'Woodpulp: 'Concerted Practice and/or Conscious Parallelism'', 14 *European Competition Law Review*, 1993, 273–279.
- Jorde, T.M., and Teece, D.J., *Antitrust, Innovation, and Competitiveness*, Oxford University Press, 1992.
- Jorde, T.M., and Teece, D.J., 'Innovation and Cooperation: Implications for Competition and Antitrust', 4 *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 1990, 75–96.

- Jorde, T.M., and Teece, D.J., 'Rule of Reason Analysis of Horizontal Arrangements: Agreements Designed to Advance Innovation and Commercialize Technology', 61 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1993, 579–619.
- Josephson, M.A., 'Some Things Are Better Left Said: Pleading Practice after *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*', 42 *Georgia Law Review*, 2008, 867–904.
- Joshua, J.M., and Jordan, S., 'Combinations, Concerted Practices and Cartels: Adopting the Concept of Conspiracy in European Community Competition Law', *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*, 2004, 647–681.
- Joshua, J.M., 'Proof in Contested EEC Competition Cases: a Comparison with the Rules of Evidence in Common Law', 12 *European Law Review*, 1987, 315–353.
- Kaplow, L., 'Rules versus Standards: An Economic Analysis', 42 *Duke Law Journal*, 1992, 557–629.
- Karlsson, J., 'Clearance of Near-Duopoly', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 514–518.
- Kaseberg, T., 'Are Merger Control and Article 82 EC in the Same Market? The Assessment of Mergers Which Facilitate Exclusionary Conduct under EC Merger Control', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 409–423.
- Kattan, J., 'Antitrust Analysis of Technology Joint Ventures: Allocative Efficiency and the Rewards of Innovation', 61 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1993, 937.
- Kattan, J., 'Beyond Facilitating Practices: Price Signalling and Price Protection Clauses in the New Antitrust Environment', 63 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1994, 133–151.
- Katz, M.L., and Shelanski, H.A., 'Merger and Innovation', *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2007, 1–85.
- Kauper, T.E., 'Merger Control in the United States and the European Union: Some Observations', *Saint John's Law Review*, 2000, 305–359.
- Kauper, T.E., 'Section Two of the Sherman Act: the Search for Standards', *Georgetown Law Journal*, 2005, 1623–1644.
- Kauper, T.E., 'The 1982 Horizontal Merger Guidelines: of Collusion, Efficiency and Failure', 71 *California Law Review*, 1983, 497–534.
- Kaysen, C., and Turner, D.F., *Antitrust policy: an Economic and Legal Analysis*, Harvard University Press, 1959.
- Kellerbauer, M., 'Playground Instead of Playpen: the Court of Justice of the European Union's *Alrosa* Judgment on Art. 9 of Regulation 1/2003', 32 *European Competition Law Review*, 2011, 1–8.
- Kellezi, P., 'Abuse below the Threshold of Dominance? Market Power, Market Dominance, and Abuse of Economic Dependence', in M.O. Mackenrodt, B. Conde Gallego, and S. Enchelmaier (eds), *Abuse of*

- Dominant Position: New Interpretation, New Enforcement Mechanisms?*, Springer Verlag, 2008, 55–88.
- Kelsen, H., *Teoria Generale delle Norme*, Giulio Einaudi Editore, 1985 (translation of *Allgemeine Theorie der Normen*, 1979, Manzsche Verlags- und Universitätsbuchhandlung, Wien, by M. Torre).
- Kelsen, H., 'The Concept of Legal Order', *American Journal of Jurisprudence*, 1982, 64–84 (translation of *Der Begriff der Rechtsordnung*, in *Logique et Analyse*, 1958, vol. I, 150–167, by S.L. Paulon).
- Keyte, J.A., 'Twombly: How Courts are Interpreting and Extending Its Principles', 23 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2008, 65–70.
- Kiljanski, K., "'Pass-on" in Merger Efficiency Defence', 26 *World Competition*, 2003, 651–684.
- Kimbrough, S.O., and Murphy, F.H., 'Learning to Collude Tacitly on Production Levels by Oligopolistic Agents', 33 *Computational Economics*, 2009, 47–78.
- King, S., 'The Object Box: Law, Policy or Myth?', 7 *European Competition Journal*, 2011, 269–296.
- Kintner, E.W., and Kratzke, W.P., *Federal Antitrust Law*, vol. VII, Anderson Publishing Co., 1988.
- Kloosterhuis, E., 'Joint Dominance and the Interaction between Firms', 22 *European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 79–92.
- Knight, S., 'Patents & Antitrust: Does a Patent Confer Market Power? A Look at the Upcoming Supreme Court Case: Illinois Tool Works v Independent Ink', 11 *Journal of Technology Law & Policy*, 2006, 123–139.
- Kocmut, M., 'Efficiency Considerations and Merger Control – Quo Vadis, Commission?', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 19–27.
- Kokkoris, I., 'Assessment of Mergers Inducing Coordinated Effects in the Presence of Explicit Collusion', 31 *World Competition*, 2008, 499–522.
- Kokkoris, I., 'Do Merger Simulation and Critical Loss Analysis Differ under the SLC and Dominance Test?', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 249–260.
- Kokkoris, I., 'Failing Firm Defence in the European Union. A Panacea for Mergers', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 494–509.
- Kokkoris, I., 'Purchase Price Fixing: a Per Se Infringement?', 28 *European Competition Law Review*, 2007, 473–487.
- Kokkoris, I., 'The Development of the Concept of Collective Dominance in the ECMR. From Its Inception to Its Current Status', 30 *World Competition*, 2007, 419–448.
- Kokkoris, I., *The Gap in the ECMR and National Merger Legislation*, Routledge, 2011.

- Kokkoris, I., 'The Reform of the European Control Merger Regulation in the Aftermath of the Airtours case – the Eagerly Expected debate: SLC v. Dominance test', 26 *European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 37–47.
- Kolasky, W., 'Coordinated Effects in Merger Review: from Dead Frenchmen to Beautiful Minds and Mavericks', 2002 (<http://www.justice.gov/atr/public/speeches/11050.htm> , accessed 23 July 2012).
- Kolasky, W., and Dick, A.R., 'Celebrating Twenty Years of the Merger Guidelines: the Merger Guidelines and the Integration of Efficiencies into Antitrust Review of Horizontal Mergers', *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2003, 207–237.
- Kolasky, W., 'The Role of Efficiencies in Merger Review', 16 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2001, 82–87.
- Komatireddy Tice, S., 'A "Plausible" Explanation of Pleading Standards: Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 127, S. Ct. 1955, (2007)', 31 *Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy*, 2008, 827–840.
- Komninos, A.P., 'Public and Private Antitrust Enforcement in Europe: Complement? Overlap?,' 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 5–26.
- Kopit, W., 'Inferring Antitrust Conspiracies from Circumstantial Evidence: How Much Is Enough?', 56 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2007, 417–434.
- Korah, V., 'Collaborative Joint Ventures for Research and Development Where Markets Are Concentrated: the Competition Rules of the Common Market and the Invalidity of Contracts', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 1991/1992, 248–302.
- Korah, V., *Competition Law of Britain and the Common Market*, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 3rd revised edn., 1982.
- Korah, V., 'Gencor v. Commission: Collective Dominance', 20 *European Competition Law Review*, 1999, 337–341.
- Kovacic, W.E., 'Antitrust Policy and Horizontal Collusion in the 21st Century', 9 *Loyola Consumer Law Reports*, 1997, 97–108.
- Kovacic, W.E., 'Designing Antitrust Remedies for Dominant Firm Misconduct', 31 *Connecticut Law Review*, 1999, 1285–1319.
- Kovacic, W.E., 'Private Monitoring and Antitrust Enforcement: Paying Informants to Reveal Cartels', *George Washington Law Review*, 2001, 766–797.
- Kovacic, W.E., 'Public Choice and Public Interest: Federal Trade Commission Antitrust Enforcement during the Reagan Administration', 33 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 1988, 467–504.
- Kovacic, W.E., 'Rating the Competition Agencies: What Constitutes Good Performance?', 16 *George Mason Law Review*, 2009, 603–629.



