

References

- Allen, Bruce C., Kenny S. Crump, and Annette M. Shipp (1988). "Correlation between carcinogenic potency of chemicals in animals and humans." *Risk Analysis* **8** (4): 531–544.
- Arbuckle, Donald R. (2011). "The role of analysis on the 17 most political acres on the face of the Earth." *Risk Analysis* **31** (6): 884–892.
- Asimow, Michael (1999). "Interim-final rules: making haste slowly." *Administrative Law Review* **51** (3): 703–755.
- Atkinson, Michael M. (2011) "Lindblom's lament: incrementalism and the persistent pull of the status quo." *Policy and Society* **30** (1): 9–18.
- Barker, Adam, and Christopher Wood (1999). "An evaluation of EIA system performance in eight EU countries." *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* **19** (4): 387–404.
- Bendor, Jonathan (1995). "A model of muddling through." *American Political Science Review* **89** (4): 819–840.
- Bhargava, Michael (2004). "Supreme Court allows Mexican trucks to enter the United States without environmental impact assessments." *Ecology LQ* **31**: 719.
- Bird, Robert C., and Elizabeth Brown (2010). "Interactive regulation." *U. Pa. J. Bus. L.* **13**: 837.
- Caldwell, Lynton K. (1991). "Analysis-assessment-decision: the anatomy of rational policymaking." *Impact Assessment* **9** (4): 81–92.
- Carrigan, Christopher, GW Regulatory Studies Center, and Stuart Shapiro (2014). "What's wrong with the back of the envelope? A call for simple (and timely) benefit-cost analysis." Working Paper. Available at: <http://regulatorystudies.columbian.gwu.edu> (last accessed October 9, 2015).
- Cashmore, Matthew, Richard Gwilliam, Richard Morgan, Dick Cobb, and Alan Bond (2004). "The interminable issue of effectiveness: substantive purposes, outcomes and research challenges in the advancement of environmental impact assessment theory." *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal* **22**, (4): 295–310.
- Cashmore, Matthew, Tim Richardson, Tuija Hilding-Ryedvik, and Lars Emmelin (2010). "Evaluating the effectiveness of impact assessment instruments: theorising the nature and implications of their political constitution." *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* **30** (6): 371–379.

- Center for Effective Government (CEG) (2014). *Big Business Gaming the Rules Against Public Protections and Small Businesses*. Available at: <http://www.foreffectivegov.org/category/tags/small-business-regulatory-enforcement-fairness-act-sbrefa> (last accessed June 30, 2015).
- Christiano, Tom (2015). "Democracy." *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Available at: <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2015/entries/democracy/>.
- Clark, E. Ray, and Larry W. Canter (eds.) (1997). *Environmental Policy and NEPA: Past, Present, and Future*. New York: CRC Press, 1997.
- Coglianesse, Cary, and Gary E. Marchant (2004). "Shifting sands: the limits of science in setting risk standards." *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* **152** (4): 1255–1360.
- Coglianesse, Cary, Adam M. Finkel, and Christopher Carrigan (eds.) (2014). *Does Regulation Kill Jobs?*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Cole, Daniel H. (2012). "Law, politics, and cost-benefit analysis." *Ala. L. Rev.* **64**: 55.
- Culhane, Paul J. (1990). "NEPA's impacts on federal agencies, anticipated and unanticipated." *Envtl. L.* **20**: 681.
- Dannenberg, Andrew L., Rajiv Bhatia, Brian L. Cole, Carlos Dora, Jonathan E. Fielding, Katherine Kraft, Diane McClymont-Peace et al. (2006). "Growing the field of health impact assessment in the United States: an agenda for research and practice." *American Journal of Public Health* **96** (2): 262.
- Davis, Kenneth Culp (1969). *Discretionary Justice: A Preliminary Inquiry*. Louisiana State University Press.
- DeMuth, Christopher C., and Douglas H. Ginsburg (1986). "White House review of agency rulemaking." *Harvard Law Review* **99** (5): 1075–1088.
- Downs, Anthony (1967). *Inside Bureaucracy*. Boston, MA: Little, Brown and Company.
- Driesen, David M. (2006). "Is cost-benefit analysis neutral." *U. Colo. L. Rev.* **77**: 335.
- Dror, Yehezkel (1971). *Design for Policy Sciences*. New York: American Elsevier Publishing Company.
- Eckerd, Adam (2014). "Risk management and risk avoidance in agency decision making." *Public Administration Review* **74** (5): 616–629.
- Eisner, Marc Allen (2000). *Regulatory Politics in Transition*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Ellig, Jerry, and Patrick A. McLaughlin (2012). "The quality and use of regulatory analysis in 2008." *Risk Analysis* **32** (5): 855–880.

