# Index

- **AB v. CD** (2014) 99
- Abstraction hierarchies, synthetic biology 39
- Acrylic 43
- Agreement on Trade-related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
  see TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights)
- Akerlof, George 120
- Alexander, J. 15
- Almunia, J. 8
- **ALS Scan, Inc. v. RemarQ Communities, Inc** (2001) 178
- Althaus, D. 75, 82
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) 51–2
- Anabolism 41
- Anchoring 125
- Android operating system (Google) 25
- Anonymous works, term of protection 144
- Antitrust law 8, 24
  Delimitation agreements 75–8, 82
- Apollinaire, Guillaume 147
- **Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd** lawsuits (2011 and 2012) 21, 25, 32
- Apple/Apple smartphones 24–6, 31
- Arkin, A. 58
- Arndt, K.M. 45, 52, 58
- Arz, M. 85
- Aspirin 21
- Assets (IP), shared use 5
- ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) 51–2
- Atanasiu, I. 69
- Authorship 150
  see also copyright
  Automated strain engineering 34
- Barnett, Jonathan 125, 127–8
- Barrie, Sir James Matthew 148–9
- **BAT v. European Commission** (Toltecs/Dorcet), 1985 76–8
- **Becton Dickinson & Co, In Re** (2012) 120
- Beebe, Barton 107, 109–10, 121, 126
- Bekkers, R. 48
- Beldiman, Dana 2–3, 34, 105
- Belgium, term of protection 146
- Benabou, V.-L. 139, 155–6
- Benkler, Y. 167
- Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works 138, 141, 143–6, 149
  Adherence of United States to 156–7
- Betamax, vs. VHS format 45
- Bettis, J. 173
- Biobanks 62
- BioBricks 56–7, 61
- BioBricks Foundation (BBF) 39, 47, 54–5
- Bio-Hackers 40
- Bioinformatics 37, 52, 59
  Biological parts, synthetic biology 39–41, 47
Innovation, competition and collaboration

biomarkers 50
biomass 43
Bio-Punks 40
biosystems, organization 57
biotechnology 35, 37–44
and IPRs 47–8
new generation of 38
Blind, K. 15, 21, 48
Bornkamm, J. 84
Boyle, J. 60, 152
Breyer, Stephen 109
British Standards Institution (BSI) 44
Bürgerliches Gesetz buch (BGB), Germany 19
Bundesgerichtshof (BGH), Germany 96
Burrell, R. 151
Business Software Alliance (BSA) 174
Butterfly Music (CJEU, 1999) 155
Cahir, J. 152
Canada 144
Capitol Rec. v. ReDigi (2013) 189–90, 192
Carayannis, E.G. 15
Carroll, Michael W. 2–3, 169
cartoon characters, trademarks 160, 162–3
Casas Valles, R. 141
catabolism 41
cDNA 60
cell phones see mobile phone industry
CFREU (Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union) 15
Chander, A. 136
Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (CFREU) 15, 181
Chesbrough, H.W. 91
Chile, copyright law 137, 151
China 144
Choisy, S. 145, 150, 165, 167
citizen science 40
CJEU see Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
Clément-Fontaine, M. 150
Clinton Administration, US 171
cloud computing 190
coauthorship 3
Cohen, Julie 136
Colao, J.J. 187
collaboration see also coopetition (collaboration between competitors);
knowledge, shared and innovation 1–3
Collins, J. 42
comarketing 3
commons, nature of 167
Communications Decency Act (US), 1996 173
Community Trademark Regulation (CTMR) 70, 83–4, 86–7
competition see also coopetition (collaboration between competitors)
authorities 7, 20, 24, 31–2
‘competitive consumption’ 121
competitive paradigm and collaborative paradigm 16
enforcement of law 28
‘group-to-group’ 20
and synthetic biology 48
Computer Programs Directive (2009) 193
Confucius 105
consortia, R&D 3
consumers choices faced by 105
fashion industry, preferences in 123–6
impact of communication dimensions on behavior 111–13
Index

