

# Contents

---

<i>Preface and acknowledgements</i>	xi
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xiii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of legal instruments</i>	xx
1. The idea of the moral dimensions of IPRs	1
1.1 The riddle in the rules	1
1.2 Key concepts	5
1.2.1 Hare and universal prescriptivism	5
1.2.2 Searle's theory of the construction of social reality	6
1.2.3 Searle and Hare combined	8
1.2.4 The logical implications of universal prescriptivism	9
1.3 A look ahead to the moral dimensions of IPRs	11
1.4 The literature on the moral dimensions of IPRs	13
1.5 A note on methodology	17
1.5.1 Reflective equilibrium	17
1.5.2 The IP laws	18
1.6 The way forward	19
2. Moral terms, moral meaning and morality	21
2.1 The key question	21
2.2 A re-examination of Hare's theory	22
2.2.1 Meta-ethics' problematic triangle	22
2.2.2 A critique of <i>The Language of Morals</i> and <i>Freedom and Reason</i>	27
2.2.3 A critique of <i>Moral Thinking</i>	30
2.3 Taking prescriptivism seriously	36
2.3.1 A fresh start for universal prescriptivism	36
2.3.2 Fundamental prescriptivism and its procedure	37
2.4 Fundamental prescriptions and equal freedom and well-being	39
2.4.1 The first FP – The Principle of Rationality	39

2.4.2	The second FP – The Principle of Freedom	40
2.4.3	The third FP – The Principle of Personhood	41
2.4.4	The fourth FP – The Principle of Equality	41
2.4.5	The fifth FP – The Principle of Moral Transparency	42
2.4.6	The sixth FP – The Principle of Cooperation	43
2.4.7	The equal right to freedom and well-being	44
2.4.8	The reality of morality	45
2.5	Fundamental prescriptivism and other theories	50
2.5.1	Gewirth – A debt and some differences	50
2.5.2	The Kantian categorical imperative	53
2.5.3	Fundamental prescriptivism and human rights	56
2.6	The function of moral terms in IP laws	58
2.7	Moral theory and the moral dimensions of IPRs	61
3.	The moral dimension of justification	62
3.1	Justificatory theories and justice	62
3.1.1	Justification and the equal right to freedom and well-being	62
3.1.2	Other theories	63
3.2	Theories of justice and the justification of intellectual property	64
3.2.1	Nozick's entitlement theory	64
3.2.2	Nozick and Locke's proviso	67
3.2.3	Rawls' theory of justice and the equal right to freedom and well-being	69
3.2.4	Justice theory and the design of property rights	73
3.3	Consequentialist arguments for IPRs and freedom and well-being	76
3.3.1	Consequentialism and IP laws	76
3.3.2	Consequentialism versus the equal right to freedom and well-being	78
3.3.3	Economics and the equal right to freedom and well-being	79
3.4	Lockean theories and the equal right to freedom and well-being	80
3.4.1	Desert, entitlement and Lockean justification	80
3.4.2	Critique of Locke's theory of property	81
3.4.3	The Lockean proviso	83
3.5	Hegelian justifications of property	85
3.6	Freedom and well-being as the foundation for IPRs	86

3.6.1	Moral terms and the justification of IPRs	86
3.6.2	The nature of intellectual property	88
3.6.3	The relationship between IPRs and freedom and well-being	90
3.6.4	Freedom and well-being and the structure of IP rights	100
3.6.5	Protection of freedom and well-being and the public domain	102
3.7	Conclusions on justifying IPRs	103
4.	The dimension of design: National systems	104
4.1	Justifying IPRs and the justice of IPRs	104
4.1.1	The rhetoric of ‘balance’ and its point	104
4.1.2	The function of moral terms	106
4.1.3	The object and structure of this chapter	109
4.2	Moral terms in the Law of Undisclosed Information	110
4.2.1	Undisclosed information protection and freedom and well-being	110
4.2.2	Unfair competition	114
4.2.3	Trade secrets protection	115
4.2.4	The common law breach of confidence action	116
4.2.5	Protecting privacy under the action for breach of confidence	122
4.2.6	Undisclosed information protection as an IPR	129
4.3	Moral terms in copyright laws	130
4.3.1	The moral ideas in the central rules of copyright	130
4.3.2	The idea–expression dichotomy	134
4.3.3	Originality and substantiality	138
4.3.4	Moral terms in copyright exceptions and limitations	144
4.4	Moral terms in patent laws	150
4.4.1	Patents and freedom and well-being	150
4.4.2	Moral concepts in patent laws	153
4.4.3	The ‘Oncomouse’ in the US and Canada	155
4.4.4	Patentable subject matter under the EPC and in the EU	161
4.4.5	The role of the other dimensions of patent systems	167
4.5	Moral terms in trade mark laws	168
4.5.1	Trade marks and freedom and well-being	168
4.5.2	Moral terms and concepts in trade mark laws	171

