

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	viii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Researching the <i>jus cogens</i> regime	1
1.2 In search of identifying criteria	7
1.2.1 The significance of the Vienna Convention	7
1.2.2 Identifying criteria suggested by discussants	9
1.2.3 The many suggested examples of <i>jus cogens</i> norms	15
1.3 In search of legal consequences	19
1.3.1 The significance of the Vienna Convention	19
1.3.2 The many suggested examples of legal consequences	23
1.3.3 Why discussants disagree	28
1.4 Ways ahead: the necessity of a metaperspective	34
2. Legal positivism and legal idealism	40
2.1 Introduction	40
2.2 Legal positivism	41
2.2.1 The concept of law	41
2.2.2 Law and value	44
2.2.3 The validity of legal norms	46
2.2.4 Law and legal structure	47
2.2.5 Law and social order	49
2.3 Legal idealism	50
2.3.1 Law and social order	50
2.3.2 Law and value	53
2.3.3 The validity of legal norms	59
2.3.4 Law and legal structure	60
3. The source of <i>jus cogens</i> obligations and no-competences	63
3.1 Introduction	63
3.2 Legal positivism	65
3.2.1 The challenge	65
3.2.2 Creating and establishing law	67

3.2.3	The source of <i>jus cogens</i> obligations and no-competences	73
3.3	Legal idealism	81
3.3.1	Appeals from the contrary	81
3.3.2	The legal idealist's explanation in positive terms	86
4.	The role of state consent in the creation and modification of <i>jus cogens</i> norms	93
4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	Modification of <i>jus cogens</i> norms	94
4.2.1	Legal positivism	95
4.2.2	Legal idealism	98
4.3	The role of state consent	101
4.3.1	Legal positivism	102
4.3.2	Legal idealism	106
5.	The identification of <i>jus cogens</i> norms	110
5.1	Introduction	110
5.2	The necessary justification	112
5.2.1	Legal positivism	112
5.2.2	Legal idealism	113
5.3	How to provide justification	115
5.3.1	Legal positivism	115
5.3.2	Legal idealism	122
6.	The scope of <i>jus cogens</i> : possible delimitations of the concept	134
6.1	Introduction	134
6.2	The personal scope of application of <i>jus cogens</i> norms	135
6.2.1	Legal positivism	135
6.2.2	Legal idealism	138
6.3	<i>Jus cogens</i> norms as obligations <i>erga omnes</i>	139
6.3.1	Legal positivism	141
6.3.2	Legal idealism	143
6.4	Norms having the character of a principle	143
6.4.1	Legal positivism	147
6.4.2	Legal idealism	149
7.	<i>Jus cogens</i> and the concept of a normative conflict	154
7.1	Introduction	154
7.2	The concept of a conflict of norms	156
7.3	The proper definition in context	161
7.3.1	Legal positivism	163

7.3.2	Legal idealism	169
7.3.3	Jurisdictional immunities of the state	173
8.	<i>Jus cogens</i> and the individuation of norms	175
8.1	Introduction	175
8.2	The proper criteria for the individuation of norms	178
8.2.1	Legal positivism	178
8.2.2	Legal idealism	180
8.3	Exceptions to <i>jus cogens</i> norms	182
8.3.1	Legal positivism	183
8.3.2	Legal idealism	189
8.4	The enforcement of primary <i>jus cogens</i> obligations	191
8.4.1	Legal positivism	192
8.4.2	Legal idealism	195
8.5	<i>Jus cogens</i> and international responsibility law	196
8.5.1	Legal positivism	200
8.5.2	Legal idealism	202
9.	Significance of the findings for future international legal discourse	204
9.1	The findings of the investigation	204
9.2	Outlook for future international legal discourse	209
	<i>List of sources</i>	214
	<i>Index</i>	235