

Index

- agency work *see* temporary agency work
- Alston, P. 104–5
- Australia
 - trade union codes of ethics 193
- Autoclenz v. Belcher* [2011] 145
- autonomy
 - classical labour law theory 48–9
 - temporary agency workers 157–9
 - vulnerability 37–40
 - vulnerable subject theory 154, 157–8, 188, 211
- bargaining power inequalities 4–6, 10, 12, 35, 196
 - capitalism 20–23, 46
 - classical labour law theory 43, 45–6
 - collective bargaining 43, 45–6, 136–7
 - economic efficiency 61–3, 203–4
 - employment contracts 22–3, 61–3, 136
 - market failure 63
 - opportunism 63
 - temporary agency workers 8, 127–8
 - trade union ballot thresholds 127–8
- Belgium
 - domestic worker trade unions 192
- Bicknell, Mrs S v. Miss I Hughes* [2007] 186–7
- Brazil
 - domestic worker trade unions 171
- capabilities approach
 - efficiency theory 73–4
 - vulnerable subject theory 90
- capitalism
 - bargaining power inequalities 20–23, 46
 - class influences 21–2, 34–5, 39–40, 78
 - classical labour law theory 11–12, 43, 45–7, 194
 - commodification 20–21, 23, 62–3
 - economic constitutionalism 47
 - economic efficiency 62–3
 - price vs. dignity 23
 - worker vulnerability 15, 18–23, 78–9
- care responsibilities 7
 - cash for care schemes 185–7
 - division of labour 30–31
 - domestic work protections 185–7
- childcare *see* care responsibilities
- classical labour law theory
 - autonomy, role of 48–9
 - background 43
 - capitalism 11–12, 43, 45–7, 194
 - collective bargaining 43, 45–6, 55–6
 - criticisms of 139–42, 172–4, 197
 - domestic work 163, 167–74, 194
 - economic constitutionalism 47
 - efficiency theory challenges to 62, 203–4
 - function of law, and 48–50, 55
 - gold collar workers 139–40
 - historical influences on 55–6
 - homogeneity of interest or status 55–7
- ILO Conventions 96
- industrial relations, role of 47, 50–54
- labour as property 46–7
- limitations 47, 55–8, 92–3, 139–42, 196–7
- market forces controls 58–61
- public interest 48–50
- selective goals 45–7, 55–8
- social law theory, and 45, 75, 77

- temporary agency workers 136–42, 158–9
- trade unions, role of 47–50
- vulnerability
 - characterisations 41, 43, 136–7, 167–72, 198–203
 - solutions to 137–9
 - vulnerable subject theory 213
 - worker protection 58–61
- collective bargaining/representation
 - access inequalities 79–80
 - assumptions of 55–6
 - bargaining power inequalities 43, 45–6, 136–7
 - classical labour law theory 43, 45–6, 55–6, 139–42
 - collective laissez-faire policy 47, 50–54
 - domestic workers 81–2, 170–73, 191–2
 - EU policy 138–9
 - ILO policy 96, 129–30, 138
 - labour law deregulation 29, 126–7
 - precarious workers 25, 96, 126–8, 207–9
 - single vs. dual channel representation 54
 - social law, and 79–82, 207–9
 - social power, and 45–6
 - state abstentionism 50–54
 - temporary agency workers 139–42, 157–8
 - UK policy 50–54, 126–8, 212, 220–21
 - vulnerable subject theory 219–22
- commodification of labour
 - capitalism, and 20–21, 23, 62–3
 - economic efficiency 62–3
- Consistent Group v. Kalwak* [2008] 144–5
- Conventions *see* International Labour Organization
- Cooper, Mr M P v. Monzur Miah t/a Monty's Care* [2006] 184–7
- Davidov, G. 42–3, 70
- Deakin, S. 73
- Decent Work agenda 102–7, 217
- Denmark
 - flexicurity policy 146
- discrimination 74, 83–5
 - human rights, and 124
 - illegality, and 177–8
 - indirect discrimination 84–5, 113
 - judicial interpretation 177–9
 - vulnerability theory 86
- dismissal regimes
