

# Index

---

- ability to pay 70, 155–7
- Agarwal, A. 55, 64, 77, 178, 185
- anthropogenic climate change 1, 56–7, 110, 131
- atmosphere, organization of rights to use 133–5
- Bali Principles of Climate Justice 65–6
- Barrett, S. 21, 24, 33
- Barry, B. 94, 111
- ‘beneficiary pays’ principle (BPP) 107, 121–6, 130
- Bolivia 63
- Bovens, L. 69, 139–40, 143
- Brazil 59, 61, 63, 69–70, 73
- burden-sharing proposals
  - cosmopolitanist 74–8
  - experts 68–74
  - governments 63–4
  - international 78–82
  - limitations 82–3
  - NGOs 64–8
- Caney, S. 49, 70, 72, 74, 77, 102, 108–9, 112, 123–5, 132, 136–7, 139, 148–51, 175, 177–8, 187
- carbon budgets, equitable sharing of
  - ability to pay 155–7
  - conditions for possession of natural resources
    - customary law 139–40
    - extrapolating status of territorial natural resources 138
    - rightful ownership 140–45
  - distributing rights and duties to populations 136
- equality
  - determining what should be equalized 136–7
  - as source of injustice 137–8
- equity 152–5
- future directions for 162
- intellectual operations in issue of rights 131–3
- organization of rights to use atmosphere 133–5
- principle of responsibility
  - repayment for past emissions
    - exceeding fair shares 158–9
  - responsibility after 1990 160–61
  - retrospective illusion 160
  - terrestrial sinks 160
- principles of common ownership and equality per capita 135–6
- right to unchanged local environment
  - arbitration between conflicting rights 151
  - concept of environment for self-organizing, open systems 145–7
  - intrinsic fragility 145
  - link to essential human rights 148–50
  - substantive law 147–8
- carbon market case 182–7
- Chauvier, S. 44, 49–52, 54, 79, 133, 151
- civic order 170, 173, 177–82, 192
- climate change
  - definitions and notations 56
  - equitable burden-sharing proposals 63–83
  - evolution of emissions and concentrations 58–9
  - historical emissions data 59–62
  - historical responsibility for factors of exemption from 119–27
    - premises of 115–19
    - summary of time scales 129–30
    - types of debt 127–9
  - international negotiations and orders of worth 173–82

- moral conditions for challenging liability of a person 113–15
  - morphology of 57
  - period of doubt regarding 58
  - rights involved in 131–51
- climate justice
  - adversarial approach to 4
  - Bali principles of 65–6
  - condition for international 78–9
  - cosmopolitanist approach to 74–5, 156–7
  - differences of opinions on 72
  - intuition and reason 9
  - justification theory
    - approach 164–5
    - outlook on 166–73
  - non-cooperation option condemning 198
  - orders of worth and international climate change negotiations 173–82
  - passionate views and beliefs 164
  - upstream impacts of regulatory regime 182–7
  - use of fables 13
- climate threat
  - and circumstances of justice 19–22
  - global scale of 1
  - inability to protect against 30–31
  - responsibility for 190
  - scientific and geopolitical features of 57–62
- collective responsibility of states 75, 108–9, 129–30
- common good principle 166–70, 176
- common humanity
  - foundation 47–8
  - principle 166
- commutative justice 10–11, 30–31, 35, 43
- cooperation approach 19
- Copenhagen Conference of the Parties (COP 15) 3, 8, 31, 37, 58, 64, 66, 119, 126, 191, 198–9
- corrective justice 11
- cosmopolitanism 48–54, 74–8, 153
- customary law 139–40
  
- Davidson, M.D. 96, 107, 130
- dead, duties toward 18–19, 91–4
  
- debt
  - odious 109, 112
  - two types of 127–9
- deep pocket doctrine 155
- den Elzen, M.G.J. 60–61, 69, 78
- differentiation principle 166
- discounting
  - future values, rationale of
    - common ground 86–7
    - inequality between generations issue 87–9
  - structural change in price system through time 90
  - understanding pure time preference 87
- morality 88–90
- as unreliable tool 90
- distributive justice
  - and allocation of emissions quotas 35–6
  - importance of 198–9
  - international relations without 43–4
  - as limited to field of natural resources 52
  - theory and nature of 11–12
- domestic-traditional order 170, 173–4, 176, 189, 192, 194–7
  
