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internal audit 123–124
conducted by external auditor 123–124
‘integrated audit’ (Barrett) 124–125
lack of integrity in auditor certification 109
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familiarity and deference decline of independence of board 112–114
effects on independence and monitoring 112–114
governance variables
AudIndFreq (+) 133
AudIndMon (+) 133
AudShortOpts (–) 135, 139
BrdIndMon (+) 133
BrdSkills (+) 136
CompCom (+/−) 139
DirCEOS (+/−) 139
EqOptEntrch (−) 134, 139
EqOptIncent (+) 134, 139
NomCom (+/−) 136, 139
NomInd (+) 133, 136
ReputDisc (+) 132, 138
ReputRep (+) 132, 138
ShortTOpts (−) 105, 139
TransTimeMon (+) 131–132, 138
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positive relationship between CEO/executive pay levels and firm value and/or operating performance with conditions independent directors 306, 318
incentive-based payments, equity or options 306, 318
simultaneous ‘blockholder monitoring’ 306, 318
director independence see ‘independent directors and proportion of non-executive/independent directors’ in this Key Field No. 4 below
division in CEO/Chairperson roles 251–256
enhanced firm knowledge and ‘trade-off’ with board control/monitoring 251–252
relational effect path DualDismiss (−) 255–256
DualStrat (−) 255–256
DualTrade (+/−) 252–255
earnings manipulation or management
access and transparency provisions of corporate governance codes 261–262
approach of chapter 9 258
auditors and non-audit services
auditor independence 280–287
CLERP 9 auditor independence reforms 282–283
ExtAudEarn (+) 281
relational effect path 286–287
non-audit services 281, 283–287
NonAuditS (−) 281
relational effect path 286–287
board and audit subcommittee size 278–280
BrdCmEarn (+/−)
factors affecting optimum board and audit subcommittee size 279–280
relational effect path 279–280
board and committee structures 266–278
board and audit subcommittee independence alone 267–268
board and audit subcommittee financial expertise 273–278
accounting financial expertise but possible disincentive effect 274
AudAccEarn (+) 268
relational effect path 275–276
AudFree (−) relational effect path 275–276
recurring factors with independence combination or separation of CEO/Chairperson roles 268, 276–278
DualEarn (−) relational effect path 276–277
financial expertise of directors or subcommittee 268, 272–276
AudAccEarn (+) relational effect path 275–276
time spent in review/number of audit subcommittee meetings 268, 270–273
AudIndFreq (+) relational effect path 272–273
summary of board and audit subcommittee structures 277–278
principal aim of reporting – reducing information asymmetry and agency risk 258–259
relational effect path
AudAcctEarn (+) 275–276
AudFree (−) 275–276
AudIndFreq (+) 272–273
BrdCmEarn (+/−) 279–280
DualEarn (−) 276–277
ExtAudEarn (+) 286–287
NonAuditS (−) 286–287
TransTimeMon (+) 262–266
TransTimeRed (−) 262–266

transparency and the ‘trade-off’ effect on board and market monitoring 260–262
TransTimeMon (+)
relational effect path 262–266
TransTimeRed (−)
relational effect path 262–266

external/independent audit function
see ‘earnings manipulation or management’ in this Key Field No. 4
glossary definition 362
governance variables
glossary of governance variables 344–366
Table 2.1 Summary of governance variables 32–34

independent directors and proportion of non-executive/independent directors
Board Factors I 206–221
conclusion 207, 218–220, 220–221
firm failure
inside directors 217
firm value and operating performance
studies showing no link with independence 215–216
studies showing positive link with independence 216–217
governance variables
BrdAttend (+)
relational effect path 212
BrdIndInfo (−)
relational effect path 212–214
BrdIndMon (+) 208–210
relational effect path 211
BrdReview (+)
relational effect path 211

