
Index

- absorption
 - capacity 96, 311, 401
 - as implementation challenge 190, 241–2, 280–81
 - rate 315, 335
 - of Structural Funds 160, 186–7, 307–9
- accessibility and transport infrastructure 349–51
- accountability 72–3, 76, 144, 170, 172, 179, 181, 182, 212–13, 299, 502, 510–12, 520
- achievements
 - in rich central countries 278–9
 - in southern periphery countries 243–6
 - in sparsely populated countries 295–7
 - in United Kingdom and Ireland 263–5
- actors in Cohesion policy 36–42, 48
- additionality principle 22, 30, 158, 192–3, 257, 435–6, 447, 450–51
- administrative capacity
 - importance, in compliance with EU laws and regulations 96
 - as possible reason for modest efficiency of CP 317
 - role of, in new member states 86–7
 - in southern periphery countries 242, 244
- administrative procedures, as implementation challenge 281–2
- agglomeration economies 52–3, 59, 61, 345–6
- agricultural and rural development
 - agricultural policies from the ‘old’ sectoral paradigm to territorial centrality 326–31
 - CP and economic performance in less-developed regions 329–31
 - First Pillar CAP 326–8
 - rural development 328–9
 - different areas of Community policy 325–6
 - distribution of EU funding for various policies 331–3
 - evolution of sectoral and territorial policies in EU 326
 - gaps in literature 325
 - policies and funding overview 332, 334–5
- allocation
 - aim 59
 - allocative arguments 56
 - allocative principle 56
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 310–11, 313–14
 - in EaP 402
 - levels, in budget negotiations 130–32
 - regional expenditure 331–2
 - in rich central countries 273–7
 - within social dimension 479–82, 484–5, 487
 - for sound financial management 438, 440
 - in southern periphery countries 236–9
 - in sparsely populated countries 287, 290–94
 - of Structural Funds 93–103
 - in United Kingdom and Ireland 256–8
- Andalucian Party 204, 208–9
- architecture of Cohesion policy
 - actors 36–42, 48
 - agencification 48
 - funds 42–3
 - governance arrangements 47
 - implementation cycle 43–6
 - technical assistance 46–7
- audit authorities 37–8, 173–7, 179, 182–3
- audit of Cohesion policy *see* European Court of Auditors (ECA)
- Austria
 - ability to represent member states 207
 - budget negotiations 131
 - Cohesion policy
 - caution over allocations 125–6
 - in favour of rationalising 272
 - funding 274–6, 315
 - important role of 278
 - integration 281
 - programmes 269, 279
 - EDRF absorption rates 281
 - enlargement 24
 - as federal state 207, 272
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 268, 467
 - as one of wealthiest member states 268
 - refusal to honour guarantees for debts 466
 - Structural Funds support review 278
 - Thematic Objectives 277
- Barca Report 56, 61, 127, 135, 160, 243, 326, 334, 365, 375, 416, 423, 482–3, 487, 492
- Basque Nationalist Party (PNV) 208–10, 212, 214, 228
- Belgium
 - absorption 281

- Cohesion policy
 - funding 268, 273–6, 280, 315
 - programmes 269
 - as contributor to, and recipient of,
 - Community budget 272
 - EGTC for 389
 - as federal state 207, 272
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 268, 467
 - as one of wealthiest member states 268
 - provincial influence 272
 - self-rule 99
 - Structural Funds 280
 - Thematic Objectives 277
- budgets
 - 2007–2008 budget review 124–6
 - budgetary politics and territoriality 494–5
 - negotiating, in Cohesion policy reform 130–33
- Bulgaria
 - accession to EU 84, 302
 - budget allocation ratios by Thematic Objective 314
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 303
 - Cohesion policy
 - adjustment to 85
 - funding 311, 315
 - impact 311
 - programmes 303
 - decreasing poverty as aim of 313
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 308, 467
 - integrated territorial OPs 315
 - investment clause 194
 - management of Structural Funds 87
 - opposition to reduction in EU co-financing rate 131
 - public investment levels 192–3, 306
- CEMR *see* Council of European Municipalities and Regions (CEMR)
- Central and Eastern Europe countries,
 - Cohesion policy
 - appropriateness of, and regional gap 317–18
 - changes caused by enlargement 306
 - environmental needs 373
 - evolution of strategies 312–15
 - financial resources 310–11
 - impact 311–12
 - impact of joining EU 435
 - implementation challenges 315–17
 - intensive phase of Europeanisation for 84, 309–10
 - number of programmes 303–5
 - origin and evolution of regional problem 306–8
 - pre-accession period 309–10
 - reforms framed to support accession of 25, 109
 - and regionalist parties 211–12
 - representing largest area of less-developed regions 341
 - results of enlargement 203, 211, 213, 302, 306, 339–40
 - and state territoriality 497
 - central areas *see* rich central countries, Cohesion policy
 - certifying authorities 37–8, 173–4, 176, 178
 - Christian Social Union (CSU) 210, 212
 - CIs *see* Community Initiatives (CIs)
 - cities
 - as actors in multilevel governance systems 414–15
 - European Parliament on delegation of power to 152
 - role of 144–6
 - see also* urban redevelopment
 - climate policy integration (CPI) 381
 - Cohesion Fund
 - allocated at level of member states 445
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 303–6
 - and CoR 158
 - creation of 24, 58, 109, 156
 - DG REGIO responsible for 37
 - and environmental policy 370–74, 380
 - member states aimed at 42
 - as one of three Cohesion policy funds 42
 - as one of three Structural Funds 93
 - percentage devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81
 - in reform regulations 29, 32, 190
 - seeking to promote income convergence 461
 - in southern periphery countries 232–6, 238, 241
 - in sparsely populated countries 287–90, 293, 297, 299
 - transportation and public infrastructure financed by 339–40, 342, 431, 435
 - in UK and Ireland 251, 253
 - for urban redevelopment 416–17
 - see also* Structural and Cohesion Funds (SCF)
 - Cohesion policy (CP)
 - debate on post-2020 reform of 11, 137
 - ESIF allocations *xxx*
 - as expression of experimentalist governance 48
 - highly political nature of 2

- importance of 1
- interpretations of 1
- limited effectiveness 9–11
- main aim of 17
- potential as redistributive policy 10
- slice of total EU budget 1, 17
- Committee of the Regions (CoR)
 - as advisory body representing regional and local authorities 124
 - and Cohesion policy
 - as main actor of 39
 - non-consultative activities contributing to debate on 162–6
 - in successive rounds of reform 157–62
 - creation 156–7, 206, 415
 - limitations 210
 - strengthened role 415
 - value of 166–7
- Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) 26, 30, 125, 129, 131, 205, 212–13, 250, 310, 326–32, 334–5, 495
- Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) 30, 205, 212–13
- Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) 37–8, 45–6, 133–4, 144, 149, 181, 191–2, 196, 377–8, 380–81, 483
- Common Strategic Framework (CSF) 9, 116, 134, 154, 238, 291, 380, 419–20
- Community funding 27, 280
- Community Initiatives (CIs) 23, 25–7, 70, 112, 256, 275, 443, 498
- Community-Led Local Development (CLLD) 283, 315, 416, 419–20, 492
- Community support 21
- Community Support Frameworks (CSFs)
 - in Greece 233, 239
 - in Ireland 254–6, 259, 261, 264
 - in Italy 233
 - as macro-strategy 232
 - minimal compliance to requirements of 484
 - in Portugal 234, 243
 - in Spain 235, 239
 - in United Kingdom 258–9
 - urban pilot projects supported through 415–16
- compensation aim 59
- competition policy rationale 57–8
- competitiveness
 - versus cohesion 317–18
 - versus equity goals 475–6, 486–7
 - impact of economic crisis 189
 - issue of EU's lack of 110, 112
 - in multifaceted policy 447
 - as Thematic Objective 238, 291–4
 - and urban dimension 413, 423
- compliance audit 178–9
- concentration
 - principle 22, 26–7, 330
 - of effort 33
 - of resources 33, 127, 328
 - of spending 33, 59
 - thematic 42, 130, 133–5, 140, 144–6, 376
- conditionalities
 - ex ante* 33, 127, 147, 151–2, 246, 483
 - macroeconomic 33, 128, 131, 135–6, 155, 190–92, 195
 - need for workable and powerful 364
 - strengthening, in CP framework 161
- Conference of Peripheral Maritime Regions (CPMR) 41
- Convention on Europe 210
- convergence
 - and Cohesion policy
 - financial crises 463–6
 - lessons from East Asia 466–70
 - previous research on 462–3
 - prospects for policy coherence 471–2
 - reasons for exacerbation of regional divergence 461–4
 - as regional policy goal 51–2
- Convergence Objective 28–9, 74, 436, 445
- CoR *see* Committee of the Regions (CoR)
- Corsican National Liberation Front 204
- Council of European Municipalities and Regions (CEMR) 41, 415, 424
- Council of the European Union (CEU)
 - as central to Cohesion policy 4
 - co-decisions on regulations for programming periods 75
 - composition of 123
 - member states' representatives in 36
 - negotiating budget and legislative package 130–32
- CP *see* Cohesion policy (CP)
- CPR *see* Common Provisions Regulation (CPR)
- crisis management 310, 406, 408–9
- Croatia
 - accession to EU 302
 - budget allocation ratios by Thematic Objective 314
- Cohesion Fund 42, 303
- Cohesion policy
 - evolution of strategies 313
 - funding 311
 - programmes 303
 - Europeanisation in 84–5
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 308, 467