- ecological debt 4, 41, 64–5, 158
- Elster, J. 10, 13, 26, 46, 136
- epistemic community model 28–9, 32, 43
- equality
  - determining what should be equalized 136–7
  - and equity 14
  - per capita 81, 135–6, 178
  - as source of injustice 137–8
- equitable sharing of carbon budget *see* carbon budgets, equitable sharing of
- equity
  - in allocation of rights to reduce emissions 152–5, 157, 162
  - defining 78, 84
  - distributive 131, 136–7
  - and efficiency 23–4
  - and equality 14
  - and justice 13
  - see also* intergenerational equity

- experts
  - burden-sharing proposals 68–74
  - calculating carbon budgets 132
  - scientific 31–3, 43, 173
- fame order 170
- France 59, 63–4
- future generations
  - alternative promises to
    - domestic 194–7
    - industrial 192–4
    - justification orders 191–2
  - discussion of duties toward 18–19, 91–4, 164
  - limits of justice 197–9
  - transmission of patrimony to 194–7
- Gardiner, S. I., 95, 120, 161
- GDP
  - and ability to pay 157
  - allocating GHG emissions quotas 68–71, 73, 188
  - and capacity for emissions abatement 78
- GHG emissions
  - burden-sharing proposals 63–83
  - and concentrations 58–9
  - countries' historical 59–62
  - long-term convergence of rights 179–82
  - moral arguments 107–11
- global justice 12–13, 43, 49, 54, 114, 186, 187
- Godard, O. viii, 68–70, 111, 139, 145, 161, 163, 165–6, 171, 188, 194
- Goldemberg, J. 76, 122, 125
- goods
  - access to bundles of 187
  - climate stability as superior 107
  - cosmopolitan 52
  - and discounting 86, 89–90
  - distribution of 11, 20, 23–4, 44, 46
  - ecosystem 158
  - emissions due to 62, 138, 156, 176
  - and local justice 13
  - and market and industrial polities 192
  - market order fuelled by desire for 170
  - pluralism of common 54, 191
  - primary
    - access to 16, 18, 103, 136
    - distributive justice 46
    - inequalities in possession of 142
    - Rawls's notion of 20
  - private and public
    - distinction between 26
    - trade-offs between 24
  - provision of 21
- Gosseries, A. 55, 74, 96, 101, 122, 136
- grandfathering approach 69, 71–2, 84, 135, 139, 143, 173–4
- Guardabassi, P.M. 76, 122, 125
- Hayward, T. 51, 136, 178
- historical emissions
  - allocating GHG emissions quotas 70–73
  - country data 59–62, 81
  - cumulative 62, 116
  - exceeding fair shares, repayment for 158–9
  - Meyer, L.H., on 159
  - present generation's lack of control over 121
  - prior to 1980s/1990s 126
  - thesis of wrongfulness of 123
- historical responsibility
  - before 1988 107–8, 118–19, 126–7, 129–30, 162, 164, 190
  - applying ahistorical obligation 107–8
  - Brazil's proposals 63, 69–70
  - collective responsibility of states 75, 108–9, 129–30
  - concept 7, 113
  - from developed world to developing world 1–2, 65
  - embracing many types of justice 41
  - factors of exemption from
    - 'beneficiary pays' principle 121–6, 130
    - ignorance 119–21, 129
    - lack of control over past emissions 121
    - retrospective illusion trap 126–7
  - and future rights according to population 76–8
  - vs grandfathering approach 71–2
  - and historical emissions 59–62

- moral conditions for challenging liability of a person 113–15, 129, 155
  - premises of 115–19
  - and repayment for past emissions exceeding fair share 158–9
  - and retrospective determination of fair share 75–6
  - types of debt 127–9
  - United States case 81
- human rights 40–41, 45–6, 66–7, 102, 146, 148–50, 178
- humankind
  - as collective person 111
  - natural resources as common property of 74
  - as unified polity model 39–41, 43
  - coordination variables 41
  - drawbacks 42
- Huseby, R. 122, 123–5
- ignorance 119–21, 129–30
  - see also* veil of ignorance
- India 61, 64, 73, 78
- industrial order 171, 173–4, 176, 186, 192–4, 197
- inequality between generations 87–9
- innovation 192–4
- inspired order 170, 192
- intergenerational equity
  - duties to dead and unborn 91–4
  - issue of 85, 87–9
  - justice between distant generations 94–111
  - and long-term convergence of emissions rights 179–82
  - utilitarian answer 86–90
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
  - establishment 29, 119
  - example of international cooperation 29–30
  - coordination variables 30
  - drawbacks 30–33
  - reports 57, 68, 119–20, 152, 158, 179
- international cooperation
  - and global justice conditions for 53–4
  - international justice and Rawls's Law of Peoples 44–7
  - international relations without distributive justice 43–4
  - new cosmopolitan frontier 48–54
  - pluralist internationalism of Risse 47–8
- models
  - epistemic community 28–9
  - humankind's imaginary institution as single political community of world citizens 39–43
  - IPCC 29–33
  - mutually advantageous agreement between self-interested states 33–9
  - Paretian 36
  - necessity as not uniform across climate strategies 22
  - Paris Agreement preserving existence of 3
  - principle of sovereignty of states in 80
  - resolution of uncertainty regarding 58
- international institutions
  - criticism of injustice of 50
  - lack of democratization in 51
- international justice 44–7, 53, 79–80, 153, 157
- international relations
  - and cosmopolitanism 54
  - and shaping climate protection regime 21
  - structure of, regarding climate change threat 198
  - without distributive justice 43–4
- international viewpoint on burden-sharing 78–82
- internationalism, pluralist 47–8
- intuition 95, 97–8, 102, 110, 116, 123, 189
- intuition-based judgements 8–9, 49–50
- investment formula principle 166
- Jonas, H. 96, 111, 180, 193
- justice
  - articulating with models of international coordination 27–43

