

INDEX

- abusive conduct 8.005–8.009, 8.044–8.051
 - damage caused by abusive
 - behaviour/counterfactual scenario 8.074–8.083
 - exclusionary abuse 8.078–8.083
 - exploitative abuse 8.075–8.077
 - economic actors damaged by
 - exploitative/exclusionary conduct 8.049–8.051
 - competitors as key victims 8.051
 - exclusionary abusive practice, parties harmed by 8.050
 - exploitative abusive practice, parties harmed by 8.049
 - purchasers of dominant firm harmed 8.051
- exclusionary abuse, types of damage caused by 8.048
- exploitative conduct, types of damage caused by 8.045–8.051
 - positive effects on competition 8.047
 - positive effects for purchasers through profit sacrifice 8.046
 - price discrimination effect on welfare 8.047
 - tying, effects of 8.047
 - welfare implications 8.045
- exploitative or exclusionary conduct 8.008
 - abuse of a dominant position 8.044
 - increases in prices 8.044
- illustration: quantification of damages in exclusionary abuse case 8.084–8.094
 - actors affected by exclusionary abuse 8.085
 - damage estimation in exclusionary abuse complex 8.084
 - phase one 8.086–8.087
 - phase two 8.088–8.089
 - phase three 8.090–8.094
 - primary goal to increase profits by softening competition 8.006, 8.008
 - quantifying damages in abusive conduct cases 8.074–8.094
 - damage caused by abusive behaviour/counterfactual scenario 8.074–8.083
 - illustration: quantification of damages in exclusionary abuse case 8.084–8.094
 - quantifying damages by
 - counterfactual/but-for scenario 8.009
 - restricted competition leading to welfare losses 8.005
- applicable law 7.092–7.130
 - competition-based litigation/Art 6(3) 7.099–7.101
 - no derogation 7.101
 - desirability of *lex fori* approach 7.114
 - reservations against 7.114–7.118
 - effects-based approach/Art 6(3)(a) 7.119–7.123
 - basis of 7.121
 - effects-based approach, problems with 7.124–7.127
 - functioning of Art 6(3)(b) 7.102–7.111, 7.119
 - application 7.104
 - ‘direct and substantial effect’, meaning of 7.106–7.110
 - limitations on application of *lex fori* 7.103–7.105
 - US law, compared 7.112–7.113
- general rule/Art 4 7.097–7.098, 7.123
 - tort claims, approaches to 7.098
- Mosaikbetrachtung*
 - concurrent matter of jurisdiction/applicable law, as 7.128–7.130
 - effects-based approach, problems with: 7.124–7.127

- Rome II Regulation and *lex fori* approach 7.094–7.096
 - Commission proposal for harmonisation 7.094–7.095
 - see also* private international law aspects
- Ashurst study 0.09, 0.10
- assignment of claims 6.033, 6.150–6.156
 - assignment model as synergistic approach to litigation 6.151
- Austria
 - courts as ‘specialist authorities’ 5.64
 - documentary evidence, access to 4.028–4.030, 4.032
- autonomy *see under* Member States
- Belgium
 - basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.47–2.49
 - collective action scheme absent 6.072
 - NCA, consultation of 5.72
- Bernheim, B. Douglas 8.058
- Brussels Convention (1968) 7.006, 7.007
- burden of proof
 - infringement, proving 4.002
 - see also* proving the infringement
 - passing-on in EU law 3.053–3.060
 - burden on defendant 3.053–3.055, 3.058–3.059
 - question of fact, as 3.056–3.058
 - shifting 3.056
 - presumption of harm 5.13–5.14
 - see also under* individual countries
- cartels
 - Commission settling 4.003
 - contribution 5.79–5.80
 - damage caused by cartels 8.005–8.043
 - economic actors damaged by cartels 8.020–8.035
 - harm inflicted by cartels, estimation of 8.002
 - higher prices and lower quantities resulting 8.007
 - illustration 8.036–8.043
 - price/quantity effects accruing in vertical value chain 8.036–8.043
 - restricted competition leading to welfare losses 8.005
 - types of damage caused by cartels 8.010–8.019
 - economic actors damaged by cartels 8.020–8.035
 - downstream effects 8.029–8.031
 - effects on producers of complements 8.032
 - horizontal effects 8.021
 - non-horizontal and non-vertical effects 8.021
 - range of economic actors affected by anti-competitive conduct 8.023
 - summary of effects analysis 8.033–8.035
 - upstream effects 8.024–8.028
 - vertical effects 8.021
- estimating number of cartels 8.002
- primary goal to increase profits by softening competition 8.006–8.007
- private litigants *see* private litigants’ access to documents in EU cartel actions
- quantification of damages in cartel cases 8.053–8.073
 - constructing counterfactual scenario 8.054
 - cost-based approach *see* cost-based approach
 - cross-sectional analysis *see* cross-sectional analysis
 - difference-in differences method *see* difference-in differences method
 - nature of counterfactual scenario 8.053
 - profitability-based approach *see* profitability-based approach
 - quantifying damages by counterfactual/ but-for scenario 8.009
 - simulated comparator markets *see* simulated comparator markets
 - time series analysis *see* time series analysis
- types of damage caused by cartels 8.010–8.019
 - cartel-induced price increases, substantial variance in 8.019
 - cartelisation leading to transfer of wealth/ inefficiencies 8.010–8.012
 - extent of price increase or quantity reduction 8.014–8.015