- cross-border cooperation
 - Carpathian Basin 313
 - Council of Europe as driver for 390
 - EGTCs for 390, 396
 - eligibility for 29
 - INTERREG initiative aimed at fostering 112
 - law 387–8
 - need for more 145
 - Objective supporting 29
 - structures of Euro-regions as cornerstone of 386
 - as subset of territorial cooperation 384–5
- CSF *see* Common Strategic Framework (CSF)
- Cyprus
 - budget negotiations 130
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 233
 - Cohesion policy
 - commitment appropriations 236
 - funding 315
 - main goal of 231
 - programmes 233
 - resource distribution to Thematic Objectives 238
 - strategies 238–9
 - debt restructuring 465–6
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - impact of economic crisis 188–9
 - legislative package 133
 - negotiations on MFF 131–2, 136
 - as new policy priority 126
 - PPP per capita GDP relative to EU28 average 467
 - as Presidency involved in negotiations 123, 150
 - regionalist parties welcoming 211
 - stability in 128
 - Van Rompuy 123, 132, 136
- Czech Republic
 - accession to EU 302
 - as benefiting most from financial allocations 29
 - budget allocation ratios by Thematic Objective 314
 - budget negotiations 131
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 303
 - Cohesion policy
 - focus on least-developed member states 125
 - funding 132, 311, 315
 - impact 311
 - as new policy priority 126
 - programmes 303
 - Europeanisation in 84–6
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 308, 467
 - integrated territorial OPs 315
 - as most privileged in funding allocation 29
 - Structural Funds 87
- Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Area (DCFTA) 403–5
- deep trade pragmatism
 - moving from democracy promotion 402–5
 - moving to operational pragmatism 405–7
- delivery, as implementation challenge 241–2
- Delors I Package 21, 167, 259
- Delors II Package 157
- Delors, Jacques 21, 109, 205, 429–30, 441, 496–8
- Delors Report (1989) 56, 58
- Delors Report (1990) 430–31
- democracy
 - new model of
 - in CEE countries 316
 - in interconnected settings 65, 73–5
 - representative
 - constraints imposed by 469
 - and formation of judgement 75
 - and multilevel governance 71–3
 - and territoriality 8, 500–502
- Denmark
 - absorption of allocations 281
 - accession to EU 19, 50, 329, 495
 - administrative procedures 282
 - applicability of patents compared to papers 355
 - Cohesion policy
 - allocations under 125–6
 - funding 273–6, 315
 - concertation at territorial level 272
 - and ERDF 19
 - evaluation of Danish Objective 2 Programme 278
 - focus on competitiveness and innovation 272
 - framing of social exclusion 484
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 268, 467
 - legislative package 133
 - as net contributor to Community budget 272
 - no Cohesion funding 268
 - as one of wealthiest member states 268
 - pre-legislative work 150
 - Thematic Objectives 277

- Directorate-General Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion (DG EMPL) 37, 122, 127
- Directorate-General for Agriculture and Rural Development (DG AGRI) 325
- Directorate-General for Internal Policies 39
- Directorate-General for Regional and Urban Policy (DG REGIO) 18, 36–7, 122, 126–7, 129, 135, 140, 142, 162, 192, 297, 325, 373–4, 376, 393, 413, 417–18
- distance 345–6, 348–9, 353, 355–6
- distributive arguments 55–6
- disturbance factors 452–3
- domestic contexts, as implementation challenge 243
- East Asia, lessons from 466–70
- Eastern Partnership (EaP)
- Association Agreement 399, 403, 405–6
 - countries within 400
 - from deep trade pragmatism to operational pragmatism 405–7
 - from democracy promotion to deep trade pragmatism 402–5
 - Eastern Partnership Initiative 403
 - economic indicators 407
 - external Europeanisation through 401–2
 - geopolitical make-up 399
 - historical milestones 403
 - index 406
 - new security challenge and EU transformation crisis 409
 - Partnership and Cooperation Agreements 403, 405
 - from pragmatic multilateralism to crisis management 408–9
- econometric aspects 454–6
- Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)
- as beneficial for Northern European countries 471–2
 - ‘blueprint for deep and genuine’ 193
 - Cohesion policy as tool for 24–5
 - convergence criteria 464, 470
 - Delors Report on 430
 - launch of 26
 - more sustainable design for 131
 - removal of exchange rate and jurisdictional risk 462, 465
 - role of Cohesion Fund 156, 461
- Economic and Social Committee (ESC) 21, 36, 75, 156
- see also* European Economic and Social Committee (EESC)
- economic cohesion 7, 20–21, 92, 156, 291, 325, 334, 384–5, 461
- economic context
- Cohesion policy’s ability to adapt to evolving 153
 - importance in 1999 reform 26
 - responding to mutated in 2014 reform 30–33
- economic convergence *see* convergence
- economic crisis
- impact of 187–8, 198–9
 - on competitiveness 189
 - on regions 189–90
 - macroeconomic framework before and during 188–9
 - providing relief from 245–6
- economic geography *see* geography, economic
- economic governance
- additionality principle 192–3
 - evolution of 199
 - impact on Cohesion policy 199–200
 - investment clause 193–4
 - macroeconomic conditionality 190–92
- economic growth principle 431–3
- economic imbalances, period of 187–90
- economic performance, in less-developed regions 329–31
- economic polarisation 7, 461, 470
- economic theory of Cohesion policy
- balanced economic growth
 - policies for growth 60–61
 - supportive institutions 61
 - ‘Cohesion’ as open to variety of interpretations 50
 - current economic priorities
 - logic of intervention 59–60
 - two policy imperatives 58–9
- economic effectiveness 62
- economic objective
- allocative arguments 56
 - distributive arguments 55–6
 - public finance economics 54–5
 - macroeconomic rationale
 - for Cohesion policy 56–8
 - competition policy 57–8
 - for regional policy 56
 - research orientations 61–2
 - roots in regional economics and geography 50–54
- effectiveness
- of Cohesion policy *see* impacts: assessment
 - improving, in view of enlargement 25–7
 - of internal controls 171, 177, 179
- EFSI *see* European Fund for Strategic Investment (EFSI)
- EGTC *see* European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)
- eligibility 449–50

- EMU *see* Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)
- ENE-MA (European Network of Environmental Authorities – Managing Authorities) 379
- enlargement
big bang 388
Central and Eastern 203, 211, 213, 302, 306, 317–18, 339–41, 373
Cohesion policy as substitute for 402
and concentration 158
as context for changes 159–60
Convention and regions ‘left behind’ 209–12
East–West dimension 310
Eastern 109–10, 211, 226, 387, 401–2
economic disparities 108, 205, 308, 318, 373
European Neighbourhood Policy detached from 408
Europeanisation in context of 79, 83–5
to Finland, Sweden and Austria 24
Greek threat to veto 20
improving effectiveness in view of 25–7
‘internal’ 204, 213, 219
loss of Objective 1 status for Ireland 253
matching with wider EU goals 27–30
new territorial challenges in view of 24
Southern 108–9, 112–13
statistical effect of 159, 167, 450
successive rounds of 1
to United Kingdom and Ireland 18
- ENP *see* European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP)
- environmental policy *see* green economy
- EPI (environmental policy integration) 369–75, 377–81
- ERDF *see* European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)
- ESF *see* European Social Fund (ESF)
- ESIF *see* European Structural and Investment Funds (ESIF)
- Estonia
Cohesion Fund 42, 287, 289, 293
Cohesion policy
challenges to administration of 298
funding 290, 315
impact 296–7, 311
implementation 287
programmes 288
strategies 291
de-Europeanisation example 86
ERDF and ESF 293–4
EU funding, indirect effects 299
on financing of poorer regions 125
funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
great leap into digital age 500
impact of SCF on GDP 295
income levels 466
and NUTS 2 285–6
Operational Programmes 292
population size 285
PPP per capita GDP relative to EU28 average 467
regionalist parties welcoming 211
Thematic Objectives 291, 294
- ETC *see* European Territorial Cooperation (ETC)
- EU Macro-Regional Strategies (EUMRS) 386, 390–96
- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR) 390–96
- EUMRS *see* EU Macro-Regional Strategies (EUMRS)
- Eurocities 41, 415, 424
- Europe 2020
aims of 129, 318
as cohesion-less 143
European Council approving 31
first draft of 141
linking Cohesion policy to 144–6, 148, 163, 190
and priority of inclusive growth 482–6
replacing Lisbon Strategy 110
and TEN-T network effects 351–4
thematic objectives related to 32–3
- Europe of the Regions 5, 203–4, 207–10, 212–14, 217, 224–5, 316–17, 493, 515–16
- European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) *xxx*, 32, 43, 93, 133, 172, 293, 329, 450
- European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund (EAGGF) 18, 20, 22, 25, 27, 236, 256, 276, 329, 431
- European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) 40, 179, 438
- European Commission (EC) and Cohesion policy
as actor of 36–7
building, expanding and maintaining 108–10
designing governance modes and building a multilevel system 114–18
determining substance of 111–14
general role in 107–8, 118–19
linking to Europe 2020 144–6
role in reform 121–36
tighter collaboration with Parliament 141–3
- European Council
adoption of Lisbon Strategy 110
approval of payments for 2007–2013 programmes 31