- Ellig, Jerry, Patrick A. McLaughlin, and John F. Morrall III (2013). "Continuity, change, and priorities: the quality and use of regulatory analysis across US administrations." *Regulation & Governance* **7** (2): 153–173.
- Elliott, E. Donald (1992). "Re-inventing rulemaking." *Duke Law Journal* **41**: 1490–1496.
- Ensminger, J. T., and R. B. McLean (1993). "Reasons and strategies for more effective NEPA implementation." *Environmental Professional (United States)* **15** (1): 45–56.
- Evans, Angela M. (2015). "Presidential address: reflections of an accidental analyst." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* **34** (2): 256–266.
- Finkel, Adam M. (1989). "Is risk assessment really too conservative: revising the revisionists." *Colum. J. Envtl. L.* **14**: 427.
- Finkel, Adam M. (2011). "'Solution-focused risk assessment': a proposal for the fusion of environmental analysis and action." *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal* **17** (4): 754–787.
- Forester, John (1984). "Bounded rationality and the politics of muddling through." *Public Administration Review* **44** (1): 23–31.
- Gellhorn, Walter (1986). "The Administrative Procedure Act: the beginnings." *Va. L. Rev.* **72**: 219.
- Gerrard, Michael B. (2009). "The effect of NEPA outside the courtroom." *Environmental Law Reporter* **39**: 10615.
- Gersen, Jacob E., and Anne Joseph O'Connell (2008). "Deadlines in administrative law." *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* **56** (4): 923–980.
- Glucker, Anne N., Peter P. J. Driessen, Arend Kolhoff, and Hens A. C. Runhaar (2013). "Public participation in environmental impact assessment: why, who and how?" *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* **43**: 104–111.
- Golden, Marissa Martino (1998). "Interest groups in the rule-making process: who participates? Whose voices get heard?" *Journal of Public Administration* **8**: 245–270.
- Goodman, Leo A. (1961). "Snowball sampling." *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **32** (1): 148–170.
- Gormley Jr, William T. (1986). "Regulatory issue networks in a federal system." *Polity* **18** (4): 595–620.
- Graffy, Elisabeth A. (2008). "Meeting the challenges of policy-relevant science: bridging theory and practice." *Public Administration Review* **68** (6): 1087–1100.
- Graham, John D. (1995). "Historical perspective on risk assessment in the federal government." *Toxicology* **102** (1): 29–52.

- Graham, John D. (2006). "Why IRIS is outdated: an additional perspective." *Risk Analysis* **26** (6): 1411–1412.
- Graham, John D., Laura C. Green, and Marc J. Roberts (1991). *In Search of Safety: Chemicals and Cancer Risk*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Green Center Scholars (1995). "Roundtable: rethinking risk regulation." *Issues in Science and Technology* Summer: 55.
- Greenberg, Michael R. (2013). *The Environmental Impact Statement After Two Generations: Managing Environmental Power*. New York: Routledge.
- Greenstone, Michael (2009). "Toward a culture of persistent regulatory experimentation and evaluation." *New Perspectives on Regulation* **113**: 114.
- Greenwood, Ted (1984). *Knowledge and Discretion in Government Regulation*. New York: Praeger.
- Haeder, Simon, and Susan Webb Yackee (2015). "Influence and the administrative process: lobbying the U.S. President's Office of Management and Budget." Working Paper. Available at: <http://www.lafollette.wisc.edu/images/publications/workingpapers/yackee2015-001.pdf> (last accessed July 24, 2015).
- Hahn, Robert W., and Patrick M. Dudley (2007). "How well does the US government do benefit-cost analysis?" *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy* **1** (2): 192–211.
- Hahn, Robert W., and Cass R. Sunstein (2002). "A new executive order for improving federal regulation? Deeper and wider cost-benefit analysis." *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* **150** (5): 1489–1552.
- Hahn, Robert W., and Paul C. Tetlock (2008). "Has economic analysis improved regulatory decisions?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **22** (1): 67–84.
- Hammond, Richard J. (1996). "Convention and limitation in benefit-cost analysis." *Nat. Resources J.* **6**: 195.
- Harrington, Winston, and Richard D. Morgenstern (2004). *Evaluating Regulatory Impact Analyses*. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- Harrington, Winston, Lisa Heinzerling, and Richard D. Morgenstern (eds.) (2009). *Reforming Regulatory Impact Analysis*. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- Hassenzahl, David M., and A. M. Finkel (2008). "Risk assessment, environmental/occupational." *International Encyclopedia of Public Health* **5**: 590.
- Hecl, H. Hugh (1972). "Review article: policy analysis." *British Journal of Political Science* **2** (1): 83–108.