4.5.3	Trademarks as objects	176
4.5.4	Moral terms and the protection against trade mark dilution	180
4.6	Personhood and alienability and moral rights	184
4.6.1	Alienability and liberty	184
4.6.2	Moral rights	185
4.7	Public policy and morality and the <i>ordre public</i> restrictions	186
4.7.1	Public policy and the equal right to freedom and well-being	186
4.7.2	The EPC's <i>ordre public</i> and morality restriction	189
4.7.3	The EU 'Biotech Directive'	195
4.7.4	Freedom and well-being and <i>ordre public</i> and morality	201
4.8	The moral dimensions and protection of the public domain	209
4.8.1	Rights to a public domain	209
4.8.2	Legal protection for the public domain	213
5.	International IP laws and the moral dimension of design	216
5.1	International IP laws and the design of IPRs	216
5.2	Realpolitik and morality in the making of globalized IP laws	217
5.3	The moral terms in TRIPS	220
5.3.1	Moral terminology in the TRIPS Agreement	220
5.3.2	The 'three-step test'	223
5.3.3	United States – Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act	223
5.3.4	An alternative reading of the 'three-step test'	227
5.4	TRIPS-Plus	229
6.	The moral dimensions of law: Interpretation and aims	230
6.1	The moral dimensions of law	230
6.2	Moral meanings and legal interpretation	231
6.2.1	Intentions and moral terms	231
6.2.2	Legal meanings and morality in legal interpretation	232
6.2.3	Moral theory and the interpretation of IP laws	235
6.3	The moral dimensions of law and the design of IPRs	236
6.3.1	Legal theory and the design of IPRs	236

6.3.2	The recommendations of the moral dimensions of IPRs	239
6.4	A coda on realism and the moral dimensions of IPRs	240
7.	The moral dimension of the exercise of IPRs	242
7.1	Morality and the dimension of exercise	242
7.2	Enjoyment, exploitation and enforcement of IPRs	242
7.2.1	Enjoyment of IPRs	242
7.2.2	Exploitation of IPRs	243
7.2.3	Enforcement of IPRs	252
7.3	Legal expressions of the moral dimension of exercise	253
7.3.1	Legal underpinnings of the moral dimension of exercise	253
7.3.2	Common law resources	254
7.3.3	Competition and antitrust law resources	256
7.3.4	Resources under legal rules for enforcement	259
7.3.5	Compulsory licensing	260
7.4	Technological measures and the moral dimensions of IPRs	261
7.4.1	Technological measures and the moral justification of IPRs	261
7.4.2	TMs and the moral dimensions of IPRs	263
7.5	Conclusions on the moral dimensions of exercise	264
8.	The moral dimension of reform of IPRs	265
8.1	Reform and the moral dimensions of IPRs	265
8.2	Judicial avenues for reform	267
8.2.1	Internal resources of the IPR systems	267
8.2.2	Resources in national constitutional and human rights laws	267
8.3	Reform in the administrative and political fora	272
8.3.1	Various administrative loci for reform	272
8.3.2	Legislative routes to reform and the role of morality in politics	274
8.4	The case of patents and medicines	275
9.	Conclusions on the moral dimensions of IPRs	283
9.1	The moral dimensions <i>redux</i>	283
9.1.1	Answering the riddles in the rules	283
9.1.2	The force of the moral dimensions of IPRs	284
9.1.3	Implications for the participation right and public domain	286

x	<i>The moral dimensions of intellectual property rights</i>	
	9.1.4 The vulnerabilities of the moral dimensions	287
9.2	The future of IPRs – towards rights in the public domain?	289
9.3	A parting word	290
	<i>Bibliography</i>	291
	<i>Index</i>	303