

# Bibliography

---

## BOOKS AND BOOK CHAPTERS

- Bardehle Pagenberg, *Patent Infringement Proceedings* (2013).
- Beckett, N., and J. Morton, 'United Kingdom', in N. Beckett and J. Morton (eds), *CMS International Patent Litigation Guide* (CMS, 2013), 95–100.
- Bouvet, T., 'France', in M.C. Elmer and C.G. Gramaenopoulos (eds), *Global Patent Litigation* (Virginia: Bloomberg BNA, 2014), 546–89.
- Cohen, S., 'Selected IP jurisdictions: Germany', in A. Jolly and J. Philpott (eds), *The Handbook of European Intellectual Property Management* (London: Kogan Page, 2007).
- Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, *A Guide to Patent Litigation in Europe: England and Wales* (Freshfields, 2011), 1.
- Hogan Lovells, *Patent Litigation Guide: Jurisdictions (France)* (2013), 1.
- Hoffmann–Eitle, *The EU Patents Package Handbook: A Practitioner's Guide* (Munich: Hoffmann–Eitle, 2014), 46.
- Hoorneman, W., 'The Netherlands', in N. Beckett and J. Morton (eds), *CMS International Patent Litigation Guide* (CMS, 2013), 61–6.
- Jolly, A., and J. Philpott (eds), *The Handbook of European Intellectual Property Management* (London: Kogan Page, 2007).
- Kamstra, G., 'United Kingdom', in Global Legal Group (eds), *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Patents* (4th edn, Global Legal Group, 2014), 194–200.
- Kitchen, Sir David, 'Introductory remarks: a judicial perspective', in J. Pila and C. Wadlow (eds), *The Unitary EU Patent System* (Oxford: Hart, 2015), 1–8.
- Kühnen, T., *Patent Litigation Proceedings in Germany: A Handbook for Practitioners* (6th edn, Cologne: Heymanns, 2013).
- Mes, P., *Kommentar: Patentgesetz, Gebrauchsmustergesetz* (4th edn, Munich: Beck, 2015).

- Moninand, J.-G., and S. Vilfeu, 'France', in N. Beckett and J. Morton (eds), *CMS International Patent Litigation Guide* (CMS, 2013), 39–44.
- Pila, J., *The Requirement for an Invention in Patent Law* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010).
- Radcliffe, J., and M. Brown, *European Patent Litigation Strategy* (Arlington, VA: Bloomberg BNA, 2014), 1.
- Schoenen, G., M. Deck and M. Eck, 'Germany', in N. Beckett and J. Morton (eds), *CMS International Patent Litigation Guide* (CMS, 2013), 45–50.
- Stauder, D., *Patent- und Gebrauchsmusterverletzungsverfahren in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Großbritannien, Frankreich und Italien* (Cologne: Heymanns, 1989).
- Taylor Wessing, *Global Intellectual Property Index* (2013), 1.
- van Pottelsberghe, B., *Lost Property: The European Patent System and Why it Doesn't Work* (Brussels: Bruegel Blueprint Series, 2009).

## ARTICLES, PRESENTATIONS AND REPORTS

- Arnold, R., 'Case management, judicial specialisation and intellectual property litigation', *Civil Justice Quarterly* 29 (2010), 478.
- Bardehle Pagenberg, 'Unitary patent and Unified Patent Court' (2013), 1. Available at: [www.bardehle.com/fileadmin/content/documents/broschures/Unitary-Patent\\_Unified-Patent-Court.pdf](http://www.bardehle.com/fileadmin/content/documents/broschures/Unitary-Patent_Unified-Patent-Court.pdf) (accessed 4 April 2016).
- Bertrams, H., 'The cross-border prohibitory injunction in Dutch patent law', *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law (IIC)* 26 (1995), 618.
- Bird and Bird, 'Property and contractual aspects of unitary patents and of patents in the UPC system' (23 December 2014). Available at: [www.twobirds.com/en/news/articles/2014/netherlands/property-and-contractual-aspects-of-unitary-patents-and-of-patents-in-the-upc-system](http://www.twobirds.com/en/news/articles/2014/netherlands/property-and-contractual-aspects-of-unitary-patents-and-of-patents-in-the-upc-system) (accessed 4 April 2016).
- Bouzanquet, A.-L., A. Bowler and A. Johnson, 'The role of the saisie in the UPC', *Bristows* (2013).
- Brandi-Dohrn, M., 'Some critical observations on competence and procedure of the unified patent court', *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 43 (2012), 372.