- Cohesion policy
 as actor of 36
 agreement to grant 31
 budget 43
 as central to 4
 Commission convincing of benefits to 107, 110
 and Europe 2020 128
 greater significance of role 122, 137
 and Open Method of Coordination 116
 reluctant adoption of proposals 111
 resistance to Commission 118
 role in reform 121–5, 127–37
 on efforts to advance cooperation 409
 for greater social cohesion 477
 hopes for optimum use of Structural Funds 26
 launch of ambitious programme of reforms 28
 and macro-regional strategies 390, 392
 meetings 28, 125, 130, 132
 necessity of building Energy Union 401
 policy proposals stemming from 75
 proposal of Youth Employment Initiative 483
 role of, in European Union 123
 role over MFF 74, 131–4, 136–7
 sanctions regime agreed by 395
 and subsidiarity 148–50
 summits restyled as 495
- European Court of Auditors (ECA)
 as Cohesion policy actor 4, 39–40, 182
 and environmental politics 372, 375
 external audit of Cohesion policy
 compliance audit 178–9
 opinions on new legislation 181
 performance audit 179–81
 internal control in Cohesion policy
 introduction to 172–3
 significantly reinforced for 2007–2013
 programming period 173–5
 ‘single audit’ model 175–8
 role as EU’s external auditor 170–71
 strengths and weaknesses 4, 182–3
- European Economic and Social Committee (EESC) 124
see also Economic and Social Committee (ESC)
- European Free Alliance (EFA) 211
- European Fund for Strategic Investment (EFSI) 195, 198–9
- European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)
 approval for legislative package 133
 comparison with EUMRS 395–6
- CoR’s platform 163–4
 definition 38
 Eastern Partnership encouraging 406
 emergence of 386
 and FOCJ 503
 main location of 388
 nature of 389–90
 Regulation 29, 32, 133, 164, 386, 388–9, 396
 setting up, as controversial 498
 three components of 385
 as type of territorial cooperation 384, 386
- European integration
 creating own economic development
 dynamic 55–6
 interinstitutional struggle over 496–7
 regionalist parties’ positions on 210–12, 217–26
 territorial cooperation as cause and effect of 384
- European Investment Bank (EIB)
 activities
 advisory services 197
 blending with ESIF 195–6
 ESIF and EFSI 198
 financial instruments 196
 lending for territorial cohesion 196–7
 Structural Programme Loans 195–6, 199
 and additionality principle 435
- Cohesion policy
 as actor in 40–41
 importance for 4–5
 interlinkage with 186
 supporting 194–8
 enhanced role of 186, 199–200
 evolution of 194–5
 financing investment and job training programmes 436
 as instrument addressing regional imbalances 18, 20–21
 instruments making use of 30
- European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) xxx, 31–2, 43, 93, 172
- European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument (ENPI) 30, 402
- European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) 402, 406
- European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP) 399, 402–5, 408–9
- European Parliament (EP)
 Budgetary Control Committee 40
 Cohesion policy
 as actor in 39
 benefits of intense pre-legislative effort 143–4

- contribution to shaping 2014–2020 policy
 - 140, 150–53
 - enhancing territorial dimension of 146–7
 - interinstitutional relations 148–50
 - linking to Europe 2020 144–6
 - role in reform 121–2, 124, 128, 132–4, 136–7, 153
 - tighter collaboration with Commission 141–3
- REGI (Committee on Regional Development) 39, 140–45, 148–51, 162
- European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)
 - aim of 42
 - audit authorities 173
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 315
 - creation of 19, 50
 - criticism of early 205
 - development of 20
 - DG REGIO responsible for 37
 - initial objective of 19
 - as one of Structural Funds 93
 - as one of three Cohesion policy funds 42, 172
 - Regulation 25, 371
 - resources allocated to environmental measures 376
 - in rich central countries 269–71, 276, 280–82
 - for smart specialisation 433
 - for social dimension 476–8, 480, 483
 - in southern periphery countries 237–8, 240, 264
 - in sparsely populated countries 293–4, 296–8
 - study of regional and national funding per capita 220–25
 - in United Kingdom and Ireland 250, 252–60, 264–5
 - for urban development and new instruments 414–16, 419–21
- European Social Fund (ESF)
 - audit authorities for OPs of 173
 - within Central and Eastern Europe 315
 - Committee's opposition to removal of 142
 - CoR's use of subsidiarity principle 166
 - Directorate-General responsible for 37
 - and Dutch operational programme 484
 - ERFD complementing 50
 - and European Court of Auditors 175–7, 181
 - European Parliament support for 146, 150
 - evaluation of operational programmes 437–8, 443
 - financial instruments 196
 - financing urban development projects 416
 - four thematic focuses 43
 - fund-specific regulations for 133
 - as guiding economies of less-developed regions 431
 - as instrument addressing regional imbalances 18
 - and Italian operational programme 484–5
 - main aim of 461
 - as most relevant for realisation of social measures 483–4
 - as one of Cohesion policy's main funds 42
 - as one of three Structural Funds 93
 - original aim 469
 - percentage representation of total funds 479–80
 - percentage represented by ESIF funding 340
 - within reform regulations 22, 24–5, 27, 29, 32
 - remit within different programming periods 476–9
 - within rich central regions 269–70, 276, 280
 - and Romanian operational programme 485–6
 - and social inclusion objective 432–3
 - within southern periphery 238–9
 - within sparsely populated countries 293–4
 - and training of workers 432, 436
 - within United Kingdom and Ireland 256–7
- European Spatial Planning Observatory Network (ESPON) 29, 42, 327, 349–50
- European Structural and Investment Funds (ESIF)
 - 2014–2020 allocations *xxx*
 - 2014–2020 regulation 487
 - acting as sort of litmus test 486
 - assumed role 110
 - conditionalities for effective disbursement of 147, 152
 - CSF for enhanced coordination between 419
 - EIB blending with
 - advisory services 197
 - financial instruments 196
 - Structural Programme Loans 195–6
 - enhancing oversight role of Commission 483
 - and European Fund for Strategic Investments 198
 - expected contribution to Europe 2020 strategy 483
 - full exclusion, and flexibility mechanism in, national co-financing of 194
 - funds comprising 93, 172
 - important step in Common Provisions Regulation for 378–9
 - and macroeconomic conditionality 149, 191

- main priorities supported by 340–41
- MFF channelled through 340
- as milestone of European urban policy 416
- as powerful incentive for social inclusion 488
- regulations creating premises of 32
- as substantial portion of EU budget 93
- tensions on member state implementation of 134–5
- European Territorial Cooperation (ETC) *xxx*, 28–9, 31, 33, 38, 44, 112, 133, 145, 164, 275, 384–5, 390, 396, 492, 503
- European Union
 - impact on Europeanisation 306
 - improving effectiveness in view of enlargement 25–7
 - matching enlargement with wider goals 27–30
 - Thematic Objectives 277
 - transformation crisis 409
- European Units of Account (EUA) 19
- Europeanisation
 - and Cohesion policy 69, 79–88
 - in context of EU enlargement 79, 83–5
 - as domestic change 512
 - in European periphery 83
 - EU's impact on 306
 - external
 - easternmost region as 399
 - evolution of 402–5
 - security challenge for 409
 - through European partnership 401–2
 - as institutional change 508–9
 - intensive phase, for CEE countries 84, 309–10
 - in Italy 516
 - post-accession; learning or reversal 85–7
 - regionalisation as direct outcome of 207
 - requirements from, merging with national development strategies 518
 - and supranational policies 509
 - of urban policies 421
- financial crises 187–90, 198–99, 464–6
- Financial Instrument for Fisheries Guidance (FIFG) 24–5, 27, 236, 256, 276, 328
- financial management principle 170, 183, 437–9
- Finland
 - accession to EU 287, 291
 - budget negotiations 131
 - Cohesion policy
 - achievements and challenges 296
 - allocations under 287, 298
 - funding 290–91, 299, 315
 - on future of 125–6
 - implementation challenges 298
 - outcomes and impacts 295–7
 - planning and implementation approach 287
 - programmes 288, 484
 - strategies 291–3
 - enlargement 24
 - ERDF and ESF 294, 296–7
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 285, 287, 467
 - institutional system 287, 298
 - Nordic cooperation 385
 - and NUTS 2 285–6
 - Operational Programmes 291–2
 - population size 285
 - self-rule 99
 - Structural Funds 296
 - Thematic Objectives 291, 293–4
- FOCJ (functional, overlapping and competing jurisdictions) 501–3
- formalised interest groups 41–2
- France
 - budget negotiations 131–2
 - Cohesion policy
 - administrative procedures 275
 - funding 135, 268, 273–5, 282, 315
 - on future of 125
 - impact 278
 - programmes 269–70, 279, 282
 - proposals for reform 128
 - concertation at territorial level 272
 - as contributor to, and recipient of, Community budget 272
 - Corsican National Liberation Front in 204
 - and EGTC 389
 - and ERDF 19, 276, 281
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 268, 467
 - highly formalised nature of territorial relations 280
 - interregional cooperation 385
 - as one of wealthiest member states 268
 - regional Operational Programmes 275
 - southern, and IMPs 20
 - on Structural Funds 21
 - Thematic Objectives 277
 - trend towards greater regional autonomy 275
 - urban redevelopment 418–19
- free movement principle 384, 462, 469, 470