- beyond 189–91
- change in problem of 186–7
- circumstances of 16–19
  - and climate threat 19–22
- and climate change
  - climate threat 57–62
  - definitions and notations 56
  - equitable burden-sharing proposals 63–83
- debate on rights and wrongs 8
- between distant generations 94–111
- and equity 13
- injustice 123–6, 129–30
  - of economic structures 50
  - equality as source of 137–8
- intergenerational 91, 197–9
- between international and global 43–54
- intuition-based judgements 8–9
- justification theory 166–73
- limits of 197–9
- nature of
  - main fields 10–13
  - norms 13–15, 182
  - scope 9–10
- new upstream issues of 182–6
- theory
  - Rawls's theory 15–22
  - utilitarianism 23–5
- see also* climate justice
- justification theory
  - compromise 171–2
  - from justification to coordination 168–71
  - model of ideal polity 165–6
  - nature of 165
  - objective of 166
  - orders of worth and climate change negotiations
    - civic order 177–82
    - intermediate situation 173–7
  - realities linked with orders of justification 167–8
  - situations 172–3
  - upstream impacts of regulatory regime
    - change in problem of justice 186–7
    - new upstream issues of justice 182–6
- Khor, M. 132, 137, 159, 162
- Kverndokk, S. 55, 77, 174
- Kyoto Protocol 3, 7, 34–5, 37–8, 58, 64, 130, 154–5, 158, 161, 175–6, 178, 188, 190
- Law of Peoples 44–7, 52, 188
- lifetime transcending interests
  - drawbacks 93–4
  - and intergenerational equity 87
  - moral argument 94
  - as not applying to unborn 96
  - as source of rights and duties 91–2
- local justice 13, 136, 153
- Locke, J. 74, 140–45, 162
- market order 170–71, 173–6, 192
- Mary Robinson Foundation 66
- Meyer, L.H. 96, 103–5, 107, 125, 159–60
- Miller, D. 43–4, 53–4, 75–6, 80, 82, 109, 112, 114–15, 117, 119, 123, 130, 153, 178
- Moellendorf, D. 2, 70, 74, 76, 78, 103–5, 112, 114, 120, 130, 137, 140, 143–4, 150–52, 157, 188
- moral conditions for challenging liability of persons 113–15
- moral individualism 108–9
- moral order
  - global egalitarian 53–4
  - universal 48
- moral positioning 48–52
- moral standing 111
- moralist approach 189–91
- mutually advantageous agreement
  - between self-interested states model 33–4
  - coordination variables 34–7
  - obstacles 37–9, 43
- Narain, S. 55, 64, 77, 178, 185
- natural resources
  - civic order and industrial order 170–71
  - and climate justice 66
  - as common property of humankind 74
  - customary law 139–40
  - extrapolating status of territorial 138