OutBrdAdv (+)
relational effect path 212
intervening or confounding variables 217–218
equity ownership and director independence 217–218
size of the firm 218
introduction 206–207
monitoring
improvements in monitoring not certain 208–210
‘CEO centrality’ (Cremers, Bebchuk and Peyer) 210
enhancing monitoring quality by less independence 214–215

summary of director independence studies 207, 218–221
interrelationship schemes 188–189
Shareholder Primacy
Interrelationship Scheme 188–189
introduction to aims and purpose 187–189
firm-specific effects of ‘good’ corporate governance 25–27

key research questions 14–16
meaning of 14–15
outside board advisors
OutBrdAdv (+) 212
outside board positions 229–232
outside board positions and monitoring quality 229–231
relational effect path 231–232
‘overall’ governance studies 190–198
grouped governance variable or ‘multi-variable’ studies 190–198
annual review of board
BrdReview (+) 191, 194
blockholding and activism variables
BlockCosts (−) 194
Block Mon (+) 194
board and committee size
BrdCmSize (+/−) 192
board skills ‘mix’
BrdSkills (+) 193, 198–201
director independence and the proportion of independent:
executive directors
AudIndFreq (+) 193
AudIndInfo (–) 193
AudIndMon (+) 193
BrdIndInfo (–) 193
BrdIndMon (+) 193
equity (including option) compensation and/or holdings of directors and executives
DirCEO$ (+/–) 193, 195–196
EqOptEntrch (–) 193, 196
EqOptIncent (+) 193, 196
ShortTOpts (–) 193, 196
external audit function
ExtAudEarn (+) 193
high level of attendance at board meetings
BrdAttend (+) 192
other anti-takeover mechanisms
OtherATMs (–) 194
‘outside’ board advisors
OutBrdAdv (+) 194
outside board positions
OutBrdPos (–) 194
presence and operation (including frequency of meeting) of board committees
AudCom (+) 193
CompCom (+/–) 193
NomCom (+/–) 193
NomInd (+) 193
staggered board elections
StagBrdElect (–) 194
proportion of non-executive/independent directors see ‘independent directors and proportion of non-executive/independent directors’ in this Key Field No. 4 above
proxies for sustainability measure 16–17
relational corporate governance framework 66
relational effect path governance variables BrdAttend (+) 212
BrdCmSize (+/–) 227–229
BrdIndMon (+) 211
BrdReview (+) 211
BrdSkills (+) 199–201
NomCom (+/–) 201–202
NomInd (+) 202
OutBrdAdv (+) 212
’spine’ of relational effect paths 198–201
start of relational effect path 188–189
shareholder-wealth measures 16
short-term options
EqOptEntrch (–)
relational effect path 305–308
EqOptIncent (+)
relational effect path 305–308
short-term options and the risk of earnings manipulation or management 309–312
AudShortOpts (–)
relational effect path 311–312
ShortTOpts (–)
relational effect path 311–312
reducing effectiveness of market for corporate control 233–234
reducing firm value 233–236
relational effect paths
OtherATMs (–) 237–238
StagBrdElect (–) 237–238
types of anti-takeover mechanisms likely to reduce value 233–236
start of relational effect path 188–189
Stakeholders Factor No. 6 53
strength of national governance regime 202–206
Compliance Factor No. 2 43
introduction 202–203
national regime studies 203–205
common law origins 204–205
NationGov (+)
relational effect path 205–206
relational corporate governance framework 66
key research questions for book 2
for Key Field No. 1 13
for Key Field No. 2 13–14
for Key Field No. 3 14
for Key Field No. 4 14–15

long-term efficiency of firm agency
costs and sustainability 16

macro-economic benefits 25–27
relational corporate governance
framework 66
market for corporate control
agency costs 6–7, 77–82, 85–88
efficient market hypothesis 5, 81–84
governance variables 81–84
information asymmetry 81–84
countervailing governance
variables 83–84
AuditFreq (+) 83
AuditMon (+) 83
BrdAttend (+) 83
BrdIndMon (+) 83
ExtAudEarn (+) 83
NationGov (+) 83
OtherATMs (–) 84
ReputDiscl (+) 82
ReputRep (+) 82
StagBrdElect (–) 83
TransTimeMon (+) 83–84
Monitoring & Audit Factor No. 5 48
nexus of contracts 4–5, 80
reflected in governance factors
83–84
reflected in governance variables
83–84
Reporting Factor No. 1 37
Monitoring & Audit Factor No. 5
anti-takeover mechanisms 49
audit subcommittee 49–50
board skills 'mix' 49
board size 49
division in CEO/Chairperson roles
50
external audit 48–49
identity, construction and
articulation of 47–51
independence of directors 49
internal audit 47
internal controls 47
interrelationship with Decision-
making Factor No. 7 54
interrelationship with Reporting
Factor No. 1 47
Key Field No. 1 48
Key Field No. 2 48
Key Field No. 3 49
Key Field No. 4 49–50
market for corporate control 5–6,
83–84
monitoring costs 86–87
non-audit services 50
NYSE Final Rules 49
outside board positions 49
proportion of insider/management
equity ownership 49
reflexive relationship 47
relational corporate governance
framework 66
risk management 47
Shareholder Primacy
Interrelationship Scheme 63
SOX 38
'spine' of relational effect path
151–152
Stakeholder Model Interrelationship
Scheme 64
Weighing Mechanism 78–79
see also governance factors
NationGov (+)
'core' features of global governance
codes 158
glossary definition 352
market for corporate control 83
relational effect path 205–206
neoclassical model
competing interests of corporate
actors not resolved 79–80
model of the firm 79–80
relational corporate governance
framework 66
shortcomings 79–80
nexus of contracts
alignment of relational approach 80
avoidance of welfare and value-
reducing behaviour 5, 77, 84
'contractual' terms 4–5, 80
'default rules' of corporate law 78
director primacy model (Bainbridge)
88–90
efficient market hypothesis 81–82
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intersection of voluntary obligations of corporate actors 4–5, 80

