

Index

- activities of daily living (ADLs) 53, 65, 66
- Age Lab (MIT) 48
- ageing in the developed world 30–31
- Ageing Index 31
 - see also* American Association of Retired Persons
- American Association of Retired Persons (AARP) 31
 - caregiver support ratio 33–4
 - Public Policy Institute 34
- Anmie, T. 65
- Anttonen, J. 2, 43
- appendix
 - citizen survey 148–68
 - interview questions by basic innovation model element 146–7
- Balestat, G. 47–8, 53, 59, 64–5
- Barlow, J. 60, 61
- Baron, R.J. 39
- Blank, R. 43
- Blaschke, C.M. 70–71
- Bodenheimer, T. 39
- Boult, C. 39
- Brown, J.W. 63–4
- Brown, L. 97
- Bryson, J.R. 97
- Burau, V. 3, 43, 44–5, 47, 53, 58, 59, 64, 66, 67
- Cameron, D. 41
- Campbell, J.C. 64, 66
- Care Coordination/Home Telehealth (CCHT) 38, 48, 70
- Cassel, C.K. 39
- Castro, D. 50, 54, 55–7, 72
- Center for Information Technology Research in the Interest of Society (CITRIS) 34–5
- Chesbrough, H. 80, 90
- chronic disease(s) 14, 15, 23, 29, 33, 35, 38, 47, 52, 58, 63, 101
 - data for (US Centers for Disease Control (CDC), 2014) 32
 - non-communicable (NCDs) as biggest cause of death worldwide 31–2
- citizen opinion 101–35
 - major findings/trends for *see* citizen opinion: major findings and trends
 - overview of findings *see* citizen opinion: overview of findings
 - respondent characteristics 105–9
 - response areas 104–5
 - study specifications 101–4
 - linking survey questions to Service Innovation Triangle 102–3
 - matching response patterns to key characteristics 103
 - target respondent characteristics 101–2
 - time horizons: present, near future *and* long-term future 102
- citizen opinion: major findings and trends 109–12
 - care providers 111
 - confidence in current and future service adequacy 110
 - findings across countries 111–12
 - important issues facing seniors 110

- living situation 110
- payment 111
- privacy 111
- responsibility 109–10
- technology 111
- citizen opinion: overview of
 - findings 112–33
- care providers 123–5
 - care providers (Q10) 124–5
 - summary 123–4
- confidence in current and future
 - service adequacy 119–21
 - adequacy of current services (Q5, Q6) 120–21
 - summary 119–20
- important issues 121–2
 - important issues (Q7) 121–2
 - summary 121, 122
- living situation 122–3
 - current and future (Q9) 123, 124
 - current and past (Q8) 122–3
 - summary 122
- payment 128–33
 - cross-tabulations 130–33
 - current payers (Q16) 129
 - desired future payers (Q18) 130
 - expected future payers (Q17) 129–30
 - summary 128–9
- privacy 127–8
 - concern over (Q14) 127–8
 - summary 127
 - willingness to trade (Q15) 128
- responsibility 112–19
 - current – for in-home care (Q1) 114–15
 - decision-making and influence (Q4) 118–19
 - desired – for in-home care (Q3) 117–18
 - expected – for in-home care (Q2) 116–17
 - summary 112–14
- technology 125–7
 - anticipated future technology use (Q13) 126–7
 - current use of technology (Q11) 126
 - satisfaction with current technology (Q12) 126
 - summary 125
- Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance* 79
- conclusions to chapters on
 - citizen opinion 133–4
 - cultural context 73–4
 - in-home care services for independent living 41
 - initial framework 24–5
 - need for disruptive innovation in public services 17
 - Service Innovation Triangle (SIT) model 97–8
- core model *see* models and Service Innovation Triangle (SIT) model
- Coye, M.J. 2, 15, 37, 48
- cultural context (and) 42–74
 - classifications of countries 42–5
 - comparisons 68–73
 - cultural analysis 45–6
 - Japan 68–71
 - see also* cultural context: Japan
 - questions to explore 72–3
 - Scandinavia 68–72
 - see also* cultural context: Scandinavia
 - the United Kingdom 68–72
 - see also* cultural context: the United Kingdom
 - the United States 68–73
 - see also* cultural context: the United States
- cultural context: Japan 62–8
 - consumer/need 64–5
 - payer/business model 66–7
 - provider/technology 65–6
 - regulator/public policy 67–8
 - robots 65–6, 67
- cultural context: Scandinavia 52–7
 - consumer/need 53