- funds, Cohesion policy 42–3
 - see also* Cohesion Fund; European Regional Development Fund (ERDF); European Social Fund (ESF)
- geographical scale 445–6
- geography, economic
 - effects of 448–9
 - non-spatial principles in 362
 - roots of Cohesion policy in 50–54
 - see also* New Economic Geography (NEG)
- Germany
 - administrative procedures 282
 - allocations
 - absorption of 281
 - as benefiting most from financial 29
 - budget negotiations 131–2
 - Cohesion policy
 - areas of focus for resources 125–6
 - funding 128, 135–6, 268, 273–6, 315
 - impact 278
 - implementation 282
 - opposition to increased spending 26
 - programmes 270
 - sensitivity to money being spent in other member states 496
 - Community budget
 - contribution 21
 - as contributor to, and recipient of 272
 - Convergence status 129
 - and development of ‘Europe of the Regions’ 515–16
 - and Dutch border 387–8
 - environmental actors 379
 - ERDF
 - and ESF contributions 276
 - as net contributor to 19
 - experimental regionalism and multiscalar metagovernance in 515–16
 - as federal state 207, 272
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 268, 467
 - highly formalised nature of territorial relations 280
 - investment banks as managing authorities 276
 - Länder*
 - annual growth 434
 - and Christian Social Union 210
 - exerting strong political influence 272
 - inserted in multilevel political context 69
 - as most privileged in funding allocation 29
 - participation in regional economic policy 280
 - struggling to pay enlargement costs 26
 - as net contributor to EU budget 26
 - and NUTS 2 units 446
 - as one of wealthiest member states 268
 - Operational Programmes
 - climate change resources 376–7
 - programming 415
 - regional 275
 - rejection of development of Code of Conduct as Delegated Act 379
 - role in Negotiating Box 132
 - role of municipalities 421
 - territorial cooperation 385
 - Thematic Objectives 277
 - transport infrastructure and accessibility 249, 378
 - urban regeneration 418–19
- governance arrangements
 - of Cohesion policy 47
 - designing modes of 114–18
 - economic 190–94, 199
 - EGTCs as 390
 - emergent forms of territorial 513–18
 - emergent spatial 510–11
 - multiscalar 506, 514–18, 520
 - spatial configurations 510–11
 - task- and organisation-specific 518
 - in territorial politics 515
 - Type II 511, 513, 518, 520–21
 - in UK and Ireland 260–63
 - see also* multilevel governance
- Greece
 - accession to EU 108, 231, 330
 - additionality principle 192–3
 - annual growth 434
 - challenge to manage stability of 128
 - Chinese support to 472
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 58, 156, 232–3, 302, 306, 372
 - Cohesion policy
 - absorption, delivery and institutional capacity 242
 - achievements and impact 240–41
 - commitment appropriations for 236
 - financial resources 236
 - as former core beneficiary 80
 - funding 132
 - main goal of 231
 - multilevel governance 244–5
 - new policy paradigms and public policy approaches 243–4
 - programmes 233
 - shielding investments and providing relief crisis 245–6

- as 'side payment' to 370, 497
 - strategies 237–9
 - supported by 126
 - and transport infrastructure networks 339
 - unfavourable domestic context 243
- and debt 465–6
- European Investment Bank loan 40–41
- Europeanisation in 83
- funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
- impact of economic crisis
 - competitiveness 189
 - convergence 198
 - employment 187–8
 - greater 31
 - regions 190
- institutional system 231
- Maastricht Treaty 24
- PPP per capita GDP relative to EU28
 - average 467
- regional policy 231
- showing signs of disaffection 246
- Structural Funds 22–3, 27, 302, 306
- Structural Programme Loans 195
- Thematic Objectives 238
- threat to veto enlargement 20
- green economy
 - Cohesion policy
 - criticism of 374–5
 - EPI in early 371–4
 - 'greening' of 370–71
 - concept of sustainable development 369–70
 - future for green growth 380–81
 - from Lisbon Strategy to 2020
 - criticism of Cohesion policy 374–5
 - participation of environmental actors 378–9
 - policies and policy integration 376–8
 - programmatic commitment 375–6
- growth
 - balanced 60–61
 - and development synergies 343–4
 - economic, principle of 431–3
 - economics of 53–4
 - models 343–5
 - policies to foster 60–61
 - priority of inclusive 482–6
- HERMIN model 241, 264, 295–6, 454
- history of Cohesion policy
 - 1957–1975 (origins) 17–19
 - 1975–1987 (development) 20–21
 - 1988 reform (birth) 22–4
 - 1993 reform (as tool for EMU) 24–5
 - 1999 reform (improving effectiveness) 25–7
- 2007 reform (matching enlarged Union and wider EU goals) 27–30
- 2014 reform (responding to mutated economic context) 30–33
- Hungary
 - accession to EU 302
 - additionality principle 192
 - budget allocation ratios by Thematic Objective 314
 - Carpathian Basin 313
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 190–91, 304, 306
 - Cohesion policy
 - funding 132, 311, 315
 - impact 311
 - as new policy priority 126
 - programmes 304
 - Europeanisation in 84–5
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 308, 467
 - integrated territorial OPs 315
 - legislative package 133
 - as most privileged in funding allocation 29
 - and NUTS 2 units 446
 - opposition to reduction in EU co-financing rate 131
 - role played in European Parliament 136–7
 - Structural Funds 84, 87, 306
 - Structural Programme Loans 195
 - Territorial Agenda of the European Union 419
- IMF (International Monetary Fund) 465–6, 472
- impacts
 - assessment 456–7
 - data and methodology-related issues 451–6
 - issues related to CP characteristics 445–51
 - previous studies' results 443–4, 456
 - purpose of 457
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 311–12
 - of economic crisis 187–8, 198–9
 - on competitiveness 189
 - macroeconomic framework 188–9
 - on regions 189–90
 - in rich central countries 278–9
 - in southern periphery countries 243–6
 - in sparsely populated countries 295–7
 - in United Kingdom and Ireland 263–5
 - for urban redevelopment 420–24
- implementation challenges
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 315–17

- in rich central countries 279–82
- of smart specialisation 365–6
- in southern periphery countries 241–3
- in sparsely populated countries 297–8
- in United Kingdom and Ireland 260–63
- implementation cycle
 - assessing policy and performance 46
 - programmes
 - assessing results 46
 - drawing up 43
 - projects
 - appraising and approving 44–5
 - drawing up 43–4
 - providing match funding 45–6
- implementation time lag 450–51
- IMPs *see* Integrated Mediterranean Programmes (IMPs)
- independence demands 203–4, 209, 212–14
- innovation
 - in Austria 278, 281
 - distance and knowledge networks 355–6
 - and geography 353
 - ‘green’ 369, 375, 380
 - institutional 508–10
 - as large area of expenditure 256
 - more limited than anticipated 245
 - Operational Programmes focused on 254, 259–60, 292–3, 295
 - policy 515–17
 - as policy to foster economic growth 60–61, 273
 - and regional economics 54
 - research, development and 313, 340, 343–6, 352–4
 - research, technological development and 237–8, 278, 291, 314
 - and smart specialisation 361–6
 - as thematic priority 276
 - in United Kingdom 264–5
- institutional themes
 - and Cohesion policy reform
 - institutional dynamics in 122–4
 - institutional influences on 134
 - institutional capacity
 - benefits of strong 61
 - as implementation challenge 241–2
 - as Thematic Objective 238–9, 294, 298, 314
- interinstitutional
 - relations and Cohesion policy 148–50
 - struggle over European integration 496–7
- Interinstitutional Agreement 124, 132–3
- supportive institutions 61
- Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance (IPA) 29–30, 39, 42, 85
- Integrated Mediterranean Programmes (IMPs) 20–21, 113, 496
- Integrated Sustainable Urban Development (ISUD) 414, 416, 419–20
- Integrated Territorial Investment (ITI) 283, 313, 315, 390, 397, 414, 416, 419–20, 492
- intergovernmental relations 507–9
- interinstitutional
 - relations and Cohesion policy 148–50
 - struggle over European integration 496–7
- intermediate bodies (IB) 37–8, 43, 48, 390
- internal control system 173–5
- Interreg initiative 27, 112, 117, 309, 385–6, 388, 395
- intervention
 - logic of 59–60
 - scale of 495–6
- investment clause 193–4
- investments, shielding 245–6
- Ireland
 - accession to EU 19, 50, 329
 - annual growth 434
 - budget negotiations 130
 - Cohesion Fund 58, 156, 251, 372
 - Cohesion policy
 - achievements and impact 263–6
 - commitment appropriations for 256
 - convergence and divergence 433–4
 - as former core beneficiary 80
 - funding 315
 - on future of 125
 - presented as great success story of 250
 - programmes 251
 - as ‘side payment’ to 497
 - and debt 465–6
 - enlargement 18, 205
 - and ERDF 19, 250, 252, 254–7, 259–60, 265, 495
 - Europeanisation in 83
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
 - impact of economic crisis 31, 128, 187
 - institutional system 252
 - Maastricht Treaty 24
 - Northern, peace process in 25
 - and NUTS 2 units 446
 - population size 250
 - PPP per capita GDP relative to EU28 average 467
 - as Presidency involved in negotiations 150
 - regional problem 252
- Structural Funds experiences
 - financial resources 255–8
 - governance and implementation arrangements 260–63