- Kovacic, W.E., Marshall, R.C., Marx, L.M., and Schuenberg, S.P., 'Coordinated Effects in Merger Review: Quantifying the Payoffs from Collusion', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2006, Chapter 13.
- Kovacic, W.E., Marshall, R.C., Marx, L.M., and Schuenberg, S.P., 'Quantitative Analysis of Coordinated Effects', 76 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2009, 397–430.
- Kovacic, W.E., and Winerman, M., 'Competition Policy and the Application of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act', 76 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2010, 929–950.
- Kratsas, G., 'Structural or not? A Critical Analysis of the Commission's New Notice on Remedies', *Columbia Journal of European Law*, 549–559.
- Kühn, K.U., and Caffarra, C., 'Joint Dominance: the CFI Judgment on Gencor/Lonrho', 20 *European Competition Law Review*, 1999, 355–359.
- Kühn, K.U., 'Closing Pandora's Box? Joint Dominance after the "Airtours" Judgment', 2002, (papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=349521, accessed 22 July 2012).
- Kühn, K.U., 'Fighting Collusion – Regulation of Communication between Firms', 16 *Economic Policy*, 2001, 169–204.
- Kwoka, J.B., and White, L.J., *The Antitrust Revolution – Economics, Competition and Policy*, Oxford University Press, 4th edn, 2004.
- La Cour, L.F., and Molgaard, P., 'Meaningful and Measurable Market Domination', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 131–135.
- La Noce, M., and Ferrero, M., 'Controllo delle concentrazioni tra imprese e criteri di valutazione', in *L'Industria*, 1996, 99–164.
- Lacroix, S., Miklius, W., and Mak, J., 'The New Standard of Unfair Competition: an Economic Analysis of the du Pont v. FTC Litigation', 9 *University of Hawaii Law Review*, 1987, 457–486.
- Lambo, L., 'Parallelismo consapevole e collusione nei mercati oligopolistici', *Foro Italiano*, 2001, IV, 386–394.
- Langenfeld, J., and Morsh, J., 'Refining the Matsushita Standard and the Role Economics Can Play', 38 *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal*, 2007, 507–512.
- Langenfeld, J., 'Non-Horizontal Merger Guidelines in the United States and the European Commission: Time for the United States to Catch Up?', 16 *George Mason Law Review*, 2009, 851–884.
- Langer, J., 'The Airtours Judgment: a Welcome Lecture on Oligopolies, Economics and Joint Dominance', *Columbia Journal of European Law*, 2003, 105–117.
- Larner, R.J., and Meehan, J.W. (eds), *Economics & Antitrust Policy*, Greenwood Publishing, 1989.

- Larouche, P., 'A Closer Look at Some Assumptions Underlying EC Regulation of Electronic Communications', *Journal of Network Industries*, 2002, 129–148.
- Leary, T.B., 'An Inside Look at the Heinz Case', 16 (Spring) *Antitrust*, 2002, 32–34.
- Leddy, M., 'The 1992 US Horizontal Merger Guidelines and Some Comparisons with EC Enforcement Policy', 13 *European Competition Law Review*, 1992, 15–20.
- Lee, S.C.S., Nieberding, J., and Weiskopf, D.A., 'Game Theory', 20 (Spring) *Antitrust*, 2006, 98–100.
- Legal, H., 'Standards of Proof and Standards of Judicial Review in Competition Law', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2005, 107–116.
- Leigh, M., 'Antitrust – High Standard of Proof Required to Show the Existence of Conspiracy', 80 *American Journal of International Law*, 1986, 955–958.
- Lévêque, F., and Shelanski, H. (eds), *Merger Remedies in American and European Union Competition Law*, Edward Elgar, 2003.
- Lévêque, F., 'The controversial choice of remedies to cope with the anti-competitive behaviour of Microsoft', speech at Law and Economics Workshop – Boalt School of Law, University of California at Berkeley, (available at [escholarship.org/uc/item/6vb0q3r9.pdf](http://escholarship.org/uc/item/6vb0q3r9.pdf), accessed 23 July 2012) 2000.
- Lévêque, F., 'UK Tractors, Paris Luxury Hotels and French Mobile Telephony Operators: Are All Oligopoly Information Exchanges Bad for Competition?', 30 *World Competition*, 2007, 231–241.
- Levin, N.G., 'The Nomos and Narrative of Matsushita', *Fordham L. Review*, 2005, 1627–1710.
- Levine, B.S., 'Predatory Pricing Conspiracies after Matsushita Industrial Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.: Can an Antitrust Plaintiff Survive the Supreme Court's Skepticism?', 22 *International Lawyer*, 1988, 529–542.
- Levy, N., *European Merger Control Law: a Guide to the Merger Regulation*, Matthew Bender, 2004.
- Lianos, I., *La transformation du droit de la concurrence par le recours à l'analyse économique*, Ant. N. Sakkoulas, Bruylant, 2007.
- Libertini, M., 'Clausole generali, norme di principio e norme a contenuto indeterminate. Una proposta di distinzione', *Rivista Critica di Diritto Privato*, 2011, 345–378.
- Libertini, M., 'Il Mercato e la Concorrenza', in C. Castronovo and S. Mazzamuto (eds), *Manuale di Diritto Privato Europeo*, Vol. III – Impresa e Lavoro, Chapters LIII–LIV, LVI–LXI Giuffrè, 2007.

- Libertini, M., 'Il "Private enforcement" e le sanzioni amministrative', 15 *Concorrenza e Mercato*, 2007, 356–407.
- Libertini, M., 'Il ruolo necessariamente complementare di "private" e "public enforcement" in materia antitrust', in M. Maugeri and A. Zoppini (eds), *Funzioni del diritto privato e tecniche di regolazione del mercato*, Il Mulino, 2009, 171–203.
- Libertini, M., 'Le intese illecite', in E. Gabrielli and A. Catricalà (eds), *I contratti nella concorrenza*, Trattato dei Contratti, UTET Giuridica, 2011, 82–186.
- Libertini, M., 'Le riforme del diritto dell'economia: regolazione e concorrenza', *Giornale di diritto amministrativo*, 2002, 802–807.
- Libertini, M., 'Posizione Dominante Individuale e Posizione Dominante Collettiva', *Rivista del Diritto Commerciale*, I-2003, 543–584.
- Libertini, M., 'Voce "Concorrenza"', in *Enciclopedia del Diritto*, Annali III, Giuffrè, 2010, 191–247.
- Lipsky, A.B., 'Improving Competitive Analysis', *George Mason Law Review*, 2009, 805–825
- Loewenthal, P.J., 'The Defence of "Objective Justification" in the Application of Article 82 EC', 28 *World Competition*, 2005, 455–477.
- Lopatka, J.E., 'Assessing Microsoft from a Distance', 73 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2008, 811–845.
- Lopatka, J.E., and Page, W.H., 'Economic Authority and the Limits of Expertise in Antitrust Case', 90 *Cornell Law Review*, 2005, 617–703.
- Luescher, C., 'Efficiency Considerations in European Merger Control – Just Another Battle Ground for the European Commission, Economists and Competition Lawyers', 25 *European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 72–86.
- Maier-Rigaud, F., and Parplies, K., 'EU Merger Control Five Years after the Introduction of the SIEC Test: What Explains the Drop in Enforcement Activity?', 30 *European Competition Law Review*, 2009, 565–579.
- Maier-Rigaud, F., Wiesen, D., and Parplies, K., 'Experimental Economics and Competition Policy: Unilateral and Coordinated Effects in Competition Games', 29 *European Competition Law Review*, 2008, 408–417.
- Majumdar, A., 'Whither Dominance?', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 161–165.
- Malone, F., and Sidak, J.G., 'Should Antitrust Consent Decrees Regulate Post-Merger Pricing?', 3 *Journal Competition Law & Economics*, 2007, 471–490.
- Maltby, N., 'French West African Shipowners' Committees: Implications for Maritime Transport', *International Company and Commercial Law Review*, 1992, 434–435.