- payer/business model 55
 provider-technology 53–5
 regulator/public policy 55–7
 cultural context: the United Kingdom 57–62
 consumer/need 59
 payer/business model 60–61
 provider/technology 59–60
 regulator/public policy 61–2
 cultural context: the United States 46–51
 consumer/need 47–8
 payer/business model 49–50
 provider/technology 48–9
 regulator/public policy 50–51
 see also legislation (US)
 Cuthbertson, R. 4, 5, 16, 79, 80, 97

 Daniels, P.W. 97
 Darkins, A. 38, 39, 48
 Dawson, W.D. 49
 definition of disability (Health Morbidity Survey) 53
 Deming, W.E. 89
 Denmark 41, 53–6, 70
 CareNet 54
 MedCom 56
 telehealth in 56
 Djellal, F. 97
 Dobni, C.B. 88
 Dunnell, K. 59

 Economic Co-operation and Development, Organisation for (OECD) 11
 Ministerial Meeting:
 ‘Strengthening Trust in Government’ (2005) 11
 entitlement programs 15, 40–41
 and ‘culture of entitlement’ (Cameron) 41
 definition of (Auburn University) 40
 European Commission 35

 Feder, 49
 Fleming, K.C. 33

 Fujiwara, M. 65
 Furseth, P.I. 4, 5, 16, 9, 80, 97

 Gadrey, J. 42, 43
 Gallouj, F. 97
 Giannakouris, K. 53
 Gibson, M.J. 52, 53

 He, W. 30
 healthcare 11, 14, 20, 22, 35–7, 40, 48, 49, 51, 54–60, 62–4, 66–8, 71–3, 105
 and caregiver shortages 32–4
 industry, need for transformation of 34–5
 see also Medicaid and Medicare
 Hendy, J. 60, 61
 human resources 29

 Iacovou, M. 52, 59
 Ikegami, N. 64
 implications for innovation in public services 137–45
 and future research 143–5
 general 137–9
 and implications for innovation (by country) 139–42
 Japan 141–2
 Norway/Scandinavia 140
 United Kingdom 141
 United States 139–40
 trends and outliers 142–3
 independent living (and) 14–15
 as focus of potentially disruptive, technology-enabled services 14–15
 need for services 14
 slow adoption and problematic regulation 15
 social/economic consequences of 15
 in-home care services for independent living (and) 29–41
 ageing in the developed world 30–31
 caregiver shortages 32–4

- disruptive change and health care transformation 34–5
 dynamics emphasizing role and value of 29
 growth of chronic disease 31–2
 see also chronic disease(s)
 note 41
 political significance of/public debate on 40–41
 technology 35–40
 see also subject entry
 societal need for 29
 initial framework 18–25
 conditions for successful innovation 20–23
 delivery of services 18–20
 and problematic relationships 20
 linking innovation and culture 23–4
 and fundamental dichotomies within society 24
 stakeholders: citizens, service providers, payers, regulators 21–2
 see also Service Innovation Triangle (SIT)
- Japan 2, 4, 23, 41, 42, 44, 45, 58, 62–8, 105–11, 114, 118–27, 130, 141–2, 143
 Automobile Research Institute 67
 cultural context of *see* cultural context: Japan
 National institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology 67
 robot-testing in 67
 Johnson, M.W. 90
 Juran, J.M. 80
- Kaye, S. 47
 Keeley, L. 16, 80
 Kinsella, K. 30
 Korpi, W. 3, 42, 43, 53
- Lafortune, G. 47–8, 53, 59, 64–5
 legislation (UK): National Telecare Framework Agreement 61
 legislation (US)
 Affordable Care Act 33
 Community Living Assistance Services and Supports (CLASS) Act 51
 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA, 2010) 51
 Recovery and Reinvestment Act (2009) 50–51
 long-term care (LTC) funding 49
 Lusch, R.F. 80
- Martin, S. 15, 35, 36
 Mattke, S. 60
 Medicaid 40, 48, 49
 Medicare 40, 49
 and Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation 51
- models
 Business ModelCanvas (Osterwalder and Pigneur) 80
 Dublin (Keeley *et al.*) 80
 Service Innovation Triangle (SIT) *see subject entry*
- Mostashari, F. 51
- National Health Service (NHS) (UK) 59–61
 see also legislation (UK)
- need for disruptive innovation in public services (and) 11–17
 current theory – gaps in understanding 16
 reasons to study independent living 14–15
 see also independent living
 reasons to study public services 11–14
- Norway 11, 23, 40–41, 52–3, 55–6, 72, 84, 105–30, 140, 143
 local government-centric viewpoint of 6