- magnitude of damage dependent on
 - range of factors 8.013
- market characteristics, cartels changing
 - 8.017
- mark-ups/overcharge calculations,
 - empirical studies on 8.018
- productive and dynamic inefficiencies
 - 8.016, 8.017
- quantity effects neglected 8.018
- causation 5.03–5.06
 - but-for test 5.03
 - choice of court 7.050–7.051
 - complexity of issue 5.06
- choice of court *see under* private international
 - law aspects
- Civil Justice Council 6.026
- civil law damages actions for breach of EU
 - competition law 2.24–2.61
 - Germanic systems of law 2.24–2.46
 - Denmark 2.24–2.25
 - Germany 2.26–2.32
 - Greece 2.33–2.35
 - Latvia 2.36–2.38
 - Lithuania 2.39–2.43
 - Netherlands 2.44–2.46
 - Romanistic systems of law 2.47–2.61
 - Belgium 2.47–2.49
 - France 2.50–2.54
 - Italy 2.55–2.59
 - Spain 2.60–2.61
- collective action/redress 6.001–6.173
 - assignment of claims 6.033, 6.150–6.156
 - collective action at EU level 6.034–6.071
 - collective action as a matter of EU law
 - 6.034–6.040
 - EU policy developments 6.041–6.071
 - collective action as matter of EU law
 - 6.034–6.040
 - consumer injunctions 6.038
 - data protection rules 6.040
 - IPRs protection Directive 6.039
 - unfair terms in consumer contracts 6.037
- effective complement to public
 - enforcement, as 6.002, 6.003
- EU policy developments 6.041–6.071
 - Commission's consultation paper (2011)
 - 6.057–6.060
 - Commission's joint information note
 - 6.055–6.056
 - Commission proposal/2013 collective
 - redress initiative 6.061–6.071
 - draft Directive 6.051–6.054
 - Green Paper 6.044–6.046
 - preference for horizontal approach 6.043
 - White Paper 6.047–6.050
- Member States, collective action in
 - 6.072–6.156
 - collective action introduced 6.004
 - collective action schemes, absence of
 - 6.072
 - Denmark 6.127–6.134
 - divergent systems as obstacle to
 - inter-Member State action 6.004
 - effective redress not always provided
 - 6.006
 - France 6.142–6.147
 - Italy 6.148–6.149
 - limitations on who can bring claims
 - 6.005
 - Netherlands *see under* Netherlands
 - opt-in and opt-out systems 6.005, 6.017
 - Sweden 6.135–6.141
 - UK *see under* United Kingdom
- opt-in collective action 6.005, 6.015–6.024
 - advantages 6.019–6.021
 - drawbacks 6.022–6.024
 - EU policy preference for 6.017
 - nature of 6.018
 - standing 6.015, 6.016
- opt-out collective action 6.005,
 - 6.025–6.032
 - advantages 6.026
 - disadvantages 6.027–6.032
 - nature of 6.025–6.026
 - standing 6.015, 6.016
- rationale for collective action 6.008–6.013
 - administrative advantages 6.012
 - compensation and deterrence objectives,
 - attaining 6.013
 - correlation between no collective action/
 - sub-optimal enforcement 6.008
 - importance in balancing resources/
 - claimants' bargaining positions
 - 6.010

- positive impact on litigation by
 - consolidating actions 6.009
 - 'rational apathy problem', overcoming 6.009
 - tactical litigation risk, avoiding 6.011
- terminology 6.014
- US, class actions in *see under* United States (US)
- comity principle
 - nature of 4.066
 - resisting disclosure, reliance on 4.065–4.067
- Commission, EU
 - cartel cases
 - extensive resources required 4.003
 - settling under settlement programme 4.003
 - see also* cartels
 - collective action 5.23
 - consultation paper (2011) 6.057–6.060
 - draft Directive 6.051–6.054
 - Green Paper 6.044–6.046
 - Impact Assessment 6.027
 - joint information note 6.055–6.056
 - minimal harmonised requirements, proposals for 6.041
 - opt-out system, main arguments against 6.027
 - policy initiatives 6.003
 - proposal/2013 collective redress initiative 0.10, 6.061–6.071
 - recommendation 0.10, 6.003, 6.013, 6.043, 6.061–6.068
 - White Paper 6.047–6.050
 - see also* collective action/redress
 - consultation of 5.65
 - decisions of Commission/Art 9
 - Regulation 1/2003 4.086–4.087
 - commitment decisions, nature of 4.086
 - evidential value 4.087
 - not proof of infringement in follow-on actions 4.087
 - decisions of Commission/Art 16
 - Regulation 1/2003 4.072–4.081
 - effect of Art 16(1) 4.074
 - interconnection between procedural aspects/appeal on substance 4.079–4.081
 - judgment of English Court of Appeal in *Crehan* 4.082–4.085
 - negative duty of abstention 4.074
 - scope of rule 4.075–4.078
 - see also* evidential value of prior administrative decisions
 - disclosure
 - Commission documents, access to *see under* documentary evidence, access to
 - documents held by national competition authorities 4.032–4.034
 - limiting discoverability in US courts *see under* United States (US)
 - proposals for disclosure 4.059–4.060
 - draft Directive
 - burden of proof to establish passing-on 3.054
 - collective action 6.003, 6.051–6.054, 6.069–6.070
 - indirect purchaser standing 3.033–3.034
 - limitation periods 5.47, 5.48
 - passing-on defence 3.065
 - withdrawal 0.09–0.10
 - exemplary damages 5.23
 - Green Paper 0.09, 5.23, 5.82
 - collective action 6.044–6.046
 - harmonisation of rules on non-contractual obligations 7.094–7.095
 - indirect purchaser standing 3.032–3.036
 - rebuttable presumption of passing-on 3.034–3.036
 - stand-alone and follow-on actions 3.034
 - leniency programmes *see* leniency/leniency programmes
 - national courts, cooperation with 7.008
 - passing-on defence 3.064–3.066
 - private enforcement initiative 0.08–0.14
 - Ashurst study 0.09, 0.10
 - proposal, legislative 0.10, 3.054, 3.068
 - burden of proof to establish passing-on 3.054
 - causation 5.06
 - contribution *see under* contribution