- increasing scarcity of 90
  - ownership 50–51, 140–45
  - principle of permanent sovereignty of states over 55, 79–80, 138, 162
  - value of 192–3
- Neumayer, E. 74, 77, 120, 143, 158, 179
- NGOs
  - ability to influence 21
  - burden-sharing proposals 64–8
- non-existence issue
  - limits to rights 99–100
  - parables 97–9
  - rights and moral obligations 95–6
- non-identity
  - potential solutions
    - abandoning moral individualism for state ethics 108–9
    - applying ahistorical obligation to protect climate 107–8
    - closing time horizon on coexisting generations 110–11
    - enforcing moral and political standing for humankind as collective person 111
    - utilitarianism 106–7
  - problem 101–5, 124–5, 129–30, 180
- Nussbaum, M. 15, 26, 47–50, 53, 84
- odious debt 109, 112
- orders of worth
  - and climate change negotiations 173–82
  - nature viewed within 170–71
  - principle 166
  - responding to polity model 166
- Oslo Principles on Global Climate Change Obligations 67–8
- ownership
  - natural resources 50–51, 140–45
  - principles of common 135–6
- Page, E.A. 9, 108, 122–3, 125
- Paretianism 33, 36, 82, 106, 153
- Pareto approach 23–5
- Paris Agreement 3, 5, 32–3, 39–40, 58, 60, 71, 155, 175, 190–91
- Paris Conference of the Parties (COP 21) *see* Paris Agreement
- Parks, B.C. 50, 64, 66
- patrimony 194–7
- pluralist internationalism 47–8
- polity model principles 166
- ‘polluted pays’ principle 133, 147, 151, 163
- population
  - allocating GHG emissions quotas 68–71, 73, 75–6, 174
  - allocations proportional to 177–82
  - determining countries’ future rights according to 76–8, 83
  - distributing rights and duties to 136
- Posner, E.A. 24, 33, 36, 70, 82, 106, 112, 136, 177, 186
- productive investment 192–4
- pure time preference 86–7
- Rawls, J. 8–9, 11–12, 15–22, 25, 36, 41–2, 44–7, 49–50, 52, 70–71, 73, 77, 87–8, 92–3, 95, 188
- reason-based judgements 8–9, 49–50
- redistribution
  - and ability to pay 155–6
  - Chauvier on 52
  - and climate regime 186–7, 199
  - content of duties between states 49
  - and distributive justice 11, 15, 46
  - and equity 152–3
  - internal 82
  - international 48, 80
  - moral and political foundation of global 49–50
  - moral arbitrariness issues 108–9
  - social willingness for 88
- reflective equilibrium 8–9, 49–50
- regulatory regime 182–7
- responsibility
  - after 1990 160–61
  - causal 60, 70, 114, 129, 155, 158
  - cognitive 172
  - collective 75, 108–9, 129–30
  - common but differentiated 2, 41, 58, 150, 154–5
  - country 154–5, 157
  - foundations of moral thought on 113–14
  - limits of generational 181
  - moral 109, 111, 115, 118–19, 155, 158, 180, 190

- nuances of 114–15
- outcome 115, 119, 158
- political 109, 190
- principle of 158–61
- remedial 114, 121, 158
- state 40–41, 68, 70–71, 108–9, 138
  - see also* historical responsibility
- retrospective illusion 126–7, 160
- Risse, M. 47–8, 50, 52, 76, 78, 135, 140
- Roberts, J.T. 50, 64, 66
  
- sacrifice principle 166
- self-organizing systems 145–7
- Sen, A.K. 23, 50, 83–4, 91, 191
- Shelton, D. 26, 40, 67, 111
- Shue, H. 2, 8, 18, 25, 55, 69, 72, 74–5, 77–8, 83, 116–18, 120, 132, 147–8, 150–53, 161–2, 174, 181
- social values
  - dependency on 13–15
  - and utilitarianism 23
- sovereignty of states
  - equal 44
  - equal per capita criterion 81, 178
- equality 69
  - over natural resources, principle of 55, 79–80, 138, 162
  - rule contradicting 81
  - and rules of international justice 79, 83
- substantive law 147–8
- Stern, N. 87, 112, 162
- Sunstein, C.R. 136, 161, 177
  
- technology, substitution through 192–4
- terrestrial sinks 160
- Thompson, J. 6, 18, 87, 91–6, 110, 130, 195
- Trump, Donald 3
  
- unborn *see* future generations
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) 1–2, 7–8, 32, 40–41, 58–9, 69, 80, 113, 126, 131–2, 138, 140, 152, 154, 158, 176, 178–9, 190
- USA
  - burden-sharing proposals 64, 81
  - comparison of emission rights allocations 73
  - contributions to GHG emissions 61
- utilitarianism 12, 23–5, 86–90, 106–7
  - as sacrificial doctrine 16, 87
  
- Vanderheiden, S. 18, 26, 74, 78, 81, 120, 132
- veil of ignorance 16, 42, 45–6, 49, 51, 88, 92, 188
  - see also* ignorance
- Visser 't Hooft, H.P. 18, 36, 103
  
- Weisbach, D.A. 24, 33, 36, 70, 82, 106, 112, 177, 186
  
- Young, H.P. 13, 26, 74, 84, 139

