

Table of cases

	Section
<i>A v. B & C</i> [2002] EWCA Civ 337; [2003] Q.B. 195.....	4.2.4, 8.2.2
<i>Albert v. Strange</i> (1849) 18 L.J. Ch.120; 2 De G & Sm. 652; 1 Mac. & G. 25	4.2.4, 4.2.5
<i>Argyll v. Argyll</i> [1967] Ch. 302; [1965] 1 All E.R. 611	4.2.4
<i>Arsenal Football Club Plc v. Reed</i> Case C-206/01 [2003] Ch. 454	4.5.2
<i>Arsenal Football Club Plc v. Reed (No. 1)</i> [2001] R.P.C. 46	4.5.2
<i>Arsenal Football Club Plc v. Reed (No. 2)</i> [2003] 1 C.M.L.R. 13, [2002] EWHC 2695 (Ch); [2003] EWCA Civ. 696; [2003] R.P.C. 39.....	4.5.2
<i>Ashdown v. Telegraph Group plc.</i> [2001] EWCA Civ 1142, [2002] Ch. 149 affirming [2001] Ch. 685	3.2.3, 3.6.3, 4.7.1, 8.2.2
<i>Ashdown v. Telegraph Group plc.</i> [2001] Ch. 685	8.2.2
<i>Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, Inc.</i> 133 S. Ct. 2107, 186 L. Ed. 2d 124	4.4.3
<i>Attorney General v. Guardian Newspapers (No. 2)</i> [1988] 3 All E.R. 545	1.1, 4.2.4, 4.5.2
<i>Baker v. Selden</i> 101 U.S. 99 (1879).....	4.3.2
<i>Beloff v. Presdrum</i> [1973] F.S.R. 33, [1973] 1 All E.R. 241	4.7.1
<i>Betts v. Willmott</i> (1871) L.R. 6 Ch. 239	7.3.2
<i>British Leyland Motor Corp. v. Armstrong Patents Co.</i> [1986] A.C. 577, [1986] 1 All ER 850.....	7.3.2
<i>British Sugar Plc v. James Robertson & Sons</i> [1996] R.P.C. 281	4.5.2
<i>Brustle v. Greenpeace E.V.</i> (ECJ, 18 October 2011, C-34/10), [2012] 1 C.M.L.R. 41	4.7.3, 6.3.1
<i>Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.</i> 510 U.S. 569, 114 S.Ct. 1164, 127 L.Ed.2d 500.....	4.3.4, 8.2.1, 8.2.2
<i>Campbell v. Frisbee</i> [2002] EWCA Civ 1374 and [2002] EWHC 328 (Ch).....	8.2.2
<i>Campbell v. Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd</i> [2004] UK HL 22; [2004] 2 A.C. 457	4.2.5, 8.2.2, 9.1.3
<i>Canada – Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products</i> WT/DS114/R dated 17 March 2000	5.3.2, 5.3.3
<i>Cantor Fitzgerald International v. Tradition (U.K.) Ltd</i> [2000] R.P.C. 95	4.3.3

<i>Case of the 'Clothworkers of Ipswich', The</i> (1615) Godbolt 252 (78 Eng.Rep. 147)	4.4.2
<i>Cliberry v. Allan</i> [2001] 2 F.S.R. 577	8.2.2
<i>Coca-cola Co. v. Gemini Rising Inc.</i> 346 F. Supp. 1183 (E.D.N.Y. 1972).....	4.5.3
<i>Coco v. A.N. Clark</i> [1968] F.S.R. 415 (UK); [1969] R.P.C. 41	1.1, 4.2.4
<i>Commonwealth of Australia v. Fairfax</i> (1980) 147 CLR 38	4.2.4
<i>Computer Associates v. Altai</i> 982 F. 2d 693 (2nd Cir., 1992)	4.3.2, 4.3.3
<i>Darcy v. Allin</i> (1602) Noy 173 (74 Eng.Rep. 1131).....	4.4.2
<i>Designers Guild Ltd v. Russell Williams Textiles Ltd</i> [2001] F.S.R. 11, [2000] 1 All E.R. 700, [2000] 1 W.L.R. 2416	4.3.2