- protection of 82–4
- self-expression and social expression 110
- contract law
- contractual relations amongst coopetitors 18–19
- coopetition, as expression of contractual freedom 15
- freedom of contract and IPRs 17–18
- and IP law 5
- privity of contract 96
- quasi-contractual relationships 96
- coopetition (collaboration between competitors)
- antitrust concerns 19
- challenges 16
- competitive paradigm and collaborative paradigm 16
- contractual relations amongst coopetitors 18–19
- dual level of regulation of patent-based 18–19
- as expression of contractual freedom 15
- fairness and loyalty principle 18–19
- general phenomenon 15–16
- for innovation 16–18
- instability of coopetitive relations 19
- IPRs, role 16–18
- procompetitive boundaries to coopetitive agreements 19–21
- copying 108–9, 129
- copyright see also authorship; Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works; Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988; Copyright Act, US; Copyright Duration Directive (1993); Copyright Extension Act, US (1998); Copyright Treaty (1996), WIPO; Online

Copyright Limitation Act (US), 1997 175–7; public domain; service provider protection, copyright infringement; dematerialization of media products as challenge for law of 188–91
- and design right 160
- duration 142–3
- purpose 118
- reconstitution in some works 158–9
- reform proposals 180
- relinquishment of 150–51
- restorations, and repeated term extensions 154–8
- standard license 150–51
- substitutive harm 117
- uncopyrighted material 153
- ‘zombies’ of 155–6, 158
- Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 148–9
- Copyright Act, US (1976) 142, 156
- Copyright Directive (2001) 181, 184
- Copyright Duration Directive (1993) 146–9, 154, 158–9
- Copyright Treaty (1996), WIPO 140, 172
- Corneo, Giacomo 110
- counterfeits 108, 126
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 28, 155
- see also European Union (EU)
- exhaustion of rights 188–9, 192, 194, 196–7
- service provider protection, copyright infringement 181–2
- and trademark law 76–7, 81–2, 87–8
- Creative Commons 150, 186
- Critical Path Institute (C-Path) 50–51, 58–9
crowd-sourcing patent examination 64
CTMR see Community Trade Mark Regulation (CTMR)

Dammler, M. 97
data processing standards 57
databases 142
de jure or de facto standards 45
de novo synthesis 42
de Werra, J. 92, 99
Deazley, R. 153
decoupling, synthetic biology 39
delimitation, agreements see delimitation agreements
delimitation agreements 11, 74–84
antitrust concerns 75–8
case law
BAT v. European Commission (Toltecs/Dorcet), 1985 76–8
Jette Joop (German Federal Supreme Court), 2011 75, 78–80
Martin Y Paz/Gauquie (2013) 80–83
changed circumstances 78–80
concept/general remarks 74–5
consumer protection 82–4
covenants 71, 75
termination of agreements 78–80
Dell, investigation by Federal Trade Commission (1996) 24
Denicola, Robert 105–6, 117
Dennis, E.H. 51
dependence from uses of innovation by third parties (licensing in/out)
Germany 96–8
Switzerland 99–100
United Kingdom 99
United States 97–100
Desbois, Patrick 139
design 104–31
as branding tool 106
communicative dimensions 110–13
consumer preferences, fashion industry 123–6
and copyright 160
defined 105
harm see harm
importance 105–7
knowledge spillover 107–10
non-original products 109, 115–16, 128–9
product design in modern society 105–13
proliferation 115–16, 129–30
and public domain 159
self-expression and social expression 104, 110–13, 115, 123, 126–7, 130
subjective considerations 122
sui generis protection 117
as synthesis of aesthetic and utilitarian features 105, 117
utilitarian features 107, 113, 118, 120
visual imagery, predominance 105–7
Design Regulation (Regulation 6/2002 of 12 December 2001 on Community Designs) 117, 119
'Digital Agenda’ program 91
Digital Copyright Clarification and Technology Education Act (US), 1997 175
Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), US (1998) 170, 175, 178, 180, 185
dilution doctrine 121, 129
dilutive harm 115–16
Dinwoodie, Graeme 105, 167
distinctiveness requirement, trademarks 161
Trademark Directive (2008) 70–72, 81, 85–6, 120
Evans, Natalie 128
exclusivity 4–6, 69, 122
and public domain 151, 167
exhaustion of rights
dematerialization of media products as challenge for copyright law 188–91
doctrial dimension 191–5
economic dimension 195–6
institutional dimension 196–7
tangible copies and intellectual property 194
verification costs 195–6
Facebook 105
fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) standard see FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) standard
fairness and loyalty principle see also FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) standard
coopetition 18–19
fashion industry
consumer preferences 123–6
deconstructive model from IP perspective 126–30
distinguished from standard exclusionary IP model 104
substitutive harm 113
Federal Trade Commission (FTC), US 24, 26
FTC-Google approach 31, 48
FEIA v. Cul de Sac Espacio Creativo SL (2009) 93
Feist Publications Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co (1991) 141
Fischer, Fridolin 117
Fischman Afori, Orit 105–7, 110–11
fixation, public domain 142
Flickr 105
g folklore, expressions of 138
Food and Drug Administration (FDA), US 51
Football Dataco (CJEU, 2012) 141
Force India Formula One Team Ltd v. Aerolab SRL and others (2013) 93–4
Force India Formula One Team Ltd v. I. Malaysia Racing Team SDN BHD and others (2012) 93
foreclosure, anti-competitive 28–9
France
Civil Code 167
Cour de Cassation 146–7, 157, 166
and public domain, positive regime for 165–6
term of protection 145–7
FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) standard 7–10, 48
commitment of patent-holders 23, 26, 32
and coopetition 21–2
licensing terms 24, 30–31
Frederick Warne & Co. v. Book Sales (1979) 160
Friedman, T.L. 91
Frischknecht, F. 63
Frischmann, Brett M. 108
Fruehl, F.W. 51
functional composition standards 56
Fussenegger, Martin 66
Gaide, A.V. 162
garage biology 40
Gassmann, O. 93
Geiger, Christophe 105
geographical indications 142
Germany
Act against Unfair Competition (UWG) 84
Index