- Manne, G.A., and Wright, J.D., 'Innovation and the Limits of Antitrust', 6 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2010, 153–202.
- Mantovani, F., *Concorso e conflitto di norme nel diritto penale*, Bologna, Zanichelli, 1966.
- Marco Colino, S., *Vertical Agreements and Competition Law – a Comparative Study of EU and US Regimes*, Hart Publishing, 2010.
- Markovits, R.S., 'A Response to Professor Posner', in *Stanford Law Review*, 1976, 919–956.
- Markovits, R.S., 'Oligopolistic Pricing Suit, the Sherman Act and Economic Welfare: Part II, Injurious Oligopolistic Pricing Sequences: Their Description, Interpretation and Legality under the Sherman Act', 24 *Stanford Law Review*, 1974, 717–771.
- Markovits, R.S., 'Oligopolistic Pricing Suit, the Sherman Act and Economic Welfare: Part I, Oligopolistic Price and Oligopolistic Pricing: their Conventional and Operational Definition', 26 *Stanford Law Review*, 1974, 493–548.
- Markovits, R.S., 'Oligopolistic Pricing Suit, the Sherman Act and Economic Welfare: Part III, Proving (Illegal) Oligopolistic Pricing: A Description of the Necessary Evidence and a Critique of the Received Wisdom about Its Character and Cost', 27 *Stanford Law Review*, 1975, 307–331.
- Markovits, R.S., 'Oligopolistic Pricing Suit, the Sherman Act and Economic Welfare: Part IV, The Allocative Efficiency and Overall Desirability if Oligopolistic Pricing Suits', 27 *Stanford Law Review*, 1975, 45–60.
- Marks, R.D., 'Can Conspiracy Theory Solve the "Oligopoly Problem"?', 45 *Maryland Law Review*, 1986, 387–431.
- Marsen, Ph., and Gormsen, L.L., 'Guidance on Abuse in Europe: the Continued Concern for Rivalry and a Competitive Structure', 55 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2010, 875–913.
- Marshall, R.C., and Meurer, M.J., 'Bidder Collusion and Antitrust Law: Refining the Analysis of Price Fixing to Account for the Special Features of Auctions Markets', 72 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2004, 83–118.
- Martin, S., 'Competition Policy, Collusion, and Tacit Collusion', 24 *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 2006, 1299–1332.
- Martin, S., 'Modelling Oligopolistic Interaction', *Review of Industrial Organization*, 1990, 71–89.
- Martinez, A., 'Plausibility among the Circuits: An Empirical Survey of Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly', 61 *Arkansas Law Review*, 2009, 763–785.
- Mas-Colell, A., Whinston, M.D., and Green, J.R., *Microeconomic Theory*, Oxford University Press, 1995.

- Masina, G., 'Osservazioni a Trib. di primo grado CEE, 10 marzo 1992, in tema di posizione dominante collettiva', *Giurisprudenza Commerciale*, 1993, II, 615–620.
- Matsui, A., 'Consumer-benefited Cartels under Strategic Capital Investment Competition', *7 International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 1989, 451–470.
- Maudhiut, S., and Soames, T., 'Changes in EU Merger Control: Part 2', *26 European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 75–82.
- Maudhiut, S., and Soames, T., 'Changes in EU Merger Control: Part 3', in *European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 144–150.
- Maul, A., 'Are the Major Labels Sandbagging Online Music? An Antitrust Analysis of Strategic Licensing Practices', *New York University Journal of Legislation and Public Policy*, 2003–2004, 365–392.
- McArthur, J.B., and Paterson, T.W., 'The effects of Monsanto, Matsushita and Sharp on the Plaintiff's Incentive to Sue', *Connecticut Law Review*, 1991, 333–354.
- McCann, T.A., 'Plaintiffs' Attorney Wince as Second Circuit Applies Tough Twombly Standard to Antitrust Suits', *20 Loyola Consumer Law Review*, 2008, 232–245.
- McDavid, J.L., 'Proposed Reform of the EU Merger Regulation: a US Perspective', *16 (Fall) Antitrust*, 2002, 52–55.
- McGregor, L., 'The Future for the Control of Oligopolies Following *Compagnie Maritime Belge*', *22 European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 434–442.
- McLachlan, D.L., and Swann, D., 'Competition Policy in the Common Market', *73 Economic Journal*, 1963, 54–79.
- McMahon, C., 'The Law of Unintended Consequences: Shockwaves in the Lower Courts after *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*', *41 Suffolk University Law Review*, 2008, 851–869.
- Meckfessel Judson, L., '*Kodak v. Image Technical Services*: the Taming of Matsushita and the Chicago School', *Wisconsin Law Review*, 1993, 1633–1672.
- Mehra, S.K., 'Same Plant, Different Soil: Japan's New Merger Guidelines', *26 Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*, 2006, 515–526.
- Mehta, J., Harris, R.J., and Zakar, R., 'Recent Decisions of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit – Antitrust Law', *70 George Washington Law Review*, 2002, 287–327.
- Mendi, P., 'Backward Integration and Collusion in a Duopoly Model with Asymmetric Costs', *Journal of Economics*, 2009, 95–112.
- Meyer, D.L., 'The Seventh Circuit's High Fructose Corn Syrup Decision – Sweet for the Plaintiffs, Sticky for Defendants', *17 (Fall) Antitrust*, 2002, 67–73.

- Mezzanotte, F.E., 'Direct versus Indirect Proof of the Airtours Criterion in Impala', 31 *World Competition*, 2008, 523–540.
- Mezzanotte, F.E., 'Tacit Collusion as Economic Links in Article 82 EC Revisited', 30 *European Competition Law Review*, 2009, 137–142.
- Mezzanotte, F.E., 'Using Abuse of Collective Dominance in Art. 102 TFUE to Fight Tacit Collusion: The Problem of Proof and Inferential Error', 33 *World Competition*, 2010, 77–102.
- Miller, T.A., and Marth, R.W., 'Promoting Greater Consistency in Single Party Conduct Policy: Is Section 5 of the FTC Act a "Third Way" to Converge European and US Interests?,' 2009 (Draft Paper presented to the American Antitrust Institute's 10th Annual Conference, June 18, 2009 – Washington DC, <http://www.antitrustinstitute.org/~antitrust/node/11095>, accessed 20 July 2012).
- Molin, F.B., 'Burden of Proof for Summary Judgment in Antitrust Conspiracy Cases: Arizona v. Standard Oil Co. of California', *George Mason University Law Review*, 1990, 473–498.
- Montagnani, M.L., 'Remedies to Exclusionary Innovation in the High-Tech Sector: Is There a Lesson from the Microsoft Saga?', 29 *World Competition*, 2007, 623–643.
- Monti, G., *EC Competition Law*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- Monti, G., 'The Concept of Dominance in Article 82', 2 *European Competition Journal*, 2006, 31–52.
- Monti, G., 'The Scope of Collective Dominance under Article 82 EC', 38 *Common Market Law Review*, 2001, 131–157.
- Moore Willis, W., 'European Commission – Concentrations – Nestlé Bid to Takeover Perrier: A Landmark Merger Restructuring on Duopoly Grounds', *Georgia International & Competition Law*, 1993, 141–154.
- Motta, M., *Competition Policy – Theory and Practice*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- Motta, M., 'EC Merger Policy and the Airtours Case', 21 *European Competition Law Review*, 2000, 199–207.
- Motta, M., Polo, M., and Vasconcelos, H., 'Merger Remedies in the European Union: an Overview', 52 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2007, 603–631.
- Muller, E., and Boge, U., 'From the Market Dominance Test to the SLC Test: Are There Any Reasons for a Change', 23 *European Competition Law Review*, 2002, 495–498.
- Munari, F., *Il Diritto comunitario antitrust nel commercio internazionale: il caso dei trasporti marittimi*, CEDAM, 1993.
- Muris, T.J., 'Improving the Economic Foundations of Competition Policy', *George Mason Law Review*, 2003, 1–30.
- Muris, T.J., 'The FTC and the Law of Monopolization', *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2000, 693–722.

