

# Index

---

- 5th Environmental Action Plan 57
- accountability politics 47, 132
- advocacy groups 28
- advocacy networks, transnational 25
- Agrawala, S. 45
- Air Office 42
- Albright, Madeleine 115
- Aldy, J. 115
- American Council for an  
Energy-Efficient Economy 119
- American Electric Power 87, 149
- American Petroleum Institute (API) 86,  
121
- Andresen, S. 45
- Annex I countries 35, 74
- Appropriations Bill, Fiscal Year 1999  
113
- Argentina 115, 152
- Argonne National Laboratory 82
- 'attention cycle' 21
- Auken, Svend 98
- Austria 57
- authority, bestowers 27
- Baker, James 118
- Bali Roadmap 9–10
- bargaining phase 31, 172, 177
- Belgium 126, 129, 132, 167
- Bennett, A. 12
- Bentsen, Lloyd 50–51
- Berlin Mandate 8–9, 36–69, 145, 151,  
157  
and Green Group 163
- Berman, M. 30
- BEST team (Bureaucrats for Emissions  
Trading) 128–9, 133, 135–6, 148,  
153
- Betsill, M. 25
- Beuermann, C. 65–6
- Bhopal accident, 1984 166
- binding agreement, legally 171
- binding commitments 101–2, 156
- binding emissions targets 44, 52, 80, 82,  
89, 105, 145
- binding policies 73, 76, 102–3
- Bjerregaard, Ritt 96–7, 99, 128–9, 137
- Blair, Tony 74, 92, 106, 129, 154
- Blok, Kornelius 99
- BMU (Federal Ministry for the  
Environment, Nature  
Conservation and Nuclear Safety)  
56, 58, 66, 92–3, 125  
emissions target 58, 94, 127  
and emissions trading 129, 134  
leading role in inter-agency  
discussions 126  
and NGOs 132
- BMWi (Federal Ministry of  
Economics) 56, 58, 65, 93, 125,  
130
- Boer, Yvo de 92
- Bourillon, Christophe 65
- BP (British Petroleum) 64, 100, 121,  
133–4
- Braithwaite, J. 13, 88, 162, 180
- Brazil 74
- Breitmeier et al. 26–7
- Bringing Transnational Relations Back  
In* (Risse-Kappen) 24
- Browner, Carol 42, 81, 118
- Browne, Sir John 101
- Brown, P. 136
- Bryner, G. 118
- BTU (British Thermal Units) tax 40–41,  
49–51, 87, 149, 172
- Bulkely, H. 25

- burden sharing agreement 124, 127, 148, 177
- bureaucratic coalitions 21, 58, 147, 151
- Burke, Tom 61
- Busby, J. 47
- Bush administration 39–40, 52
- Bush, George W. 9–10
- Business Environmental Leadership Council 121
- business groups 48–52, 63–6, 84, 123, 138, 149, 162, 172–3
  - and Byrd-Hagel Resolution 79, 89
  - and domestic political incentives 164
  - and inter-agency discussions 156
  - lobbying against Kyoto Protocol 86–8
  - ‘more positive’ approach 133–6, 139
  - and NGOs 119
  - observer role 100–102, 104–5
  - preventing ratification of Kyoto Protocol 120–22
  - and transnational networks 153–4, 181
- Business Roundtable 51
- Byrd-Hagel Resolution 78–9, 86–7, 89, 104, 114, 149
- Byrd, Senator 87
- CAN (Climate Action Network) 48, 54, 68, 153
- CAN Europe 62
- CAN Japan 62
- carbon dioxide emissions 55, 92, 181
  - see also* greenhouse gas emissions
- carbon sinks 72–4, 76, 110–11, 116–17, 127, 136
- carbon tax 59–60, 65–6, 128, 149, 172
- Cass, L. 65, 80
- causal chains 161
- CBI (Confederation of British Industry) 64, 100, 134
- CEA 54, 83–4, 115–17, 123, 139, 150
- CEFIC (European Chemical Industry Council) 64
- Center for Clean Air Policy (CCAP) 132, 139
- CEQ (Council of Environmental Quality) 40–42, 77, 83, 114, 119, 177
- Checkel, J. 28
- Chernobyl accident, 1986 166
- Christopher, Warren 78
- Chrysler 119
- Claussen, Eileen 44, 46–7, 53, 81, 84, 147
- Clean Air Act Amendments 80
- Clean Development Mechanism 74
- Climate Challenges* 43
- Climate Change Action Plan 40–43, 49
- Climate Council 51, 88, 154, 162
- climate scientists and inter-agency discussions 78, 156
- Clinton administration
  - and business groups 48, 86, 121
  - and Byrd-Hagel Resolution 104
  - and Congress 118
  - distinguishing itself from the Bush administration 52, 155
  - and Hague negotiations 124
  - and Kyoto Protocol 90–91, 123, 167
  - and NGOs 47, 84–5, 119, 177
- Clinton, President 39–41, 76–7, 112–13
  - and anti-personnel land mines 91
  - and emissions reductions 79–80, 84, 89
- coal 41, 48, 55, 65–6, 149
- coalitions 22–4, 26, 50, 59, 95, 135–6, 163
  - bureaucratic 21, 58, 147, 151
  - infiltrating and manipulating 176–7
  - inter-agency 44
  - and mobilization 162
  - veto 87, 113, 122, 148–9, 163
  - see also* domestic coalitions; progressive coalitions; regressive coalitions
- COGs (chiefs of government) 20–21, 26, 45, 67, 101, 146–7, 150–51, 154, 157
  - and Berlin Mandate 38, 68, 76
  - and capacity to manipulate domestic constraints 177–8
  - and changes in government 164–5