- damages, measure of 5.07, 5.12
- documents held by national competition authority, disclosure of 4.032–4.034
- evidence proposals 4.059–4.060
- indirect purchaser standing 3.035
- limitation periods 5.49–5.53
- NCA decisions, evidential value of 4.088
- partial passing-on 3.063
- passing-on defence 3.066
- Regulation 44/2001
- Art 28, Commission proposal and 7.077–7.080
- Commission review of 7.083–7–7.085
- White Paper 0.09, 2.63
- burden of proof to establish passing-on 3.054
- collective action 6.047–6.050
- indirect purchaser standing 3.032, 3.033
- passing-on defence 3.064
- common law damages actions for breach of
- EU competition law 2.19–2.23
- England and Wales 2.19–2.21
- Ireland 2.22–2.23
- compensation as policy objective
- collective action 6.002, 6.013
- indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.005, 3.008
- competition culture 6.002, 6.042
- competition enforcement in the EU 0.07
- antitrust enforcement by public enforcement authority 0.04, 0.07, 6.001
- decentralisation of enforcement of antitrust rules 0.08
- private enforcement *see* private enforcement
- public enforcement, meaning of 0.07
- see also* public authorities/public enforcement
- modernisation of 0.08
- compounding and discounting damages 8.095–8.098
- Connor, John M. 8.018
- consumers
- collective action *see* collective action/redress
- consumer injunctions 6.038
- unfair terms in consumer contracts 6.037
- contribution
- Commission's proposal on contribution 5.78–5.87
- cartel settlements 5.79–5.80
- determining contribution 5.78
- leniency, application to *see under* leniency/leniency programmes
- national law system of contribution 5.78
- immunity recipients, contribution claims against 5.86
- joint and several liability 5.76–5.80, 5.86
- UK 5.77
- cost-based approach 8.069–8.071
- comparator-based approaches, as 8.069
- variable costs 8.069–8.070
- counterfactual scenarios *see under* abusive conduct; cartels
- cross-sectional analysis 8.061–8.064
- advantages and disadvantages 8.064
- nature of 8.062
- regression techniques 8.063
- Cyprus
- collective action scheme absent 6.072
- exemplary damages 5.24
- Czech Republic
- collective action scheme absent 6.072
- damages, measure of 5.07–5.46
- Commission proposal 5.07
- exemplary damages 5.21–5.46
- EU law 5.22–5.23
- national law 5.24–5.46
- nature of 5.21
- UK *see under* United Kingdom (UK)
- presumption of harm 5.13–5.20
- burden of proof on defendant 5.13–5.14
- EU law 5.17
- impact of 5.14–5.16
- national law 5.18–5.20
- types of damage recoverable 5.08–5.12
- 'lost sales effect' 5.08–5.10
- pecuniary loss 5.08
- restitutio in integrum* (actual loss/loss of profit) 5.11–5.12, 5.24, 5.34
- damages, quantification of 8.001–8.112

- abusive conduct, damages caused by *see*
 - under* abusive conduct
- approach for determining damage,
 - comparator-based 8.052
- cartels, damages caused by *see under* cartels
- damages caused by abusive
 - behaviour/counterfactual scenario 8.074–8.083
 - exclusionary abuse 8.078–8.083
 - exploitative abuse 8.075–8.077
- further aspects of damage quantification 8.095–8.100
- accuracy of statistical methods 8.101–8.103
- compounding and discounting damages 8.095–8.098
- estimation of after-effects 8.099–8.100
- remoteness and causality 8.104–8.108
- illustration: quantification of damages in
 - exclusionary abuse case 8.084–8.094
- actors affected by exclusionary abuse 8.085
- damage estimation in exclusionary abuse
 - complex 8.084
 - phase one 8.086–8.087
 - phase two 8.088–8.089
 - phase three 8.090–8.094
- magnitude of damage caused by
 - competition law violations 8.002
- need to calculate specific level of damages for each victim 8.052
- quantification of damages in abusive
 - conduct cases 8.074–8.094
 - damage caused by abusive behaviour/counterfactual scenario 8.074–8.083
 - illustration: quantification of damages in exclusionary abuse case 8.084–8.094
- quantification of damages in cartel cases 8.053–8.073
- constructing counterfactual scenario 8.054
- cost-based approach *see* cost-based approach
- cross-sectional analysis *see* cross-sectional analysis
- difference-in differences method *see* difference-in differences method
- nature of counterfactual scenario 8.053
- profitability-based approach *see*
 - profitability-based approach
- quantifying damages by counterfactual/
 - but-for scenario 8.009
- simulated comparator markets *see*
 - simulated comparator markets
- time series analysis *see* time series analysis
- damages, underlying right to 2.01–2.71
 - national law bases for damages actions for
 - breach of EU competition law 2.18–2.71
 - civil law 2.24–2.61
 - see also* civil law damages actions for
 - breach of EU competition law
 - common law 2.19–2.23
 - see also* common law damages actions for
 - breach of EU competition law
 - national law analysis: the fault requirement 2.68–2.71
 - tortious nature of litigation for breach of EU competition law 2.62–2.68, 7.004
- right to damages as matter of EU law:
 - Crehan* 2.03–2.17
 - further developments: *Manfredi* judgment 2.16–2.17
 - proceedings in the English courts 2.03–2.11
 - UK reference to ECJ 2.12–2.15
- data protection 6.040
- deference
 - deference to Commission decisions 4.082–4.083
 - loyal cooperation principle 4.082
- Denmark
 - basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.24–2.25
 - choice of court 7.007
 - collective action 6.127–6.134
 - hybrid collective action system 6.017
- deterrence as policy objective
 - collective action 6.002, 6.010, 6.013
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.006, 3.008, 3.009, 3.016
- difference-in differences method 8.065–8.066
- data constraints 8.086