Key Field No. 1 76

management misconduct 77, 84

market for corporate control 80–84

meaning 4–5, 78, 80

nexus of contracts and agency costs in Enron and Hastie collapses 108–110, 130–133

agency costs 108, 130–131

information asymmetry 131–132

complexity of Enron structure and business plan 131

lack of disclosure in Enron harming market and board monitoring 131

ReputDiscl (+) 131–132

ReputRep (+) 131–132

TransTimeMon (+) 131–133

poor financial reporting in Hastie Group 109–110, 132

financial reports materially misstated 110, 132

hidden subsidisation of Middle East businesses 132

time lag and market monitoring 109–110, 132

undermining of efficient market hypothesis in Enron collapse 131

market for corporate control and efficient market hypothesis 108, 130–131

relational corporate governance framework 66

Weighing Mechanism 78–79

diagram 79

theoretical representation of nexus 78

NomCom (+/–)

‘core’ features of global governance codes 163

director independence 201–202

glossary definition 352

‘overall’ governance studies 193

relational effect path 201–202

NomInd (+)

board-dependent governance variables 89–90

‘core’ features of global governance codes 160, 163

director independence 201–202

glossary definition 352

‘overall’ governance studies 193

relational effect path 202

NonAuditS (–) 26,

‘core’ features of global governance codes 162

external/independent audit 125–126, 141–142, 280–285

glossary definition 352

non-audit services of auditing firm 125–126, 141–142, 280–285

relational effect path 286–287

review from Key Field No. 2 281–282

non-audit services see ‘external or independent audit’ in Key Field No. 2

see also NonAuditS (–)

NYSE Final Rules

Compliance Factor No. 2 42

Monitoring & Audit Factor No. 5 49

see also ‘national governance codes’ and ‘United States governance codes’ in Key Field No. 3

Objectives Axis No. 1 see three relational axes of good governance

see also glossary definition 362

off-balance sheet transactions see Key Field No. 2

operational tables see Coverage Table (Table 3.1); governance variables in practice (Chapter 3); Relational Proximity Table (Table 3.2)

options

auditor independence and 125–126
director, CEO and executive compensation
equity and option holdings

effects identified in Enron collapse 119–121
short-term options and risk of earnings manipulation 309–312
summary 319–320
governance variables
AudShortOpts (–)
relational effect path 311–312
risk of earnings management 309–311
DirCEO$ (+/–)
relational effect path 305–308
EqOptEntrch (–)
relational effect path 308
risk of earnings manipulation 309–311
EqOptIncent (+)
relational effect path 305–308
risk of earnings manipulation 309–311
ShortTOpts (–)
relational effect path 311–312
risk of earnings manipulation 309–311
director independence
equity and option holdings
conflict of interest on audit or risk management committee 112
effect on monitoring 111
effect on earnings manipulation or management 120–121
‘incentive alignment’ and ‘entrenchment’ effects (Fuerst and Kang) 110–111, 134
AudShortOpts (–) 135
EqOptEntrch (–) 134
EqOptIncent (+) 134
ShortTOpts (–) 1135
undermined by equity holdings and share options 110–112
‘perverse managerial incentives’ (Gordon) 112
earnings manipulation or management
equity compensation and short-term options see ‘director, CEO and executive compensation’ in Key Field No. 2
‘gatekeeper failure’ (Coffee) 125–126
equity compensation and short-term options 125–126
global/cross-border corporate governance codes
global ‘core’ governance variables 155–163
Commonality Table 159 compensation, incentive schemes and quality of monitoring 161–162
AudShortOpts (–) 161
DirCEO$ (+/–) 161
EqOptEntrch (–) 161
EqOptIncent (+) 161
ReputDiscl (+) 161–162
ReputRep (+) 161–162
ShortTOpts (–) 161
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
benefits of corporate governance 26
definition of corporate governance 4
OECD Principles 4, 26 see also ‘global/cross-border governance codes’ in Key Field No. 3 above
OtherATMs (–)
glossary definition 353
market for corporate control 232–238
‘overall’ governance studies 194
relational effect path 237–238
OutBrdAdv (+)
glossary definition 353
‘overall’ governance studies 194
relational effect path 211–212
OutBrdPos (–)
‘core’ features of global governance codes 160
glossary definition 353
monitoring quality and 229–232
‘overall’ governance studies 194
relational effect path 231–232
outside board positions see ‘outside board positions’ in Key Field No. 4 see also Monitoring & Audit Factor No. 5; OutBrdPos (–)
Enhancing firm sustainability through governance

