

# EXTENDED TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i> Emil Paulis	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>European Court of Human Rights</i>	xiii
<i>European Union</i>	xiii
<i>Member States</i>	xv
<i>United States</i>	xix
 <i>Table of Legislation and Policy Documents</i>	 xxiii
<i>International Treaties</i>	xxiii
<i>Council of Europe</i>	xxiii
<i>European Union</i>	xxiii
<i>Member States</i>	xxix
<i>United States</i>	xxxii

## INTRODUCTION

I	COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT IN THE EU	0.07
II	THE COMMISSION'S PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT INITIATIVE	0.08
1.	THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK	
I	THE CASE LAW OF THE ECJ ON REMEDIES	1.01
II	THE MOVE FROM RIGHTS TO REMEDIES	1.07
	A Fixing the boundaries between remedial rules and procedural rules <i>sensu stricto</i>	1.15
III	APPLICATION TO DAMAGES ACTIONS FOR BREACH OF EU COMPETITION LAW	1.17
2.	THE UNDERLYING RIGHT TO DAMAGES	
I	THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE RIGHT TO DAMAGES AS A MATTER OF EU LAW: <i>COURAGE V CREHAN</i>	2.03
	A The proceedings in the English courts	2.03
	B The reference to the ECJ	2.12
	C Further developments: the <i>Manfredi</i> judgment	2.16
II	NATIONAL LAW: LEGAL BASES FOR DAMAGES ACTIONS FOR BREACH OF EU COMPETITION LAW	2.18
	A Common law	2.19
	1 England and Wales	2.19
	2 Ireland	2.22
	B Civil law	2.24
	1 Germanic systems of law	2.24
	a Denmark	2.24
	b Germany	2.26
	c Greece	2.33
	d Latvia	2.36
	e Lithuania	2.39
	f The Netherlands	2.44
	2 Romanistic systems of law	2.47
	a Belgium	2.47
	b France	2.50

c	Italy	2.55
d	Spain	2.60
C	The tortious nature of litigation for breach of EU competition law	2.62
D	The national law analysis: the fault requirement	2.68
3.	<b>INDIRECT PURCHASER STANDING AND PASSING-ON</b>	
I	THE THEORETICAL UNDERPINNINGS OF INDIRECT PURCHASER STANDING AND PASSING-ON	3.001
A	Indirect purchaser standing	3.012
B	The passing-on defence	3.022
II	EU LAW	3.028
A	Indirect purchaser standing	3.029
1	The Commission's position on indirect purchaser standing	3.032
B	The passing-on defence	3.037
1	The passing-on defence and unjust enrichment	3.044
2	The burden of proof to establish passing-on	3.053
3	Partial passing-on	3.061
4	The Commission's position on the passing-on defence	3.064
III	NATIONAL LAW	3.067
A	England and Wales	3.069
B	Germany	3.074
C	France	3.081
D	Italy	3.084
IV	INDIRECT PURCHASER STANDING AND PASSING-ON IN THE US	3.089
A	Antitrust injury	3.090
B	The passing-on defence under US law	3.092
C	Indirect purchaser standing: the rule in <i>Illinois Brick</i>	3.099
1	Exceptions to the rule in <i>Illinois Brick</i>	3.105
2	The efficiency of the rule in <i>Illinois Brick</i>	3.110
4.	<b>PROVING THE INFRINGEMENT</b>	
I	PRIVATE LITIGANTS' ACCESS TO PROBATIVE DOCUMENTS IN EU CARTEL DAMAGES ACTIONS	4.004
A	Access to documents held by the Commission	4.006
B	Access to documents held by a national competition authority	4.021
C	Access to documents held by the defendant: the <i>National Grid</i> proceedings before the English courts	4.036
1	Legitimate expectations	4.044
2	Proportionality	4.045
3	Documents ordered to be disclosed to National Grid	4.046
4	Further developments: the French blocking statute	4.049
D	Access to documents held by a third party	4.057
E	The Commission's proposals on evidence	4.059
F	The Commission's attempts to limit discoverability in US courts	4.061
II	THE EVIDENTIAL VALUE OF PRIOR ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS	4.071
A	Commission decisions: Article 16 of Regulation 1/2003	4.072
1	The judgment of the English Court of Appeal in <i>Crehan</i>	4.082
B	Commission decisions: Article 9 of Regulation 1/2003	4.086
C	NCA decisions	4.088
1	United Kingdom	4.090
2	Germany	4.104
3	Romanistic jurisdictions	4.109
5.	<b>FURTHER SUBSTANTIVE AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES</b>	
I	CAUSATION	5.03
II	MEASURE OF DAMAGES	5.07
A	Types of damage recoverable	5.08
B	Presumption of harm	5.13