- Murphy, C.P., 'Money as a "Specific" Remedy', *Alabama Law Review*, 2006, 119–158.
- Murphy, C.P., 'Rethinking Injunctions in Tort Law', *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 2007, 509–535.
- Nash, J., 'Non-Cooperative Games', *Annals of Mathematics*, 1951, 286–295.
- Nazerali, J., 'A Merger of Minds Split Opinion: Does the European Commission Address Current E.U. Merger Control', *International Company and Commercial Law Review*, 2002, 480–484.
- Nazzini, R., *The Foundations of European Union Competition Law – the Objective and Principles of Article 102*, Oxford University Press, 2011.
- Nazzini, R., 'The Wood Began to Move: an Essay on Consumer Welfare, Evidence and Burden of Proof in Article 82 cases', 31 *E.L. Review*, 2006, 518–539.
- Neal, P., 'Report of the White House Task Force on Antitrust Policy', originally printed in 2 *Antitrust Law & Economic Review*, 1968–1969, 11–27; and in *Trade Regulation Reports*, n. 415, 1969.
- Nelson, P., Baker, S., Bishop, S., and Ridyard, D., 'The European Union's New Horizontal Merger Guidelines', 17 (Summer) *Antitrust*, 2003, 57–62.
- Nelson, P.B., 'Patent Pools: an Economic Assessment of Current Law and Policy', 38 *Rutgers Law Journal*, 2007, 539–572.
- Nesterowicz, M.A., 'The Mid-Atlantic view of the Antitrust Regulation of Ocean Shipping', 17 *University of San Francisco Maritime Law Journal*, 2005, 45–88.
- Neumann, M., *Competition Policy – History, Theory and Practice*, Edward Elgar, 2001.
- Neven, D., and De La Mano, M., 'Economics at DG Competition, 2008–2009', 35 *Review of Industrial Organization*, 2009, 317–347.
- Niels, G., 'Collective Dominance: More Than Just Oligopolistic Interdependence', 22 *European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 168–172.
- Nikpay, A., and Houwen, F., 'Tour de Force or Little Local Turbulence? A Heretical View on the Airtours Judgment', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 193–202.
- Nollet, L., 'France: Anti-competitive Agreements', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 115–116.
- O'Donoghue, R., and Padilla, A.J., *The Law and Economics of Article 82 EC*, Hart Publishing, 2006.
- O'Keefe, S., 'Court Scathing of Commission's Merger Analysis', *EU Focus*, 2002, 4–6.
- O'Leary, B., 'The Nature of the Agreement', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 1999, 1628–1667.

- Odudu, O., 'Collective Dominance Clarified?', *Cambridge Law Journal*, 2004, 44–47.
- Odudu, O., 'Indirect Information Exchange: the Constituent Elements of Hub and Spoke Collusion', 7 *European Competition Journal*, 2011, 205–242.
- Odudu, O., 'Interpreting Article 81(1): Demonstrating Restrictive Effect', 26 *European Law Review*, 2001, 261–274.
- Odudu, O., 'Interpreting Article 81(1): Object as Subjective Intention', 26 *European Law Review*, 2001, 60–75.
- Odudu, O., 'Interpreting Article 81(1): the Object Requirement Revisited', 26 *European Law Review*, 2001, 379–390.
- Odudu, O., *The Boundaries of EC – the Scope of Article 81*, Oxford University Press, 2005.
- Odudu, O., 'The Role of Specific Intent in Section 1 of the Sherman Act: a Market Power Test?', 25 *World Competition*, 2002, 463–491.
- OECD – Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs Competition Committee, *Remedies and Sanctions in Abuse of Dominance Cases*, 15 May 2007, DAF/Comp(2006)19 (available at [www.oecd.org](http://www.oecd.org)).
- Oldale, A., and Padilla, J., 'For Welfare's Sake? Balancing Rivalry and Efficiency in Horizontal Mergers', 55 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2010, 953–991.
- Olsen, G., 'Revised EU Merger Remedies Guidance', 23 (Summer) *Antitrust*, 2009, 80–86.
- Ong, B., 'Building Brick Barricades and Other Barriers to Entry: Abusing a Dominant Position by Refusing to Licence Intellectual Property Rights', 26 *European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 215–224.
- Ordovery, J.A., 'Coordinated Effects in Merger Analysis: an Introduction', *Columbia Business Law Review*, 2007, 411–435.
- Ortiz Blanco, L., *Market Power in EU Antitrust Law*, Hart Publishing, 2012.
- Orzen, H., 'Counterintuitive Number Effects in Experimental Oligopolies', *Experimental Economics*, 2008, 390–401.
- Osborne, M.J., and Pitchik, C., 'Cartels, Profits and Excess Capacity', 28 *International Economic Review*, 1987, 413–428.
- Osti, C., *Antitrust e oligopolio*, Il Mulino, 1995.
- Osti, C., *Diritto della Concorrenza*, Il Mulino, 2007.
- Osti, C., 'Il Controllo giuridico dell'Oligopolio', *Giurisprudenza Commerciale*, 1993, I, 580–606.
- Osti, C., 'Information Exchanges in the Obscure Light of Woodpulp', 15 *European Competition Law Review*, 1994, 176–182.
- Overd, A., 'After the Airtours Appeal', 23 *European Competition Law Review*, 2002, 375–377.



- Pacileo, V., 'Concorso di reati', in *Enciclopedia Giuridica Treccani*, 1988, VII.
- Page, W.H., 'Communication and Concerted Action', 38 *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal*, 2007, 405–460.
- Page, W.H., 'Mandatory Contracting Remedies in the American and European Microsoft Cases', *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2008, 787–809.
- Page, W.H., 'The Gary Dinnens and the Meaning of Concerted Action', *Southern Methodist University Law Review*, 2009, 597–619.
- Pagliari, A., 'Concorso di norme (dir. pen.)', in *Enciclopedia del Diritto*, VIII, 1961, 545–555.
- Papon, S., 'Structural v. Behavioural Remedies in the Merger Control: a Case to Case Analysis', 30 *European Competition Law Review*, 2009, 36–48.
- Pardolesi, R., 'Chi ha paura dell'interpretazione economica del diritto antitrust?', *Mercato concorrenza regole*, 2007, 119–128.
- Pardolesi, R., 'Condotta unilaterale d'impresa: una disciplina in cerca di identità', *Mercato concorrenza regole*, 2009, 479–490.
- Pardolesi, R., 'Nota a Tribunale di Primo Grado 10 marzo 1992, Società Italiana Vetro e altri v. Comm', *Foro Italiano*, 1992, IV, 433–435.
- Park, S., 'Market Power in Competition for the Market', 5 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2009, 571–579.
- Parker, D., 'A Screening Device for Tacit Collusion Concern', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 424–433.
- Patterson, M.R., 'The Role of Power in the Rule of Reason', 68 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2000, 429–460.
- Paulis, E., 'The Burden of Proof in Article 82 Cases', *International Antitrust Law and Policy*, 2006, 469–476.
- Peritz, R.J.R., *Competition Policy in America: History, Rhetoric, Law*, revised edn, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- Peritz, R.J.R., 'Doctrinal Cross-dressing in Derivative Aftermarkets: Kodak, Xerox and the Copycat Game', 51 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2006, 215–226.
- Peritz, R.J.R., 'La sorte del monopolio al vaglio antitrust. Dubbi e prospettive interpretative dal fronte nord-americano', *Mercato concorrenza regole*, 2009, 469–478.
- Peritz, R.J.R., 'Toward a Dynamic Antitrust Analysis of Strategic Market Behaviour', 47 *New York Law School Law Review*, 2003, 101–118.
- Peritz, R.J.R., 'Unfair Methods of Competition under FTC § 5: Beyond the Sherman Act and an ex post Model of Enforcement', 56 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2011, 825–884.
- Petit, N., 'How Much Discretion Do, and Should, Competition Authorities Enjoy in the Course of Their Enforcement Activities? A Multi-jurisdictional Assessment', *Concurrences*, 2010, 44–62.