- and cost-benefit calculations 124, 155
- and domestic political position 90, 113
- and Environment Council 55, 57, 101–3
- and Hague negotiations 123, 138, 158
- and immature game 172
- influence of expert knowledge 106, 126
- and inter-agency coalitions 44
- and Kyoto Protocol 103–4, 173
- and mature game 170–71
- and NGOs 47–8
- and reputation 91
- and transnational perspective 23
- triggering realignments 22
- White House 52–3, 88
- College of Commissioners 102
- Congress
  - affect in Hague negotiations 113, 115–16, 118, 121–3, 138–9
  - and BTU tax 41
  - and business groups 51, 79, 86–8, 104
  - and DoE 82, 117
  - and Obama 182
- constructive management 174, 178, 181
- constructivists 17, 19
- consumer groups 21
- COP 1 8, 13, 31, 35, 54, 69, 163
- COP 2 72, 78
- COP 3 8, 13, 31, 72, 74–6
- COP 4 115
- COP 6 109–12, 135
- COP 11 10, 31
- COP 13 10
- COP 15 10
- COP (Conference of the Parties) 9
- Copenhagen Accord 9, 10
- costs
  - and benefits 29, 124, 155, 157, 164, 170, 172, 179
  - economic 114–16, 122, 139
- Council of Environmental Quality (CEQ) 40–42, 77, 83, 114, 119, 177
- Council of Environment Ministers (Environment Council) *see* Environment Council
- cross-border activities 85
- Crump, L. 3
- Currie, James 96
- Daimler-Chrysler 121
- Darkin, B. 134
- Delbeke, Jos 126–9, 133
- Delors, Jacques 59–60
- Denmark 98
- Department of Environment (UK) 56, 95, 129, 150
- Department of Trade and Industry (DTI)/UK 56, 93, 95, 125, 130–31, 150
- Depledge, J. 6, 10
- detail phase 30
- DETR (Department of Environment, Transport and the Regions/UK 93, 125–6
- developed countries
  - and binding policies 73
  - and carbon tax 60
  - commitments 8, 35, 58, 66, 72, 76, 89
  - and EU 37
  - and flexibility mechanisms 109–10
  - and joint implementation 36
  - timetables 145
- developing countries 35–6, 52–3, 63, 66, 109, 111, 129, 139, 145
  - and Byrd-Hagel Resolution 79, 89
  - and EU 58, 63, 66
  - and Kyoto Protocol 72–4, 103
  - role 122
  - and US 76, 90, 118–19, 123, 139
- DG Economics and Finance (DG EcoFin) 59, 96–7, 124, 129, 131, 176
- DG Energy 59, 65, 96–7, 100–102, 124, 176
  - and business groups 133–4
- DG Environment 58–9, 66, 102, 124, 175–6
  - and business groups 65
  - changes post-Kyoto 126–7

