

Index

- abortion 82–3, 92, 126–9, 143, 202
- accountability
 - democratic accountability of ECtHR 7–8
- Adams, Maurice 168, 169, 170
- advisory opinions 174, 190, 206–207
 - interaction between ECtHR and national authorities and 129–34
- Afghanistan 30
- Alkema, Evert 168, 170, 175, 177
- amicus curiae*
 - amicus curiae* project
 - broad spectrum of solutions 179
 - collaboration and shifting of competences 177–9
 - impetus for 158–61
 - need for constructive solutions and 165–8
 - solutions at domestic level 175–7
 - solutions relating to procedures and remedies 172–5
 - what court can do to address criticism 168–72
 - as means to reinforce legitimacy of ECtHR 135–6, 146
 - coherence and consistency based legitimacy 137–42
 - institutional legitimacy 143–4
 - procedural legitimacy 144–6
- applications to ECtHR
 - inadmissible applications/petitions 13, 19, 22–3, 63
 - individual applications 6–7, 12, 25–6, 196–7
 - costs and benefits of individual right to petition ECtHR 60–64
 - meritorious applications 13–14, 15–16, 20, 24–5
 - repetitive applications 14, 23–4, 43–4, 203
- artificial reproduction 15–16
- assisted suicide 141
- asylum cases 27, 41, 78, 86, 88, 198–9
 - attribution of extraterritorial effects to Art 6 ECHR 33–5
 - extension of applicability of Art 3 ECHR to living conditions 31–3
 - fact-finding 83–4
 - recognition of vulnerable population groups in need of special protection 29–31
 - threshold for violations of Art 3 ECHR 28–9
- Australia 92
- Austria 15–16
- backlash against ECtHR xi, xii, 43–4, 193, 197, 202, 203, 209, 210
- Bates, Ed 119
- Belgium
 - asylum seekers 32, 58, 86, 198–9
 - criticism of ECtHR in 42, 74, 163–4
- Bingham, Lord 1, 7, 99
- Bojin, Lucian 54–64, 209
- Borrego, Javier 74–5, 78, 79
- Bossuyt, Marc 42, 59, 61, 74, 164, 197, 201, 202
- bounce back procedure 173–4
- Bradley, Anthony 207, 208
- Bratza, Nicolas 77
- Brauch, Jeffrey A. 79, 90
- Brighton Declaration 3, 4, 7, 12, 13, 38, 52, 76, 77, 86, 117, 118, 119, 129, 130, 133, 166, 185, 188, 189, 196, 204, 205, 207, 208, 209, 210
- Broeksteeg, Hansko 171
- budget of the ECtHR 42–3
- Bulgaria 70

- Burkov, Anton 207, 208
 Bürli, Nicole 199
 Buyse, Antoine 176, 178
- Cameron, David 76
 capital punishment 137–8
 challenges facing ECtHR 54
 differences and divisions between
 Eastern and Western Europe
 59–60
 fragmentation and defragmentation
 of international order 54–8
 children
 access to 145
 protection of 31
 civil law systems 102
 clarity in ECtHR jurisprudence of
 ECHR 101
 Committee of Ministers xiii, 3, 14, 23,
 24, 39, 52, 68, 86, 87, 102–3, 130,
 133, 164, 174, 178, 184, 193, 196,
 200, 203–5, 207, 209
 common law systems 102
 conscientious objectors 49
 consensus 89–93
 determining European consensus
 139–41
 European consensus and its role in
 ECtHR's case law 121–2
 trumping European consensus by
 internal consensus 122–9
 consistency
 amicus curiae as means to reinforce
 coherence and consistency based
 legitimacy 137–42
 in jurisprudence of ECtHR 6, 20, 48,
 57, 85, 100–102, 195, 199, 203
 constitutional state, definition of 1
 constitutions, human rights in 2–3
 corporal punishment 124
 Corstens, Geert 173, 177
 Costa, Jean-Paul 9, 76, 77, 78, 80, 94
 crisis of the ECtHR 161–5, 193–202
 criticism facing ECtHR 3–4, 9, 16–17,
 37–9, 51–3, 71, 72–8, 163–5
 amicus curiae project and
 broad spectrum of solutions 179
 collaboration and shifting of
 competences 177–9
 impetus for 158–61
 need for constructive solutions and
 165–8
 solutions at domestic level 175–7
 solutions relating to procedures
 and remedies 172–5
 what court can do to address
 criticism 168–72
 backlash against ECtHR 43–4, 193,
 197
 Belgium 42, 74, 163–4
 categories of criticism 10–11
 court's current strategies and why
 they are failing 78–81
 democratic accountability 7–8
 individual application/petition to
 court 6–7, 12, 25–6, 196–7
 costs and benefits of individual
 right to petition ECtHR 60–64
 inefficiency 18–19
 mistakes made by court 48–50
 by national courts 105–6
 Netherlands 37, 40, 52–3, 75, 76,
 163, 164–5, 167
 possible remedial action within
 existing ECHR framework 21
 clearly inadmissible applications
 22–3
 essential considerations
 influencing any change 22
 meritorious applications 24–5
 repetitive applications 23–4, 203
 promoting common understanding of
 European human rights 183–4
 backlog of applications 184–5
 cooperation between court and
 national judiciaries and
 authorities 189–91
 primary state responsibility and
 subsidiarity principle 187–8
 resources and independence of the
 court 188–9
 structural shortcomings at national
 level 185–7
 way forward for European human
 rights 191