- Objective 1 22–3, 252–3, 255–6, 264
- positively affected by 265–6
- shift from national to regional programmes 252–5
- strategies 258–60
- threat to sabotage Paris Summit 19, 250, 252
- use of bargaining power 333
- Italy
 - additionality principle 192–3
 - annual growth 434
 - Cohesion Fund 234, 241, 302, 306
 - Cohesion policy
 - absorption, delivery and institutional capacity 242
 - achievements and impact 240–41
 - commitment appropriations for 236
 - contractualisation approach 375
 - financial resources 236–7
 - funding 131, 315
 - main focus of 231
 - multilevel governance 244–5
 - new policy paradigms and public policy approaches 243–4
 - programmes 233
 - regional approach pursued by 516–17
 - report on 127, 160
 - shielding investments and providing relief crisis 245–6
 - strategies 237–9
 - support for 108, 126
 - unfavourable domestic context 243
 - and ERDF 19, 240, 250, 252
 - Europeanisation in 83, 516–17
 - and free movement of labour 469
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 484–6
 - impact of economic crisis 31, 188–90, 198, 470
 - institutional system 231
 - Integrated Mediterranean Programmes for 20, 496
 - interregional cooperation 385
 - as most privileged in funding allocation 27, 29
 - as net beneficiary of regional policy 26
 - and NUTS 2 446
 - possible formal referendum on independence 212–13
 - PPP per capita GDP relative to EU28 average 467
 - recommendation on poverty and social inclusion 488
 - regional policy tradition 231
 - Sardinian political parties 204, 208
 - self-rule 99
 - showing signs of disaffection 246
 - Structural Funds 22–3, 331, 450, 516
 - Structural Programme Loans 195
 - territoriality and budgetary politics 494
 - Thematic Objectives 238–9
 - threat to sabotage Paris Summit 19, 250, 252
- JASMINE (Joint Action to Support Micro-finance Institutions in Europe) 196, 416
- JASPERS (Joint Assistance to Support Projects in European Regions) 30, 197, 416
- JEREMIE (Joint European Resources for Micro and Medium Enterprises) 30, 196, 416
- JESSICA (Joint European Support for Sustainable Investment in City Areas) 30, 196, 416–17
- knowledge networks 355–6
- Latvia
 - accession to EU 287
 - additionality principle 192
 - as among poorer member states 285
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 287, 289, 293
 - Cohesion policy
 - funding 290, 315
 - impact 296–7, 311
 - as new policy priority 126
 - programmes 289
 - strategies 291
 - EDRF and ESF 293–4
 - EU funding, indirect effects 299
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 285, 467
 - IMF recommendation to devalue 465
 - impact of SCF on GDP 295
 - and NUTS 2 285–6
 - Operational Programmes 292
 - regionalist parties welcoming 211
 - Structural Funds administration 298
 - Thematic Objectives 293–4
- learning
 - in post-accession Europeanisation 85–7
 - in pre-accession period, for Central and Eastern Europe 309–10
 - recent developments 420–24
- legislative package for Cohesion policy reform 133
- Lisbon Agenda 28, 250, 259, 265, 424, 440–41, 477–8, 482
- Lisbon Strategy 28, 60, 62, 110, 116, 129, 159, 163, 237, 273, 374, 380, 477, 487–8

- Lisbon Treaty *see* Treaty of Lisbon
- Lithuania
- additionality principle 192
 - as among poorer member states 285
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 287, 289, 295
 - Cohesion policy
 - funding 290, 315
 - impact 296–7, 311
 - implementation 287
 - as new policy priority 126
 - programmes 289
 - strategies 291
 - ERDF and ESF 293–4
 - EU funding, indirect effects 299
 - European Investment Bank loan 40
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - impact of SCF on GDP 295
 - and NUTS 2 285–6
 - Operational Programmes 293
 - opposition to reduction in EU co-financing rate 131
 - PPP per capita GDP relative to EU28 average 467
 - Presidency 133, 149–50
 - progress in transport sector 297
 - Structural Funds management and implementation 298
 - Thematic Objectives 293–4
- logic of intervention 59–60
- Luxembourg
- absorption performance 281
 - administration procedures 281
 - Cohesion policy
 - allocations 273, 275
 - funding 273–5, 315
 - programmes 270
 - Convergence funding 268
 - EDRF and ESF 276
 - as fairly unitary 272
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 268, 467
 - national programme 275
 - as net contributor to Community budget 272
 - NUTS 2 with disparities 268, 272
 - as one of wealthiest member states 268
 - process 477
 - Thematic Objectives 277
- Maastricht Treaty *see* Treaty of Maastricht
- macroeconomic rationale for Cohesion policy 56–8
- macroregional strategies 312, 386–7, 390–96, 500
- Madrid Convention 387–8
- Malta
- Cohesion Fund 42, 234, 236
 - Cohesion policy
 - commitment appropriations for 236
 - financial resources 236
 - funding 315
 - main goal of 231
 - as new policy priority 126
 - programmes 234
 - distribution of resources to Thematic Objectives 238–9
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - PPP per capita GDP relative to EU28 average 467
 - regionalist parties welcoming 211
- managing authorities (MAs)
- accreditation by independent auditing bodies 166
 - change of, in France 275
 - as Cohesion policy actor 37–8
 - data accuracy and capacity limitations 46
 - delegation of tasks 47
 - division of responsibilities between, in Sweden 298
 - ENE-MA 379
 - help in establishing financial instruments 196
 - impact on utilisation of EU funds 315–16
 - and internal control systems 173, 177
 - operational programmes 437
 - programme 390
 - project
 - applications 43–4
 - evaluation plans 46
 - expenditure 451
 - management 390
 - regions acting as 506
 - view of ERDF, in Germany 279
 - work with urban authorities 152, 420
- market potential 345–6, 348
- match funding 45–6, 258
- measurability principle 433–5
- Member States, as Cohesion policy actors 37–8
- Merkel, Angela 11, 136
- metagovernance 514–18
- MFF *see* Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF)
- Millan, Bruce 156, 257
- monitoring committees 38, 116, 377–9, 436–7
- Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) 17, 31, 39, 74, 110, 121–4, 128–37, 141, 148–9, 340–41, 401, 495
- multilateralism 405–9