- and emissions trading 128–9, 131, 135
- and EPA 139, 153
- and NGOs 61, 98–9, 132–3, 136
- ‘true believers’ 96–7
- DG Industry 59, 96–7, 124, 176
  - and business groups 134
  - and Kyoto Protocol 102, 130, 164
- DG Research 59, 129, 131
- DG Taxation 59
- diagnostic phase 30
- differentiated targets 81
- different pathways 162
- DoE (Department of Energy) 39, 42–4, 49, 52, 54, 77, 89, 113–14
  - and business groups 84, 86, 120–21
  - and economic costs 116–18
  - and emissions trading 53
  - and inter-agency discussions 82–3
  - and Kyoto Protocol 113
  - and White House 146–7
- domestic coalitions 21, 44, 50, 54, 59, 67, 147, 152, 157
  - and Berlin Mandate 76
  - business groups and Congress 87
  - and COG 22
  - and developing countries 115
  - economic agencies and government departments 83
  - environment agencies and NGOs 66, 68–9
  - and Hague negotiations 123
  - and Kyoto Protocol 88
  - and power and preferences 54
  - power of environmental interests 136
  - Republicans and business groups 52
- domestic networks 63
  - see also* domestic coalitions
- domestic political incentives 54, 89, 95, 137, 164–6, 172
- domestic political institutions 21–2, 146, 149, 161
- domestic politics 20–21, 32, 138, 147
- domestic processes 51, 63, 132, 154
- domestic producers 21
- domestic reputation 124, 178
  - see also* reputation
- Doniger, David 42, 46–7, 81, 84
- Drahos, P. 13, 88, 162, 180
- DTI (Department of Trade and Industry)/UK 56, 93, 95, 125, 130–31, 150
- Dudek, Daniel 85
- ECO 48
- economic agencies 39, 54, 69, 77, 80, 82–3, 88–9, 102, 104, 114, 124, 139, 150, 162
  - assessing costs of Kyoto Protocol 115–16
  - and Berlin negotiations 44–5
  - and business groups 134
  - and carbon tax 60
  - cautious approach by US 81
  - and domestic political incentives 164
  - and emissions targets 84, 95–6
  - and Hague negotiations 123, 135
  - and immature game 172
  - and inter-agency discussions 113, 122, 136, 138, 156
  - and Kyoto Protocol 118
  - and sinks 117
  - and transgovernmental coalitions 24
- economic costs 114–15, 122, 139
- economists, influence 136, 138, 153
- EDF 89, 147, 153
  - close ties with Clinton administration 84, 119
  - and orthodox policy networks 85, 105, 148, 176–7
- Eisenhardt, K. 11
- Eizenstat, Stuart 81, 91, 115, 146
- electoral systems 21–2
- electricity 55
- Electricity: The bridge between energy and sustainable development* 101
- emission permits 117
- emissions reductions 76, 81–3, 90, 117, 145, 148, 175–6
  - costs 116
  - EU 96–8
  - and immature game 169
- emissions targets *see* targets, emissions

- emissions trading 41–4, 53, 60, 72–3, 82, 89, 123, 127, 136
  - and business groups 134
  - and COP 3 75
  - disagreement among EU states 94–5, 102–3, 128–30
  - and EPA 118
  - and Hague negotiations 139
  - and inter-agency discussions 52
  - and international ozone regime 156
  - and Kyoto Protocol 73–4, 76
  - and NGOs 85, 99, 132–3
  - and State Department 81
  - and transnational networks 158, 181
- energy agencies 95, 102, 104, 135, 139
  - and business groups 65, 100–101, 133–4
  - and inter-agency discussions 124, 136
- energy companies 169–71
- Energy Information Administration (EIA) 117–18
- engagement of actors, level of 161–3
- environmental agencies 57–8, 65, 68, 96, 124, 147
  - and business groups 100
  - and emissions reductions 94
  - and emissions trading 128, 136
  - and Environment Council 125
  - and Hague negotiations 127, 130–31
  - and inter-agency discussions 126
  - and Kyoto Protocol 135
  - and NGOs 61, 66, 132, 139
  - progressive coalition 92, 148
  - and ‘true believers’ 104
  - UK and Germany 102
- Environmental Action Plan, 5th 57
- environmental coalitions 66, 136
  - see also* coalitions
- environmental integrity 126–7, 131, 138–9, 147, 158
- environmental NGOs 45–8, 52, 121, 153, 162
  - and Berlin Mandate 52, 158
  - and business groups 87, 101
  - and Clinton administration 84–6, 119–20
  - and emissions trading 89, 131–3, 136
  - and environment agencies 60–63, 65–6, 68–9, 98–100, 139
  - and Hague negotiations 135, 140
  - and immature game 169–70
  - Infiltrating and manipulating 176–7
  - and Kyoto Protocol 104
  - and leveraging international regimes 180
  - and orthodox policy networks 105
  - and transgovernmental networks 153
  - and transnational networks 153, 183
  - and transnational perspective 156
  - and White Hats 147–8
  - and White House 123
- Environment Council 56–7, 67, 93, 97
  - affect of Green Party 124–6, 132, 135, 150–51, 165
  - as COG 55, 101–3, 147
  - emphasis on environmental integrity 127
  - and environment agencies 125–6
  - and Hague negotiations 137, 140
  - and qualified majority voting 128–9
  - and sinks 136
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) 39–41, 46, 54, 77, 113–14, 119
  - and binding targets 52, 80, 89
  - and DG Environment 128, 139
  - and emissions trading 42–3, 53, 153
  - and environmental NGOs 47
  - and inter-agency discussions 82–3, 118
  - and international ozone regime 156, 167
  - and joint implementation 67–8
  - and Kyoto Protocol 104, 113
  - and NGOs 84
  - and White House 146–7
- epistemic communities 24–6, 153
  - and immature game 169, 178–9
  - IPCC 90, 94, 102, 104, 158
  - and mature game 170–71
  - and policy elites 166–7, 173
  - and transnational perspective 78, 118, 126, 154
- Estrada, Ambassador 73
- EU-bubble 73, 75, 97