- questions of legitimacy 5–6
- Russia 73
- source of 39–42
- Spain 74–5
- United Kingdom 42, 72, 75–6, 163, 164
- volume of applications 4–5, 42–3
 - prospects for the future 19–21
 - solutions to workload quagmire 11–16
- damages, punitive 175
- Davis, David 123, 200, 201
- death penalty 137–8
- democracy 99, 143
 - democratic accountability of ECtHR 7–8, 199–202
 - process of 69
 - see also* prisoner voting rights
- departure from recent case law 49
- discretion of the court 63, 89, 120, 144
- divisions between Eastern and Western Europe 59–60
- Dothan, Shai 79
- Dzehtsiarou, Kanstantsin 91, 200, 207
- Eastern Europe
 - differences and divisions between Eastern and Western Europe 59–60
- Easton, David 81
- economic and social rights 30, 32, 34, 35, 59, 60, 197
- education in human rights 177, 207
- electoral rights of prisoners *see* prisoner voting rights
- European Convention on Human Rights 2, 9
 - as common European endeavour 192–3
 - backlog and backlash 193–202
 - proposed solutions to backlog and backlash 202–9
 - road ahead for ECtHR 209–10
 - extension of applicability of Art 3 to living conditions 31–3
 - extraterritorial effects 33–5, 54–5
 - implementation 6–7
 - Russia 148–53
 - promotion of 207–9
 - threshold for violations of Art 3 28–9
 - European Court of Justice (ECJ/CJEU) 131, 178
 - European Law Institute 18
 - euthanasia 141
 - evidence, hearsay 106–8
 - execution of ECtHR judgments 23–24, 39, 83, 86–7, 174, 176, 203
 - extradition of terrorism suspects 18, 33, 56–8, 94–5
 - fact-finding 83–4
 - failure of ECtHR’s current strategies 78–81
 - fair trial right, extraterritorial effects of Art 6 ECHR and 33–5
 - Fernhout, Roel 169, 171, 173
 - filtering procedure of selecting cases 60–61, 173
 - fines 175
 - Flinterman, Kees 172
 - fragmentation and defragmentation of international order 54–8
 - Fribergh, Eric 14
 - Georgia 87
 - Gerards, Janneke 171, 201, 204, 207, 209
 - Germany 69, 74
 - Goodwin, Morag 171
 - Greece
 - asylum seekers 31–2, 58, 86, 198–9
 - Greens, Robert 66
 - Grieve, Dominic 67
 - Groot, Hugo de 99
 - harmonisation of national laws 46–7
 - hearsay evidence 106–8
 - Hendriks, Aart 176
 - Heringa, Aalt Willem 168, 169, 174, 175, 177
 - Herzog, Roman 69
 - Hirsch Ballin, Ernst 169, 177, 178
 - Hirst, John 66
 - Hoffmann, Lord 61, 72
 - Hollobone, Philip 123