205

case law

Duales System Deutschland v. European Commission ('Green Dot' case, 2007) 71, 73–4
Jette Joop (German Federal Supreme Court), 2011 75, 78–80
M2Trade (BGH, 2012) 96
Take Five (BGH, 2012) 96–7
Civil Code 80
exhaustion of rights 194
third parties, dependence from uses of innovation by (licensing in/out) 96–8
trademarks 71–3, 75, 78–9

Ghidini, Gustavo 1, 5, 18
Gillette v. LA Laboratories decision (2005) 71
Ginsburg, J. 143
globalization 91
Gnyawali, D.R. 17
Godinho, M. 40, 42
Golan v. Gonzales (2007) 156
Golan v. Holder (2012) 156
Goldstein, P. 172–3
good faith concept 19, 26
Goodlatte Innovation Act, US 65
Goodsaidl, F.M. 51
Google, Android operating system 25
Grewal, D. 61
Griffiths, J. 151
Grolleau, Gilles 125
Grossman, Gene M. 111, 116
H&M 109, 125
Haberstumpf, H. 193
Hafenbrädl, F. 190, 194
Hague System for the International Registration of Industrial Designs 120
handsets, smartphones 25

harm
dilutive 115–16
hold-up theory distinguished 28
legal theories addressing 117–21
reputational 114, 120–21, 129–30
subsequent purchasers/secondary markets 114
substitutive 113–14, 117–20, 128–9

Hauck v. Stokke (2014) 162, 164
Heidenhain, A. 97, 100
Heinemann, A. 6
Hemphill, C. Scott 111, 122
Hermes International v. Lederer de Paris Fifth Avenue (2000) 116
Heyden, T.J. 191
Hilty, R. 190, 194
His Girl Friday (film) 157
Hobom, Barbara 38–9
hold-out concept 30
hold-up theory 8, 26–32
definition 23, 26
harm distinguished 28
Holleyman, R.W. 174
Hollyman, R. 173
horizontal co-operation agreements 27
Howe, H. 151
Huawei v. ZTE (2013) 9, 33, 49
Hudson, E. 151
Human Proteome Organization (HUPO), Proteomics Standards Initiative 53
ICT (information and communication technology) 36, 48–9, 58, 63
see also software industry
idea/expression dichotomy 139–41, 149
iGEM (International Genetically Engineered Machine) competition 39, 54–5
imitation 108
inclusivity 18, 122