 - deregulation 28–9, 64, 123–4
 - qualification periods 28–9, 123–4
 - temporary agency workers 150
- division of labour
 - organic solidarity 33, 35, 76–7
 - social change, and 15, 30–31
 - social law, and 33, 35, 76–9
 - theory 14–15
- domestic servitude 164–5, 167–70, 172–3, 176, 179, 190–91
- domestic work
 - abuse, servitude and slavery 164–5, 168–70, 172–3, 176, 179, 190–91
 - care responsibilities 185–7
 - cash for care schemes 185–7
 - characterisation 161–3, 172–4, 179–84
 - classical labour law theory 163, 167–74, 194
 - collective bargaining and trade unions 81–2, 170–73, 191–2
 - efficiency theory 174–9, 193–4
 - employment contracts 177–9, 186–7
 - employment relationships 161–3, 167, 185–6
 - employment status 190
 - enforcement of legal rights 186–7
 - EU policy
 - human trafficking 165–6
 - illegal employment 165
 - regulatory exclusions 165
 - Framed Flexibility Model 67–8
 - gender bias 161, 175
 - human rights 163, 165, 175–6, 194
 - human trafficking 164–5
 - ILO policy
 - Convention 101, 166–7, 184, 189–90, 205–6, 209, 215–16

- interpretation 189
 - migrant workers 189–90
 - Report 164
- minimum wages 164–5
- personal relationships 185–6
- public *vs.* private spheres of action
 - 162–3, 176–7, 193–4
- regulatory exclusions 162–5, 170, 173–4
- social law, and 163–4, 179–84, 194–5
- systemic disadvantages 174–5
- UK policy 175
 - Modern Slavery Act 2015 190–91
 - regulatory exclusions 164–5, 170
- vulnerability 30–31
 - abuse, servitude and slavery 164–5, 167–70, 172–3, 176, 179
 - characterisation 167–76, 187–91
 - live-in workers 162
 - migrant workers 162, 176–8, 189–91
 - solutions to 173–6, 191–3
 - vulnerable subject theory 187–93, 195, 215–16
- Durkheim, Emile 33, 76, 78–9, 84
- economic change
 - globalisation 24–6
 - worker vulnerability 23–6
- economic efficiency *see* efficiency
- theory
- economic partnership agreements 103
- efficiency theory
 - bargaining power inequalities 61–3, 203–4
- capabilities approach 73–4
- classical labour law theory,
 - challenges to 62, 203–4
- deregulation 64
- domestic workers 174–9, 193–4
- freedom of contract 61–3, 71–2, 144–5, 206–7
- general principles 61–2
- gold collar workers 142, 145
- human rights 72–4, 89, 175–6, 178–9, 203
- ILO policy 98, 205–6
- judicial interpretation 177–9
- limitations 74–5, 92–3, 176–9, 203–4
- neo-classical economic theory 61–3
- new institutional economic theory
 - 61–3, 66
- non-standard workers 64–7, 142–7
- public *vs.* private spheres of action
 - 162–3, 176–7, 193–4
- regulation, role of 111–12
- social law, and 75, 77
- social rights, and 72–3, 89–90
- state *vs.* non-work activities 88–9
- temporary agency workers 142–7, 159
- universalism 61–4, 67–72
- vulnerability 27–9, 44, 70–71
 - characterisation 142–3, 174–6, 203–7
 - solutions to 143–7
 - theory 90–91
- Ehrlich, X. 10
- employee shareholders 124–5, 205
- employment agencies
 - exclusions and derogations 99
 - ILO Convention 95, 98–9
 - see also* temporary agency work
- employment contracts
 - bargaining power inequalities 22–3, 61–3, 136
 - collective agreements 51–2
 - domestic workers 177–9, 186–7
 - freedom of contract theories 10, 22, 44, 61, 71–2, 125, 144–5, 206–7
 - illegal contracts 177–9
 - independent contractors 66, 69–70
 - industrial production models 24
 - intermittent/ occasional employment
 - 70
 - judicial interpretation 71–2, 144–5
 - necessity test 144
 - personal employment contracts
 - 69–70
 - sham agreements 144–5