- disadvantages 8.066
- nature of 8.065
- 'direct and substantial effect', meaning of
 - 7.106–7.110
 - US 7.112–7.113
- direct effect 1.14, 2.08, 3.029
- discounting and compounding damages
 - 8.095–8.098
- documentary evidence, access to
 - access to documents held by Commission
 - 4.006–4.020
 - cartel damages actions 4.008–4.020
 - access to documents held by defendants
 - 4.036–4.056
 - civil law systems 4.036
 - common law system 4.036, 4.037–4.056
 - access to documents held by national
 - competition authorities 4.021–4.035
 - Commission proposal on disclosure
 - 4.032–4.033
 - national courts, role of 4.025, 4.029,
 - 4.034
 - access to documents held by third parties
 - 4.057–4.058
 - Commission's proposals on evidence
 - 4.059–4.060
 - proposal to introduce common law
 - system 4.059
 - role of national courts 4.060
 - Evidence Regulation 4.050–4.056
 - formal discovery system in EU law absent
 - 4.006, 4.036
 - leniency programmes *see under*
 - leniency/leniency programmes
 - public access to documents held by EU
 - institutions 4.006–4.007, 4.036
 - exceptions to general right of access
 - 4.007, 4.012–4.020
 - rules on 4.007
- domicile of parties
 - inside the EU 7.007, 7.016–7.019, 7.042
 - outside the EU 7.013–7.015
 - application of *lis pendens* 7.081–7.082
 - litigation involving parties outside EU
 - 7.086–7.091
- dominant position, abuse of *see under* abusive
 - conduct
- effectiveness principle 1.01, 1.03
 - causation 5.06
- collective action systems discouraging
 - claims 6.006
- damages, measure of 5.07, 5.12
 - compensatory damages 5.34
 - limitation periods 5.47
- effet utile* 2.15
- equivalence principle 1.01, 1.03
 - causation 5.06
 - limitation periods 5.47
- Estonia
 - collective action scheme absent 6.072
 - NCA, consultation of 5.74
- European Convention of Human Rights
 - (ECHR)
 - access to justice (Art 6) 6.030
- European Court of Justice (ECJ)
 - case law on remedies 1.01–1.06
 - collective action 6.034–6.035
 - documentary evidence *see* documentary
 - evidence, access to
 - establishment of right to damages as
 - matter of EU law: *Crehan* 2.12–2.15
 - further developments: *Manfredi*
 - judgment 2.16–2.17
 - indirect purchaser standing 3.029–3.030
 - exemplary damages 5.22
 - founding jurisdiction in tortious actions
 - 7.023–7.025
 - application of *Bier/Shevill* jurisprudence
 - 7.026–7.036
 - Art 5(3) as basis for torpedo litigation
 - 7.037–7.041
 - negative duty of abstention, doubtful cases
 - of 4.074
 - no jurisdiction in private party actions for
 - breach of competition law 1.02
 - passing-on defence 3.037–3.043
 - partial passing-on 3.061–3.063
 - unjust enrichment, and 3.037,
 - 3.044–3.052
 - references to ECJ by national courts 5.65
 - teleological style of argumentation 2.07
- Evidence Regulation 4.050–4.056
- evidential value of prior administrative
 - decisions 4.071–4.109

- Commission decisions/Art 9 Regulation
 - 1/2003 4.086–4.087
 - commitment decisions, nature of 4.086
 - evidential value 4.087
 - not proof of infringement in follow-on actions 4.087
- Commission decisions/Art 16 Regulation
 - 1/2003 4.072–4.081
 - effect of Art 16(1) 4.074
 - interconnection between procedural aspects/appeal on substance 4.079–4.081
 - judgment of English Court of Appeal in *Crehan* 4.082–4.085
 - negative duty of abstention 4.074
 - scope of rule 4.075–4.078
- NCA decisions 4.088–4.109
 - Commission proposal 4.088
 - Germany 4.104–4.108
 - no rule as to evidential value in private litigation 4.088, 4.089
 - Romanistic jurisdictions 4.109
 - UK 4.090–4.103
- prior administrative infringement decision as proof of infringement 4.071
- evidentiary issues *see* proving the infringement
- exclusionary abuse *see under* abusive conduct
- exemplary damages *see under* damages, measure of
- exploitative abuse *see under* abusive conduct