1	EU law	5.17
2	National law	5.18
C	Exemplary damages	5.21
1	EU law	5.22
2	English law	5.24
III	LIMITATION PERIODS	5.47
A	EU law	5.47
B	National law	5.54
1	United Kingdom	5.55
2	Germany	5.62
IV	PROCEDURES FOR RECOURSE TO THE EXPERTISE OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES BY THE NATIONAL COURTS	5.64
A	EU law: consultation of the Commission	5.65
B	National law: consultation of the NCA	5.66
1	United Kingdom	5.67
2	Germany	5.68
3	France	5.69
4	Other jurisdictions	5.72
V	JOINT AND SEVERAL LIABILITY	5.75
6.	<b>COLLECTIVE ACTION</b>	
I	INTRODUCTION	6.001
A	The rationale for collective action	6.008
B	Terminology	6.014
C	Opt-in and opt-out collective action	6.015
D	Assignment of claims	6.033
II	COLLECTIVE ACTION AT EU LEVEL	6.034
A	Collective action as a matter of EU law	6.034
B	EU policy developments	6.041
1	The Green Paper	6.044
2	The White Paper	6.047
3	The draft Directive	6.051
4	The Commission's joint information note	6.055
5	The Commission's 2011 consultation paper	6.057
6	The Commission's proposal and 2013 collective redress initiative	6.061
III	COLLECTIVE ACTION IN THE MEMBER STATES	6.072
A	United Kingdom	6.073
1	Representative actions	6.076
2	GLOs	6.081
3	s47B of the Competition Act	6.084
4	Further policy developments	6.092
a	2006 DTI consultation	6.093
b	2007 OFT recommendations	6.096
c	2012 BIS consultation	6.098
d	2013 BIS response	6.106
B	The Netherlands	6.110
1	Article 3:305a Burgerlijk Wetboek	6.111
2	Bundled claims	6.113
3	Wet Collectieve Afhandeling Massaschade, 2005	6.117
C	Denmark	6.127
D	Sweden	6.135
E	France	6.142
F	Italy	6.148
G	Assignment of claims	6.150
IV	CLASS ACTIONS IN THE UNITED STATES	6.157
A	Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	6.158
B	The Class Action Fairness Act of 2005	6.169

<b>7. PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW ASPECTS</b>	
I CHOICE OF COURT	7.005
A The governing law: Regulation 44/2001	7.006
B Variety of choices of court	7.010
C The application of Regulation 44/2001 to parties domiciled outside the EU	7.013
D General jurisdiction: Article 2(1)	7.016
E Special jurisdiction: Article 5(3) and Article 6(1)	7.018
F Founding jurisdiction in tortious actions: Article 5(3)	7.023
1 The application of the <i>Bier/Shevill</i> jurisprudence to competition-based litigation	7.026
2 Article 5(3) as a basis for torpedo litigation	7.037
G Consolidation of claims: Article 6(1)	7.042
1 The English authorities on Article 6(1)	7.043
a Interpretation of the EU law concept of 'undertaking'	7.045
b Causation	7.050
2 The application of Article 6(1) by the Dutch courts	7.052
H <i>Lis pendens</i>	7.053
1 <i>Lis pendens</i> I: Article 27	7.055
2 <i>Lis pendens</i> II: Article 28	7.063
a Irreconcilability for the purposes of Article 28(3)	7.066
b Connected but not identical actions	7.068
c The English authorities on Article 28	7.072
d Article 28 and the Commission proposal	7.077
3 The application of <i>lis pendens</i> to parties domiciled outside the EU	7.081
I The review of Regulation 44/2001	7.083
1 <i>Lis pendens</i>	7.083
2 Litigation involving parties domiciled outside the EU	7.086
II APPLICABLE LAW	7.092
A The Rome II Regulation and the <i>lex fori</i> approach	7.094
1 The general rule: Article 4	7.097
2 Competition-based litigation: Article 6(3)	7.099
a Functioning of Article 6(3)(b)	7.102
b US law	7.112
3 The desirability of the <i>lex fori</i> approach	7.114
B Alternative solutions: the effects-based approach	7.119
1 Article 6(3)(a) of the Rome II Regulation	7.122
2 Problems with the effects-based approach: <i>Mosaikbetrachtung</i>	7.124
3 <i>Mosaikbetrachtung</i> as a concurrent matter of jurisdiction and applicable law	7.128
<b>8. QUANTIFICATION OF ANTITRUST DAMAGES</b>	
<i>By Frank Maier-Rigaud and Ulrich Schwalbe</i>	
I INTRODUCTION	8.001
II DAMAGE CAUSED BY CARTELS AND ABUSIVE CONDUCT	8.005
A Damage caused by cartels	8.010
1 Types of damage caused by cartels	8.010
2 Economic actors damaged by cartels	8.020
a Upstream effects	8.024
b Downstream effects	8.029
c Effects on producers of complements	8.032
d Summary of effects analysis	8.033
3 Illustration	8.036
B Damage caused by abusive conduct	8.044
1 Types of damage caused by exploitative and exclusionary conduct	8.045
2 Economic actors damaged by exploitative and exclusionary conduct	8.049
III QUANTIFICATION OF DAMAGES	8.052
A Quantification of damages in cartel cases	8.053
1 Time series analysis	8.055
2 Cross-sectional analysis	8.061
3 Difference-in-differences method	8.065
4 Simulated comparator markets	8.067

## Extended Table of Contents

---

5	Cost-based and profitability-based approaches	8.069
B	Damage quantification in abusive conduct cases	8.074
1	Damage caused by abusive behaviour and the counterfactual scenario	8.074
a	Exploitative abuse	8.075
b	Exclusionary abuse	8.078
2	Illustration: quantification of damages in case of an exclusionary abuse	8.084
a	Phase one	8.086
b	Phase two	8.088
c	Phase three	8.090
C	Further aspects of damages quantification	8.095
1	Compounding and discounting damages	8.095
2	Estimation of after-effects	8.099
3	Accuracy of statistical methods	8.101
4	Remoteness and causality	8.104
IV	CONCLUSIONS	8.109
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	259
	<i>Index</i>	263