- employment, generally
 - labour/ capital relationships 20–22
 - value to individuals/ society 33

- employment status
 - dependency, and 68–9
 - domestic workers 190
 - employee shareholders 124–5, 205
 - equality of 149–50
 - equality of status 149–50
 - homogeneity of 55–7
 - judicial interpretation 144–5
 - mutuality of obligation 69
 - non-standard/ precarious work 7–8, 26–7, 68–9
 - personal employment contracts 69–70
 - personal services 69
 - temporary agency work 8, 133, 149–50, 156–7
 - vulnerability subject theory 215–16
 - worker test 68–70
- employment tribunals
 - case fees 125–6, 205
- equality law
 - anti-discrimination law 74, 83–5
 - equality of opportunity 84–5
 - equality of status 149–50
 - general principles 82–3
 - indirect discrimination 84–5, 113
 - justice, and 83–4
 - limitations 197, 218–19
 - merit criteria 85
 - social inclusion vs. equal treatment 83–4
 - social law approach to 82–5, 148–9, 207–8
 - state role in 82–3
 - temporary agency workers 148–9, 154–5
 - vulnerability theory 86, 154
- Eric Muschett v. HM Prison Service* [2010] 144
- European Union
 - labour policy, generally
 - collective bargaining/ representation 138–9
 - equal pay/ treatment 108
 - limitations 107–8, 211–12
 - role of 111–13
 - work quality 217–18
 - precarious work policy
 - atypical work regulation 108–10, 212
 - challenges 107–8, 110–15, 212
 - domestic workers 165
 - fixed-term workers Directive 108–10, 113, 218–19
 - flexicurity agenda 95, 110–15, 129, 138, 205, 218
 - human rights 165
 - part-time workers Directive 108–10, 112–13, 205
 - reference to ILO standards 95, 103
 - regulatory role 108
 - social clause 101, 129–30, 217–18
 - temporary agency workers Directive 95, 108–13, 133–6, 138–9, 149–50, 205, 215
 - vulnerability theory 109–10
- Ewald, Francois 14, 33, 35–6
- Express and Echo Publications Limited v. Tanton* [1999] 69
- Eyraud, F. 2
- Feedland, M. 69–71
- Fineman, Martha 68
- Firthglow Limited (trading as Protectacoat) v. Szilagi* [2009] 145
- fixed-term work
 - EU Directive 108–10, 113, 218–19
- flexibility
 - benefits 114–15
 - disadvantages 7–8, 88
 - financial crisis, and 113–14
 - Framed Flexibility Model 67–8
 - globalisation 24–5
 - judicial interpretation, purposive approach 71–2
 - non-standard employment 7–8, 67–8
 - standard employment relationships 6–7
 - vulnerability and dependency 88–9
- flexicurity 95, 110–15, 129, 138, 145–6, 205, 218
- Fordist model of industrial production 5–6, 24–5
- Framed Flexibility Model 67–8

- gender
- division of labour 15, 30–31
 - domestic work gender bias 161, 175
 - flexibility, labour market implications 7–8
 - part-time work, and 219
 - social change, and 15, 30–31, 40
 - standard employment relationships, influences on 6–7
 - trade union membership 80
 - work quality, and 219
- Germany
- agency workers collective bargaining 141
- Ghana
- domestic worker trade unions 192
- Gierke, O. van 10, 32
- globalisation 24–6, 40
- ILO Declaration on social justice for fairness in 106–7
- gold-collar workers 7–8, 132, 139–40, 142, 145
- Grimshaw, D. 3
- Hart, H.L.A. 11–12
- home workers
- ILO Convention 98, 101
 - see also* domestic work
- Hong Kong
- domestic worker trade unions 171
- Hounga v. Allen* [2012] 177–8
- human rights
- domestic work 163, 165, 175–6, 194
 - efficiency theory 72–4, 89, 175–6, 178–9, 203
 - ILO core labour standards 103, 184–5
 - labour law, and 72, 124
 - scope and purpose 89
 - social law, and 184–5