- Petit, N., *Oligopoles, collusion tacite et droit communautaire de la concurrence*, Bruylant, 2007.
- Petit, N., 'Remedies for Coordinated Effects under the EU Merger Regulation', *Competition Law International*, September 2010, 29–37.
- Petrasincu, A., 'The European Commission's New Guidelines on the Assessment of Non-Horizontal Mergers – Great Expectations Disappointed', 29 *European Competition Law Review*, 2008, 221–228.
- Petriccione, R.M., and Leone, V.M., 'Recent Developments Concerning Exchange of Information', *European Law Review*, 1988, 196–201.
- Pezzani, F., *La Competizione Collaborativa – Ricostruire il capitale sociale ed economico*, EGEA – Università Bocconi, 2011.
- Philips, L., *Competition Policy: a Game-Theoretic Perspective*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- Philips, L., 'On the Detection of Collusion and Predation', *European Economic Review*, 1996, 495–510.
- Piotrowsky, R., *Cartels and Trusts*, George Allen & Unwin Ltd, 1933.
- Piraino, T.A., 'A Proposed Antitrust Analysis of Telecommunications Joint Ventures', *Wisconsin Law Review*, 1997, 639–704.
- Piraino, T.A., 'Identifying Monopolists' Illegal Conducts under the Sherman Act', *New York University Law Review*, 2000, 809–892.
- Piraino, T.A., 'Reconciling Competition and Cooperation: A New Antitrust Standard for Joint Ventures', *William and Mary Law Review*, 1994, 871–941.
- Piraino, T.A., 'Regulating Oligopoly Conduct under the Antitrust Laws', *Minnesota Law Review*, 2004, 9–70.
- Pitofsky, R., 'Antitrust at the Turn of the Twenty-First Century: the Matter of Remedies', *Georgetown Law Review*, 2002, 169–181.
- Pitofsky, R., (ed.), *How the Chicago School Overshot the Mark – the Effect of Conservative Economic Analysis on US Antitrust*, Oxford University Press, 2008.
- Pitofsky, R., 'Past, Present, and Future of Antitrust Enforcement at the Federal Trade Commission', *University of Chicago Law Review*, 2005, 209–228.
- Pitofsky, R., 'The Political Contents of Antitrust', *University Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1979, 1051–1076.
- Polo, M., 'A favore di un approccio economico nell'applicazione del diritto antitrust', in *Mercato concorrenza regole*, 2007, 129–136.
- Pope, D., 'Some Reflections on Italian Flat Glass case', 16 *European Competition Law Review*, 1993, 172–175.
- Popofsky, M.L. and Goodwine, D.B., 'The "Hard-Boiled" Rule of Reason Revisited', 56 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 1987, 195–212.

- Porter Elliot, G., 'The Gencor Judgment: Collective Dominance, Remedied and Extraterritoriality under the Merger Regulation', 24 *European Law Review*, 1999, 638–652.
- Porter, R.H., 'On the Incidence and Duration of Price Wars', 33 *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 1985, 415–426.
- Posner, R.A., 'A Reply to Professor Markovits', 28 *Stanford Law Review*, 1976, 903–914.
- Posner, R.A., *Antitrust Law*, University of Chicago Press, 2nd edn, 2001.
- Posner, R.A., 'Oligopoly and the Antitrust Laws: a Suggested Approach', 21 *Stanford Law Review*, 1969, 1562–1606.
- Posner, R.A., 'The Chicago School of Antitrust Analysis', *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1979, 925–948.
- Possas, M.L. (ed.), *Ensaio Sobre Economia e Direito da Concorrência*, Editora Singular, 2002.
- Poter, R.H., 'Collusion in Industrial Economics: a Comment', *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 2005, 231–234.
- Preece, S., 'Compagnie Maritime Belge: Missing the Boat', 22 *European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 388–393.
- Prete, L., and Nucara, A., 'Standard of Proof and Scope of Judicial Review in EC Merger Cases: Everything Clear after Tetra Laval', 26 *European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 692–704.
- Rab, S., 'From Ordered Competition towards a New Competitive Order? The Role of the UK Competition Commission at the Interface between Sector Regulation and Competition Law', 30 *European Competition Law Review*, 2009, 505–529.
- Rabassa, V., and Christensen, P., 'The Airtours Decision: Is There a New Commission Approach to Collective Dominance?', 22 *European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 227–237.
- Rabassa, V., 'Joint Venture as a Mechanism that may Favour Co-ordination: an Analysis of the Aluminium and Music Mergers', 25 *European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 771–779.
- Raffaelli, E.A., 'European Union Competition Policy Subsequent to the Airtours Case', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2002, 129–143.
- Raffaelli, E.A., 'Oligopolies and Antitrust Law', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 1996, 915–935.
- Raffaelli, E.A., and Ottolenghi, D., 'La Posizione Dominante Collettiva: Quali Prospettive dopo Airtours?', *Concorrenza e Mercato*, 2004–2005, 441–451.
- Rahl, J.A., 'Conspiracy and the Anti-Trust Law', 44 *Illinois Law Review*, 1950, 743–768.

- Reeves, A.P., and Stucke, M.E., 'Behavioural Antitrust', 86 *Indiana Law Journal*, 2011, 1527–1586.
- Reeves, B.A., 'Toward a Coherent Antitrust Policy: the Role of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act in Price Discrimination Regulation', 16 *Boston College Industrial and Commercial Law Review*, 1975, 151–199.
- Reeves, T., and Dodoo, N., 'Standards of Proof and Standards of Judicial Review in European Commission Merger Law', 29 *Fordham International Law Journal*, 2006, 1034–1067.
- Reich, R., 'Horizontal Liability in EC Law: Hybridization of Remedies for Compensation in Case of Breaches of EC Rights', 43 *Common Market Law Review*, 2007, 705–742.
- Renzi, L.M., 'The GE/Honeywell Merger: Catalyst in the Transnational Conglomerate Merger Debate', *New England Law Review*, 2002, 109–145.
- Rey, P., 'On the Use of Economic Analysis in Cartels Detection', in C.D. Ehlermann and I. Atanasiu (eds), *European Competition Law Annual 2006: Enforcement Prohibitions of Cartels*, Hart Publishing, 2007, 69–83.
- Reynolds, M.J., 'Merger Control in the European Union: Draft Reforms to the EC Merger Regulation', 17 (Spring) *Antitrust*, 2003, 77–83.
- Ricazaux, P.P., and Diény, E., 'Position dominant collective: vers une notion unifiée? (1er partie)', in *Revue Lamy de la Concurrence*, n. 3, Mai/Juillet 2005, 125–131.
- Ricazaux, P.P., and Diény, E., 'Position dominant collective: vers une notion unifiée? (2e partie)', in *Revue Lamy de la Concurrence*, n. 4, Aout/October 2005, 117–122.
- Richards, J.D., 'Three Limitations of Twombly: Antitrust Conspiracy Inferences in a Context of Historical Monopoly', *Saint John's Law Review*, 2008, 849–859.
- Ridyard, D., 'Joint Dominance and the Oligopoly Blind Spot under the EC Merger Regulation', 13 *European Competition Law Review*, 1992, 161–164.
- Ridyard, D., 'The Commission's Article 82 Guidelines: Some Reflections on the Economic Issues', 30 *European Competition Law Review*, 2009, 230–236.
- Riesenkampff, A., 'The New EC Merger Control Test under Article 2 of the Merger Control Regulation', 24 *Northwestern Journal of International Law and Business*, 2004, 715–727.
- Rill, J.F., and Rosenblatt, H., 'Coordinates Interaction and Collective Dominance: a Remarkable Journey towards Convergence', in *Liber Amicorum Peter Plompen*, Intersentia, 2005.

- Rizza, C., 'La posizione dominante collettiva nella giurisprudenza comunitaria', *Concorrenza e Mercato*, 2000, 509–563.
- Robert, G., and Hudson, C., 'Past Co-ordination and Commission Notice on the Appraisal of Horizontal Mergers', 25 *European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 163–168.
- Robinson, J., *The Economics of Imperfect Competition*, Macmillan, 1954.
- Rodger, B., and MacCulloch, A., *Competition Law and Policy in the European Community and United Kingdom*, Cavendish Publishing, 2nd edn., 2001.
- Rodger, B.J., 'Oligopolistic Market Failure: Collective Dominance versus Complex Monopolies', 16 *European Competition Law Review*, 1995, 21–29.
- Rodger, B.J., 'The Oligopoly Problem and the Concept of Collective Dominance: EC Developments in the Light of the US Trends in Antitrust Law and Policy', 2 *Columbia Journal of European Law*, 1996, 25–47.
- Rogers III, C.P., 'Consumer Welfare and Group Boycott Law', *Southern Methodist University Law Review*, 2009, 665–692.
- Rosch, T.J., 'Enforcement Priorities in the New Administration', Speech at Global Competition Review 2009, Brussels, November 2009, available at <http://www.ftc.gov/speeches/09speech.shtm>, accessed 20 July 2012.
- Ross, A., *On Law and Justice*, University of California Press, 1959.
- Ross, T.W., and Winter, R.A., 'The Efficiency Defence in Merger Law: Economic Foundations and Recent Canadian Developments', *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2005, 471–502.
- Rossolini, R., 'La nozione di "impresa" secondo gli artt. 85 e 86 del Trattato di Roma e i suoi più recenti sviluppi', *Rivista di Diritto Industriale*, 1974, I, 155–181.
- Round, D., and Smith, R., 'Competition Assessment and Strategic Behaviour', 19 *European Competition Law Review*, 1998, 225–236.
- Rubin, J.L., 'Patents, Antitrust, and Rivalry in Standard-Setting', *Rutgers Law Journal*, 2007, 509–538.
- Rusu, C.S., *European Merger Control – the Challenge Raised by Twenty Years of Enforcement Experience*, Kluwer Law International, 2010.
- Ruttley, P., 'International Shipping and EEC Competition Law', 12 *European Competition Law Review*, 1991, 5–18.
- Ryan, P.S., 'European Competition Law, Joint Dominance, and the Wireless Oligopoly Problem', 11 *Columbia Journal European Law*, 2005, 355–373.
- Sabbatini, P., 'Concentrazioni. Le tra sconfitte della Commissione in Tribunale', *Mercato concorrenza regole*, 2003.