- multilevel governance
 Charter for 166–7
 cities as actors in 414–15
 and Cohesion policy 48, 67–70, 76, 141, 148, 506–13, 516
 and Committee of the Regions 161, 164–5
 dichotomy between types of 520
 as ‘(dis)ordering framework’ 67
 distinction between public and private 65–6
 and economic, cultural and political developments 66
 EGTCs strengthening 390
 and emergent spatial governance configurations 510–11
 explosion of, in EU studies 67–70
 in field of international relation 67
 as horizontal reconfiguration of policy arenas 509–10
 instruments of 517
 and macro-regional strategies 312
 as most apt descriptor of activity of governing 65
 and multidimensional governance 404
 and new model of democracy 75
 and partnership 151, 156, 260, 279
 rationales, mechanisms and arrangements of types of 512–13
 and regional engagement 206–7
 regional policies in Germany 515
 regionalist parties’ interest in 218
 regions becoming dynamic actors of 309, 316–17
 and representative democracy 71–3
 role of local governments in 41
 in southern periphery countries 244–5
 and state sovereignty 70–71
 Structural Funds
 leading to new forms of 203
 as principle in design and implementation of 260
 Sweden’s tendency towards 295
 and territorialisation 514
 and territoriality 498–502
 as vertical rearticulation of intergovernmental relations 506–9
see also governance arrangements
 Multiregional Operational Programmes (MOPs) 233–4, 237, 443
 National Operational Programmes (NOPs) 37, 44, 153, 233–5, 251, 288–9, 420, 487
 National Programmes of Community Interest 20, 111
 National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) 40–41, 232, 234, 237, 288–9
- Netherlands
 absorption performance 281
 budget negotiations 131
 Cohesion policy
 achievements and impact 278
 allocations 273–6
 in favour of rationalising 272
 funding 273–5, 315
 on future of 125
 opposition to increased spending 26
 programmes 270
 Convergence funding 268
 developments in new policy spaces 517–18
 EDRF and ESF 19, 276, 479, 484
 as fairly unitary 272
 functional, overlapping and competing jurisdictions 503
 funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 484–6
 GDP per capita 268, 467
 on national austerity matched by budget restraint 136
 as net contributor to EU budget 26
 as one of wealthiest member states 268
 Operational Programmes 484
 Thematic Objectives 277, 484
 urban redevelopment 418–19
 New Economic Geography (NEG)
 catching up with reality 66
 effects of 448–9
 model consisting of two types of works 353
 and regional policy 52–3
 sixth Cohesion report favouring 59–61
 and spatial structure trends 346–9
 Nomenclature of Territorial Units (NUTS)
 NUTS 1 level regions 70, 97–8, 250, 254
 NUTS 2 level regions 70, 97–8, 253–4, 261, 265, 268, 274, 285–7, 309, 316, 347, 401, 445–6
 NUTS 3 level regions 29, 254, 275, 286, 299, 445
 Objective 1 310
 system 495
 northern countries, Cohesion policy
 achievements and impact 263–5
 comparison of Structural Funds experiences 265–6
 EU political histories 250, 252
 financial resources 255–8
 governance and implementation arrangements 260–63
 number of programmes 251
 programmes overview 252–5
 strategies 258–60

- NUTS *see* Nomenclature of Territorial Units (NUTS)
- Open Days 39, 113, 162–3, 167
- Open Method of Coordination (OMC) 114, 116–17, 488, 512
- Operational Programmes (OPs)
- audit authorities 38
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 313–15
 - as defined by member states 112, 183–4
 - drafting of 43
 - EGTC participation in preparation of 390
 - embedding macro-regional objectives in 395
 - and European Investment Bank 195–6
 - financing of 45
 - impact of Structural Funds dependent on 434
 - implemented over several years 57
 - internal control for 173–7
 - in legislative package 133
 - regulations interpreted in 37
 - and results orientation principle 439–40
 - in rich central countries 269–70, 275, 279, 376, 415
 - and social dimension 482–7
 - and sound financial management principle 437–9
 - in southern periphery countries 232–5, 237, 239, 481–2
 - in sparsely populated countries 40, 291–5, 298, 481–2
 - suspension of resources for 191
 - for sustainable urban development 420
 - and technical assistance 47
 - for Trans-European Networks 340–41
 - in United Kingdom and Ireland 254, 260
 - see also* National Operational Programmes (NOPs); Regional Operational Programmes (ROPs)
- Partito Sardo d’Azione (Psd’Az) 212, 228
- Partnership Agreements (PAs)
- and additionality 192–3
 - agreement enabling development of 133
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 313–14
 - and Code of Conduct 151, 161, 378
 - Cohesion policy reform introducing 115
 - conforming to Type I multilevel governance 499
 - implementation of 28 new 153
 - member states asked to embed macro-regional objectives in 395
 - member states drawing up 43
 - providing general overview of allocation of resources 483
 - provision for requesting revisions to 9
 - related to social cohesion 484–6
 - role in structuring territorial development 419–20
 - ‘soft’ means of ‘hardening’ governance modes 115
 - in southern periphery countries 232, 234, 237–9
 - in sparsely populated countries 291–4, 298
 - for urban areas 145
- partnership principle
- ambitious interpretation of 148
 - area-based applications of 507
 - as bedrock of regional policy 206
 - Delors’ emphasis on 497
 - for design and implementation of Structural Funds 260
 - environmental actors building on 370
 - and European Committee of the Regions 156, 158–9, 165
 - European Parliament’s contribution to shaping Cohesion policy 151
 - as implementation challenge in rich central regions 279–80
 - involvement of local and regional authorities 161
 - and negotiated programming 517
 - as one of four basic principles 22
 - and regionalism 309
 - scope 30
 - shallow adaptation to 86
 - and Structural Funds in Latvia and Lithuania 298
 - in third Cohesion Report 330
- performance audit 179–81
- ‘place-based’ approach
- ability to attract resources to deprived areas 335
 - acknowledging necessity of multidimensional approach in tackling poverty 487
 - advocated in Barca Report 61, 365, 416, 423, 482
 - and Committee of the Regions 160
 - compatibility with territorial cohesion 331
 - and conceptualisation of space 491–2
 - CP characterised by 329
 - CP territoriality evaluated by schemes of 313, 315
 - as in danger of becoming a generic ‘cure-all’ 423
 - diversification of economic activities with 328

- and horizontal support 470
- initiatives promoting development of 259
- new arguments for regional decentralisation 317
- new instrument used to implement 419
- potential impact on CP funding 282
- rigid separation with sectoral policies 326
- shifting away from 199
- and smart specialisation 359
- tailored to territorial needs 152
- and territorial politics 515
- and Type II forms of governance 513
- Plaid Cymru 204, 208–12, 228
- Poland
 - administrative capacity 309
 - budget allocation ratios by Thematic Objective 314
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 304
 - Cohesion policy
 - budget 236
 - funding 306, 311, 315
 - as new policy priority 126
 - programmes 304, 311
 - and Eastern Partnership 407
 - Europeanisation in 84–6
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - funding for transport infrastructure 378
 - GDP per capita 308, 466–7
 - impact of economic crisis 311
 - legislative package 133
 - loss of Convergence status 129
 - as most privileged in funding allocation 29
 - pre-legislative work 150
 - ROPs and ERDF 315
 - Structural Funds
 - main beneficiary of 378
 - management of 87
 - Structural Programme Loan portfolio 195
- policies and policy integration in green economy 376–8
- policy arenas, horizontal reconfiguration of 509–10
- policy coherence, prospects for 471–2
- policy effectiveness *see* impacts: assessment
- policy, multifaceted, as issue relating to impact assessment 446–8
- policy paradigms 243–4, 252
- policy prioritisation problem 360–61
- Portugal
 - accession to EU 20, 231, 330
 - accession to single market 21
 - additionality principle 192–3
 - annual growth 434
 - challenge to manage stability of 128
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 58, 156, 232, 234, 302, 306, 372
 - Cohesion policy
 - absorption, delivery and institutional capacity 242
 - achievements and impact 240–41
 - commitment appropriations for 236
 - financial resources 236–7
 - as former core beneficiary of 80
 - funding 315
 - main goal of 231
 - multilevel governance 244–5
 - new policy paradigms and public policy approaches 243
 - programmes 234, 239
 - shielding investments and providing relief crisis 245–6
 - as ‘side payment’ to 370, 497
 - strategies 237–9
 - supported by 126
 - and transport infrastructure networks 339
 - unfavourable domestic context 243
 - Europeanisation in 83
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 434, 467
 - impact of economic crisis 31, 198, 239
 - institutional system 231
 - Maastricht Treaty 24
 - as most privileged in funding allocation 27, 29
 - opposition to reduction in EU co-financing rate 131
 - self-rule 99
 - Structural Funds 22–3, 302, 306
 - Thematic Objectives 238–9
- pre-legislative work 141–4, 148, 150
- principles
 - ‘ability to pay’ 55
 - allocative 56
 - broad interpretation, for projects 44
 - climate policy integration 381
 - cohesion 327
 - to combat social exclusion 423
 - concentration 22, 26–7, 33, 59, 127, 328, 330
 - conditionality 152, 310
 - conventional 22, 25, 429, 440
 - determining allocation of regional funds 94
 - ‘economic and social cohesion’ 443
 - economic growth 431–3
 - efficiency 26
 - environmental policy integration 369–75, 377–81
 - equitable territorial distribution of benefits of integration process 325