<i>Diamond v. Chakrabarty</i> 447 U.S. 303, 100 S.Ct. 2204, 65 L.Ed.2d 144, 206 U.S.P.Q. 193	4.4.3
<i>Donaldson v. Beckett</i> , (1774) 2 Bro. P. C. 129 (1 E.R. 846); (1774) 4 Burr. 2408 (98 E.R. 257); references to the grounds of decisions are as reported in Cobbett's 'Parliamentary History of England', London, 1806–1820, vol. XVII, reproduced in Tallmo, Karl-Erik, <i>The History of Copyright</i> available at: http://www.copyrighthistory.com/donaldson.html (last accessed on 2 April 2011)	1.1, 4.3.1, 4.3.2
<i>Douglas v. Hello! (No 1)</i> [2001] F.S.R. 40	4.2.5, 8.2.2
<i>Douglas and others v. Hello! Ltd and others (No 3)</i> [2003] EWHC 786 (Ch), [2003] 3 All E.R. 996	4.2.5, 4.2.6
<i>eBay Inc. v. Mercexchange, L.L.C.</i> 547 U.S. 388, 126 S. Ct. 1837, 164 L. Ed. 2d 641 (2006)	7.3.4
<i>E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc. v. Christopher</i> , 431 F. 2d 1012 (CA 5, 1970)	4.2.3
<i>Eldred v. Ashcroft</i> (2003, US Sup. Ct.) 537 U.S. 186, 123 S. Ct. 769, 154 L. Ed 2d 683	8.2.2
<i>Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.</i> 499 U.S. 340, 113 L.Ed.2d 358.....	4.3.3, 6.4.2, 8.2.2
<i>Folsom v. Marsh</i> 6 Hunt Mer. Mag. 175, 9 F. Cas.342	4.3.4
<i>Fraser v. Evans</i> [1969] Q.B. 349, [1969] 1 All E.R. 8	4.7.1
<i>Fressoz and Roire v. France</i> (1999) 5 BHRC 654.....	8.2.2
<i>G.A. Cramp & Sons, Ltd v. Frank Smythson, Ltd</i> [1944] A.C. 329 HL....	4.3.3
<i>Gartside v. Outram</i> (1856) 26 L.J Ch. 113	4.7.1
<i>George Hensher Ltd v. Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd</i> [1976] A.C. 64, [1975] R.P.C. 31, HL.....	4.3.3
<i>Glyn v. Weston Feature Film Co.</i> [1915] 1 Ch. 261	4.7.1
<i>Harper & Row Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enterprises</i> 471 U.S. 539, 105 SC Ct. 2218, 85 L Ed. 2d 588.....	4.3.3, 4.3.4, 8.2.2
<i>Harvard College v. Canada (Commissioner of Patents)</i> [2002] S.C.C.D. 2002480.6065.00-01, 2002 S.C.C.D.J. 2946	4.4.3

<i>HARVARD/Oncomouse (V 4/89)</i> [1990] E.P.O.R. 4	4.7.2, 4.7.4
<i>HARVARD/Oncomouse</i> (re-examination) [1991] E.P.O.R. 525 ...	4.7.2, 4.7.4
<i>HARVARD/Oncomouse (T 19/90)</i> [1990] E.P.O.R. 501	4.4.4, 4.7.2, 4.7.4
<i>HARVARD/Oncomouse</i> (Opp. Div.) (2001) O. J. of the EPO 473.....	4.7.4
<i>HARVARD/Transgenic animal (T315/03)</i> [2005] E.P.O.R. 31	4.4.4, 4.7.2, 4.7.4
<i>Hellewell v. Chief Constable of Derbyshire</i> [1995] 4 All E.R. 473, [1995] 1 W.L.R. 804.....	4.2.5
<i>HOWARD FLOREY/Relaxin</i> [1995] EPOR 541 (EPO Opp. Div.).....	4.4.3, 4.7.2, 4.7.3
<i>Hubbard v. Vosper</i> [1972] 2 Q.B. 84.....	4.7.1