- homosexual relationships 125–6, 141–2
- human rights 1
 - promoting common understanding of European human rights 183–4
 - backlog of applications 184–5
 - cooperation between court and national judiciaries and authorities 189–91
 - primary state responsibility and subsidiarity principle 187–8
 - resources and independence of the court 188–9
 - structural shortcomings at national level 185–7
 - way forward for European human rights 191
- Strasbourg court's role in protection of 2–3
- human rights institutes 176
- implementation of European
 - Convention on Human Rights 4, 21, 39, 45, 51, 116–117, 190, 207–209
 - Russia
 - courts and 149–53
 - improvement in 156–7
 - legislation 148–9
 - obstacles and options for improving application of ECHR 153–6
- inadmissible applications 13, 19, 22–3, 63
- independence of the court 188–9
- individual application/petition to ECtHR 6–7, 12, 25–6, 196–7
 - costs and benefits of individual right to petition 60–64
- inefficiency of ECtHR 18–19
- informal legitimacy of ECtHR 100
- institutional legitimacy 143–4
- interim measures 27–8, 35–6, 94
- international law 1, 56, 57, 61, 99, 156, 188, 207
- international order, fragmentation and defragmentation of 54–8
- interpretation methods 39, 47–8, 49, 67, 87–93, 201
- interaction between ECtHR and member states and 118
- European consensus and its role in ECtHR's case law 121–2
- margin of appreciation 6, 34, 38, 39, 45–48, 49, 53, 66–68, 72–73, 79, 87–93, 108–109, 117–121, 126–128, 133, 164, 171, 182, 186, 200
- trumping European consensus by internal consensus 122–9
- interrogations 101
- Ireland
 - abortion debate 82–3, 92, 126–9, 143, 202
- Islam 41
- Italy 5, 12, 66–7
- Jacobs, Francis 99
- Janssen, Heleen 169, 175, 177
- judges 172–3, 188–9
 - judicial activism 10, 12, 51, 82
 - judicial restraint 51
- judgments, execution of 86–7, 174
- Judt, Tony 99
- Jurisconsult 48, 199
- jurisdiction of ECtHR 39, 50, 101–2
- jurisprudence of ECtHR, weaknesses of 100
 - clarity and reasoning 101
 - consistency and predictability 101–2
 - understanding of common *versus* continental law 102
- Kempen, Piet Hein van 168, 170
- Kerr, Lord 72, 206, 209
- Krisch, Nico 121
- Kuijer, Martin 170, 173, 174
- Kuiper, Reindert 173, 177
- Lange, Roel de 168, 169, 173, 175
- Lawson, Rick 173–4
- legitimacy of ECtHR 5–6, 43–4, 80–81, 117, 199–202
 - amicus curiae* as means to reinforce 135–6, 146
 - coherence and consistency based legitimacy 137–42

- institutional legitimacy 143–4
- procedural legitimacy 144–6
- importance of interaction with
 - member states for ECtHR's legitimacy 133–4
- informal 100
- 'living instrument' doctrine and 98–9
- methods of restoration of 82–3
 - fact-finding 83–4
 - interpretation 87–93
 - prescribing States how to execute its judgments 86–7
 - sticking to precedent 84–6
- need to regain legitimacy 81–2
- reducing workload by restoration of 93–5
- 'living instrument' doctrine 2, 49, 50, 67, 78, 85, 88, 198–9
- legitimacy of ECtHR and 98–9
- Loenen, Titia 170
- Mahoney, Paul 195, 196, 203, 204
- margin of appreciation 6, 45–6, 68, 79, 89
 - interaction between ECtHR and member States and 118, 119–21
 - national courts and 108–9
- member States of the EU 116–17
 - advisory opinions and interaction between ECtHR and national authorities 129–33
 - importance of interaction for ECtHR's legitimacy 133–4
 - interaction between ECtHR and member States through interpretation 118
 - European consensus and its role in ECtHR's case law 121–2
 - margin of appreciation 118, 119–21
 - trumping European consensus by internal consensus 122–9
- primary state responsibility and subsidiarity principle 187–8
- structural shortcomings at national level 185–7
- meritorious applications 13–14, 15–16, 20, 24–5
- Mertens, Thomas 171
- mistakes made by ECtHR 48–50
- Moldova 70
- Morijn, John 178–9
- Myjer, Egbert 79, 202
- national courts 96, 205–7
 - amicus curiae* project and 175–7
 - criticism of ECtHR by 105–6
 - following or leading ECtHR 109–11
 - example of *Al-Skeini* case 111–13
 - UK's reluctance to lead or follow 113–15
- importance of ECtHR case law for 96–8
- informal legitimacy of ECtHR and 100
- 'living instrument' doctrine and legitimacy of ECtHR 98–9
- margin of appreciation and 108–9
- need for dialogue with ECtHR 104–5
- positive engagement between ECtHR and 106–8
- promoting common understanding of European human rights and 189–91
- Russian courts' application of ECHR 149–53
- weaknesses of jurisprudence of ECtHR and 100–102
- Netherlands
 - amicus curiae* project
 - broad spectrum of solutions 179
 - collaboration and shifting of competences 177–9
 - impetus for 158–61
 - need for constructive solutions and 165–8
 - solutions at domestic level 175–7
 - solutions relating to procedures and remedies 172–5
 - what court can do to address criticism 168–72
 - contributions to debate on value of ECtHR 180–81
 - results of debate 181–2
 - criticism of ECtHR in 37, 40, 52–3, 75, 76, 163, 164–5, 167