- Jain, Ravinder Kumar, Lloyd V. Urban, and Gary S. Stacey (1981). *Environmental Impact Analysis: A New Dimension in Decision Making*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Jasanoff, Sheila (1990). *The Fifth Branch: Science Advisers as Policy-makers*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Jasanoff, Sheila (2003). "(No?) Accounting for expertise." *Science and Public Policy* **30** (3): 157–162.
- Jay, Stephen, Carys Jones, Paul Slinn, and Christopher Wood (2007). "Environmental impact assessment: retrospect and prospect." *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* **27** (4): 287–300.
- Jenkins-Smith, Hank (1990). *Democratic Politics and Policy Analysis*. Belmont, CA: Brooks Cole Publishing.
- Kagan, Robert A. (2001). *Adversarial Legalism: The American Way of Law*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Kahneman, Daniel (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. New York: Macmillan.
- Karkkainen, Bradley C. (2002). "Toward a smarter NEPA: monitoring and managing government's environmental performance." *Columbia Law Review* **102** (4): 903–972.
- Karner, Alex, and Deb Niemeier (2013). "Civil rights guidance and equity analysis methods for regional transportation plans: a critical review of literature and practice." *Journal of Transport Geography* **33**: 126–134.
- Katzen, Sally (2007). "A reality check on an empirical study: comments on 'Inside the administrative state'." *Michigan Law Review* **105** (7): 1497–1510.
- Kelman, S. (1981). "Cost-benefit analysis: an ethical critique." *AEI Journal on Government and Society Regulation* March/April: 33–38.
- Kemm, John, Jayne Parry, and Stephen Palmer (2004). *Health Impact Assessment: Concepts, Theory, Techniques and Applications*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kendall, Elizabeth, and Anna Coote (1994). *Citizens' Juries*. London: Institute for Public Policy Research.
- Lamb, Ronald E. (2014). "Essential elements of effective implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA): agency decision making and the NEPA process." *Environmental Practice* **16** (4): 272–280.
- Lavertu, Stéphane, and Susan Webb Yackee (2012). "Regulatory delay and rulemaking deadlines." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **24**: 185–207.
- Lazarus, Richard (2011). "National Environmental Policy Act in the US Supreme Court: a reappraisal and a peek behind the curtains." *Geo. LJ* **100**: 1507.