- Finland
 - NCA, consultation of 5.73
- forum non conveniens* doctrine 7.008, 7.009
- France
 - basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.50–2.54
 - competition law damages actions as claims in tort 2.68
 - fault requirement for non-contractual claim satisfied by illegality 2.70
 - collective action 6.142–6.147
 - disclosure
 - documentary evidence, access to 4.031
 - French blocking statute 4.049–4.055
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.081–3.083
 - burden of proof 3.083
 - admissibility of passing-on defence 3.083
 - NCA, consultation of 5.69–5.71
 - NCA decisions, evidential value of 4.109
- Germany
 - basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.26–2.32
 - competition law damages actions as claims in tort 2.68
 - intention or negligence, proof of 2.28, 2.71
 - collective action
 - assignment of claims 6.152–6.155
 - opt-out actions prohibited 6.029
 - documentary evidence, access to 4.021–4.027
 - exemplary damages 5.24
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.074–3.080
 - availability of 3.075–3.078
 - burden of proof 3.079–3.080
 - limitation periods 5.48, 5.62–5.63
 - suspending 5.62, 5.63
 - NCA, consultation of 5.68
 - NCA decisions, evidential value of 4.104–4.108
 - courts bound by decisions 4.104–4.105
 - presumption of harm 5.18
 - Schutznorm* concept 2.08
- Greece
 - basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.33–2.35
- harm, presumption of *see under* damages, measure of
- harmonisation of remedies 1.09, 1.15
 - draft proposal for harmonising regulation 1.16
- Hovenkamp, Herbert 3.015
- Hungary
 - damages/presumption of loss 5.19
- indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.001–3.111
 - EU law 3.014, 3.018, 3.028–3.066, 3.068
 - burden of proof to establish passing-on *see under* burden of proof

- Commission's position on indirect standing *see under* Commission, EU
- indirect purchaser standing 3.014, 3.029–3.031
- partial passing-on 3.061–3.063
- passing-on defence 3.037–3.043
- passing-on defence and unjust enrichment 3.037, 3.044–3.052
- indirect purchaser, definition of 3.012
- indirect purchaser standing 3.001, 3.011–3.021
- EU approach 3.014, 3.018, 3.029–3.031, 3.068
- evidentiary obstacles, overcoming 3.019–3.020
- importance of 3.013
- judicial and academic controversy 3.014–3.017
- remoteness of claims 3.013
- representative/class actions 3.018
- national law 3.067–3.088
- England and Wales 3.069–3.073
- France 3.081–3.083
- Germany 3.074–3.080
- Italy 3.084–3.088
- passing-on defence 3.022–3.027
- consequences of invoking defence 3.024–3.027
- consequences of not recognising defence 3.025
- passing-on, nature of 3.023
- unjust enrichment, avoiding 3.025
- theoretical underpinnings 3.001–3.027
- awarding damages to different levels of claimants 3.001–3.003, 3.009
- clash of policy objectives 3.004, 3.008–3.010
- compensation/fairness as policy objective 3.005, 3.008
- deterrence as policy objective 3.006, 3.008, 3.009, 3.016
- economical litigation as policy objective 3.007, 3.008
- indirect purchaser standing 3.001, 3.011–3.021
- passing-on defence 3.022–3.027
- US *see under* United States (US)
- infringement, proving *see* proving the infringement
- IPRs protection Directive 6.039
- Ireland
- basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.22–2.23
- courts as 'specialist authorities' 5.64
- disclosure/discovery of documents 4.036
- exemplary damages 5.24
- Italy
- basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.55–2.59
- collective action 6.148–6.149
- indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.084–3.088
- claims by indirect purchasers in theory applicable 3.087
- defence of passing-on not specifically recognised 3.085–3.086
- NCA decisions, evidential value of 4.109
- joint and several liability 5.75–5.87
- contribution 5.76–5.80, 5.86
- UK 5.77
- Commission's proposal on contribution 5.78–5.87
- cartel settlements 5.79–5.80
- determining contribution 5.78
- leniency, application to *see under* leniency/leniency programmes
- national law system of contribution 5.78
- meaning of 5.75
- Lande, Robert H. 8.018
- Landes, William M. 3.009, 3.010, 3.016
- Latvia
- basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.36–2.38
- collective action scheme absent 6.072
- legal framework 1.01–1.18
- application to damages actions for breach of EU competition law 1.17–1.18
- case law of ECJ on remedies 1.01–1.06
- doctrines of equivalence and minimum effectiveness 1.01, 1.03
- private actions for breach of EU competition law 1.05, 1.06
- rights to remedies, move from 1.07–1.16