- importance of ECtHR case law for national courts 97–8
- as means to reinforce legitimacy of ECtHR 135–6, 146
- relationship between national courts and ECtHR 101, 102–3, 205
 - amicus curiae* project and 175–7
- Neuberger, Lord 72
- New Zealand 92
- Norway 125

- O’Boyle, Michael 90, 122
- O’Donnell, Thomas A. 120
- Oomen, Barbara 176–7
- Opstelten, Ivo 38, 42, 195, 197
- Ostrovsky, Aaron A. 120
- outcome orientation 78
- Oven, Gerritjan van 172, 173, 174, 177, 178
- ovum donation 15–16

- parental leave 73
- parliamentary sovereignty 99
- pilot procedure 47, 173
- precedent 33, 49, 83, 84–86, 101, 198–199
- predictability in jurisprudence of ECtHR 100–102, 198
- preliminary review 132
- prisoner voting rights 65–6, 79, 80, 88, 122–3, 200
 - case law of ECtHR 66–7
 - consequences of current standoff for UK-ECtHR relations 69–70
 - reasons for Court overreaching 67–9
- procedural legitimacy 144–6
- promoting common understanding of European human rights 183–4
 - backlog of applications 184–5
 - cooperation between court and national judiciaries and authorities 189–91
 - primary state responsibility and subsidiarity principle 187–8
- resources and independence of the court 188–9
- structural shortcomings at national level 185–7
 - way forward for European human rights 191
- punitive damages 175
- quality checks 48
- Rawls, John 62
- reflective equilibrium arguments 62
- refugees *see* asylum cases
- repetitive applications 14, 23–4, 43–4, 203
- resources of the court 188–9, 195
- restrictions on human rights 5
- Rieter, Eva 173, 176, 178
- Romania 5
- rule of law 1, 99, 177
- Russia 5, 70, 147–8, 208
 - criticism of ECtHR in 73
 - improvement in implementation of ECHR 156–7
 - legislation on implementation of ECHR 148–9
 - obstacles and options for improving application of ECHR 153–6

- Sajó, András 192, 200–201, 204, 206
- Sanderink, Dirk 171
- Schutgens, Roel 168, 170
- Serbia 208
- Sillen, Joost 168, 170
- single-judge procedure 13, 19
- social rights 35, 59
- Spain
 - criticism of ECtHR in 74–5
- sperm donation 15–16
- Spijkerboer, Thomas 170, 172, 175
- Stewart, Potter 91
- Straw, Jack 123
- structural shortcomings at national level 185–7
- subsidiarity principle 42, 45, 74, 187–8
- Sunstein, Cass R. 62

- Teitgen, Pierre-Henri 11
- Terlouw, Ashley 173, 174, 177, 201, 204, 207, 209
- terrorism 18, 41
- Thomassen, Wilhelmina 173, 177, 206

- torture, prohibition of 31, 56–7, 94–5
 transgender people 79, 91, 140
 Turkey 5, 89, 159–60
 Twain, Mark 13
- Ukraine 5
- understanding of human rights
 backlash against ECtHR 43–4, 193, 197
 promoting common understanding of European human rights 183–4
 backlog of applications 184–5
 cooperation between court and national judiciaries and authorities 189–91
 primary state responsibility and subsidiarity principle 187–8
 resources and independence of the court 188–9
 structural shortcomings at national level 185–7
 way forward for European human rights 191
- United Kingdom
amicus curiae interventions 137–9, 140–41
 corporal punishment 124
 criticism of ECtHR in 42, 72, 75–6, 163, 164
 direct application of ECHR 7
 extradition of terrorism suspects 18, 33, 56–8, 94
 homosexual relationships 125–6
 importance of ECtHR case law for national courts 97
 prisoner voting rights 65–6, 79, 80, 88, 122–3, 200
- case law of ECtHR 66–7
 consequences of current standoff for UK-ECtHR relations 69–70
 reasons for Court overreaching 67–9
 relationship between national courts and ECtHR 106–8, 109–15
 transgender people 91, 140
- United States of America 84
- Vlemminx, Frank 175
- volume of applications to ECtHR 4–5, 42–3, 116, 162, 184–5, 193–5
 prospects for the future 19–21
 reducing workload by restoration of legitimacy 93–5
 solutions to workload quagmire 11–16, 202–9
 inadmissible applications 13, 19, 22–3, 63
 meritorious applications 13–14, 15–16, 20, 24–5
 repetitive applications 14, 23–4, 43–4, 203
- voting rights of prisoners *see* prisoner voting rights
- Wiarda, Gerard 51
- Wildhaber, Luzius 196, 203, 204
- Yourow, Howard Charles 120
- Zorkin, Valery 73–4
- Zwart, Tom 178, 195, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 204