- Leiter, Brian (1997). "Epistemology of admissibility: why even good philosophy of science would not make for good philosophy of evidence." *BYU L. Rev.* **1997** (4): 803.
- Leman, Christopher K., and Robert H. Nelson (1981). "Ten commandments for policy economists." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* **1** (1): 97–117.
- Lindblom, Charles E. (1959). "The science of 'muddling through'." *Public Administration Review* **19** (2): 79–88.
- Lindblom, Charles E. (1979). "Still muddling, not yet through." *Public Administration Review* **39** (6): 517–526.
- Lindblom, Charles Edward, and Edward J. Woodhouse (1968). *The Policy-Making Process*. Vol. 4. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Lindstrom, Matthew J. (2000). "Procedures without purpose: the withering away of the National Environmental Policy Act's Substantive Law." *J. Land Resources & Env'tl. L.* **20**: 245.
- Lock, Karen (2000). "Health impact assessment." *BMJ: British Medical Journal* **320** (7246): 1395.
- Lynn, Laurence E. (1989). "Policy analysis in the bureaucracy: How new? How effective?" *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* **8** (3): 373–377.
- Mandelker, Daniel R. (2010). "National Environmental Policy Act: a review of its experience and problems." *Wash. UJL & Pol'y* **32**: 293.
- Mashaw, Jerry L., and David L. Harfst (1990). *The Struggle for Auto Safety*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Mayhew, David R. (1974). *Congress: The Electoral Connection*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- McCubbins, Matthew, Roger Noll, and Barry Weingast (1999). "The political origins of the Administrative Procedure Act." *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* **15**: 180–217.
- McGarity, Thomas O. (1992). "Some thoughts on 'deossifying' the rulemaking process." *Duke Law Journal* **41** (6): 1385–1462.
- Meltsner, Arnold J. (1976). *Policy Analysts in the Bureaucracy*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Mills, Amy (2006). "IRIS from the inside." *Risk Analysis* **26** (6): 1409–1410.
- Morgenstern, Richard D., and Marc K. Landy (1997). "Economic analysis: benefits, costs, implications." *Economic Analyses at EPA: Assessing Regulatory Impact*, Richard Morgenstern (ed.). Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- National Research Council (NRC) (US) (1983). Committee on the Institutional Means for Assessment of Risks to Public Health. *Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

- National Research Council (NRC) (US) (1994). Committee on Risk Assessment of Hazardous Air Pollutants. *Science and Judgment in Risk Assessment*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- National Research Council (NRC) (US) (2007). Committee to Review the OMB Risk Assessment Bulletin. *Scientific Review of the Proposed Risk Assessment Bulletin from the Office of Management and Budget*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Available at: (<http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11811/scientific-review-of-the-proposed-risk-assessment-bulletin-from-the-office-of-management-and-budget>).
- National Research Council (NRC) (US) (2009). Committee on Improving Risk Analysis Approaches Used by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Science and Decisions*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- National Research Council (NRC) (US) (2014). Committee to Review the IRIS Process. *Review of EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Process*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Nelson, Robert H. (1987). "The economics profession and the making of public policy." *Journal of Economic Literature* **25** (1): 49–91.
- Niskanen, William A. (1974). *Bureaucracy and Representative Government*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
- Niskanen, William A. (2003). "More lonely numbers." *Regulation* **26** (3): 22.
- Olson, Erik D. (1984). "Quiet shift of power: Office of Management & Budget Supervision of Environmental Protection Agency Rulemaking under Executive Order 12291." *Va. J. Nat. Resources L.* **4**: 1.
- Peltzman, Sam (1976). "Toward a more general theory of regulation." *Journal of Law and Economics* **19** (2): 211–240.
- Phelps, Eric D. (2001). "The cunning of clever bureaucrats: why the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act isn't working." *Public Contract Law Journal* **31** (1): 123–142.
- Pierce Jr, Richard J. (2011). "Rulemaking ossification is real: a response to testing the ossification thesis." *Geo. Wash. L. Rev.* **80**: 1493.
- Porter, Theodore M. (1996). *Trust in Numbers: The Pursuit of Objectivity in Science and Public Life*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Posner, R. A. (2013). *Reflections on Judging*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Radin, Beryl (2013). *Beyond Machiavelli: Policy Analysis Reaches Midlife*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Radin, Beryl A. (2015, forthcoming). "Science and policy analysis in the US Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs." *Administration & Society*. Doi: 0095399715581048.
- Raso, Connor (2015, forthcoming). "Agency avoidance of rulemaking procedures." *Administrative Law Review*.