- EU-CAN (European Climate Action Network) 61, 66, 98–9, 131
- EU (European Union)
- and Berlin Mandate 55–68, 145
  - carbon tax 149
  - and COP 1 37–8
  - and COP 3 74–6
  - and domestic political incentives 164
  - and flexibility mechanisms 8, 9, 73, 105, 109
  - and Hague negotiations 111, 124–40, 158
  - and immature and mature games 172–3, 182
  - influence of expert knowledge 167
  - and joint implementation 36
  - and Kyoto 10–14, 74, 91–103
  - and mobilization of actors 162, 175–6
  - policy networks 163
  - and proportional electoral systems 21–2
  - and reputation 155
  - and sinks 110
  - and trilateral forum 73
  - see also* COGs (chiefs of government); economic agencies; environmental NGOs; Environment Council; progressive coalitions; transnational networks
- EURELECTRIC 64, 100–101
- EUROFER (European Confederation of Iron and Steel Industries) 64–5
- European Business Council for a Sustainable Energy Future 64, 100
- European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC) 64
- European Commission (EC) 55, 58–9, 96, 124
- and carbon tax 65–6
  - and emissions reductions target 97–8
  - progressive coalition 148
- EUROPIA (European Petroleum Industry Association) 64–6, 100, 133–4
- Evans, P. 164–5
- exogenous shocks 166, 169–73, 179–80, 182
- expert knowledge among policy elites 166–7, 169–70, 172–3, 178
- external factors 161, 165–7, 169–70, 172, 184
- Exxon 86, 88
- Ezra, Lord 66
- Fay, Kevin 86
- Finland 57
- Fiscal Year 1999 Appropriations Bill 113
- Fisher-Vanden, K. 42
- ‘five-labs’ study 83, 117
- flat targets 81
- flexibility mechanisms 43–4, 67, 72–3, 105, 129, 145
- and COP 3 75
  - at Hague negotiations 109–11, 123, 127, 136–7, 139
  - and State Department 81
- Ford 121
- formula phase 30
- Forrister, Derrick 43, 78
- forum-shopping 162
- fossil fuels 6
- companies 48–9, 100, 133
  - lobbies 86–7, 118
- Foundation for International Environmental Law and Development (FIELD) 61
- framing 26, 88, 121, 149, 175–6
- Frampton, George 119
- France
- and carbon tax 59–60
  - and emissions trading 94, 102, 129
  - environment agencies 92–3, 96, 98
  - Ministry of Finance 58
  - and NGOs 132
- Frankel, J. 117
- Friends of the Earth 61, 99
- fuel tax 40–41
- G20 182–3
- G77 63, 74, 76, 115
- game theory 18
- Gardiner, David 119