Dana Beldiman - 9781784715779
Downloaded from Elgar Online at 02/06/2019 05:08:47AM via free access
see also exclusivity
and public domain 167–8
industrial design see design
information asymmetry, reputational harm 114
information disclosure, design 127–8
injunctions
mere threat of request, causing hold-up 26
standard essential patents 7–9, 26–32
innovation
and collaboration 1–3
coopetition for 16–18
open see open innovation
service providers, protection of 184–7
see also service provider protection, copyright infringement
utilitarian 107
Instagram 105
intellectual property (IP)
see also copyright; design; patents; public domain; SEPs (standard essential patents); trademarks
assets, shared use 5
classical theory 104, 131
erga omnes effect of IP doctrines 5–6, 17, 88
fashion industry model, deconstructing from perspective of 126–30
interdependence risks, open innovation 92–100
laws see intellectual property laws
and open innovation 3
private domain of 135
in shared knowledge environment 4–6
licensing
and balancing of interests 101–2
bankruptcy of licensor or licensee 97–8
copyright 194
relinquishment of 150–51
dependence from uses of innovation by third parties
see dependence from uses of innovation by third parties (licensing in/out)
open 92
software licenses 188–9
sublicenses 96, 101
terms, FRAND standard 24, 30–31
voluntary 70
life-cycle of products 123, 127, 130
decline 126
emulation 125
introduction 124
mass conformity 125–6
Lim, D. 16
Lim, P.H. 190
Lipton, V. 95
Litman, B.A. 172
Little Prince, The (Saint-Exupéry) 148
Liu, J. 161
Liu, L. 41
Longdin, L. 190
look-alikes 109
Lorenz, A. 15
Lucas, A. and H.J. 146
‘l’unite de l’art’ principle, copyright 118

M2Trade decision (BGH, 2012) 96
Macaulay, T.B. 143
Malevanny, N. 192
Martin Y Paz/Gauquie decision, delimitation agreements 80–83

Martins dos Santos, V.A.P. 40, 42
Maskus, K. 52, 59
mass production 108, 113
Mastercrafters Clock and Radio Co. v. Vacheron and Constantin-Le Coultre Watches, Inc. (1955) 116, 121
Mattes, W. 51
Melullis, K.-J. 97
Merrill, S.A. 52, 59
metabolism 41
MGED (Microarray Gene Expression Data Society) 52
MIBBI (Minimum Information for Biological and Biomedical Investigations) project 52
Mickey Mouse character 160, 162–3
Microarray Gene Expression Data Society (MGED) 52
microbial consortia 40
Microsoft-Apple v. Motorola decision (2013) 19, 21
Minden Pictures, Inc v. Pearson Education, Inc (2013) 95
Minssen, Timo 3, 6, 10, 38, 62, 65–6
Mirsky, A. 186
mobile phone industry and injunctions 7–8
SEPs in 23–6
standardization 25–6
Monet, Claude 147
Müller, K.M. 45, 52, 58
NACs (non assertion covenants) 48
nanotechnology 34, 37, 51–2
National Institutes of Health (NIH), US 50
Neel, R. 174
neoclassical economic theory 4, 122
Nice Agreement concerning the International Classification of Goods and Services for the Purposes of Registration of Marks (1957) 89
Nokia 24–5
non-original products 109, 115–16, 128–9
notice-and-takedown regime, service provider protection 174

*O2 v. Hutchinson* (2008) 71
Oakley, R. 174
Ochoa, T. 140
Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (OHIM) 88–9
online communication devices, image-based 105
Online Copyright Limitation Act (US), 1997 175–7
ontological public domain 140, 150
‘open biology’ 36
open innovation 12, 47, 91–103
*see also* innovation definition/benefits 2–5, 96
dependence from uses by third parties *see* dependence from uses of innovation by third parties (licensing in/out) 91
outbound 91
risks of IP interdependence in era of 92–100
and synthetic biology 37
open source concept 2, 47, 92
openness concept *see also* open innovation and synthetic biology 34, 36 and trademark law 10–11, 69–90

*Orange Book* 8, 31–2
originality, public domain 139, 141–2

Pallante, M.A. 170
Paris Convention, protection of industrial designs 117
Park, B.J.R. 17
passing off 114
Patel, T. 174
patent ambush 24, 49