perquisites (over) see Compensation Factor No. 4
Positional Conflict Axis No. 3 see three relational axes of good governance
see also glossary definition 362

quality of board, CEO and management decision-making see Decision–making Factor No. 7

relational approach and relational corporate governance approach see Key Field No. 1; Key Field No. 2; Key Field No. 3; Key Field No. 4
see also glossary definition 362–363

relational corporate governance framework 65–66
Figure 2.8 66
see also glossary definition 363
relational effect path
‘coverage’ 67–71
Coverage Table (Table 3.1) 69–70
partial coverage of governance factors 74–75
Total Governance Variable Coverage 69–70, 72–73
governance variable
relational effect path for each 67–68
interrelationship schemes 68
key goal or aim 15
pre-eminence of shareholder primacy model vis-à-vis stakeholder model 68
relational proximity rating 68
relational proximity group 72–73
Relational Proximity Table (Table 3.2) 72–73
results for 69–70
Shareholder Primacy
Interrelationship Scheme 68
starting point for 68
relational proximity group see Relational Proximity Table
relational proximity rating see Relational Proximity Table
see also glossary definition 363

Relational Proximity Table

diagram 23
introduction to 67–68, 75
relational proximity 67
relational proximity rating 68–71
calculated from ‘coverage’ 68–71
Coverage Table (Table 3.1) 69–70
partial coverage of governance factors 74–75
relational proximity group 72–73
Relational Proximity Table (Table 3.2) 72–73
Total Governance Variable Coverage 69–70, 72–73
rprox 68
Table 3.2 72–73
see also glossary definition 363
relative importance of governance variables see ‘core’ features of corporate governance codes
Coverage Table (Table 3.1) glossary definition 364
Relational Proximity Table (Table 3.2)
’reputational constraints’
auditor independence in Enron
’reputational constraints’ on auditor 123–126
Arthur Andersen and 123–124
auditor reputation and equity prices 123
overriding factors 123–126
combined effect with efficient market hypothesis 81–82
division between ‘decision management’ and ‘decision control’ (Fama and Jensen) 87–88
division into ‘disclosure standards’ and ‘transparent reporting’ (Singh) 82
efficient market hypothesis combined with 81–82
external or independent audit supported by 123–126
introduction 6
’reputational constraints’ on compensation 294, 315–316
agency costs 294
dependent on ‘disclosure standards’ and ‘transparent reporting’ (Singh) 315–316
ReputDiscl (+) relational effect path 316
ReputRep (+) relational effect path 316
significance of TransTimeMon (+) variable 316
summary 321
Reporting Factor No. 1
ASX 2014 Principles and Recommendations 38
‘core’ features of corporate governance codes 38
earnings manipulation or management 117–121
factors related to fraudulent financial reporting 117
lack of uniformity in Hastie reporting 117
identity, construction and articulation of 36–41
Key Field No. 1 37
Key Field No. 2 37–38
Key Field No. 3 38–39
Key Field No. 4 39
market for corporate control 37
Monitoring & Audit Factor No. 5 reflexive relationship with 38
OECD Principles 30
relational corporate governance framework 66
Shareholder Primacy
Interrelationship Scheme 63
SOX 39
‘spine’ of relational effect paths 198–199
Stakeholder Model Interrelationship Scheme 64
three relational axes of good governance significance reflected in 36
Weighing Mechanism 79 see also governance factors
ReputDiscl (+) glossary definition 353–354 relational effect path 316 see also ‘reputational constraints’
ReputRep (+) glossary definition 354 relational effect path 316 see also ‘reputational constraints’
Responsibility Factor No. 8 accountability (Bovens) 58–59
Decision-making Factor No. 7 54
division between decision ‘management’ and ‘control’ (Fama and Jensen) 60
division in CEO/Chairperson roles 61
Enron and attendance at committees 60
Enron undermining of monitoring 60
governance codes 60–61
information asymmetry reduction of 83
interrelationship with other governance factors 54, 58–59
Key Field No. 1 60
Key Field No. 2 60
Key Field No. 3 60–61
Key Field No. 4 61
monitoring costs 86–87
reflexive relationship 58
relational corporate governance framework 66
separation of ownership and management of corporation 60
Shareholder Primacy
Interrelationship Scheme 63
SOX 61–62
‘spine’ of relational effect path 198–199
Stakeholder Model Interrelationship Scheme 64
three relational axes of good governance undermining of other governance factors 58–59
Weighing Mechanism 79 see also ‘governance factors’ risk management
company culture and 116
Enron ultra-competitive culture and pursuit of risk 116
Hastie failure to review forecasts and compare to actual results 116–117
Hastie ‘inflated reporting and optimistic forecasting’ 116
complexity of transactions 114–115, 137
effects of inadequate risk management reports and procedures on board monitoring 137
board decision-making 114, 137
description of risks 114, 137
quality of risk management function of board 137
inadequacies in Hastie Audit and Risk Committee (ARC) 115
inadequate risk assessment and control by Hastie 115
‘innovation’ vs ‘control’ 114–115
poor management and monitoring of Hastie acquisitions 115
quality of risk management reports 114
significant failures in Hastie construction work-in-progress 115
see also Key Field No. 2; Monitoring & Audit Factor No. 5