- gas 41, 55
- GCC (Global Climate Coalition) 48, 86–8, 118, 121–2, 149
- Geneva Declaration 72, 78
- Germany
- Berlin Mandate 60–61, 151
  - BMU and BMWi 56, 65, 93, 126, 130
  - and emissions trading 127–9
  - and greenhouse gas emissions 55, 57–8, 67
  - and Kyoto Protocol 91–2
  - and NGOs 98, 132
  - progressive coalition 148
  - public support for climate change 95
  - and science 126, 167
- Giorgetti, C. 101
- Global Business Regulation* (2000) (Braithwaite and Drahos) 13
- global governance 26, 183
- global society 24
- global temperatures 5–6
- Goffman, Joseph 85
- Gore, Al 40, 42, 44, 53, 69, 112–13, 146, 150
- and COP 3 73
  - and developing countries 115
  - and domestic political incentives 165
  - and electoral prospects 113, 123, 138, 151, 158, 178
  - and Kyoto Protocol 90–91, 173
  - and NGOs 119
  - and science 106, 154, 167
- governance, global 26, 183
- government agencies 21, 24, 47
- and business groups 120
  - disputes 84
  - and immature game 169
  - and NGOs 104, 132
  - see also* individual ministries
- governments and two-level game 20
- Green Alliance 61
- Green Group 62–3, 153–4, 163
- greenhouse gas emissions 5–8, 92
- and Annex I countries 35
  - and BTU tax 40–41
  - and *Climate Challenges* 43
  - and Climate Change Action Plan 42
  - commitments 72–3, 84, 89, 94, 97, 102
  - downward trend 67
  - and economic instruments 59
  - and joint implementation 36
  - and Kyoto Protocol 73
  - limits 54
  - and President Clinton 79–80
  - and UK 55, 57
  - see also* emissions reductions
- Green Paper 63, 123, 129, 131
- Green Party 132, 138–9, 151, 158
- influence on Environment Council 124–6, 135, 137, 165
- Greenpeace 61, 62, 66, 85, 98–9, 131, 153
- Gummer, John 57, 62, 67
- Haas, Peter 24–5, 179
- Hafner-Burton et al. 29
- Hagel, Senator Chuck 87, 117–18
- Hague negotiations 109–40, 147, 151, 158
- Haigh, N. 58
- Hansen, James 7, 166
- Harrison, N. 78
- Hatch, M. 92, 128
- heat wave in US, 1988 166
- Helme, Ned 133
- Henningsen, Jorgen 65, 96, 102, 128
- HM Treasury 56, 93, 125
- House of Lords EU Committee 66
- House Resolution 4194 118
- House Science Committee 117
- ICC (International Chamber of Commerce) 63–5, 133
- ice sheets 6
- immature game 168–70, 172, 174–9
- Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI) 64
- incentives, political 169–70
- and COGs 178
  - and immature game 169, 172
  - and mature game 170, 173
- industry agencies 65, 95, 102, 104, 135, 139
- and business groups 100, 133–4

- and inter-agency discussions 124, 136
- industry ministries 65
  - see also* individual ministries
- inter-agency coalitions 44
- inter-agency discussions 52, 54–5, 69, 78, 83, 113, 156
  - and BMU 58
  - and DoE 49
  - and economic agencies 122, 138
  - and environment agencies 68, 94, 124, 130–31
  - and EPA 118
  - and immature game 169
  - and international ozone regime 81
  - and Kyoto Protocol 115
  - and mature game 170
  - and NEC 177
  - and NGOs 46–7, 85
  - and science 126
  - and sinks 117
  - and White Hats 147
- Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee (INC) 8, 42
- intergovernmental perspective *see* two-level intergovernmental perspective
- internal factors 160–65, 184
- International Climate Change Partnership 86
- international climate regime 104, 124, 158
- International Energy Agency 182
- international ozone regime 156, 167, 172
- international regime perspective 26–9, 32, 83, 128, 145, 155–8
  - and Berlin Mandate 45
  - and business groups 100
  - and emissions trading 135
  - and government agencies 130
  - and Hague negotiations 139
  - and NGOs 120
  - and reputation 47, 54–5, 57
- international regimes 78, 167, 171, 173, 179–80, 182–3
- international relations 17
- international reputation 40, 44, 47, 52, 54, 155, 178
- inter-service group 59
- interviews 12–14
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) 7, 79, 156, 166–7
  - as epistemic community 90, 94, 104, 154, 158
  - reports 151
- IPCC Second Assessment Report 78, 85, 90, 102, 104, 106, 154, 173
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) 61, 98–9
- Jager, C. 65–6
- Japan 62, 73–4
- Joachim, J. 88
- job losses 82
- joint implementation 35–6, 38, 60, 67, 75
- Jordan, A. 57
- JUSCCANZ 72–3
- Kazakhstan 115, 152
- Keck, M. 23, 25
- Keith, Nancy 43
- Keohane, Robert 23–4
- King et al. 12
- Klassen, Ger 127
- Kohl, Helmut 67, 69, 154
- Krasner, S. 26
- Kyoto negotiations 72–106, 148, 162
- Kyoto Protocol 3, 7–9, 13, 31, 73–4, 109–10, 145, 151–2
  - and binding commitments 156
  - and business groups 121
  - economic costs 115, 117–18
  - and environmental integrity 126, 158
  - and George W. Bush 10
  - and mature game 173
  - and NGOs 119
  - and ratification 113–15
  - and two-level intergovernmental perspective 157
- land mines ban 91, 155, 178
- Larsson, Kjell 111
- lead negotiators 21