*see also* SEPs (standard essential patents)
‘certification’ of innovative value 17
cooperation, dual role of regulation of patent-based 18–19
and declaration of
‘thermo-nuclear war’ on Android 25
FRAND commitment of patent-holders 23, 26
laws 7–8
post-grant phase 35
and public domain 159
‘smartphone patent wars’ 24–6
substitutive harm 117
and synthetic biology 35–6, 63–6
technological cooperation, role in 17
‘thickets’ 60
peer-to-patent (P2P) 64
Peguera, M. 180
Perzanowski, A. 195
Peter the Rabbit character 160–61
pharmaceutical companies 36, 50
pharmaceutical drugs 21
Pinterest (company) 105, 184, 186–7
PMDA (Japanese Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency) 51
Pohlmann, T. 21
policy public domain 149–50
Posner, Richard A. 4, 10, 122
post-sale confusion doctrine 116
Predictive Safety Testing Consortium (PSTC) 50–51, 58–9
product design *see* design
*Promusicae v Telefónica de España* (2008) 181
proportionality principle, EU 182
protection term/temporal public domain *see also* public domain
210  Innovation, competition and collaboration

added difficulties 145–9
comparison of terms 145–6
determination of a limited
duration 142–5
excluded creations 149–50
Peter Pan character (Barrie) 148–9
post mortem auctoris (pma), term
of protection 145, 148, 155
repeated term extensions and
copyright restorations 154–8
statutory provisions 148–9
wartime extensions 146–8
Protége International v. Commission
(2012) 28
protein modification 34
protocell 42
pseudonymous works, term of
protection 144
PSTC (Predictive Safety Testing
Consortium) 50–51, 58–9
public domain
see also copyright; protection
term/temporal public domain
Berne Convention for the
Protection of Literary and
Artistic Works 138, 141,
143–6
composition 137–51
defined 135–6
domaiadal metaphor 136
and exclusivity 151, 167
fixation 142
idea/expression or ontological
public domain 139–41
negative delimitation,
consequences 152–4
ontological 140, 150
originality 139, 141–2
other IPRs 159–65
policy 149–50
positive regime for 165–8
positive status for 135–68
protection requirements 141–2
public interest 163
relativity of 152–65
as res communis 167
subject matter 141–2
temporal 142–9
territoriality of 138–9
and trademarks 160–64
United States 139
voluntary 150–51
public policy perspective, shared
knowledge 4
Qimonda, Re (US decision, 2011)
98–100
Qualcomm, EC proceeding against,
for excessive pricing 7, 24, 27
Quilter, L. 175
R&D (Regulation (EU) 1217/2010),
coopetition 20
R&D (research and development)
changes in 1
clinical 50
joint, dependence from 94–5
and open innovation 3
outsourcing, IP interdependence
risks 93–4
Rai, Arti 35–6, 53, 60
RAND (reasonable, and
non-discriminatory) terms 98
Ratcliff, T. 186
Rausstila, Kal 112, 125–8
Rawsthorn, Alice 105–8
recombinant DNA technology 37,
39, 41
Recording Industry Association of
America (RIAA) 174
reference standards 56
Registry of Standard Biological
Parts (iGEM Registry) 54–5,
59, 61
Reichman, Jerome H. 106, 118
Reinbothe, J. 140, 145
replication 121
see also copying
reproduction 109
reputational harm
Index

fashion industry model,
deconstructing from IP perspective 129–30

legal theories addressing 120–21
and trademarks 114, 120
research and development,
scientific/technological see R&D (research and development)
resource allocation, and open innovation 4
restraint of trade 20
Reus, K. 97, 100
Reuter, T. 50
Reutter, M. 99
reverse patent hold-up 30
Ricketson, Sam 118
Rifkin, J. 190
Rissmann, K. 75, 78
Ro, D.K. 43
Rodriguez, S. 187
Rome II Regulation (Regulation (EC) 864/2007 on the law applicable to non-contractual obligations), 2007 97
Rose, C. 136
Rosen, H. 174
Rutz, B. 35

Saint-Exupéry, Antoine de 148
Samsung 25, 27, 31–2
see also Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd lawsuits (2011 and 2012)
Samuelson, P. 170
Sandell, P. 50
SAS Institute (CJEU, 2012) 140
Saunders, K.M. 17
SB see synthetic biology (SB)
Scarlet Extended SA v. SABAM (2011) 182
Schack, H. 191