- Salop, S.C., 'Anticompetitive Overbuying by Power Buyers', in *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2005, 670–705.
- Sargent, M.T.L., 'Economics Upside-Down: Low Price Guarantee as Mechanisms for Facilitating Collusion', *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1993, 2055–2118.
- Sauter, W., 'Services of General Economic Interest and Universal Service in EU Law', 33 *European Law Review*, 2008, 167–193.
- Scarcia, G., 'Le concentrazioni nei mercati oligopolistici nell'ottica del nuovo Regolamento: un epitaffio per la posizione dominante collettiva?', *Rivista del Diritto commerciale*, 2004, 1079–1108.
- Scheffman, D.T., and Higgins, R.S., 'Vertical Mergers: Theory and Policy', 12 *George Mason Law Review*, 2004, 967–977.
- Schinkel, M.P., 'Effective Cartel Enforcement in Europe', 30 *World Competition*, 2007, 539–572.
- Schmalensee, R., 'On the Use of Economic Models in Antitrust: the ReaLemon case', *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1979, 994–1050.
- Schmidt, J., 'The New ECMR: "Significant Impediment" or "Significant Improvement"?', 41 *Common Market Law Review*, 2004, 1555–1582.
- Schmidt, J.M., 'Spotting the Elephant in Parallel Mergers: First Past the Post, or Combined Assessment?', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 183–192.
- Schodermeier, M., 'Collective Dominance Revisited: an Analysis of the EC Commission's New Concepts of Oligopoly Control', 11 *European Competition Law Review*, 1990, 28–34.
- Schroter, H.G., 'Cartelization and Decartelization in Europe, 1870–1995', 25 *Journal of European Economic History*, 1996, 129–153.
- Schumpeter, J.A., *Capitalism, Socialism, and Democracy*, Harper & Row, 3rd edn, 1950.
- Schwalbe, U., and Zimmer, D., *Law and Economics in European Merger Control*, Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Schwartz, L.B., "'Justice" and Other Non-economic Goals of Antitrust', *University Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1979, 1076–1081.
- Schwartz, L.B., 'New Approaches to the Control of Oligopoly', 109 *University Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1960, 31–50.
- Schwartz, L.B., 'The New Merger Guidelines: Guide to Governmental Discretion and Private Counseling or Propaganda for revision of the Antitrust Laws', 71 *California Law Review*, 1983, 575–603.
- Schwarze, J., 'Judicial Review of European Administrative Procedure', *Public Law*, 2004, 146–166.
- Scott, A., "'Winter Talk by the Fireside?" Tacit Collusion and the Airtours Case', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 298–314.

- Shapiro, C., 'Microsoft: a Remedial Failure', 75 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2008, 739–772.
- Shapiro, C., 'The 2010 Horizontal Merger Guidelines: from Hedgehog to Fox in Forty Years', 77 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2010, 49–107.
- Shaw, J., 'Collective Dominance or Concerted Practices', *European Law Review*, 1989, 96–99.
- Sheinkopf Hoffman, L., 'Burden of Jurisdictional Proof', *Alabama Law Review*, 409–452.
- Sher, B., 'Abuse of Dominance – Effects and Inherent Effects under Article 82: Michelin 2 and van Den Bergh Foods', *Competition Law Insight*, 2004, 12.
- Sherer, F.M., and Ross, D., *Industrial Market Structure and Economic Performance*, Houghton Mifflin Company, 3rd edn, 1990.
- Sherman, R., 'The Matsushita case: Tightened Concepts of Conspiracy and Predation?', 8 *Cardozo Law Review*, 1987, 1121–1138.
- Sherstyuk, K., 'Collusion without Conspiracy: An Experimental Study of One-Sided Auctions', *Experimental Economics*, 1999, 59–75.
- Shibik, M., 'A Game Theorist Looks at the Antitrust Laws and the Automobile Industry', in *Stanford Law Review*, 1956, 594–630.
- Shores, D.F., 'Narrowing the Sherman Act through an Extension of Colgate: the Matsushita Case', 55 *Tennessee Law Review*, 1988, 261–315.
- Shulman, D.R., 'Proof of Conspiracy in Antitrust Cases & the Oligopoly Problem', *Sedona Conference Journal*, 2003, 1–19.
- Shulman, D.R., 'Williamson Oil v. Philip Morris: Whatever Happened to Jury Trials?', *Sedona Conference Journal*, 2004, 81–91.
- Sibony, A.-L., *Le juge et le raisonnement économique en droit de la concurrence*, L.G.D.J. Lextenso Éditions, 2008.
- Siciliani, P., 'Collective Dominance and Refusal to Supply: Closing the Gap in Article 82?', 54 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2009, 683–748.
- Sidak, J.G., 'Patent Holdup and Oligopsonistic Collusion in Standard-setting Organizations', 5 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2009, 123–188.
- Silcox, C.R., 'Unfair Method of Competition: the Courts Revive Proof of Injury to Competition in Antitrust Cases under Section 5 of the FTC Act', 29 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 1984, 423–474.
- Simmons, I., *Legitimacy in EU Cartel Control*, Hart Publishing, 2010.
- Simons, J.J., 'Fixing Prices with Your Victim: Efficiency and Collusion with Competitor-Based Formula Pricing Clauses', 17 *Hofstra Law Review*, 1989, 599–639.
- Sinclair, D., 'Abuse of Dominance at a Crossroads – Potential Effects, Object and Appreciability under Article 82 EC', 25 *European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 491–501.

- Skocilich, J., 'Narrower is Better – the Third Circuit's Latest Word on Conscious Parallelism and the Problem of Plus Factors: In Re Flat Glass', 50 *Villanova Law Review*, 2005, 1309–1330.
- Slade, M.E., 'Interfirm Rivalry in a Repeated Game: an Empirical Test of Tacit Collusion', *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 1987, 499–516.
- Soames, T., 'An Analysis of the Principles of Concerted Practices and Collective Dominance: a Distinction without a Difference', 17 *European Competition Law Review*, 1996, 24–39.
- Soames, T., 'Towards a "Smart" Article 82 – *Qui audit adipiscitur*', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2005, 457–493.
- Sokol, D.D., 'Antitrust, Institutions, and Mergers', *George Mason Law Review*, 2010, 1055–1148.
- Spencer, A.B., 'Plausibility Pleading', 49 *Boston College Law Review*, 2008, 431–491.
- Spiegel, M.I., and Liao, W.M., 'Avoiding Summary Judgment after Matsushita and Monsanto', 5 (Spring) *Antitrust*, 1991, 12–16.
- Sproul, G., 'Articles 85 and 86: First Application to Maritime Transport', 13 *European Competition Law Review*, 1992, 215–221.
- Sraffa, P., 'The Laws of Returns under Competitive Conditions', *Economic Journal*, 1926, 535–550.
- Stadler, C., "'Conflicting Mergers": Combined Assessment or Priority Rule?', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 321–330.
- Staiger, R.W., and Wolak, F.A., 'Collusive Pricing with Capacity Constraints in the Presence of Demand Uncertainty', *Rand Journal of Economics*, 1992, 203–219.
- Steen, F., and Sjørgard, L., 'Semicollusion in the Norwegian Cement Market', 43 *European Economic Review*, 1999, 1775–1796.
- Steinberg, H., 'Oligopolistic Interdependence: the FTC Adopts a "No Agreement" Standard to Attack Parallel Non-Collusive Practices', 50 *Brooklyn Law Review*, 1984, 255–299.
- Stella, F., 'Posizione dominante collettiva e concentrazioni: il caso Rhône-Poulenc / Snia', in *Diritto del Commercio Internazionale*, 1994, 165–169.
- Stenborg, M., 'Forest for the Trees: Economics of Joint Dominance', 18 *European Journal of Law & Economics*, 2004, 365–385.
- Steuer, R.M., 'Standards of Proof and Judicial Review: a US Perspective', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2005, 143–156.
- Steuer, R.M., 'Plausible Pleading: Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly', 82 *Saint John's Law Review*, 2008, 861–875.
- Stevens, S., 'The Increased Aggression of the EC Commission in Extraterritorial Enforcement of the Merger Regulation and Its Impact on Transatlantic Cooperation in Antitrust', *Syracuse Journal of International Law and Commerce*, 2002, 263–302.