 - vs. social rights 72–3, 89–90
- human trafficking 165–6
- human vulnerability 12–14
- illegal immigrants 177–8
- independent contractors 64–6, 69–70
- India
- domestic worker trade unions 171
- industrial production models
- Fordist model 5–6, 24–5, 57
 - globalisation, and 24–5
 - homogeneity assumptions 80
 - trends and changes in 57
- industrial relations
- classical labour law theory 47, 50–54
 - collective laissez-faire policy 47, 50–54
 - homogeneity of interest or status 55–7
 - right to strike 51
 - state abstentionism 50–54
 - see also* collective representation; trade unions
- International Labour Organization
- challenges 106–7
 - collective bargaining/ representation 129–30, 138
 - Constitution Preamble 94, 96
- Conventions
- Domestic Workers (2011) 101, 166–7, 184, 189–90, 205–6, 209, 215–16
 - Freedom of Association (1948) 96–7
 - Home Work (1996) 98, 101
 - Part-Time Work (1984) 8, 98–100, 214–15
 - Private Employment Agencies (1997) 95, 98–9, 135, 138, 151, 214
 - Right to Collective Bargaining (1981) 96
- customary international law, and 96–7
- Decent Work agenda 102–3, 105–7, 217
- Declaration on core labour standards 102–7, 205–6
- Declaration on social justice for a fair globalization 106–7
- disguised employment coverage 101–2
- efficiency theory 205–6
- enforcement mechanisms 96–7, 129
- fundamental principles 95–7
- human rights, and 103, 184–5

- labour law theories 96, 98
- limitations 99–100, 104–5, 208–10, 212, 214–15
- organisational structure 97
- policy trends 100–102
- precarious work policy 97–107
- Recommendations 101–2
- reform role 214–15
- Report on Domestic Work 164
- standard setting role 101–2
- unfreedoms, focus on 104–5
- vulnerability theory 105–7
- vulnerable subject theory 213–14
- Italy
 - collective bargaining reforms 158
- James v. Greenwich Council* [2008] 144
- justice
 - access to 125–6
 - equality law, and 83–4
 - social rights protection limitations 72–3
 - tribunal case fees 125–6, 205
 - see also* classical labour law theory
- Kahn-Freund, Otto 10–12, 21–2, 45–58
- Kalayaan 162
- Kant, Immanuel 11, 23
- Kenya
 - domestic worker trade unions 171
- knowledge workers 25–6, 67, 133
- labour crisis 1–2
- labour law, generally
 - advantages and disadvantages 44
 - bargaining power inequalities 4–6, 10, 12, 20–23, 35, 196
 - bottom-up approaches 43–4
 - challenges of 74–5
 - challenges to 4–6, 196
 - discrimination protection 74, 83–5, 113
 - efficiency modes of regulation 27–9
 - EU deregulation 28–9
 - general principles 196
 - geographical variations 94–5
 - goals, classification of 42–3
 - human vulnerability, and 12–14
 - inclusive approach, benefits of 213–15
 - institutional responsibility variations 94–5
 - institutional variations 94–5
 - liberal theories 9–11
 - limitations of 10–11, 64, 74–5, 221–2
 - public vs. private spheres of action 162–3, 176–7, 193–4
 - purpose 4, 8–9, 44–5, 74–5, 111–12
 - social law, and 10, 14, 44, 75, 91
 - top-down approaches 43–4
 - UK deregulation 122–4, 128
 - vulnerability theory 15, 36–9, 43, 91
 - vulnerable subject theory 221–2
 - worker protection 58–61
 - worker subordination 20–22, 64–6
 - see also* classical labour law theory
- labour law theory *see* classical labour law theory
- labour markets
 - deregulation 27–8
 - economic change, influences on 6–7, 23–4
 - flexibility, and 6–7, 28–9
 - group rights 14–15
 - homogeneity of interest or status 55–7
 - social law, and 77–8
 - worker alienation 20–21
- Langille, B. 103–4