Schmitt-Gaedke, G. 85
Schovsbo, J. 62, 66
Schultz, J. 195
Schwanhäusser, H. 77
sculpture, works of 162
self-expression and social expression, design 104,
110–13, 115, 123, 130
fashion industry model 126–7
SEP’s (standard essential patents) 21
antitrust concerns 7
and coopetition 17
definition 7, 17, 23
dominant position of owners 7–8
‘foreclosure’ in context of injunctions 28–9
and hold-up theory 8, 26–32
injunctions 7–9, 26–32
market power test 21
in mobile phone industry 23–6
service provider protection,
copyright infringement 2,
169–87
see also copyright functions requiring safe harbor protection (US) 175–7
hosting and linking service providers 179
infringement liability, immunity from 175
innovation argument for protecting service providers 184–7
Internet transmission 175
policy background 171–84
Europe 180–82
relationship between US and European approaches 182–4
United States 171–9
‘red flag’ knowledge of facts and circumstances 178–9
search engines 176
storage of material 176
system caching 175–6
White Paper, US 171–2
Shapiro, Carl 111, 116
Sieckmann decision (2002) 87
Silvers v. Sony Pictures
Entertainment, Inc (2005) 95
‘smartphone patent wars’ 24–6
social expression 115
see also self-expression and social
expression, design
social media 105
‘soft law’ 33
software industry 34–5
see also Computer Programs
Directive (2009)
client-server software 190
licenses 188–9
‘used’ software 188–90, 192
Sony Music Entertainment (CJEU,
2009) 155
speciality principle 162
spillovers, knowledge 107–10, 116
Sprigman, Christopher 112, 125–8
SSOs (standard setting
organizations) 7, 9–10, 24, 28
synthetic biology 37, 45, 51–2,
58–9
standard abstraction frameworks 56
‘Standard Assembly’ 54
standard essential patents see SEPs
(standard essential patents)
standard setting organizations
(SSOs) see SSOs (standard
setting organizations)
standardization
see also SSOs (standard setting
organizations); standards
authoritative process 46
as challenge to IP laws 6
deliberative process 46
mobile phone industry 25–6
pervasive process 46
problematic issues 46–9
in synthetic biology 10, 36, 44–62
biotech-, pharma- and nanotech
sectors 50–53
categories of standardization
efforts 56
relevance and governance of
IPRs 58–62
specific activities 53–8
standards
see also FRAND (fair, reasonable
and non-discriminatory)
standard; SSOs (standard
setting organizations); 
standardization
basic processes of creation 36
data processing 57
de jure or de facto 45
definition 44
economic perspective 45
engineering 47
establishment 6, 45
formal and informal 46
functional composition 56
intersection with FRAND and
competition law 6–10
need for 45–6
normative perspective 45
patentability 64
reference 56
as strategic tool 45
structural perspective 45
technology 6
‘willingness’ 23, 29–32
Stanford School of Medicine 34
Stazi, Andrea 1, 5, 18
streaming 190
Strowel, A. 141
Study on the Liability of Internet
Intermediaries (2007) 182–3
subject matter public domain 141–2
substitutive harm 113–14
fashion industry model,
deconstructing from IP
perspective 128–9
legal theories addressing 117–20
Suk, Jeannie 111, 122
sumptuary laws 121
Index