- Stigler, G.J., 'A Theory of Oligopoly', 72 *Journal of Political Economy*, 1964, 44–61.
- Stigler, G.J., 'Law or Economics?', *Journal of Law and Economics*, 1992, 455–468.
- Stock, E.J., 'Explaining the Differing US and EU Positions in the Boeing/McDonnell–Douglas Merger: Avoiding Another Near-Miss', *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*, 1999, 825–909.
- Stotyka, Y., 'Joint Dominance: Mobile Telecommunications in the Ukraine', 30 *World Competition*, 2007, 365–391.
- Stroux, S., 'Collective Dominance under the Merger Regulation: a Serious Evidentiary Reprimand for the Commission', 27 *European Law Review*, 2002, 736–746.
- Stroux, S., 'Is EC Oligopoly Control Outgrowing Its Infancy?', 23 *World Competition*, 2000, 3–50.
- Stroux, S., *US and EC Oligopoly Control*, Kluwer Law International, 2004.
- Stucke, M.E., 'Behavioural Economists at the Gate: Antitrust in the Twenty-first Century', *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal*, 2007, 513–591.
- Stucke, M.E., 'Evaluating the Risks of Increased Price Transparency', 19 (Spring) *Antitrust*, 2005, 81–86.
- Sufrin, S., and Jones, A., *EC Competition Law*, Oxford University Press, 3rd edn, 2008.
- Sullivan, E.T., 'The Antitrust Division as a Regulatory Agency: an Enforcement Policy in Transition', *Washington University Law Quarterly*, 1986, 997–1055.
- Sullivan, E.T., 'The Jurisprudence of the Antitrust Divestiture: the Path Less Travelled', *Minnesota Law Review*, 2002, 565–614.
- Sullivan, L.A., and Grimes, W.A., *The Law of Antitrust: an Integrated Handbook*, Thomson West, 2nd edn, 2006.
- Sullivan, T., 'Antitrust Remedies in the US and EU: Advancing a Standard of Proportionality', 48 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2003, 377.
- Sweeny, B.E., 'An Overview of Section 2 Enforcement and Developments', *Wisconsin Law Review*, 2008, 231–260.
- Szilagyi, P., 'Where Do We Stand with Standard of Proof in Merger Control?', 29 *European Competition Law Review*, 2008, 726–728.
- Szyszczak, E., 'Controlling Dominance in European Markets', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 2010, 1738–1775.
- Tajana, A., 'EC Merger Control: Music Publishing', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 194–195.

- Temple Lang, J., 'Commitment Decisions under Regulation 1/2003: Legal Aspects of a New Kind of Competition Decision', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 347–356.
- Temple Lang, J., 'EEC Competition Actions in Member States' Courts – Claims for Damages, Declarations and Injunctions for Breach of Community Antitrust Law', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 1984, 389–466.
- Temple Lang, J., 'European Community Antitrust Law: Innovation Markets and High Technology Industries', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 1997, 717–818.
- Temple Lang, J., 'L'art. 82 – I problemi e la soluzione', *Mercato concorrenza regole*, 2009, 437–468.
- Temple Lang, J., 'National Measures Restricting Competition and National Authorities under Article 10 EC', *European Law Review*, 2004, 397–406.
- Temple Lang, J., and O'Donoghue, R., 'Defining Legitimate Competition: How to Clarify Pricing Abuses under Article 82 EC', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 2002, 83–162.
- Temple Lang, J., 'Oligopolies and Joint Dominance in Community Antitrust Law', *International Antitrust Law & Policy*, 2001, 269–359.
- Temple Lang, J., 'Two Important Merger Regulation Judgments: the Implications of Schneider–Legrand and Tetra Laval–Sidel', 28 *European Law Review*, 2003, 259–272.
- Tesauro, C., 'Crisi dell'impresa e posizione dominante collettiva nella disciplina delle concentrazioni', in *Foro italiano*, IV, 1999, 184.
- Thomas, T.A., 'The Prophylactic Remedy: Normative Principle and Definitional Parameters of Broad Injunctive Relief', *Buffalo Law Review*, 2004, 301–385.
- Thouvenin, J.-M., 'Concurrence (Concentrations): après l'arrêt Airtours trios nouveaux avertissements à la Commission', *Revue du Marché Commun et de l'Union européen*, 2003, 12–20.
- Toffoletti, L., *Progresso tecnico e bilanciamento di interessi nell'applicazione dei divieti antitrust*, Giuffrè, 2009.
- Torino, R., 'Le intese restrittive della libertà di concorrenza: verso un superamento della distinzione tra accordi e pratiche concordate?', *Riv. Dir. Comm.*, II, 1997, 280–294.
- Trebing, H.M., 'Public Control of Enterprise: Neoclassical Assault and Neoinstitutional Reform: Remarks upon Receipt of the Veblen-Commons Award', *Journal of Economic Issues*, 1984, 353–368.
- Trexler, J.T., 'Conglomerating Antitrust Policy by Comparative Example: a Conceptual Analysis of Merger Regulation in the United States, Japan, and the European Union', *International Law & Managements Review*, 2005, 111–153.

- Trimarchi, P., 'Il problema giuridico delle pratiche concordate tra oligopolisti', *Rivista delle Società*, 1969, 1–25.
- Turnbull, S., and Holmes, S., 'Remedied in Merger Cases: Recent Developments', 22 *European Competition Law Review*, 2001, 499–511.
- Turner, D.F., 'The Definition of Agreement under the Sherman Act: Conscious Parallelism and Refusals to Deal', 75 *Harvard Law Review*, 1962, 655–706.
- Turner, D.F., 'The Scope of Antitrust and Other Economic Regulatory Policies', 82 *Harvard Law Review*, 1969, 1207–1244.
- Turner, J.M., 'Mega Merger, Mega Problems: a Critique of the European Community's Commission on Competition's Review of the AOL/Time Warner Merger', *American University International Law Review*, 2001, 131–188.
- Utton, M., *Cartels and Economic Collusion*, Edward Elgar, 2011.
- Vandamme, J., and Guerrin, M., *La réglementation de la concurrence dans la C.E.E.*, Presses Universitaires de France, 1974.
- Van De Walle De Ghelcke, B., and Van Gerven, G., *Competition Law of the European Community*, Matthew Bender & Company, 2006.
- Van Der Esch, B., 'The Principle of Interpretation Applied by the Court of Justice of the European Communities and Their Relevance for the Scope of the EEC Competition', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 1991/1992, 366–397.
- Van Kerckhove, M., 'The EU Remedies Study: toward Further Transatlantic Convergence in Merger Remedies?', 21 (Fall) *Antitrust*, 2006, 66–73.
- Van Rompuy, B., 'Implication for the Standard of Proof in EC Merger Proceedings: Bertelsmann and Sony Corporation of America v. Impala (C-413/06) ECJ', 29 *European Competition Law Review*, 2008, 608–612.
- Varian, H.R., *Microeconomic Analysis*, W.W. Norton & Company, 3rd edn, 1992.
- Vasques, L., 'Nota a CG 27 aprile 1994 –Comune di Almelo', *Foro Italiano*, 1995, IV, 185.
- Vatiero, M., 'An Institutional Explanation of Joint Dominance', 32 *World Competition*, 2009, 221–226.
- Vecchi, T., 'Unilateral Conduct in an Oligopoly according to the Discussion Paper: Conscious Parallelism or Abuse of Collective Dominance?', 31 *World Competition*, 2008, 385–400.
- Veljanovski, C., 'EC Merger Policy after GE/Honeywell and Airtours', 51 *Antitrust Bulletin*, 2004.
- Velluzzi, V., *Le clausole generali*, Giuffrè, 2010.