- direct rights 1.11–1.13, 1.17
- directly effective rights 1.14
- distinguishing between rights and remedies 1.09
- fixing boundaries between remedial/procedural rules *sensu stricto* 1.15–1.16
- procedural autonomy principle 1.07
- remedies and pure rights and obligations 1.11, 1.12, 1.13
- rights to enforce 1.10–1.13, 1.17
- leniency/leniency programmes 4.007, 4.011, 4.023–4.024
 - balancing exercise to be carried out 4.029, 4.034
 - Commission proposal 4.032
 - Commission's attempts to limit discovery in US courts 4.061–4.070
 - importance of leniency programmes recognised 4.067–4.069
 - reliance on comity 4.065–4.067, 4.070
 - joint and several liability 5.81–5.87
 - conditional rebate 5.82
 - contribution claims against immunity recipients 5.86
 - immunity recipients, liability of 5.85
 - immunity recipients, protection afforded to 5.84
 - leniency documents not held by third parties 4.057
 - national leniency programmes 4.042–4.048
 - policy considerations behind leniency 5.32
 - safeguarding effectiveness of 4.024–4.027, 4.030
- lex fori*
 - desirability of *lex fori* approach 7.114
 - reservations against 7.114–7.118
 - limitations on application of *lex fori* 7.103–7.105
 - Rome II Regulation, and 7.094–7.096
 - Commission proposal for harmonisation 7.094–7.095
- liability
 - immunity recipients, liability of 5.85
 - joint and several *see* joint and several liability
 - strict liability 2.69, 2.70
 - limitation periods 5.47–5.63
 - EU law 5.47–5.53
 - proposal, limitation period in 5.49–5.53
 - rules on limitation periods determined by national law 5.47, 5.54
 - national law
 - Germany 5.48, 5.62–5.63
 - UK 5.48, 5.50, 5.55–5.61
 - lis pendens*
 - application to parties domiciled outside the EU 7.081–7.082
 - forum shopping 7.053
 - multiple litigation, addressing 7.053
 - Regulation 44/2001, Art 27 of 7.054, 7.055–7.062, 7.063, 7.064
 - connected but not identical actions 7.070–7.071
 - Regulation 44/2001, Art 28 of 7.012, 7.054, 7.063–7.065
 - Commission proposal, and 7.077–7.080
 - connected but not identical actions 7.068–7.069
 - English authorities on 7.072–7.076
 - irreconcilability for purposes of Art 28(3) 7.066–7.067
 - Regulation 44/2001, Commission review of 7.083–7.085
 - Lithuania
 - basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.39–2.43
 - loyal/sincere cooperation principle 2.07
 - deference to Commission decisions 4.082
 - Luxembourg
 - collective action scheme absent 6.072
 - measure of damages *see* damages, measure of
 - Member States
 - autonomy in procedure and remedies 1.01, 1.07, 1.15, 6.004
 - equivalence and minimum effectiveness conditions 1.01
 - general obligation to ensure effectiveness of EU law 1.01, 1.04
 - harmonisation of remedies 1.09, 1.15
 - collective action in Member States 6.072–6.156

- collective action introduced 6.004
- collective action schemes, absence of
6.072
- Denmark 6.127–6.134
- divergent systems as obstacle to
inter-Member State action 6.004
- effective redress not always provided
6.006
- France 6.142–6.147
- Italy 6.148–6.149
- limitations on who can bring claims
6.005
- Netherlands *see under* Netherlands
- opt-in and opt-out systems 6.005, 6.017
- Sweden 6.135–6.141
- UK *see under* United Kingdom
- see also* collective action/redress
- damages actions for breach of EU
competition law *see* damages,
underlying right to
- EU law ensuring effective protection of
individuals' rights against 1.05
- indirect purchaser standing/passing-on *see*
indirect purchaser standing and
passing-on
- national competition authorities *see*
national competition authorities
(NCAs)
- national courts *see* national courts
- national law *see* individual countries
- minimum effectiveness *see* effectiveness
principle
- national competition authorities (NCAs) 0.08,
4.004
- access to documents held by *see under*
documentary evidence, access to
- consultation of 5.66–5.74
- France 5.69–5.71
- Germany 5.68
- other jurisdictions 5.72–5.74
- UK 5.67
- evidential value of prior administrative
decisions 4.088–4.109
- Commission proposal 4.088
- Germany 4.104–4.108
- no rule as to evidential value in private
litigation 4.088, 4.089
- Romanistic jurisdictions 4.109
- UK 4.090–4.103
- see also* evidential value of prior
administrative decisions
- national courts 0.08
- Commission decisions, and *see* evidential
value of prior administrative decisions
- Commission's proposals on evidence
4.059–4.060
- cooperation with Commission 7.008
- direct effect 1.14
- disclosure applications
balancing exercise in 4.029, 4.034
wide margin of discretion in 4.025
- expertise, recourse to *see* recourse to
expertise of public authorities by
national courts
- giving effect to EU law rights and
obligations 1.01
- private damages claims 1.05, 1.06
- references to ECJ 5.65
- national law *see* individual countries
- ne bis in idem* principle 5.29–5.30
- Netherlands
- basis of damages actions for breach of EU
competition law 2.44–2.46
- choice of court/application of Art 6(1)
7.052
- collective action 6.110–6.126
- Art 3:305a Burgerlijk Wetboek
6.111–6.112
- assignment of claims 6.156
- bundled claims 6.113–6.116
- Wet Collectieve Afhandeling
Massaschade (2005) 6.117–6.126
- Commission decisions/Art 16 Regulation
1/2003 4.079–4.081
- opt-out collective action system 6.017
- passing-on *see* indirect purchaser standing and
passing-on
- Portugal
- opt-out collective action system 6.017
- Posner, Richard A. 3.009, 3.010, 3.016, 8.018