- Rayner, Steve (2003). "Democracy in the age of assessment: reflections on the roles of expertise and democracy in public-sector decision making." *Science and Public Policy* **30** (3): 163–170.
- Renn, Ortwin (2008). *Risk Governance: Coping with Uncertainty in a Complex World*. London: Earthscan.
- RePass, David E. (1971). "Issue salience and party choice." *American Political Science Review* **65** (2): 389–400.
- Revesz, Richard, and Michael Livermore (2008). "Retaking rationality: how cost benefit analysis can better protect the environment and our health." New York: Oxford University Press.
- Robert, Christopher, and Richard Zeckhauser (2011). "The methodology of normative policy analysis." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* **30** (3): 613–643.
- Robinson, Lisa A., James K. Hammitt, and Richard Zeckhauser (2014). "The role of distribution in regulatory analysis and decision making." Regulatory Policy Program Working Paper No. RPP-2014-03. Mossavar-Rahmani Center for Business and Government, Harvard Kennedy School, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA. Available at: http://www.hks.harvard.edu/index.php/content/download/70616/1255274/version/1/file/RPP_2014_03.pdf.
- Rubin, Herbert J., and Irene S. Rubin (2011). *Qualitative Interviewing: The Art of Hearing Data*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Rushefsky, Mark E. (1986). *Making Cancer Policy*. New York: SUNY Press.
- Saint-Martin, Denis, and Christine Rothmayr Allison (2011). "Rationalism and public policy: mode of analysis or symbolic politics?" *Policy and Society* **30** (1): 19–27.
- See, Michael R. (2006). "Willful blindness: federal agencies failure to comply with the Regulatory Flexibility Act's Periodic Review Requirement – and current proposals to invigorate the Act." *Fordham Urban Law Journal* **33**:1199.
- Shapiro, Martin (1886). "APA: past, present, future." *Virginia Law Review* **72** (2): 447–492.
- Shapiro, Sidney A. (2007). "OMB and the politicization of risk assessment." *Envtl. L.* **37**: 1083.
- Shapiro, Sidney A. (2011). "Cost-benefit analysis: an organizational design perspective." *NYU Env'tl. LJ* **19**: 194.
- Shapiro, Stuart (2007). "Presidents and process: a comparison of the regulatory process under the Clinton and Bush (43) Administrations." *Journal of Law and Politics* **23**: 393–418.
- Shapiro, Stuart (2011). "The evolution of cost-benefit analysis in US regulatory decisionmaking." *Handbook on the Politics of Regulation*,

- David Levi-Faur (ed.). Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar, p. 385.
- Shapiro, Stuart, and Debra Borie-Holtz (2013). *The Politics of Regulatory Reform*. Vol. 6. New York: Routledge.
- Shapiro, Stuart, and Deanna Moran (2016, forthcoming). "The checkered history of regulatory reform since the APA." *NYU Journal of Legislation and Public Policy*.
- Shapiro, Stuart, and John F. Morrall III (2012). "The triumph of regulatory politics: benefit-cost analysis and political salience." *Regulation & Governance* **6** (2): 189–206.
- Shepherd, George B. (1996). "Fierce compromise: the Administrative Procedure Act emerges from New Deal politics." *Northwestern University Law Review* **90** (4):1557.
- Shere, Mark Eliot (1995). "The myth of meaningful environmental risk assessment." *Harv. Envtl. L. Rev.* **19**: 409.
- Shive, Sarah E. (2006). "If you've always done it that way, it's probably wrong: how the Regulatory Flexibility Act has failed to change agency behavior and how Congress can fix it." *Entrepreneurial Business Law Journal* **1**: 153.
- Simon, Herbert A. (1972). "Theories of bounded rationality." *Decision and Organization* **1**: 161–176.
- Sinden, Amy (2014). "Cost-benefit analysis, Ben Franklin, and the Supreme Court." *UC Irvine L. Rev.* **4**: 1175.
- Slovic, Paul (1999). "Trust, emotion, sex, politics, and science: surveying the risk-assessment battlefield." *Risk Analysis* **19** (4): 689–701.
- Smith, Michael D. (2007). "A review of recent NEPA alternatives analysis case law." *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* **27** (2): 126–144.
- Stigler, George J. (1971). "The theory of economic regulation." *The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science* **2** (1): 3–21.
- Sullivan, William C., Frances E. Kuo, and Mona Prabhu (1996). "Assessing the impact of environmental impact statements on citizens." *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* **16** (3): 171–182.
- Sunstein, Cass R. (2002). *The Cost-Benefit State: The Future of Regulatory Protection*. American Bar Association.
- Sunstein, Cass R. (2013). *Simpler: The Future of Government*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Taylor, Serge (1984). *Making Bureaucracies Think: The Environmental Impact Statement Strategy of Administrative Reform*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Tozzi, Jim (2011). "OIRA's formative years: the historical record of centralized regulatory review preceding OIRA's founding." *Administrative Law Review* **63** (Special Edition): 37–69.

