FALSE FATALISM

1.1 The human mind is perverse in not recognising its own achievement. It behaves as if the world that it has created were some sort of mysterious natural phenomenon that it has inherited, as a second place in which to live, alongside the natural world that it certainly did not create. The human mind faces the human world as if it were a second natural world.

1.2 And yet every feature of the human world from the greatest to the smallest – from the greatest empire or the greatest work of engineering to the most modest human family or the smallest work of art – comes from nowhere but from within the infinitely and ceaselessly creative human mind. We are a species that found within itself, as if by a miracle, world-creating and world-transforming power and self-creating and self-transforming power.

1.3 Our perverse self-denying may have a cause, or at least an incentive, in our sense of the ambiguity of what we have achieved. It is very good and very bad. We are a species that also found within itself, by a cruel irony, a relentless capacity for making things worse. History and our everyday experience lead us to think that the human world is the product of two overwhelming and conflicting human powers, a power of self-perfecting and a power of self-harming.

1.4 Our perverse naturalising of the human world may then be seen as a way of detaching ourselves from responsibility for what we have
achieved, the best and the worst. A false fatalism is a convenient way of transferring responsibility to something beyond our control, even if that something is nothing but us. The fact that so much of what we have achieved, good and bad, is the work of human societies supports this attitude, since collective human behaviour is not attributable to any particular human beings.

1.5 False fatalism is defeatism. It has deep negative effects on the whole of human self-consciousness. It is disempowering. It suggests that we are not able to cause the forces of self-perfecting to overcome the forces of self-harming. It may even suggest that our conscious efforts are as likely to make things worse as to make them better. It is discouraging, justifying our surrender in the face of the actual state of the human world, even though we know perfectly well that the actual state was not, and is not, the only possible state of the human world. It is self-deceiving, claiming that there is something called human nature, and human nature always has been as it is, and is not likely to be any different in the future. It is stupefying, undervaluing and undermining the great capacities of the human mind to imagine and to realise the possible, constantly defying the brutal reality of the actual.

1.6 The highest irony is that, taking account of evolutionary biology, it is possible to believe, on the contrary, that the human species is at an early stage of its self-evolving. We have taken over our own evolving. We know no limit to that process. The creative achievements of the human mind, especially over recent centuries, have included the amazing development of human society into a massive engine of collective energy and the amazing development of the power of the human mind over the natural world through the wonders of natural science and engineering. Why should we suppose that the human mind could not continue to produce amazing new creative achievements in the human world and in the humanness of the human species?

1.7 Why should we fail to see that there are unused potentialities of the massively complex and powerful human brain? Why should we suppose that the human mind has exhausted its potentiality in creating the actual state of the human world? Why should we suppose that the human species has exhausted its inherent potentialities of self-evolving and self-perfecting?

1.8 Another miracle is that, despite everything, we can always overcome false human fatalism. It may be that, in the human world of the twenty-first
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In the twenty-first century, we have one last opportunity to do so. The immense accumulation of social power over all human functions and all human behaviour, and the rapidly increasing domination of human-made machines over the autonomous activity of the human mind, are setting a very great challenge to the human mind, perhaps an ultimate challenge. It is becoming ever more difficult for the human mind to rise above itself, individually and collectively, and to speak courageously about the world that it has made and the world that it can want to make in the future. Soon we may simply be unable to do so.

A CHANGE OF MIND

This book offers a system of ideas designed to allow us to take stock of the human condition in the twenty-first century, and to rise above it, and to make it better. It is focused on the most remarkable achievement of the human species — human society, as a system of human self-ordering under law — the main arena of the drama of human history and of the human future.

False fatalism has suggested that human society is a more or less random by-product of the dramatic struggles of human history. Human society is certainly a product of the struggles of human history. But it is entirely a product of human minds. For thousands of years, we have thought about the nature and purpose and potentiality of human collective existence. Actual states of the human world, throughout history, have reflected past and actual states of that thinking. Why should we suppose that we have reached the end of self-creative thinking?

We make the destiny that makes us. We should praise ourselves and blame ourselves for what we are and what we become. In the twenty-first century, our self-made destiny confronts us with an unprecedented challenge. It is a universal social problem. It is also a universal human problem. How are all human beings to survive and flourish together in a world which has now brought all human beings together into one single struggle for human survival and human flourishing? How can all human beings live a good life together?

The first step on this daunting journey is a radical change in our minds, a transformation of general human self-consciousness, a rejection of the false fatalism that feeds despair and impotence, a revival of human self-understanding and self-confidence. As at so many previous great
turning points in human history, we need new ideas for making a new human reality in a new world-historical situation.

1.13 We who are paradoxical optimists oppose false fatalism and negate it dialectically. We look at the human world as it was. We see the human world as it is. We choose to make a human world that is better.
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