- Les Laboratoires Servier v. Apotex* [2014] 178–9
- MacKenzie, C. 38–9
- Marchington, L. 3
- Marx, Karl 11–12, 19–23, 34–5, 46
- merit criteria 85
- migrant workers 162, 176–8, 189–91
- minimum wages 28, 68, 164–5
- morality
 - equality and justice 83–4
 - human vulnerability, and 12–13
- Moran v. Ideal Cleaning Services Limited* [2014] 155

- neo-classical economic theory 61–3
 new institutional economic theory 61–3, 66
- non-standard work
 advantages of 66–7
 employment status 8, 67–9
 flexibility, and 7–8, 67–8
 independent contractors 64–6, 69–70
 judicial interpretation, purposive approach 71–2
 knowledge workers 25–6, 67
 new institutional economic theory 66
 personal services 69
 standard employment relationships, conflicts with 7–8, 26, 64–7
 trade unions, and 56–8, 80–81
 women in workplace, impact on 30–31
see also domestic work; part-time work; precarious work; temporary agency work
- Onu, Ms P v. Mr O Akwivu* [2013] 179
- organic solidarity 33, 35, 76
- part-time work
 EU Directive 108–10, 112–13, 205
 exclusions and derogations 8, 99–100
 ILO Convention 8, 98–100, 214–15
 personal employment contracts 69–70
 precarious work
 assumptions about 9–10
 capability approach 90
 classical labour law theory 198–203
 collective bargaining/ representation 25, 96, 126–8, 207–9
 disadvantages 7–8, 26–7
 disguised employment 101–2
 efficiency theory 203–7
 employment status 7–8, 26–7
 EU policy 101, 107–15
 atypical workers 108–10, 212
 challenges 107–8, 110–11
 Directives 95, 108–10, 133–4
 flexicurity agenda 95, 110–15, 129, 205, 218
 reference to ILO standards 95, 103
 regulatory role 108
 exclusions and derogations 8, 99
 freedom of contract 205–6
 gender imbalance 30–31
 globalisation, and 25–6
 human rights protections 72–4
 ILO policy 95–107, 129
 Conventions 95–102, 209–10
 Decent Work agenda 102–7
 limitations 99–100, 104–5, 209–10, 212
 interpretation 1–3, 8, 38, 115
 legal implications 1–2, 26–9, 197, 211–12
 minimum wages 28
 regulatory challenges 27–8
 regulatory focus 2, 27–8
 social law theory 207–10
 standard employment relationships, implications for 7–8, 26, 64–7
 theory limitations 8–9
 UK policy
 agency workers 117–20, 134
 collective bargaining/ representation 126–8
 development 115–19, 122–8
 limitations 118–20, 129–30
 trade unions 120–22
 vulnerability theory 115–18
 vulnerable subject theory 210–13
 zero-hour workers 215
see also domestic work; temporary agency work
- R v. K (S)* [2011] 169
- Ramm, T. 53
- rights *see* human rights; social rights
- Rodgers, G. 2–3
- Rogowski, R. 54
- servitude 164–5, 167–70, 172–3, 176, 179, 190–91
- Siliadin v. France* [2006] 168–9, 179
- Sinzheimer, Hugo 10–12, 21–3, 32, 44–50

- slavery 164–5, 167–70, 172–3, 176, 179, 190–91
- Smith v. Carillion* [2014] 144
- social change
 - domestic work 30–31
 - gender, and 15, 30–31, 40
 - worker vulnerability 15, 30–31
- social law theory
 - advantages 81–2
 - agency and interdependence 35–6
 - assumptions 208–9
 - class influences 34–5, 76, 78
 - collective bargaining 79–82, 207–9
 - criticism of 152–4, 208–9
 - division of labour 33, 76–9
 - domestic work 163–4, 179–84, 194–5
 - efficiency theory 75, 77
 - equality law, and 82–5, 148–9, 207–8
 - equality of opportunity 84–5
 - equality of status 149–50
 - freedom to work, importance of 78–9
 - general principles 44–5, 75–6
 - group interests 33–4, 78–9, 81–2, 84–5, 147–8, 207–9
 - human rights 184–5
 - individual welfare role 76