- Venit, J.S., 'Article 82: the Last Frontier – Fighting with Fire', *Fordham International Law Journal*, 2005, 1157–1178.
- Venit, J.S., 'Two steps forward and no steps back: economic analysis and oligopolistic dominance after Kali & Salz', 35 *Common Market Law Review*, 1998, 1101–1134.
- Verloop, P. (ed), *Merger Control in the EU – A Survey of European Competition Laws*, 3rd edn, Kluwer International Law, 1999.
- Vesterdorf, B., 'Standard of Proof in Merger Cases: Reflections in the Light of Recent Case Law of the Community Courts', 1 *European Competition Journal*, Mar. 2005, 3–33.
- Vickers, J., 'Competition Economics and Policy', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 95–102.
- Vickers, J., 'Merger Policy in Europe: Retrospect and Prospect', 25 *European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 455–463.
- Villar, M.E., 'A Note on Cartel Stability and Endogenous Sequencing with Tacit Collusion', 96 *Journal of Economics*, 2009, 137–147.
- Vita, M.G., 'Fifteen Years after Ethyl: the Past and Future of Facilitating Practices', *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2001, 991–1005.
- Vives, X., *Oligopoly Pricing: Old Ideas and New Tools*, MIT Press, 2000.
- Voigt, S., and Schmidt, A., *Making European Merger Policy More Predictable*, Springer, 2005.
- Volcker, S.B., 'Mind the Gap: Unilateral Effects Analysis Arrives in EC Merger Control', 25 *European Competition Law Review*, 2004, 395–409.
- Volcker, S.B., and O'Daly, C., 'The Court of First Instance's Impala Judgment: a Judicial Counter-Reformation in EU Merger Control', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 589–596.
- Volcker, S.B., 'Rough Justice? An Analysis of the European Commission's New Fining Guidelines', 30 *Common Market Law Review*, 2007, 1285–1320.
- Von Graevenitz, G., and Harbord, D., 'Market Definition in Oligopolistic and Vertically Related Markets: Some Anomalies', 21 *European Competition Law Review*, 2000, 151–158.
- Von Hinten Reed, N., and Camesasca, P.D., 'European Merger Control: Tougher, Softer, Clearer', 24 *European Competition Law Review*, 2003, 458–462.
- Vranes, E., 'The Definition of "Norm Conflict" in International Law and Legal Theory', 17 *European Journal of International Law*, 2006, 395–418.
- Waelbroeck, D., and Colomo, P.I., 'Comments on the Piau Case', 43 *Common Market Law Review*, 2006, 1743–1756.

- Walker, M., and Azevedo, J.P., 'Dominance: Meaning and Measurement', 23 *European Competition Law Review*, 2002, 363–367.
- Waller, S.W., 'Prosecution by Regulation: Changing the Nature of Antitrust Enforcement', 77 *Oregon Law Review*, 1988, 1383–1449.
- Waller, S.W., 'The Law and Economic Virus', 31 *Cardozo Law Review*, 2009, 367–403
- Waller, S.W., 'The Past, Present, and Future of Monopolization Remedies', 76 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2009, 11–30.
- Ward, E., 'The After-Shocks of Twombly: Will We "Notice" Pleading Changes?', *Saint John's Law Review*, 2008, 893–919.
- Weck, T., and Scheidtmann, A., 'Non-Horizontal Mergers in the Common Market: Assessment under the Competition Commission's Guidelines and Beyond', 29 *European Competition Law Review*, 2008, 480–489.
- Weinberg, M., 'The Price Effects of Horizontal Mergers', 3 *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, 2007, 433–447.
- Weiss, L.W., 'The Structure–Conduct–Performance Paradigm and Antitrust', *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 1979, 1104–1140.
- Weitbrecht, A., 'EU Merger Control in 2005 – an Overview', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 43–50.
- Wellford, H., and Wells, G., 'The "Litigation Mulligan" in the 2010 Merger Guidelines: Better Economics but Not (Necessarily) More Clarity before the Agencies and the Courts', 77 *Antitrust Journal*, 2010, 1–11.
- Went, D., 'The Acceptability of Remedies under the EC Merger Regulation: Structural versus Behavioural', 27 *European Competition Law Review*, 2006, 455–475.
- Werden, G.J., 'Economic Evidence on the Existence of Collusion: Reconciling Antitrust Law with Oligopoly Theory', 71 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2004, 719–800.
- Werden, G.J., 'Identifying Exclusionary Conduct under Section 2: the "no Economic Sense" Test', 72 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2006, 413–433.
- Werden, G.J., 'Remedies for Exclusionary Conduct Should Protect and Preserve the Competitive Process', 76 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2009, 65–78.
- Werden, G.J., and Froeb, L.M., 'Choosing among Tools for Assessing Unilateral Merger Effects', 7 *European Competition Journal*, 2011, 155–178.
- Werden, G.J., and Limarzi, K.C., 'Forward-looking Merger Analysis and the Superfluous Potential Competition Doctrine', 77 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2010, 109–143.
- Wessely, T., 'EU Merger Control at a Turning Point – the Court of First Instance's Schneider and Tetra Judgments', *Zwer*, 2003, 317–350.

- Whinston, M.D., *Lectures on Antitrust Economics*, MIT Press, 2006.
- Whish, R., *Competition Law*, Oxford University Press, 6th edn, 2009.
- Whish, R., *Competition Law*, LexisNexis, 5th edn, 2003.
- Whish, R., 'Recent Developments in Community Competition Law 1998/99', 20 *European Law Review*, 2000, 219–246.
- Williamson, O.E., 'Dominant Firms and the Monopoly Problem: Market Failure Considerations', *Harvard Law Review*, 1972, 1512–1531.
- Wils, W.P.J., 'Optimal Antitrust Fines: Theory and Practice', 29 *World Competition*, 2006, 183–208.
- Wils, W.P.J., 'The European Commission's 2006 Guidelines on Antitrust Fines: a Legal and Economic Analysis', 30 *World Competition*, 2007, 197–229.
- Winckler, A., and Hansen, M., 'Collective Dominance under the EC Merger Control Regulation', 30 *Common Market Law Review*, 1993, 787–828.
- Wind, E., 'Remedies and Sanctions in Article 82 of the EC Treaty', 26 *European Competition Law Review*, 2005, 659–668.
- Winerman, M., and Kovacic, W.E., 'Outpost Years for a Start-Up Agency: the FTC from 1921–1925', 77 *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2010, 145–203.
- Wolf, E., 'Cartel and Monopoly Legislation: Its Application in the European Economic Community', 11 *American Journal of Comparative Law*, 1962, 539–559.
- Wood, D.P., 'The US Antitrust Laws in a Global Context', *Columbia Business Law Review*, 2003, 265–281.
- Wright, K., 'Perfect Symmetry? Impala v. Commission and Standard of Proof in Mergers', 32 *European Law Review*, 2007, 408–418.
- Yang, C.W., Trumbull, W.N., Cushing, B.J., and Hwang, M.J., 'Do Price Increases While Demand Is Falling Indicate Collusion?', 7 *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 2011, 481–495.
- Yde, P., 'Non-Horizontal Merger Guidelines: a Solution in Search of a Problem?', 22 (Fall), *Antitrust*, 2007, 74–82.
- Ysewyn, J., and Caffarra, C., 'Two's Company, Three's a Crowd: the Future of Collective Dominance after the Kali & Salz Judgments', 19 *European Competition Law Review*, 1998, 468–472.
- Ysewyn, J., 'The New World of the Merger Task Force', 23 *European Competition Law Review* 2002, 207–209.
- Zhu, S., 'Converge? Diverge? A Comparison of Horizontal Merger Laws in the United States and European Union', 29 *World Competition*, 2006, 635–651.
- Zorzetto, S., *La norma speciale – Una nozione ingannevole*, ETS, 2011.

Zwick, R., and Rapoport, A., 'Tacit Coordination in a Decentralized Market Entry Game with Fixed Capacity', *Experimental Economics*, 2002, 253–272.