<i>Hyde Park Residence Ltd v. Yelland</i> [2001] Ch. 143	4.7.1, 8.2.2
<i>IBCOS Computers Ltd v. Barclays Mercantile Highland Finance Ltd</i> [1994] F.S.R. 275	4.3.3
<i>Initial Services Ltd v. Puterill</i> [1968] 1 Q.B. 396.....	4.7.1
<i>John Richardson Computers Ltd v. Flanders</i> [1993] F.S.R. 497	4.3.3
<i>Kaye v. Robertson</i> [1991] F.S.R. 62.....	4.2.5, 9.1.3
<i>Kelly v. Arriba Soft Corp.</i> 336 F.3d 811	4.3.4
<i>Kenrick & Co v. Lawrence & Co.</i> (1890) L.R. 25 Q.B.D. 99.....	4.3.3
<i>Kewanee Oil Co. v. Bicron Corp.</i> 416 U.S. 479 (1974).....	4.2.1
<i>Ladbroke (Football) v. William Hill</i> [1964] 1 W.L.R. 273 HL, [1964] 1 All E.R. 465 HL.....	4.3.3
<i>L.B. (Plastics) Ltd v. Swish Products Ltd</i> [1979] R.P.C. 551 HL.....	4.3.2
<i>LELAND STANFORD/Modified animal</i> [2002] E.P.O.R. 2	4.4.3, 4.7.2, 4.7.4
<i>Lion Laboratories v. Evans</i> [1984] 2 All E.R. 417	4.7.1, 8.2.2
<i>L'Oréal SA v. Bellure NV</i> (Case C-487/07) [2010] <i>Bus. L.R.</i> 303	4.5.2
<i>L'Oréal SA v. Bellure NV</i> (No. 2) [2010] EWCA 535, [2010] Bus. L.R. 1579	4.5.2
<i>LUBRIZOL/Hybrid plants T 320/87</i> [1990] E.P.O.R. 173 OJ EPO 1990, 71	4.4.4, 9.1.5
'Magill' see <i>Radio Telefís Eirean (RTE) and Independent Television Publications Ltd (ITP) v. Commission of the European Communities</i>	
<i>Malone v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner</i> (No. 2)	4.2.4
<i>Marca Mode CV, Adidas AG and Adidas Benelux BV</i> , Case C-425/98 [2000] ETMR 723.....	4.5.2
<i>Mars UK Ltd v. Teknowledge Ltd</i> [2000] FSR 138	4.2.1
<i>McKennitt v. Ash</i> [2006] EWCA Civ 1714, [2008] Q.B. 73.....	4.2.4
<i>Mercexchange v. eBay</i> 275 F.Supp. 2d 695 (2003)	7.3.4
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Commission of the European Communities</i> [2007] 5 C.M.L.R. 11	7.3.3

<i>Millar v. Taylor</i> (1769) 4 Burr. 2303 (98 E.R. 201)	1.1, 4.3.1, 4.3.2
<i>Monsanto Canada Inc. v. Schmeiser</i> (2004) SCC 34, 239 D.L.R. (4th) 271, [2004] 1 S.C.R. 902	4.4.3
<i>Moore v. Regents of University of California</i> 51 Cal. 3d 120, 61 Ed. Law Rep.292.....	4.7.2
<i>Murray v. Express Newspapers Plc</i> [2008] EWCA Civ 446, [2009] Ch. 481; reversing [2007] EWHC 1908 (Ch), [2007] E.M.L.R. 22.....	4.2.5, 8.2.2, 9.1.3
<i>National Research Development Corporation v. Commissioner of Patents</i> (1959) 102 CLR 252	4.4.2
<i>Navitaire Inc. v. Easyjet Airline Co. Ltd (No. 3)</i> [2004] EWHC 1725 (Ch), [2006] R.P.C. 3.....	4.3.3
<i>Netherlands v. The European Parliament (Case 377/98)</i> [2002] F.S.R. 36	4.7.1, 4.7.3
<i>Nichols v. Universal Pictures</i> 45 F. 2d 119 (1930).....	4.3.2