 - labour law theories 10, 36–9, 75, 77
 - liberal subjects 36–7
 - life satisfaction 87–9
 - market intervention 77–8
 - organic solidarity 33, 35, 76–7
 - precarious work, and 207–10
 - purpose of law 76–8, 147, 207–8
 - social inclusion vs. equal treatment 83–4
 - social rights protection limitations 72–3
 - sociological approach to law 32–4
 - temporary agency workers 147–58
 - trade unions 148
 - value of labour 33
 - vulnerability
 - characterisation 147–52, 179–84
 - group vulnerability 32–6
 - human vulnerability 14
 - theory 36–9, 78, 85–7
 - wealth, inherited 84
 - see also* vulnerable subject theory
- social rights
 - protection limitations 72–3
 - resilience, and 90–92
 - vs. civil/ political rights 91
 - vs. human rights 72–3, 89–90
 - vulnerability theory 88–9, 105–6
 - vulnerable subjects 89–92
 - work vs. non-work activities 88–9
- South Africa
 - domestic worker trade unions 171, 192
- Spain
 - domestic worker trade unions 171
 - labour market reforms 114–15
- standard employment relationships
 - challenges to 6–8, 25–6, 196
 - dependency 68–9, 210–11
 - economic risks of employees 65–6
 - historical influences on 5–6, 55–6, 196–7
 - homogeneity of interest or status 55–7
 - industrial production models 5–6, 24–5
 - legal categorisation approaches 64–5
 - non-standard workers, implications for 7–8, 26, 64–7
 - stereotypes, relevance of 5, 64
 - temporary agency workers 140, 142–3
 - worker subordination 20–22, 64–6
- Supiot, Alain 88–9
- Sweden
 - temporary agency workers, equal treatment 157–8
- temporary agency work
 - agency fees 152
 - assumptions 140
 - characterisation 131–2, 147–52, 154–8, 158–9
 - classical labour law theory 136–42, 158–9

- collective bargaining/ representation
 - 131, 136–42, 157–8, 212
- dismissal regimes 150
- efficiency theory 142–7, 159, 205
- employment status 8, 133, 149–50, 156–7
- equality law, and 148–9, 154–5
- equality of status 149–50
- external pressures 131
- gold-collar workers 7–8, 132, 139–40, 142, 145
- judicial interpretation 143–5
- knowledge workers 25–6, 67, 133
- necessity test 143–5
- overcompensation 150–51
- policy development
 - deregulation 155–6
 - EU Directive 95, 108–13, 133–6, 138–9, 149–50, 215
 - ILO Convention 95, 98–9, 135, 138, 151, 214
 - limitations 135, 149–50, 154–5, 159
 - UK protections 117–20, 134–5, 143–5, 149–52, 212
- self-employment 133, 156–7
- sham agreements 144–5
- social law theory 147–58
- standard employment relationships, and 140, 142–3
- trade unions 81, 127–8, 139–42, 220
- trends 132
- vulnerability
 - autonomy, and 157–8
 - causes 131, 134, 151–2
 - characterisation 136–7, 142–3, 147–52, 155
 - economic vs. legal 132–3
 - flexicurity, and 145–6, 205
 - solutions to 139–42, 143–7, 156–7
 - vulnerable subject theory 154–8, 159–60, 214–15
- Tilson v. Alstom Transport* [2010] 144
- trade unions
 - ballot participation thresholds 127–8
 - challenges for 57–8, 79–81, 93
 - classical labour law theory 47–50, 55–8, 139–42
 - collective laissez-faire policy 50–54
 - domestic workers 81–2, 170–73, 191–2
 - home workers 99
 - homogeneity of interest or status 55–7
 - membership trends 57, 79–81
 - non-standard work, implications for 56–8, 80–81
 - policy derogations 220
 - policy developments 57–8, 80–81, 220–21
 - social law, and 79–82, 148
 - temporary agency workers 139–42, 220
 - UK precarious worker policy 120–22
- training, right to request 146
- Turner, B. 13
- United Kingdom
 - labour law, generally
 - deregulation 122–4, 128