- Brinkhoff, J., 'The enforcement of patent rights in the Netherlands', *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law (IIC)* 31 (2000), 706.
- Casey, A., 'Unified Patent Court (UPC) and Ireland' (2 February 2015). Available at: [www.primaryopinion.com/articles/unified-patent-court-upc-and-ireland](http://www.primaryopinion.com/articles/unified-patent-court-upc-and-ireland) (accessed 4 April 2016).
- Cook, T., 'The progress to date on the Unitary European Patent and the Unified Patent Court for Europe', *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights* 18 (2013), 584.
- Cremers, K., M. Ernicke, D. Harhoff, C. Helmers, G. Licht, L. McDonagh, I. Rudyk, P. Schliessler, C. Schneider and N. van Zeebroeck, 'Patent litigation in Europe', *ZEW Discussion Paper* No. 13–07 (2013), 1.
- Cremers, K., F. Gaessler, D. Harhoff, C. Helmers and Y. Lefouili, 'Invalid but infringed? An analysis of Germany's bifurcated patent litigation system', *Max Planck Institute for Innovation & Competition Research Paper* No. 14-14 (2014), 1.
- Dalsgaard, S., 'The field as a temporal entity and the challenges of the contemporary', *Social Anthropology* 20 (2013), 213.
- England, P., 'In? Out? What's it all about? Patent opt-out and withdrawal in the UPC', *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 9 (2014), 10.
- EPO Economic and Scientific Advisory Board, *Recommendations for Improving the Patent System* (2012), 1.
- EPO, 'Business-friendly fee pattern adopted for the unitary patent' (24 June 2015). Available at: [www.epo.org/news-issues/news/2015/20150624.html](http://www.epo.org/news-issues/news/2015/20150624.html) (accessed 4 April 2016).
- EU Commission Staff Working Paper (Impact Assessment) SEC(2011) 482/2 (2011), 1.
- Gaskell, G., S. Stares and A. Pottage, 'How Europe's ethical divide looms over biotech law and patents', *Nature Biotechnology* 30 (2012), 392.
- Greenhalgh, C., J. Philips, R. Pitkethly, M. Rogers and J. Tomalin, *Intellectual Property Enforcement in Smaller UK Firms: A Report for the Strategy Advisory Board for Intellectual Property Policy* (SABIP, 2010), 1.
- Griffiths, J., 'Constitutionalising or harmonising: the Court of Justice, the right to property and European copyright law', *European Law Review* 38 (2013), 65.
- Harhoff, D., 'Economic cost-benefit analysis of a unified and integrated European patent litigation system', *EU Final Report Tender No. MARKT/2008/06/D* (2009), 1.

- Helmers, C., Y. Lefouili and L. McDonagh, 'Examining patent cases at the Patents Court and Intellectual Property Enterprise Court 2007–2013', *A Report Commissioned by the UK Intellectual Property Office* (March 2015), 1.
- Helmers, C., Y. Lefouili and L. McDonagh, 'Evaluation of the reforms of the Intellectual Property Enterprise Court 2010–2013', *A Report Commissioned by the UK Intellectual Property Office* (July 2015), 1.
- Helmers, C., B. Love and L. McDonagh 'Is there a patent troll problem in the UK?', *Fordham Intellectual Property, Media and Entertainment Law Journal* 24 (2014), 509.
- Helmers, C., and L. McDonagh, 'Patent litigation in the UK: an empirical survey 2000–2008', *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 8 (2013), 846.
- Helmers, C., and L. McDonagh, 'Trolls at the High Court?', *LSE Law, Society and Economy Working Paper* No. 13 (2012).
- Herr, J., and M. Grunwald, 'Speedy patent infringement proceedings in Germany: pros and cons of the go-to courts', *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 7 (2012), 44.
- Hilty, R., 'The unitary patent package: twelve reasons for concern', *CIPA Journal* 10 (2012), 553.
- Hogan Lovells, 'Property in unitary patents' (2015).
- Johnson, A., 'Latest on local and regional divisions', *Bristows* (2015).
- Johnson, A., 'Opt-out fee for future Unified Patent Court is (unsurprisingly) much too high', *Kluwer Patent Blog* (2015).
- Kaisi, A., 'Finally a single European right for the EU? An analysis of the substantive provisions of the European patent with unitary effect', *European Intellectual Property Review* 36 (2014), 170.
- Kühnen, T., and R. Claessen, 'Die Durchsetzung von Patenten in der EU', *Gewerblicher Rechtsschutz und Urheberrecht international (GRUR Int.)* (2013), 592.
- Kur, A., 'A farewell to crossborder injunctions? The ECJ decisions *GAT v. LuK* and *Roche Nederland v. Primus and Goldenber*', *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law (IIC)* 27 (2006), 844.
- Marcus, G., 'How short can fieldwork be?', *Social Anthropology* 15 (2007), 353.
- Marshall, H., 'The enforcement of patent rights in Germany', *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law (IIC)* 31 (2000), 646.