- Tzoumis, Kelly (2007). "Comparing the quality of draft environmental impact statements by agencies in the United States since 1998 to 2004." *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* 27 (1): 26–40.
- Vladeck, David, and Thomas McGarity (1995). "Paralysis by analysis: how Conservatives plan to kill popular regulation." *American Prospect* Summer: 78–83
- Wagner, Wendy E. (1995). "The science charade in toxic risk regulation." *Columbia Law Review* 95:1614–1719.
- Wagner, Wendy E. (2003). "Importing Daubert to administrative agencies through the Information Quality Act." *JL & Pol'y* 12: 589.
- Wagner, Wendy E. (2010a). "The CAIR RIA: advocacy dressed up as policy analysis." *Reforming Regulatory Impact Analysis*, Winston Harrington, Lisa Heinzerling, and Richard D. Morgenstern (eds.). Washington, DC: Resources for the Future, p. 56.
- Wagner, Wendy E. (2010b). "Administrative law, filter failure, and information capture." *Duke Law Journal* 59: 1321.
- Wagner, Wendy, Katherine Barnes, and Lisa Peters (2011). "Rulemaking in the shade: an empirical study of EPA's Air Toxic Emission Standards." *Administrative Law Review* 63 (1): 99–158.
- Walker, Michael J. (2014). "Commentary: worth the effort? NIMBY public comments offer little value added." *Public Administration Review* 74 (5): 629–629.
- Weidenbaum, Murray L. (1975). "The case for economizing on government controls." *Journal of Economic Issues* 9 (2): 205–218.
- West, William F. (2004). "Formal procedures, informal processes, accountability, and responsiveness in bureaucratic policy making: an institutional policy analysis." *Public Administration Review* 64: 66–80.
- Wildavsky, Aaron (1969). "Rescuing policy analysis from PPBS." *Public Administration Review* 29 (2): 189–202.
- Wildavsky, Aaron (1974). *The Politics of the Budget Process*. Boston, MA: Little, Brown and Company.
- Williams, Richard (2008). "The influence of regulatory economists in federal health and safety agencies." Mercatus Center at George Mason University, Working Paper.
- Williams, Walter (1998). *Honest Numbers and Democracy*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Wilson, James Q. (1980). *The Politics of Regulation*. New York: Basic Books.
- Wilson, James Q. (1989). *Bureaucracy: What Government Agencies Do and Why They Do It*. New York: Basic Books.
- Winston, Clifford (2007). *Government Failure Versus Market Failure: Microeconomics Policy Research and Government Performance*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

- Yackee, Susan Webb (2006). "Sweet-talking the fourth branch: the influence of interest group comments on federal agency rulemaking." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **16**: 103–124.
- Yackee, Jason Webb, and Susan Webb Yackee (2006). "A bias towards business? Assessing interest group influence on the U.S. bureaucracy." *The Journal of Politics* **68**: 128–139.
- Yackee, Jason Webb, and Susan Webb Yackee (2010). "Administrative procedures and bureaucratic performance: is federal rule-making 'ossified'?" *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **20** (2): 261–282.
- Yost, Nicholas C. (1990). "NEPA's promise partially fulfilled." *Envtl. L.* **20**: 533.

GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS AND PUBLIC COMMENTS

- American Herbal Products Association (2003). "Comments of the American Herbal Products Association on the Proposed Rule for Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacturing, Packing, Holding Dietary Ingredients and Dietary Supplements."
- Animals Asia Foundation et al. (2012). "NGO Statement Against Proposed Beluga Imports by the Georgia Aquarium."
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2007). "2007 Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing Transmission of Infectious Agents in Healthcare Settings." Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/2007IP/2007isolationPrecautions.html> (last accessed March 10, 2015).
- Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) (1997). "The National Environmental Policy Act. A study of its effectiveness after twenty-five years."
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (1994). *Managing Ecological Risks at EPA: Issues and Recommendations for Progress*. EPA 600/R-94/183.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2004). Staff Paper. *An Examination of EPA Risk Assessment Principles and Practices*.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2009). "Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Construction and Development Point Source Category." Final Rule, *Federal Register* 74 FR 62996 December 1, 2009.