- learning facilitators 28–9, 156  
 legally binding agreement 171  
 Leggett, J. 86  
 Level II (national level) 20  
 Level I (international level) 20  
 level II win-set 147  
 level of engagement of actors 161–3  
 Levy et al. 27  
 Levy, M. 27–8  
 liberals 17, 19  
 lobbying 51, 63  
     by business groups 87–8  
     against carbon tax 65–6  
 Loy, Frank 109, 111, 113, 115, 146  
 LULUCF (land use, land use change  
     and forestry) 110  
  
 majoritarian political systems 21–2, 149  
 Major, John 67  
 ‘malign’ problem 6  
 Marrakesh Accords 8–9  
 mature game 168, 170–74, 179–83  
 McGinty, Katie 41, 78, 83, 119, 177  
 Meacher, Michael 76  
 Merkel, Angela 35, 67, 92, 150–51  
 Metz, Bert 92  
 Milner, H. 18  
 Mobil 86, 88  
 mobilization of actors 161–3  
     minimal, exploiting 175–6  
 Montreal Protocol 7, 11, 31, 42, 80–81  
 Moravcsik, A. 19, 22, 31, 160, 164  
 Munich Reinsurance Company  
     (Munich Re) 64  
  
 naming and shaming 26, 28  
 National Mining Association 87  
 natural gas 41  
 NEC (National Security Council) 54,  
     83, 115, 177  
 neo-realists 17–19  
 Netherlands 61, 65, 92, 95, 97, 99  
     and emissions trading 102–3  
     progressive coalition 148  
 networks 163  
     domestic 63  
     Infiltrating and manipulating 176–7  
     and mobilization 162  
     orthodox policy 85, 99, 105  
     transgovernmental 24, 43, 67, 153  
     *see also* transnational networks  
 Newell, P. 47–8, 65, 84, 101  
*New York Times* 7, 117  
 NGOs, environmental *see*  
     environmental NGOs  
 NOAA scientists 118  
 non-binding agreement 170  
 non-utilitarian behavioural pathways  
     27, 156  
 Note from Jan Pronk 110  
 NRDC (Natural Resources Defense  
     Council) 84–5, 119, 147  
 NSC (National Security Council) 44,  
     77, 114  
 Nussbaum, Barry 43  
 Nye, Joseph 23–4  
  
 Oberthur, S. 63, 73, 76  
 OECD (Organization for Economic  
     Co-operation and Development)  
     67  
 Ohki, Hiroshi 72  
 oil 48, 63, 134  
 O’Leary, Hazel 43, 49, 82, 85  
 OPEC countries 51, 63, 154, 162  
 organizational learning 118, 154, 166  
 orthodox policy networks 85, 99, 105,  
     176  
 Ott, H. 63, 73, 76  
 Ozone Action 119  
 ozone layer 7, 11, 31  
 ozone regime 80–81, 156, 167, 172  
  
 Passacantando, John 119  
 Peabody Coal 87, 149  
 Pearlman, Don 51, 162  
 Pena, Frederico 82  
 petroleum 41  
 Pew Center on Climate Change 121–2  
 pilot interviews 12–13  
 pilot phase 36  
 Pitzl, J. 119  
 Policies and Measures (POMs) 75  
*Policies and Measures to reduce CO2  
     Emissions by Efficiency and  
     Renewables* (WWF) 99

- policy coordination 23, 26  
 policy elites 166–7, 169–73  
 policy networks 85, 99, 105, 163, 176  
 Policy Office 42  
 political imperatives 103, 138–9, 151, 157–8  
 political incentives *see* incentives, political  
 political parties, support 102  
 Pomerance, Rafe 46  
 post-agreement phases 30  
 post-Kyoto phase 8, 10  
 pre-agreement phases 30  
 preferences, state 160  
 prenegotiation phase 31  
 Prescott, John 92, 95, 126, 129, 150  
 price increases, energy 82  
 process tracing 12  
 progressive coalitions 126, 147–8, 150, 157, 164  
     environment agencies 92, 96, 104  
     and Hague negotiations 138, 158  
     influence of science 154  
     and Kyoto negotiations 103, 162  
     and mobilization of interested actors 175  
     and NGOs 119, 131  
 progressive domestic coalition 52, 66, 88, 102  
 prolonged international negotiations 5, 30–31  
 Pronk, Jan 110  
 proportional electoral systems 21–2, 149  
 Putnam, Robert 20, 22, 145, 147, 169, 171  
  
 qualified majority voting 128–9  
 Quennet-Thielen, Cornelia 92  
  
 Racquet, Michel 99  
 ratification 20, 30–31, 170–71, 173, 179  
     and pressure on COG 165  
 Rayner, T. 57  
 realists 17–19, 26  
 regime adjustment negotiations 30  
 regime effectiveness 27  
 regime formation 26–7, 30–31  
  