rprox calculated from ‘coverage’ 68–71
Coverage Table (Table 3.1) 69–70
partial coverage of governance factors 74–75
relational proximity group 72–73
Relational Proximity Table (Table 3.2) 72–73
Total Governance Variable Coverage 69–70, 72–73
see also Relational Proximity Table

Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX)(US) see SOX
separation of ownership from management
agency costs and 4–7, 77–90
Alignment Factor No. 3
key feature of dispersed shareholding public company 43–44

key feature of shareholder primacy model 43–44
balancing interests of ‘insiders’ and ‘outsiders’ 3–4
Compensation Factor No. 4
reduction of agency costs by managerial compensation and incentive devices (Jensen and Meckling) 46–47
Compliance Factor No. 2
shareholder primacy model vis-à-vis stakeholder model 42
Walker v Wimborne 7, 42
Decision-making Factor No. 7
agency theory 55
division between ‘decision management’ and ‘decision control’ (Fama and Jensen) to reduce agency costs 55
key feature of dispersed shareholding public company 55
shareholders cannot interfere in decision-making of board 55
introduction 3–7
Monitoring & Audit Factor No. 5
agency costs and 48
division between ‘decision management’ and ‘decision control’ (Fama and Jensen) to reduce agency costs 48
monitoring costs 48
Reporting Factor No. 1
problems of imperfect knowledge or information asymmetry 37
Responsibility Factor No. 8
division between ‘decision management’ and ‘decision control’ (Fama and Jensen) to reduce agency costs 60
key feature of dispersed shareholding public company 60
Key Field No. 1 60
separation theories and agency costs 4–7, 77–90
Stakeholders Factor No. 6
shareholder primacy model vis-à-vis stakeholder model 52
see also agency costs
director primacy model
(Bainbridge)
nexus of contracts theory
shareholder primacy model
shareholder wealth-maximisation principle
stakeholder model
Shareholder Primacy Interrelationship Scheme
see also interrelationship schemes
Alignment Factor No. 3
predominance of 59
‘umbrella’ or guiding principle
59
comparison between the two
Interrelationship Schemes
59–65
diagram 23, 63, 66
Figure 2.6 63
relational corporate governance framework 66
Stakeholders Factor No. 6
‘umbrella’ or ‘guiding’ principle 59
shareholder primacy ‘mode’ of relational approach 95–96
stakeholder ‘mode’ of relational approach 95–96
Weighing Mechanism 78–79
see also glossary definition 364
shareholder primacy model
competing shareholder and stakeholder interests 91–104
how to balance and order of precedence 99–104
‘managerial sin’ (Bainbridge) 100
‘many masters’ problem (Jensen) 99
problems and solutions in balancing 99–102
weight accorded to Stakeholders Factor No. 6 vis-à-vis Alignment Factor No. 3 99
constituency and priority of shareholder vis-à-vis stakeholder interests 102–104
as one purpose of relational approach 102–104
function of firm is to minimize long-term agency costs 102–103
support from ‘enlightened stakeholder model’ (Jensen) 103
to enhance long-term efficiency and sustainability 102–104
effect on Governance Factors 91–92
Alignment Factor No. 3 91–92
Alignment Factor No. 3 91–92
application of shareholder primacy model 91–92
assumption of single residual claimant 93
Corporations Act subs. 181(1)(a) 96
relational corporate governance framework 66
shareholders’ residual claims precedence of 91–92
shareholder wealth-maximisation principle 7, 92
shareholder primacy ‘mode’ of relational approach 95–96
shortcomings of shareholder primacy model 93–94
‘umbrella’ or ‘guiding’ principle diagram 45
vis-à-vis stakeholder model 102–104
Walker v Wimborne 7, 42
Weighing Mechanism 78–79
see also ‘shareholder primacy model’ in Key Field No. 1
shareholder protection regime see ‘strength of national shareholder protection regime’ in Key Field No. 4
shareholder wealth-maximisation principle
alignment of interests of shareholders and managers 92
‘default standard’ governing agent’s behaviour (Rhee) 92
explanation of 7, 91–92
introduction to 7
see also ‘shareholder primacy model’; ‘shareholder primacy model’ in Key Field No. 1
ShortTOpts (–) board-dependent governance variables 89–90
‘core’ features of global governance codes 161
director/CEO and executive compensation 309–312