- presumption of harm *see under* damages,
measure of
- prices *see under* abusive conduct; cartels
- prior administrative decisions *see* evidential
value of prior administrative decisions
- private enforcement
Commission's private enforcement
initiative *see under* Commission, EU
damages
actions for breach of EU law committed
by another individual 1.05, 1.06
measure of damages *see* damages, measure
of
- EU law not providing substantive or
procedural rules in private disputes
1.02, 1.04
- increased interest in 0.08
- indirect purchasers *see* indirect purchaser
standing and passing-on
- individual rights
access to court and to class of action to
protect right 1.10
direct rights 1.11–1.13, 1.17
establishing causal relationship,
difficulties of 3.030
see also causation
rights to enforce 1.10–1.13, 1.17
meaning of 0.07
obligations imposed on private
undertakings 1.08, 1.18
procedural issues in private actions 0.12,
0.13
proliferation of competition law damages
actions likely 0.13
proving the infringement *see* proving the
infringement
settlement of actions before final decision,
frequent 0.11
- private international law aspects 7.001–7.130
applicable law *see* applicable law
choice of court 7.005–7.091
Art 5(3) as basis for torpedo litigation
7.037–7.041
Bier/Shevill jurisprudence and
competition-based litigation
7.026–7.036
causation 7.050–7.051
choices of court, variety of 7.010–7.012
Commission proposal and Art 28
7.077–7.080
connected but not identical actions
7.068–7.071
consolidation of claims/Art 6(1) 7.012,
7.042, 7.065
Dutch courts' application of Art 6(1)
7.052
English authorities on Art 6(1) 7.043
founding jurisdiction in tortious actions/
Art 5(3) 7.023–7.025
general jurisdiction/Art 2(1) 7.016–7.017
governing law: Regulation 44/2001
7.006–7.009
lis pendens see lis pendens
litigation involving parties domiciled
outside EU 7.086–7.091
parties domiciled outside the EU and
Regulation 44/2001 7.013–7.015
Regulation 44/2001, Commission review
of 7.083–7–7.085
special jurisdiction: Art 5(3) and Art 6(1)
7.018–7.022
'undertaking', interpretation of EU law
concept of 7.045–7.049
EU harmonised rules 7.002, 7.008
national boundaries, litigation effects
cutting across 7.001
private litigants' access to probative documents
in EU cartel damages actions
4.004–4.070
Commission documents, access to *see*
under documentary evidence, access
to
claims for damages, bringing 4.004
follow-on actions 4.004
existence of right to inspect evidence
dependent on national/EU law 4.005
procedural autonomy principle *see under*
Member States
profitability-based approach 8.071–8.073
increases/decreases in profits as indicator
for damages 8.071
measuring profitability 8.072–8.073
proving the infringement 4.001–4.109

- access to evidence/documents, importance of 4.003
- prior administrative decisions *see* evidential value of prior administrative decisions
- private litigants *see* private litigants' access to documents in EU cartel actions
- public authorities/public enforcement
- antitrust enforcement preserve of 0.04, 6.001
 - collective action as effective complement to public enforcement 6.002, 6.003
 - see also* collective action/redress
 - evidential value of decisions in actions by public authorities 4.074
 - expertise, recourse to *see* recourse to expertise of public authorities by national courts
 - public enforcement, meaning of 0.07
 - punitive damages *see* exemplary damages *under* damages, measure of
- quantification of damages *see* damages, quantification of
- 'rational apathy problem' 6.009
- recourse to expertise of public authorities by national courts 5.64–5.74
- EU law: consultation of the Commission 5.65
- national law: consultation of the NCA
- 5.66–5.74
 - France 5.69–5.71
 - Germany 5.68
 - other jurisdictions 5.72–5.74
 - UK 5.67
- specialist authorities, meaning of 5.64
- Regulation 44/2001
- Art 2(1)/general jurisdiction 7.016–7.017
 - Art 5(3)
 - application of *Bier/Shevill* jurisprudence 7.026–7.036
 - founding jurisdiction in tortious actions 7.023–7.025
 - special jurisdiction 7.018–7.022
 - torpedo litigation, as basis for 7.037–7.041
 - Art 6(1)/consolidation of claims 7.012, 7.042, 7.065
- Dutch courts' application of 7.052
 - English authorities on 7.043–7.044
 - special jurisdiction 7.018–7.022
- Art 27/*lis pendens* 7.054, 7.055–7.062, 7.063, 7.064
- connected but not identical actions 7.070–7.071
- Art 28/ *lis pendens* 7.012, 7.054, 7.063–7.065
- Commission proposal, and 7.077–7.080
 - connected but not identical actions 7.068–7.069
 - English authorities on 7.072–7.076
 - irreconcilability for purposes of Art 28(3) 7.066–7.067
 - Commission review of 7.083–7.7.085
 - parties domiciled outside the EU 7.013–7.015
 - replacing Brussels Convention 7.006
 - scope 7.008
 - uniform rules of jurisdiction for defendants domiciled in Member States 7.007
- remoteness
- damage quantification 8.104–8.108
 - remoteness of claims 3.013
- restitutio in integrum* (actual loss/loss of profit) 5.11–5.12, 5.24, 5.34
- rights
- individual rights *see under* private enforcement
 - legal framework *see under* legal framework
- Rome I Regulation 7.004, 7.094
- Rome II Regulation 7.004
- Art 4/general rule 7.097–7.098, 7.123
 - Art 6(3)/competition-based litigation 7.099–7.101
 - Art 6(3)(a) 7.119–7.123
 - Art 6(3)(b), functioning of 7.102–7.111
 - Art 6(4) 7.101
 - legislation, adoption as 7.096
 - lex fori* approach, and 7.094–7.096
- Schwartz, Marius 3.010
- simulated comparator markets 8.067–8.068
- artificially constructed counterfactual model, as 8.067