 regime governance 30  
 regressive coalitions 83, 89, 104, 113, 122, 147–50  
     and environmental NGOs 121  
     and Hague negotiations 123, 138, 158  
     and Kyoto Protocol 157  
 regressive domestic coalition 118  
 regressive veto coalition 79, 88, 123  
 Reinsurance Association of America 86  
 replication 11  
 Republicans 52–3, 79, 82, 113, 117, 138  
 reputation 57, 68, 91, 104, 124, 155, 178  
 Rhodes, R. 163  
 Ringius, L. 74, 92, 98  
 Rio Earth Summit 3, 9, 166  
 Ripa di Meana, Carlo 58–60, 65–6  
 Risse-Kappen, T. 23–24, 28–9, 162  
 role definers 28  
 Rosenzweig, Richard 43  
 Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) 61  
 Royden, A. 113, 119  
 Rubin, Robert 84, 115  
 rule-making negotiations 30  
 Russia 10  
  
 Sach, Karsten 132  
 Sandalow, David 119, 124  
 Saudi Arabia 51, 154, 162  
 Schafhausen, Franzjosef 92, 129  
 Schattschneider, E.E. 162  
 Schelling, T. 21  
 science 93–4, 105–6, 118, 126, 151, 156, 173  
     and Al Gore 154  
     and IPCC 90, 102, 104  
 Sebenius, J. 65–6, 162  
 Senate 41, 78, 89, 104, 115, 121, 149  
 Sensenbrenner, James 117  
 Sewell, G. 61, 65, 92  
 Shell 64, 100, 121, 133–4  
 side-payments 152  
 Sierra Club 47, 84–5, 119, 148  
 Sikkink, K. 23, 25  
 Silverman, D. 11  
 sinks 72–4, 76, 110–11, 116–17, 127, 136  
 Skjaereth, J.B. 128–9, 132, 134

- Slaughter, Ann-Marie 24  
 Spector, B. 30–31  
 Sperling, Gene 83  
 stakeholders 162  
 the state  
   behaviour 25–7, 36  
   and international relations theories 18–19  
 State Department 39, 44, 53–4, 77, 114, 146–7  
   and Berlin Mandate 151  
   and binding targets 52, 81, 89  
   and business groups 120  
   and developing countries 115, 118  
   and inter-agency discussions 83  
   and Kyoto Protocol 104, 111–13  
   and NGOs 84–5, 119  
   role 114  
 state preferences 160  
 steel 65  
 Stern, Todd 115  
 Stewart, E. 119  
 Stultman, Paul 43  
 substantive negotiations 31–2  
 sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) trading regime 42–3  
 Summers, Lawrence 83–4, 115–16  
 Sununu, John 40  
 Susskind, L. 3, 6  
 Sweden 57, 126, 167
- Talbott, Strobe 91  
 targets, emissions 44, 52, 72, 80, 82, 84, 89–90, 105, 145  
   and Clinton 84  
 taxes 40–41, 49–51, 53, 59–60, 65–6, 128, 149, 172  
 techno-optimists 83, 117  
 temperatures, global 5–6  
 Texaco 121  
 Thatcher, Margaret 55  
 Tirpak, Dennis 42  
 Toronto Conference on the Changing Atmosphere 7  
 transgovernmental coalition building 23–4  
 transgovernmental networks 24, 43, 67, 153  
   ‘transmission belt’ 19  
 transnational advocacy networks 25  
 transnational coalitions 163  
 transnational networks 25, 67, 153–4, 163, 180–81, 183  
   and business groups 51–2, 122  
   and NGOs 48, 54, 62, 120, 132–3, 158  
 transnational perspective 23–6, 28–9, 32, 145, 152–5, 157–8  
   and business groups 51, 101  
   and emissions trading 118, 128  
   EU interactions with US 95, 131  
   and framing 88  
   influence of expert knowledge 126  
   and IPCC 94, 102, 104  
   and NGOs 45, 63, 68, 85, 99, 136  
   and scientists 78  
 Treasury, Department of (US) 54, 83–4, 115–16, 150  
 Treasury (UK) 56, 58, 60, 93, 95–6, 130–31, 150  
 Triptique approach 97, 99, 148, 177  
 Trittin, Jurgen 126, 129, 132  
 ‘true believers’ 96–7, 104, 126, 128, 136, 148  
   influence of science 93–4, 154  
 Trumbore, P. 165  
 two-level game 20, 29, 155, 164  
 two-level intergovernmental  
   perspective 20–23, 28–9, 32, 45, 105–6, 145–52, 157, 184  
   and Berlin Mandate 53–4, 66–8  
   and business groups 51, 86–7, 100, 122  
   and COGs 101, 103–4, 120, 126, 135, 139  
   and developing countries 115  
   and DoE 82  
   and domestic coalitions 44, 76, 136  
   and Environment Council 137  
   and EU bureaucratic coalitions 58  
   and Gore and Wirth 40  
   and Hague negotiations 131, 138  
   and Kyoto Protocol 90, 102  
   and NGOs 48, 62–3, 85–6, 99, 132  
   and progressive coalitions 52, 59, 88, 92, 95–6, 98