- European Territorial Cooperation 384
 - of financial management 170, 183
 - of level playing field 471–2
 - matching funding to policy scope 56
 - measurability 433–5
 - ‘more for more’ 404, 408
 - multilevel governance 260, 404, 410
 - non-spatial 362
 - ‘nothing is agreed until everything is agreed’ 131
 - polluter-pays 372, 381
 - programming 22, 330
 - proportionality 30, 166, 281, 415
 - ‘real’ 431–41
 - results orientation 439–40
 - single audit 175, 177
 - solidarity, for EU budget spending 126, 273, 298, 478
 - sound financial management 437–9
 - for strengthening cooperation 392
 - support allocation 310
 - sustainability 375, 378, 381, 436–7
 - territorial equalisation 515
 - territoriality 501, 511
 - urban policy 416, 418–19
 - see also* additionality principle; partnership principle; subsidiarity principle
- Priority Area Coordinators (PACs) 393–4, 396
- programmatically commitment in green economy 375–6
- Programme Monitoring Committee (PMC) 44–6
- public policy approaches 243–4
- quality of government (QoG) and Structural Funds
 - allocation 92–3
 - analysis 99–102
 - areas for further research 102–3
 - relevant literature on 94–5
 - sample, research design, data and measurement 97–9
 - in southern periphery countries 242
 - theory and empirical hypotheses 95–7
- QUEST III model 241, 295–6, 454
- reform
 - 1988 (birth of Cohesion policy)
 - five priority objectives of 23
 - five regulations of 22
 - four basic principles 22
 - political dimension 23–4
 - 1993 (Cohesion policy as tool for EMU)
 - five main aims for future CIs 25
 - new priorities and initiatives 25
 - six regulations of 24–5
- 1999 (improving effectiveness)
 - Community funding 27
 - Eastern enlargement 25–6
 - economic context 26
 - five regulations of 26–7
 - four Community Initiatives 27
 - priorities and proposals 26
- 2007 (matching enlargement with wider EU goals)
 - change factors 27–8
 - new initiatives and instruments 30
 - Objectives 28–9
 - operational principles 30
 - seven regulations of 29
- 2014 (responding to mutated economic context)
 - economic context 31
 - legal context 30
 - Member States’ reactions 31–2
 - operational principles 33
 - seven regulations of 32
 - targets 31
 - thematic objectives 32–3
- of Cohesion policy
 - 2007–2008 budget review 124–6
 - actors, roles and institutional dynamics 122–4, 153
 - agenda-setting 126–8
 - as complex process 121
 - CoR in successive rounds of 157–62
 - institutional influences 134
 - negotiating budget and legislative package 130–33
 - proposals for, in dynamic and uncertain context 128–30
 - summary of 134–7
- regeneration of deprived areas *see* urban redevelopment
- Regional Competitiveness and Employment Objective 28–9, 94, 130, 252–3, 272, 288, 385, 436, 445
- Regional Development Agencies (RDAs)
 - in England 254–5, 258–9, 261–5
 - in Romania 85
 - in southern periphery countries 244–5
- regional economics
 - convergence as regional policy goal 51–2
 - economic case for regional policy 50–51
 - economics of growth 53–4
 - innovation 54
 - New Economic Geography 52–3
 - non-spatial principles in 362
- regional engagement

- dwindling possibilities 214
- European integration for 218
- and multilevel governance 206–7
- objective limitation of opportunities for 209
- and Structural Funds reform 209, 213
- regional mobilisation
 - contributing to territorial restructuring 218
 - Convention, enlargement and regions ‘left behind’ 209–12
 - Europe of the Regions 207–9
 - factors increasing 70
 - frustration with Europe 204–5, 213–14
 - independence demands and European responses 213–14
 - multilevel governance and regional engagement 206–7
 - paths of 203–4
 - Structural Fund reforms and the regions 205–6
- Regional Operational Programmes (ROPs) 37, 44, 153, 232–5, 237, 251, 260–61, 275, 288, 311, 315, 343, 420, 443, 445
- regional problem
 - and local policy-makers 59–60
 - low labour mobility exacerbating 55
 - origin and evolution of 306–8
 - in United Kingdom and Ireland 252
- Regional Studies Association (RSA) 41–2
- regionalism, experimental 514–18
- regionalist frustration
 - with Committee of the Regions 210
 - with Europe 204–5, 213–14
- regionalist parties
 - active, in CEE countries 203
 - Cohesion policy funds
 - analysis 220–24
 - data 219–20, 228
 - hypothesis 217–18
 - representing manifestation of EU policy for regions 217
 - results 225–6
 - Cohesion policy of value to 208–9
 - concerns of 204–5, 210–12
 - criticism of draft European Constitution 210
 - demands for independence 212–14
 - early frustration with Europe 204–5
 - perceptions of EEC 204
 - positions on European integration 211–12, 217–25
 - supporting goal of self-determination 209
 - as ‘vociferous advocates’ on Europe of the Regions 208
- regions
 - impact of economic crisis on 189–90
 - ‘left behind’ 209–12
- regulations for reforms 22, 24–9, 31–2
- representative democracy *see* democracy
- research, development and innovation (RDI) 313, 340, 343–6, 352–4
- research, technological development and innovation (RTDI) 32, 237–8, 278, 292–4, 342
- results orientation
 - ambition to strengthen 246
 - of European Parliament 151–2
 - principle 439–40
- rich central countries, Cohesion policy
 - achievements and impacts 278–9
 - common characteristics 272
 - funding
 - differences 268, 272
 - levels of 273–5
 - types and instruments 275–6
 - future challenges for 282
 - implementation challenges
 - absorption 281
 - administrative procedures 281–2
 - as difficult to assess 279
 - partnership 279–80
 - number of programmes 269–71
 - strengthening participation 282–3
 - thematic priorities 277
- RIS3 (Research and Innovation Strategies for Smart Specialisation) 365–6
- Romania
 - accession to EU 302
 - budget allocation ratios by Thematic Objective 314
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 304
 - Cohesion policy
 - evolution of strategies 313
 - focus on least-developed member states 125–6
 - funding 311, 315
 - impact 311
 - programmes 304
 - Convergence status 129
 - Europeanisation in 84–5
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 308, 467
 - impact of economic crisis 131
 - integrated territorial OPs 315
 - investment clause 194
 - opposition to reduction in EU co-financing rate 131
 - Structural Funds
 - centralisation traditions in managing 87
 - difficulties in absorbing 87
- Rome Treaty *see* Treaty of Rome

- ROPs *see* Regional Operational Programmes (ROPs)
- rural development *see* agricultural and rural development
- Sardignia Nazione 204, 208, 211
- SCF *see* Structural and Cohesion Funds (SCF)
- Scottish National Party (SNP) 204, 209–14, 228
- sectoral policies, evolution of 326
- simplification 26, 69, 145, 152–3, 158, 160
- ‘single audit’ model 175–8
- Single European Act (SEA) 20–21, 194, 205, 327, 329, 339, 370–71, 380–81, 429, 432, 434, 436–7, 443, 469, 476, 496
- single market
- and creation of Cohesion policy 429–31
 - deleterious effects on poorer regions 204, 330
 - in financial services (SMFS) 465, 470
 - free-market thrust of 205
 - integrating effect of 58
 - and measurability principle 433–5
- Slovakia
- accession to EU 302
 - budget allocation ratios by Thematic Objective 314
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 305–6
 - Cohesion policy
 - evolution of strategies 313
 - funding 311, 315
 - impact 311
 - as new policy priority 126
 - programmes 305
 - Europeanisation in 84, 86
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 308, 467
 - income levels 466
 - integrated territorial OPs 315
 - investment clause 194
 - NUTS 2 units 446
 - Structural Funds 306
- Slovenia
- accession to EU 302
 - budget allocation ratios by Thematic Objective 314
 - Cohesion Fund 42, 305
 - Cohesion policy
 - funding 311, 315
 - impact 311
 - programmes 305
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 480–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 308, 467
 - need to increase public investment 192–3
 - as richest Eastern candidate country 26
 - smart specialisation
 - broader context of CP reforms 364–5
 - implementation issues 365–6
 - operationalising concept of 361–3
 - policy prioritisation problem 360–61
 - relevance of 259, 366
 - social dimension of Cohesion policy
 - balancing competitiveness and equity goals 486–8
 - contribution of Structural Funds to social cohesion 478–82
 - Europe 2020 and inclusive growth priority 482–6
 - tracing process of 476–8
 - vaguely defined role in countering social exclusion 475–6
 - social exclusion
 - Cohesion policy’s vaguely defined role in countering 475
 - initiatives to combat in urban neighbourhoods 418, 423
 - programmes in sparsely populated countries 292–3
 - see also* social dimension of Cohesion policy
 - social inclusion
 - percentage of ESF allocated to 486
 - Thematic Objective for 238, 291, 294, 483–4
 - sound financial management principle 170, 183, 437–9
 - southern periphery, Cohesion policy
 - achievements and impacts 239–41
 - added value and spillovers
 - multilevel governance 244–5
 - new policy paradigms and public policy approaches 243–4
 - shielding investments and providing relief crisis 245–6
 - as characterised by success and failure 246
 - commitment appropriations for 236
 - delivery of 232
 - financial resources 236–7
 - implementation challenges
 - absorption, delivery and institutional capacity 241–2
 - unfavourable domestic context 243
 - institutional systems 231
 - number of programmes 233–5
 - strategies 237–9
 - sovereignty 70–71, 209, 213
 - Spain
 - accession to EU 20, 42, 231, 330
 - accession to single market 21
 - Andalucian Party 204, 208