glossary definition 355
earnings manipulation or management 309–312
‘overall’ governance studies 193

relational effect path 311–312
Social Science Research Network (SSRN)
search terms and dates 12–13
see also Key Fields

SOX
Alignment Factor No. 3
response to Enron collapse 46
effects on Securities Exchange Act 1934 (US) and NYSE Final Rules 164–168

Monitoring & Audit Factor No. 5
Key Field No. 3 49
reforms relating to directors and management and earnings manipulation
section 301 60
section 302 60
section 304 61
section 404 61

Reporting Factor No. 1
Key Field No. 3 39
Responsibility Factor No. 8
Key Field No. 3 60–61
‘Special Purpose Entities’ (SPEs) of Enron see Key Field No. 2

StagBrdElect (–)
board-dependent governance variables 89–90
glossary definition 354
market for corporate control 232–238
‘overall’ governance studies 193
relational effect path 237–238

Stakeholders Factor No. 6
ASX 2007–10 Revised Principles 96–98
composite shareholder-stakeholder governance model 97

amendment of ASX 2003 Best Practice Recommendations 96–97
Revised Principle 3.1
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ASX 2014 Principles and Recommendations
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application to relational approach 47, 51–53
comparison with Alignment Factor No. 3 47, 51
competing shareholder and stakeholder interests
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‘managerial sin’ (Bainbridge) 100
‘many masters’ problem (Jensen) 99
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effect of stakeholder model 92–96
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relational corporate governance framework 66
Shareholder Primacy
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stakeholder model
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stakeholder ‘mode’ of relational approach 95–96
‘umbrella’ or ‘guiding’ principle of
    Alignment Factor No. 3 and Stakeholders Factor No. 6 96
Stakeholder Model Interrelationship Scheme 64
Weighing Mechanism 78–79
see also governance factors
stakeholder model see Stakeholders Factor No. 6
Stakeholder Model Interrelationship Scheme
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diagram 23, 64
Figure 2.7 64
relational corporate governance framework 66
stakeholder ‘mode’ of relational approach 95–96
‘umbrella’ or ‘guiding’ principle of
    Alignment Factor No. 3 and Stakeholders Factor No. 6 96
Stakeholders Factor No. 6 47, 51–54
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sustainability
constituency and priority of shareholder vis-à-vis stakeholder interests 102–104
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function of firm is to minimize long-term agency costs 102–104
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earnings manipulation or management 266–267
glossary definition 364
information asymmetry
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long-term efficiency and 16
market for corporate control 80–84
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minimising long-term agency costs 102–104
relational corporate governance framework 66
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Total Governance Variable Coverage 69–70, 72–73
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see also ‘stakeholder model’ in Key Field No. 1
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