 - efficiency policy trends 122–3
 - employee shareholder status 124–5, 205
 - flexibility 123–4
 - human rights 124
 - law reform, limitations 220–21
 - reform review 123–6
 - training, right to request 146
 - tribunal case fees 125–6, 205
 - working time rules 68, 164–5
 - precarious work
 - agency workers 117–20, 134–5, 143–5, 149–52, 212
 - collective bargaining/ representation 126–8, 212
 - domestic workers 164–5, 170
 - necessity test 143–5
 - policy development 115–19, 122–8, 151–2, 204–5
 - policy limitations 118–20, 129–30
 - rogue employers 117–20, 147
 - trade unions 120–22

- vulnerability theory 115–18, 204–5
- vulnerable workers initiatives 121–2, 147
- United States
 - domestic worker trade unions 172, 192
- universalistic-selective goal
 - classification 42–3
- Vaughan-Whitehead, D. 2
- Vosko, L. 8
- vulnerability, generally
 - agency workers 131–4, 136–7
 - analysis, limitations on 31–2
 - assumptions 9–10, 27, 39–41
 - autonomy 37–40
 - capabilities approach 73–4
 - capitalism, and 15, 18–23, 78–9
 - characterisation 136–7, 142–3, 147–52, 155, 167–72
 - classical labour law theory 41, 43, 136–42, 167–72
 - commodification 20–21, 23
 - creation, state role in 86–7
 - dependency 37, 87–9, 210–11
 - domestic work 167–72
 - economic change, and 23–9
 - efficiency theory 27–9, 44, 70–71, 73–4
 - external influences on 19–32
 - globalisation, and 24–6, 40
 - group vulnerability 32–6, 154
 - human vulnerability 12–14
 - internal influences on 31–9
 - interpretation 2–3, 12–14, 27, 37–8, 128, 136–7, 188–9
 - liberal subjects, and 36–8
 - merit criteria 85
 - personal vs. institutional vulnerability 86–7
 - relationship, as a 211
 - resilience, and 90–92
 - social change, and 15, 30–31
 - social law, and 14, 32–6, 78–9, 86–8, 149–58
 - social position, and 14–15
 - solutions to 137–9, 143–7
 - temporary agency work 136–7, 159–60
 - vulnerability theory 3, 9–10
 - access to justice 125–6
 - advantages 18–19, 92–3
 - anti-discrimination law, and 86
 - autonomy 154, 157–8, 188, 211
 - capabilities approach 90
 - classical labour law theory 41, 43, 136–42
 - efficiency theory 90–91, 142–7
 - equality law, and 86, 154
 - EU atypical workers protections 109–10
 - ILO labour standards, and 105–7
 - labour law theory 15, 36–9, 43
 - lifecycle approach 87–9
 - rights vs. benefits 90–91, 105–6
 - social law, and 85–7, 149–58
 - social rights 88–92, 105–6
 - socially usefulness of law 91
 - sociological approach to law 32–4
 - strong vs. weak rights 90–91
 - UK vulnerable workers protections 115–28
 - under-theorisation 15, 18, 39–40
 - vulnerable subject theory 37–40, 44, 86–92
 - advantages 212–14
 - autonomy 154, 157–8
 - capabilities approach 90
 - classical labour law theory 213
 - dependency 210–11
 - domestic work 187–93, 195, 215–16
 - employment status 215–16
 - migrant workers 189–90
 - policy reform, and 213–22
 - collective bargaining/representation 219–22
 - inclusion vs. exclusion 213–15
 - institutional change 219–22
 - legislative scope 214–17
 - limitations 219–20
 - substantive equality 218–20
 - work quality 217–20

- precarious work 210–13
- relationship, vulnerability as a 211, 216
- role of 197–8, 211–14
- social law 44, 86–7, 154–8, 188
- social rights 89–92
- temporary agency work 154–8, 159–60, 214–15
- wealth, inherited 84
- Wilkinson, F. 73
- worker subordination 20–22, 64–6
- worker test 68–70
- working time rules 68, 164
- workplace stress 13–14
- zero-hour workers 215