- annual growth 434
- challenge to manage stability of 128
- Chinese support to 472
- Cohesion Fund 58, 156, 232, 235, 302, 306, 372
- Cohesion policy
 - absorption, delivery and institutional capacity 242
 - achievements and impact 240–41
 - commitment appropriations for 236
 - financial resources 236
 - as former core beneficiary 80
 - funding 132, 315
 - main focus of 231
 - multilevel governance 244–5
 - new policy paradigms and public policy approaches 243–4
 - programmes 235
 - resource distribution to Thematic Objectives 238
 - shielding investments and providing relief crisis 245–6
 - as ‘side payment’ to 370, 497
 - strategies 237
 - supported by 126
 - and transport infrastructure networks 339, 349, 351
 - unfavourable domestic context 243
 - and debt 465–6
- Europeanisation in 83
- funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
- GDP per capita 434, 467
- impact of economic crisis
 - competitiveness 189
 - convergence 198
 - employment 187
 - greater 31
 - unit labour costs 189–90
- institutional system 231
- interregional cooperation 385
- Maastricht Treaty 24
- as most privileged in funding allocation 27, 29
- as net beneficiary of regional policy 26
- and NUTS 2 446
- RIS3 Platform hosted in 365
- self-rule 99
- showing signs of disaffection 246
- Structural Funds 22–3, 302, 306
- sparsely populated countries, Cohesion policy
 - differences between countries 285–6
 - disparity of approaches to CP 287
 - financial allocations 290–91
 - groupings by economic development 298–9
 - implementation challenges 297–8
 - number of programmes 288–9
 - outcomes and impacts 295–7
 - strategies 291–5
- spatial structure 345–9
- spillovers
 - as issue relating to impact assessment 445–6
 - in southern periphery countries 243–6
- state capacity *see* quality of government (QoG) and Structural Funds
- state sovereignty 70–71, 209, 213
- Structural and Cohesion Funds (SCF) 37, 125, 186–8, 195, 197, 225, 236, 256, 263–4, 273, 290, 295, 299, 306, 309–10, 318, 380, 416–17, 476, 481, 487
- Structural Funds
 - allocation 92–103
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 302, 306, 309, 315, 317–18
 - contribution to social cohesion 478–82
 - effect on regionalist parties 219–26
 - in green economy 370–81
 - and impact assessment 447–8, 450, 453, 455
 - management of 21, 79–80, 84–8, 252, 317
 - misuse of 40
 - reform, and the regions 205–6, 208–9, 213, 506, 516
 - in reform regulations 22–3, 25–7, 30
 - in rich central countries 276–7, 280
 - in rural development 328, 330–31
 - for social dimension 477–80, 487–8
 - in sparsely populated countries 293–4, 296, 298
 - in United Kingdom and Ireland 252–66
 - in urban areas 414, 416, 418–19, 423
 - see also* Structural and Cohesion Funds (SCF)
- Structural Programme Loan (SPL) 195–7, 199
- subnational governments (SNGs) 25–6, 156, 158, 196, 311, 499, 508–10
- subsidiarity principle
 - area-based applications of 507
 - as bedrock of regional policy 206
 - bodies guarding breaches of 75
 - CEMR’s respect for 41
 - and Cohesion policy 217
 - and European Committee of the Regions 157–9, 165–6
 - importance attributed to 225
 - and Lisbon Treaty 415
 - and negotiated programming 517
 - and regionalism 309
 - reinvention of 148–50
 - specifying roles in light of 24–5

- use in opposition to biodiversity 377
 - viewed as empty shell 210
- SURE Committee 141
- sustainability principle 375, 378, 381, 436–7
- sustainable development (SD) 369–70, 373–4, 377, 380–81
- Sweden
 - accession to EU 287
 - budget negotiations 131
 - Cohesion policy
 - achievements and challenges 296–8
 - allocations under 125–6, 287, 290–91, 298
 - effects of SCF on GDP 295–6
 - ERDF and ESF 293–4, 297
 - funding 290–91, 299, 315
 - implementation challenges 297–8
 - operational programmes 295
 - outcomes and impacts 295–6
 - planning and implementation approach 287
 - programmes 288
 - strategies 291–5
 - enlargement 24
 - ERDF and ESF 293–4, 297–8
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
 - GDP per capita 285, 287, 467
 - as ineligible for Cohesion Fund 293
 - institutional system 287
 - low applicability of patents in comparison to papers 355
 - municipalities responsible for social cohesion 421
 - on national austerity matched by budget restraint 136
 - as NUTS 2 region 285–6, 446
 - Operational Programmes 292, 295
 - population 285
 - socio-economic development 285
 - Thematic Objectives 291, 294
- technical assistance 46–7, 196–7, 242, 313, 379, 394
- TEN-T *see* Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T)
- territorial cooperation
 - cross-border cooperation law
 - making, inside EU 388
 - outside EU 387–8
 - EGTC and EUMRS comparison 395–6
 - EU macro-regional strategies 390–95
 - European groupings for 389–90
 - evolution into core aspect of Cohesion policy 385–6
 - growth of, since end of World War II 384
 - plethora of institutions 384–5
 - three discernible components of 385
 - types of 386
- territorialisation
 - challenges of multilevel-multiscalar metagovernance 518–21
 - emergent forms of territorial governance and implications 513–18
 - experimental regionalism and multiscalar metagovernance in three European countries 514–18
 - multilevel governance
 - and Cohesion policy 506–13
 - and emergent spatial governance configurations 510–11
 - as horizontal reconfiguration of policy arenas 509–10
 - types of 512–13
 - as vertical rearticulation of intergovernmental relations 507–9
- territoriality
 - and budgetary politics 494–5
 - of Cohesion policy 491–8
 - evolution of territorial policies in EU 326 and interinstitutional struggle over European integration 496–7
 - move towards territorial centrality 326–31
 - and multilevel governance 498–500
 - multilevel–multiscalar territorial systems 518–21
 - and representative democracy 8, 500–502
 - and scale of intervention 495–6
 - state
 - Cohesion policy beyond 502–3
 - reasserting 497–8
 - territorial dimension of Cohesion policy 146–7
 - territorial needs, place-based policy tailored to 152
 - unravelling 493
 - thematic concentration 42, 130, 133–5, 140, 144–6, 376
- Thematic Objectives (TOs)
 - distribution of resources to
 - in Central and Eastern Europe countries 313–14
 - in rich central countries 277
 - social cohesion priorities 485
 - in southern periphery countries 238–9
 - in sparsely populated countries 291, 293–4
 - environmental 376
 - linked to inclusive growth/social inclusion 483–4
 - new, related to 2020 priorities 32–3, 375–6

- thematic priorities, in rich central countries 276–7
 - Thomson Report 18
 - time dimension 452
 - Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T) 193, 297, 339–40, 351–4
 - transportation
 - Cohesion policy
 - and growth models 343–5
 - and transport infrastructure networks 339–43
 - Europe 2020 strategy and TEN-T network effects 351–4
 - growth and development synergies 343
 - innovation, distance and knowledge networks 355–6
 - New Economic Geography and spatial structure trends 346–9
 - spatial structure, market potential, agglomeration economies and urban hierarchies 345–6
 - transport infrastructure
 - and accessibility 349–51
 - networks 339–43
 - treaties
 - of cross-border cooperation 388
 - environmental 369–71
 - Treaty of Amsterdam 26, 512
 - Treaty of Lisbon 30, 123–4, 128, 136, 140–41, 143, 146, 159, 165, 183, 384, 415, 421
 - Treaty of Maastricht 24, 39, 109, 157, 165, 206–7, 372, 384, 415, 429, 435, 506
 - Treaty of Rome 1, 3, 11, 17–18, 164, 194, 429, 494
 - Treaty on European Union (TEU) 157, 160, 165, 186, 194, 325, 372, 413, 433
 - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) 30, 50, 147, 149–51, 177, 183, 199, 461, 470
 - United Cities and Local Governments (UCLG) 41
 - United Kingdom (UK)
 - accession to EU 19, 50, 329, 495
 - budget negotiations 131
 - Cohesion policy
 - achievements and impact 263–6
 - on allocations under 125
 - challenges 250
 - funding 315, 375
 - on future of 125
 - pressure for establishment of 329
 - programmes 251
 - as redistributive 59
 - regionalist parties welcoming 208
 - Common Agricultural Policy 250, 495
 - different approach for 18
 - and ERDF 19, 250, 254, 256–9, 264–5
 - funding devoted to social cohesion priorities 479–81, 485–6
 - government on Cohesion as redistributive policy 59
 - impact of economic crisis 187
 - impact of European urban policy 418
 - institutional system 252
 - on national austerity matched by budget restraint 136
 - NUTS 2 units as artificial 446
 - opposition to EU budget reform 21
 - performance audit methodology 180
 - population size 250
 - potential impact of referendum 214
 - PPP per capita GDP relative to EU28 average 467
 - self-rule 99
 - shift from infrastructure support towards enterprise 250
 - and state territoriality 498
 - Structural Funds experiences
 - as complex 265–6
 - financial resources 256–8
 - governance and implementation arrangements 260–63
 - Objective 1/Convergence status 252–5
 - strategies 258–9
 - urban hierarchies 345–6
 - urban redevelopment
 - cities as actors in multilevel governance systems 414–15
 - impact and learning 420–24
 - recent developments 418–20
 - urban dimension in Cohesion policy 413–14, 416, 419–20, 422–4
 - from urban pilot projects to urban mainstreaming 415–18
- Van Rompuy, Herman 123, 132, 136