- fundamental conceptual problems 8.068
- sincere cooperation principle *see* loyal/sincere cooperation principle
- Slovakia
 - collective action scheme absent 6.072
- Slovenia
 - collective action scheme absent 6.072
- Spain
 - basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.60–2.61
 - opt-out collective action system 6.017
- substantial and procedural issues
 - causation *see* causation
 - damages, measure of *see* damages, measure of
 - expertise, recourse to *see* recourse to expertise of public authorities by national courts
 - joint and several liability *see* joint and several liability
 - limitation periods *see* limitation periods
- Sweden
 - collective action 6.135–6.141
 - courts as ‘specialist authorities’ 5.64
- time series analysis 8.055–8.060
 - advantages and disadvantages 8.055
 - before-and-after method 8.055–8.057
 - caution required in focusing on prices after cartel ended 8.060
 - empirical example of before-and-after estimation 8.058
 - nature of method 8.055
- tortious nature of litigation for breach of EU competition law 2.62–2.68, 7.004
 - actions tortious rather than contractual 2.63, 2.64, 7.004
 - agreements in contravention of competition law void/nullity 2.65, 2.66
- tying 8.047
- ‘undertaking’ in EU law, interpretation of 7.045–7.049
- United Kingdom (England and Wales)
 - basis of damages actions for breach of EU competition law 2.19–2.21
 - causation 5.03–5.06
 - but-for test 5.03
 - standard of proof 5.05
- collective action 6.043, 6.073–6.109
 - BIS consultation (2012) 6.092, 6.098–6.105
 - BIS response (2013) 6.106–6.109
 - Competition Act (s 478) 6.084–6.091
 - DTI consultation (2006) 6.093–6.095
 - further policy developments 6.092–6.109
 - GLOs 6.081–6.083
 - integral to legal system 6.074
 - OFT recommendations (2007) 6.092, 6.096–6.097
 - representative actions 6.076–6.080
 - statutory provision 6.073
- Commission decisions/Art16 Regulation 1/2003 4.078
 - Crehan* judgment 4.082–4.085
 - deference 4.082–4.083
- competition law damages claims as tort of
 - breach of statutory duty 2.68, 2.69
 - strict liability 2.69, 2.70
- damages, exemplary 5.24–5.46
 - assessing 5.40
 - exceptional remedy 5.2
 - guiding principles 5.40–5.41
 - intentional or reckless breaches 5.38
 - ne bis in idem* principle 5.29–5.30
 - purpose/object 5.32, 5.39, 5.40
 - when awarded 5.25, 5.27, 5.29, 5.38, 5.43
- damages/presumption of loss 5.20
- disclosure of documents/*National Grid* 4.036, 4.037–4.056
 - balancing exercise 4.044–4.045, 4.048
 - disclosure and inspection of documents 4.039
 - documents held by third parties, access to 4.058
 - Evidence Regulation 4.050–4.056
 - French blocking statute 4.049–4.055
 - history of discovery 4.038
 - legitimate expectations 4.044
 - national leniency programmes 4.042–4.048
 - proportionality 4.045
 - withholding documents from inspection 4.040–4.048

- establishment of right to damages as
 matter of EU law: *Crehan* 2.03–2.17
 proceedings in the English courts
 2.03–2.11
- indirect purchaser standing and passing-on
 3.069–3.073
 burden of proof 3.070
 defence of passing-on 3.069–3.073
 government consultation 3.071
 OFT view 3.070
- joint and several liability 5.75
 contribution 5.77
- jurisdiction 7.027–7.029
 Art 6(1) Regulation 44/2001, authorities
 on 7.043–7.044
 Art 28 Regulation 44/2001, authorities
 on 7.072–7.076
- knowledge
 imputing knowledge of parent's conduct
 to subsidiary 7.049
 required on part of defendant to found
 damages claim 7.049
- limitation periods 5.48, 5.50, 5.55–5.61
 harmonising limitation periods
 5.60–5.61
 statutory basis for limitation periods 5.55
- NCA, consultation of 5.67
- NCA decisions, evidential value of
 4.090–4.103
 CAT decisions 4.091
 damages actions following public
 infringement decision 4.090–4.091
 identity of parties on whom
 administrative decisions binding
 4.098–4.103
 OFT decisions 4.091
 scope of follow-on actions under
 Competition Act 4.092–4.097
- teleological style of argumentation 2.07
- United States (US)
 antitrust injury 2.08
 concept of 3.090–3.091
 class/collective action 6.157–6.173
 Class Action Fairness Act (2005)
 6.169–6.173
 common feature of antitrust enforcement
 3.018, 6.007
 effectiveness 6.007
 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (R 23)
 6.158–6.168
 indirect purchaser actions 3.111
 opt-out system 6.020
- Commission's attempts to limit discovery
 in US courts 4.061–4.070
 importance of leniency programmes
 recognised 4.067–4.069
 reliance on comity 4.065–4.067, 4.070
- disclosure/discovery of documents 4.036
 broad extent of discovery 4.062
- evidential value of decisions in actions by
 public authorities 4.074
- foreign purchasers, damages actions in US
 by 7.003
- indirect purchaser standing 0.04,
 3.014–3.015, 3.028, 3.099–3.111
 'co-conspirator' exception 3.107
 'cost-plus' exception 3.107
 'direct' and 'indirect' purchasers 3.036
 direct purchasers, nature of 3.103–3.104
 limitations in relation to indirect
 purchaser actions 3.099–3.102
 'ownership or control' exception 3.106
 rule in *Illinois Brick* 3.099–3.104
 rule in *Illinois Brick*, efficiency of
 3.110–3.111
 rule in *Illinois Brick*, exceptions to
 3.105–3.109
- leniency programme 4.067
 passing-on defence 0.04, 3.092–3.098
 disallowed 3.092–3.096
 private antitrust enforcement 0.04
 Sherman Act 3.091
 direct and substantial effect, conduct
 having 7.112–7.113
- unjust enrichment
 avoiding 3.025
 passing-on defence, and 3.037,
 3.044–3.052
- Van Gerven, Walter 1.15–1.16
- Werden, Gregory J. 3.010, 8,018