- Norwegian Board of Technology
56
- Okuyama, S. 63, 64
- O'Neill, T. 95
- Orloff, A.S. 42, 43
- Osborne, S.P. 97
- Osterwalder, A. 16, 80
- Parè, G. 38
- Pigneur, Y. 16, 80
- Porter, M.E. 79
- reports (on)
- non-communicable (chronic) diseases (World Health Organization, 2010) 31
 - population ageing (UN) 20–31
- research (future) in public service innovation 143–5
- Scandinavia 11, 23, 42, 44, 45, 52–7, 62, 67, 68, 70–74, 140–41, 143
- cultural context of *see* cultural context: Scandinavia
- Service Innovation Triangle (SIT)
- model (and) 4, 16, 18, 19, 143–4
 - bringing society into the 95–7
 - the core model 79–98
 - layers of the *see* Service Innovation Triangle (SIT) model, layers of 83–94
 - note 98
 - the original SIT model 81–2
 - the public SIT model 82–3
 - theoretical background to 79–81
- Service Innovation Triangle (SIT) model, layers of 83–94
- ability 88
 - actors: citizens, users, and suppliers 83
 - demand triangle 94
 - employing the framework in public services 91–3
 - financial assets 84–5
 - funding model 90
 - innovation capacity 83–4
 - innovation outcomes 91
 - intangible assets 85–7
 - people 87–8
 - service system 89–90
 - subsystems: value, supply, and demand 93
 - supply triangle 93
 - tangible assets 84
 - technology 87
 - user experiences 88–9
 - value diamond 93, 94
- social alarms 35, 48, 53–5, 60, 66–7, 70
- studies (on)
- decline in number of dentists (Delta Dental Plans Association, US, 2010) 33
 - robotic pet ('Paro') (Tsukuba University, Japan) 66
 - telehealth in UK: Whole System Demonstration (WSD) 39
 - telehealth in US: Care Coordination/Home Telehealth (CCHT) 38–9
 - see also* US Veterans Health Administration (VHA) 38
- Sweden (and) 53–7
- payer/business model 55
 - research and development (R&D) project: OLD@Home (Vinnova) 54
 - Strategy for eHealth 57
 - telehealth in 56
- technology
- rationale for adoption of new 38
 - 'smart home' 15, 18, 35, 36, 48–9, 52, 54, 60, 66–7, 68, 70, 71, 73
 - telecare 15, 18, 35–6, 39, 48, 49, 55, 59–62, 65, 68, 70–71, 73
 - telehealth 15, 29, 25, 36–40, 48, 60, 65, 68, 70–71, 73
- Teece, D. 80
- telehealth 56, 70
- Theobald, H. 43

- Toivonen, M. 80
 Tuominen, T. 80
- United Kingdom (UK) 11, 23, 41, 42, 45, 68
 cultural context of 57–62
see also cultural context: the United Kingdom
 government initiatives 61
 Healthcare Innovation Expo 60
 home care policy subsystem 44
 Just Checking system for dementia 35
 National Health Service (NHS) 59–61
 Preventative Technologies Grant 59
 universal care program 61–2
 Whole Systems Demonstrator Programme 59–60, 70
see also legislation (UK)
- United Nations Population Division, Department of Economic and Social Affairs 30
- United States (US) 11, 23, 46–51, 68
 American Association of Retired Persons (AARP) *see subject entry*
 American Geriatrics Society 33
 American Hospital Association (AHA) 33
 Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) 33
 Association of Schools of Public Health (ASPH) 33
 Aware Home Research Institute (Georgia Tech) 48
 Census Bureau 40
 cultural context of 46–51
see also cultural context: the United States
 Duke Smart Home Program 49
 entitlement spending in 40
 health and other benefits in 40
 means-testing in 40
 Paraprofessional Healthcare Institute 33
 personal emergency response systems (PERS) in 48
 University of Florida Smart Home 49
 Veterans Health Administration (VHA) 48, 71
 Veterans Health Administration (VHA) CCHT program 38–9, 48, 70
- Vargo, S.L. 80
- Weintraub, A.R. 38
 Whole Systems Demonstrator (WSD) programme 39, 59–60, 61, 69
 World Assembly on Ageing 30–31
 World Health Organization (WHO) 31
- Zeithaml, V.A. 89