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2014). "Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Construction and Development Point Source Category." Final Rule, *Federal Register* 79 FR 12261 March 6, 2014.
- Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) (2001). "Application by Certain Mexican Motor Carriers to Operate Beyond U.S. Municipalities and Commercial Zones on the U.S.-Mexico Border." Proposed Rule, *Federal Register* 66 FR 22371 May 3, 2001.
- Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) (2002a). "Application by Certain Mexican Motor Carriers to Operate Beyond U.S. Municipalities and Commercial Zones on the U.S.-Mexico Border." Final Rule *Federal Register* 67 FR 12702 March 19, 2002.
- Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) (2002b). "Safety Oversight for Mexico Domiciled Commercial Motor Carriers: Final Programmatic Environmental Assessment." January 2002.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (2003). "Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacturing, Packaging, Labeling, or Holding for Dietary Supplements." Proposed Rule, *Federal Register* 68 FR 12157 March 13, 2003.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (2007). "Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacturing, Packaging, Labeling, or Holding for Dietary Supplements." Proposed Rule, *Federal Register* 72 FR 34752 June 25, 2007.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (2014). *Report of the CFSAN Chemical Safety Assessment Working Group*.
- Friends of the Earth, the Natural Resources Defense Council, the Sierra Club, and the Center for International Environmental Law (2001). "Public Comment on FMCSA regulation on Mexican Motor Carriers." July 2, 2001.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (1994) *Regulatory Flexibility Act: Status of Agencies' Compliance*. Report 94-105.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (1998). "Unfunded Mandates: Reform Act Has Had Little Effect on Agencies Rulemaking Actions." GGD-98-30, February 4.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2000). "Pesticides: Improvements Needed to Ensure the Safety of Farmworkers and their Children." GAO Report 00-40.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2001). *Regulatory Flexibility Act: Key Terms Still Need to be Clarified*. Report 01-669T.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2006). "Human Health Risk Assessment: EPA has Taken Steps to Strengthen its Process But Improvements Needed." GAO Report 06-595.

- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2008). "Low Productivity and New Interagency Review Process Limit the Usefulness and Credibility of EPA's Integrated Risk Information System." GAO Report 08-440.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2012). "Challenges Remain with EPA's Integrated Risk Information System Program." GAO Report 12-42.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2013). "Pesticides: EPA Should Take Steps to Improve its Oversight of Conditional Registrations." GAO Report 13-145.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2014). "National Environmental Policy Act: Little Information Exists on NEPA Analyses." GAO Report 14-370.
- McGinley, Patrick (2003). "Comments for Docket 1996N-0417 Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacturing, Packing, or Holding Dietary Ingredients and Dietary Supplements."
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (2012). "Draft Environmental Assessment For Issuance of Permit No. 17324 for the Importation of Beluga Whales for Public Display Purposes."
- Office of Management and Budget (OMB) (2006). *Proposed Risk Assessment Bulletin*. Available at: http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg_comments_rab_list_rab2006/.
- Small Business Administration (SBA) Office of Advocacy (2014). "Report on the Regulatory Flexibility Act FY 2014." Available at: <https://www.sba.gov/advocacy/regulatory-flexibility-act-annual-reports>.
- Subcommittee on Benefits and Costs (1950). "Report to the Federal Interagency River Basin Committee, Proposed Practices for Economic Analysis of River Basin Projects." Washington DC. Available at: <https://archive.org/stream/CAT10996081#page/n1/mode/2up> (last accessed July 24, 2015).
- Transportation Security Administration (TSA) (2009a). "Aircraft Repair Station Security." Proposed Rule, *Federal Register* 74 FR 59874 November 18, 2009.
- Transportation Security Administration (TSA) (2009b). "Preliminary Regulatory Evaluation, Regulatory Flexibility Determination, Trade Impact Assessment, and Unfunded Mandates Assessment" for "Aircraft Repair Station Security." Proposed Rule. Available at: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=TSA-2004-17131-0052> (last accessed January 7, 2015).
- Transportation Security Administration (TSA) (2014). "Aircraft Repair Station Security." Final Rule, *Federal Register* 79 FR 2119 January 13, 2014.

- U.S. Congress Senate Committee on the Judiciary (1980). *Report of the Regulatory Flexibility Act*. 96th Congress, 2nd session, No. 96-878, 30 July.
- U.S. Congressional Research Service (2014). *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act: History, Impact, and Issues* (April 16), by Robert Dilger and Richard Beth.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS) (2007). "National Environmental Policy Act Procedures." *Federal Register* 72 FR 45998 August 16, 2007.