<i>NOVARTIS/Transgenic plant T 1054/96</i> Referral Decision dated 13 October 1997 (OJ EPO 1998 p 509)	4.4.4
<i>NOVARTIS/Transgenic plant G1/98</i> [2000] E.P.O.R. 303 (dated 20 December 1999 OJ EPO 2000, 111) (<i>NOVARTIS II</i>)	4.4.4, 8.2.1, 9.1.4
<i>NOVARTIS III/Anti-pathogenic compositions T 1054/96</i> of 6 December 2000	4.4.4
<i>OBG Ltd v. Allan</i> see <i>Douglas v. Hello! Ltd (No. 3)</i>	
<i>Perfect 10 Inc. v. Amazon Inc.</i> 508 F. 3d 1146 (9th Cir., CA, 2007)	4.3.4
<i>Perfect 10 v. Google Inc.</i> 416 F. Supp. 2d 828.....	4.3.4
<i>Philips Electronics v. Remington Consumer Products</i> [1998] RPC 283.....	4.5.2
<i>PLANT GENETIC SYSTEMS/glutamine synthetase inhibitors (T356/93)</i> [1995] E.P.O.R. 357 (EPO Tech Bd of Appeal).....	4.7.2, 4.7.3, 4.7.4
<i>Premier Brands v. Typhoon Europe</i> [2000] F.S.R. 767	4.5.4
<i>Procter & Gamble Company v. OHIM</i> , Case C-383/99 P [2002] ETMR 3	4.5.2
<i>Quanta Computer, Inc. v. LG Electronics, Inc.</i> 128 S. Ct. 2109 (2008), 170 L. Ed. 2d 996.....	7.3.2
<i>Radio Telefis Eirean (RTE) and Independent Television Publications Ltd (ITP) v. Commission of the European Communities</i> (Joint cases C-241/91P and C-242/91P – 69/JO241), (1995) ECJ Celex Lexis 1716 (the ‘Magill case’)	7.3.3
<i>Re Smith Kline and French Laboratories Ltd</i> [1990] 1 A.C. 64	4.2.4
<i>Sabèl v. Puma AG, Rudolf Dassler Sport</i> , Case C-251/95 [1998] ETMR 1	4.5.2

<i>Solar Thomson v. Barton</i> [1977] R.P.C. 537	7.3.2
<i>Sony Corporation of America v. Universal City Studios</i> 464 U.S. 417, 78 I.Ed. 2d 574.....	4.3.4
<i>Suntrust Bank v. Houghton Mifflin Co.</i> (11th Cir., 2001), 268 F 3d 1257, 60 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1225.....	3.6.3, 4.3.4
<i>Time Inc. v. Bernard Geis Associates</i> (D.C.N.Y. 1968) 293 F. Supp. 130 at 144.....	4.3.4
<i>United States – Section 110(5) of the US Copyright Act</i> , WTO document no. WT/D160/R, 15 June 2000	5.3.3, 5.3.4, 6.3.1, 8.2.1, 9.1.4
<i>United States of America v. Microsoft Corporation</i> 253 F. 3d 34 (CA D.C. 2001).....	7.3.3
<i>United Wire Ltd v. Screen Repair Services (Scotland) Ltd.</i> [2001] F.S.R. 24	7.3.2
<i>Universal City Studios, Inc v. Corley</i> 273 F 3d 429 (2nd Cir. 2001).....	4.8.2
<i>Venables v. Newsgroup Newspapers</i> [2001] 1 All E.R. 908	8.2.2
<i>Walt Disney Productions v. The Air Pirates</i> 581 F 2d 751 (9th Cir., 1978).....	3.6.3
<i>WARF/Stem Cells</i> G2/06 [2009] E.P.O.R. 15	4.7.3, 6.3.1
<i>Whelan Associates Inc. v. Jaslow Dental Laboratories Inc</i> 797 F. 2d 1222 (3rd Cir., 1986).....	4.3.2