- McDonagh, L., 'From brand performance to consumer performativity: assessing European trade mark law after the rise of anthropological marketing', *Journal of Law & Society* 42 (2015), 611.
- McDonagh, L., 'Exploring perspectives of the Unified Patent Court and the unitary patent within the business and legal communities', *A Report Commissioned by the UK Intellectual Property Office* (July 2014).
- McDonagh, L. and C. Helmers, 'Patent Litigation in England and Wales and the issue-based approach to costs', *Civil Justice Quarterly* 32 (2013), 369.
- Mewburn Ellis, 'Stays of UK patent litigation proceedings pending the outcome of EPO opposition proceedings' (12 November 2014). Available at: <http://mewburn.com/stays-of-uk-patent-litigation-proceedings-pending-the-outcome-of-epo-opposition-proceedings/> (accessed 4 April 2016).
- Moss, G., M. Jones and R. Lundie-Smith, 'Just how "anti-patent" are the UK courts?', *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 5 (2010), 148.
- Nurton, J., 'Patent practitioners call for UPC cost clarity', *Managing Intellectual Property* (2014).
- Pagenberg, J., 'Unitary patent and Unified Court: what lies ahead?', *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 8 (2013), 480.
- Pila, J., 'The European patent: an old and vexing problem', *International & Comparative Law Quarterly* 62 (2013), 917.
- Pors, W., 'Property and contractual aspects of unitary patents and of patents in the UPC system', *EPLAW Patent Blog* (2014).
- Reddie and Grouse, 'How much more will the unitary patent cost?' (January 2013), 1. Available at: [www.reddie.co.uk/M/R&G\\_UnitaryPatentCost.pdf](http://www.reddie.co.uk/M/R&G_UnitaryPatentCost.pdf) (accessed 4 April 2016).
- Reynolds, L., 'SPCs and the UPC: current status', *Bristows* (2015).
- Rodwell, S., P. Van Eeckhout, A. Reid and J. Walendowski, *Effects of counterfeiting on EU SMEs and a review of various public and private IPR enforcement initiatives and resources*, Final Report to the Enterprise and Industry Directorate-General Directorate B1 – Development of industrial policy (2007) (Framework Contract B3/ENTR/04/093-FC-Lot 6).
- Romandini, R., and A. Klicznik, 'The territoriality principle and transnational use of patented inventions: the wider reach of a unitary patent and the role of the CJEU', *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 44 (2013), 524.
- Sant, D.P., and C. de Coster, 'The unitary patent and the European Patent Court', *Bioscience Law Review* 12 (2012), 27.

- Schröer, B., 'Einheitspatentgericht: Überlegungen zum Forum-Shopping im Rahmen der alternativen Zuständigkeit nach Art. 83 Abs. 1 EPGÜ', *Gewerblicher Rechtsschutz und Urheberrecht international (GRUR Int.)* 1 (2013), 1102.
- Stauder, D., 'Die tatsächliche Bedeutung von Verletzungen- und Nichtigkeitsverfahren in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Frankreich, Großbritannien und Italien: Ergebnisse einer statistisch-empirischen Untersuchung', *GRUR: Gewerblicher Rechtsschutz und Urheberrecht International (GRUR Int.)* (1983), 233.
- Swens, O., 'Patent litigation in the Netherlands and recent developments', PowerPoint presentation delivered in Munich (February, 2011). Available at: [www.vondst-law.com/en/publicaties-presentaties/64/](http://www.vondst-law.com/en/publicaties-presentaties/64/) (accessed 4 April 2016).
- Thambisetty, S., 'Patent litigation in the United Kingdom: solutions in search of a problem?', *European Intellectual Property Review* 32 (2010), 238.
- Tombling, A., and A. Fleuchaus, 'Creative filing strategies for the unitary patent' (31 October 2014). Available at: [www.managingip.com/Article/3393022/Creative-filing-strategies-for-the-Unitary-Patent.html](http://www.managingip.com/Article/3393022/Creative-filing-strategies-for-the-Unitary-Patent.html) (accessed 4 April 2016).
- Van Zeebroeck, N., and S. Graham, 'Comparing patent litigation across Europe: a first look', *Stanford Technology Law Review* 17 (2014), 655.
- Véron, P., 'Patent infringement litigation in France', *Mitteilungen der deutschen Patentanwälte* 93 (2002), 386.
- Véron, P., 'Le contentieux des brevets d'invention en France Étude statistique 1990–1999' (2001). Available at: [www.veron.com/publications/Colloques/Le\\_contentieux\\_des\\_brevets\\_invention\\_1990\\_1999\\_en\\_couleurs.pdf](http://www.veron.com/publications/Colloques/Le_contentieux_des_brevets_invention_1990_1999_en_couleurs.pdf) (accessed 4 April 2016).
- Véron, P., 'Le contentieux des brevets d'invention en France Étude statistique 2000–2009' (2010) – available at [http://www.veron.com/publications/Colloques/Stats\\_contentieux\\_brevets\\_France\\_2000-2009\\_Veron\\_et\\_Associes.pdf](http://www.veron.com/publications/Colloques/Stats_contentieux_brevets_France_2000-2009_Veron_et_Associes.pdf).
- Weatherall, K., E. Webster and L. Bently, *IP Enforcement in the UK and Beyond: A Literature Review*. SABIP Report No. EC001, SABIP (2009), 1.
- West, A., S. Kusumakar and T. Powell, 'Unitary patents and the Unified Patent Court', *Computer and Telecommunications Law Review* 19 (2013), 105.