Sunbeam Products, Inc. v. Chicago American Manufacturing, LLC (2012) 99
Sunder, M. 136
surfactants 43
Suthersanaen, Uma 105, 107, 118
Synthetic Biology Project 43
synthetic biology (SB) 34–66
and biological parts 39–41, 47
bottom-up approach 40, 42
criticisms/dangers 35, 58
definitions/persons involved 37–40
ingeniering principles,
application to biology 34–5, 39, 41
history 38–9
ICT sector 36, 48–9, 58, 63
and IPRs
commercialization
considerations 44
‘internal’ debates 35–6
relevance and governance 58–62
main research areas/applications 40–44
market 40
nature and applications 36
and openness concept 34, 36
and patents 35–6, 63–6
pilot programs 64–5
research areas 42–3
scope of applications 40
standardization in 10, 36, 44–62
biotech-, pharma- and nanotech sectors 50–53
categories of standardization efforts 56
problematic issues 46–9
specific activities 53–8
technological standards in 47
top-down approach 42
and traditional biotechnology 38
synthetic metabolic pathways 41
system biology 37
Szybalski, Waclaw 38
tablet computers 7–8
Tagore, Rabindranath 145–6
Take Five decision (BGH, 2012) 96–7
Tatum, Edward 38
technological protection measures (TPM) 174
technology transfer agreements,
Regulation (EC) 772/2004 20
temporal public domain see protection term/temporal public domain
TFEU (Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union) 8, 76
cooperation 20–21
‘thermo-nuclear war’ 25
third parties
dependence from uses of innovation by see dependence from uses of innovation, by third parties (licensing in/out)
entitlement enforceable against 5
three-dimensional shapes, trademarks 120, 161
Torrance, Andrew W. 36, 47, 60
trade dress, trademarks 120, 161
Trademark Directive (TM Directive), 2008 70–72, 81, 85–6, 120
trademarks
see also Community Trademark Regulation (CTMR);
Trademark Directive (TM Directive)
cartoon characters 160, 162–3
certification marks 71–2
classical, confusion-based law 121
collective marks and (exceptional) antitrust concerns 71–4
concurrent use (‘honest concurrent use’) 71, 84–6
conflicting 90
delimitation agreements see delimitation agreements
dilution doctrine 121, 129
distinctiveness requirement 161
duration 142
‘Green Dot’ case 71, 73
non-discriminatory terms 72
openness concept and trademark law 10–11, 69–90
owners of individual marks 73
protectability 70
and public domain 160–64
reform proposals 71, 88–9
registers 160
and reputational harm 114, 120
scope 70
three-dimensional shapes/trade dress doctrines 120, 161
uniqueness principle 69–70, 86
voluntary licensing 70
TrafFix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc (2000) 120
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union see TFEU
(Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union)
TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) 22, 70, 74, 117, 140, 172
Ullrich, H. 6, 69
UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Shelter Capital Partners LLC (2013) 176, 179
undersupply 122
Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), good faith concept 19
United Kingdom
dependence from uses of innovation, by third parties (licensing in/out) 99
term of protection 145
United States
Berne Convention, adherence to 156–7
Communications Decency Act (1996) 173
Constitution 154
Copyright Act (1976) 142, 156
Copyright Extension Act (1998) 154
Copyright Office 178
design patent 119
Digital Copyright Clarification and Technology Education Act (1997) 175
Digital Millennium Copyright Act (1998) 170, 175, 178, 180, 185
Online Copyright Limitation Act (1997) 175–7
public domain 139
service provider protection, copyright infringement 171–9
service provider responsibility 171
Supreme Court 156–7
term of protection 144–6
third parties, dependence from uses of innovation by 97–9
unjust enrichment 97
unnatural components 41
urban, J.M. 175
Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URRA), 1994 156–7
US International Trade Commission (USITC) 31

Dana Beldiman - 9781784715779
Downloaded from Elgar Online at 02/06/2019 05:08:47AM via free access
| UsedSoft GmbH v. Oracle International Corp (2013) 188, 190, 192–4, 196 |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| utilitarian features, design 107, 113, 118, 120 |
| UWG (Act against Unfair Competition), Germany 84 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>value networks 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>van Overwalle, G. 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Zimmeren, E. 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veblen, Thorstein 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ventures co-production 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbiest, T. 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHS, vs. Betamax format 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vicarious liability doctrine 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinje, Thomas 8, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visa International Service Association v. JSL Corporation (2010) 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual imagery, design 105–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voigt, Christopher 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voluntary public domain 150–51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Hippel, E. 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Von Lewinski, S. 140, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vradenburg, G. 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall, David 109, 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal-Mart Stores v. Samara Bros (2000) 120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wand, H.H. 42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wasch, K. 173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werra, Jacques de 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wested, Jakob B. 3, 6, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'willingness' concept 23, 29–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willis, A. 173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows system, de facto standardization 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization) Arbitration and Mediation Center 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright Treaty (1996) 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service providers, protection of 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woolf, Virginia 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>works see also copyright; public domain access to 153 idea/expression dichotomy 139 term of protection 144 World Wide Web Consortium 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XML standard, structured data presentation 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi Qian 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YouTube 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zara 109, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zech, H. 189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>