- and public preferences 165
- and regressive coalition 79, 83, 121, 123
- and the White House 80, 113
- UK
  - and carbon tax 59, 66
  - and climate change 55, 57
  - Department of Environment/  
Environment, Transport and the  
Regions 56, 68–9, 126
  - Department of Trade and Industry 56,  
58, 65
  - and emissions trading 95, 102–3,  
129–31, 134–5
  - and greenhouse gas emissions 67
  - and Kyoto Protocol 91–4
  - and NGOs 60–62, 98
  - progressive coalition 148, 150
  - and reputation 55, 57, 155
  - Treasury 58
- umbrella organizations 48, 61, 63
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework  
Convention on Climate Change) 3,  
7–9, 35–6, 54, 72
- UN General Assembly 7
- Union of Industrial and Employers’  
Confederations of Europe  
(UNICE) 64
- Union of the Electricity Industry  
(EURELECTRIC) 64, 100–101
- Unwin, Peter 92
- US CAN 85–6
- US Clean Air Act Amendments, 1990  
41–2
- US (United States)
  - advocating emissions trading to EU  
95, 132–3, 156
  - and Berlin Mandate 68, 145
  - and binding emissions targets 105
  - and Clean Development Mechanism  
74
  - and COP 1 37–55
  - and COP 2 72
  - and COP 3 74–6
  - and domestic political incentives 164
  - electoral system 21–2
  - Government 38–44
  - and Hague negotiations 111–24,  
138–40, 158
  - heat wave, 1988 166
  - and immature and mature games  
172–3
  - influence of expert knowledge 167
  - and Kyoto negotiations 10–13, 76–91
  - and Kyoto Protocol 105
  - and mature game 182
  - and mobilization of actors 162, 175
  - opposition to emissions targets 8
  - policy networks 85, 89, 105, 148,  
156, 163, 176–7
  - and reputation 155, 178
  - Senate 41, 78, 89, 104, 115, 121, 149
  - and sinks 75–6, 110–12, 116–17
  - and trilateral forum 73
  - see also* Congress; DoE (Department  
of Energy); economic agencies;  
environmental NGOs; EPA  
(Environmental Protection  
Agency); flexibility  
mechanisms; transnational  
networks; White House
- veto coalitions 87, 113, 122, 148–9,  
162–3
  - and mature game 171
  - regressive 79, 88, 123
- Vis, Peter 127
- voluntary commitments 73, 76, 89, 103,  
109, 123, 137
- voters, affect on COG 165
- Voynet, Dominique 92–3, 96, 98, 103,  
111, 124, 136, 151
- VROM (Ministry of Housing, Spatial  
Planning and the Environment) 92,  
97
- Wallstrom, Margot 128, 137
- Watson, Robert 78
- WCI (World Coal Institute) 64–5
- weak actors 175–6, 178–82
- Weale et al. 59
- webs of influence 13
- Wettestad, J. 128–9, 132, 134
- White Hats 147–8
- White House 39, 42–4, 69, 77, 114

- and business groups 120
- and Byrd-Hagel Resolution 79
- as COG 53, 104, 146–7, 150–52
- desire for agreement 90
- and developing countries 115
- and domestic political incentives 165
- and greenhouse gas emissions 54
- and Hague negotiations 123, 139–40
- and Kyoto Protocol 88–9, 113
- and NEC 83
- and NGOs 84, 123
- and targets 41, 81
- White House Climate Change Taskforce 77–8, 114, 119
- White, R. 86
- ‘wicked’ problem 6–7
- winning coalitions 162–3
- win-sets 20–22, 147, 149, 169–71
- Wirth, Timothy 40, 44, 46, 53–4, 81
  - and Berlin Mandate 151
  - and binding targets 82
  - influence of NGO lobbying 84–5
  - and legally binding agreement 78, 146–7
- within-case analysis 12
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development 64, 100, 133
- Wuppertal Institute for Climate, Environment and Energy 61–2
- WWF (World Wide Fund) 61–2, 66, 98–9, 105
  - and BMU 132
  - and environmental integrity 131
  - infiltrating EU policy networks 148, 177
  - influence on developing countries 63
  - and transgovernmental networks 153
  - and transnational networks 154
- Yamin, F. 10
- Yellen, Janet 115–16
- Yin, R. 11, 184
- Young, O. 27–8
- Zapfel, Peter 127–8
- Zartman, I.W. 30–31, 167

