

Index

- Aarhus Convention 145, 146–7,
199–200, 229, 241
- Adelman, S 30, 32
- African Charter on Human and
Peoples' Rights, *Social and
Economic Rights Action Center v
Nigeria* 27
- Agenda 21 4, 10, 15, 309
- Ahmed, F 145
- Albornoz, M 42
- Allan, T 206
- Alvarez, G 295–6
- Alvarez, J 40
- Am, M 36
- Ama, O 297
- Andhyarujina, A 215
- Ansong, A 299
- Aplin, T 14, 128, 134
- Arbury, L 199
- Atapattu, S 23
- Austin, L 175
- Australia
climate change risk cases 103
environmental law 81
JT international v Cth 281
Land and Environmental Court
(LEC) 229–31
renewable energy installations and
planning permission 102
restriction of use of environmentally
significant land 182
*Taralga Landscape Guardians v
Minister for Planning* 102
US–Australia FTA 286, 292
- Austria
*In re Vienna-Schwechat Airport
Expansion* 135–6
- Averchenkova, A 8, 95
- Baghai, K 176
- Bailey, D 199
- Baker, B 279
- Baker, D *x*, 16, 88
- Baker, K 50
- Barbosa, D 85
- Barczewski, M 60
- Barnard, C 85
- Barton, J 16
- Beatson, J 201, 215
- Beaumont, P 178
- Becker, L 75
- Beckett, J 303
- Bentsi-Enchill, K 37
- Berman, P 37, 173, 178, 179
- Berne Convention 19–20
- Berry, T 182
- Bhufania, A 215
- Birss, C 128
- Bjorge, E 36, 190, 194, 195, 197
- Black, J 174
- black holes 138, 147, 164, 168, 180,
204, 209, 236
- Blackman, S 116
- Bodansky, D 5, 9, 23, 34, 62, 79
- Bogojevic, S 39
- Boomer, E 302
- Bosetti, V 12
- Bosselmann, K 4, 31–2, 79, 182, 1
183
- Botelho, A 116
- Bourdieu, P 225
- Boyle, J 69, 87, 226
- Brew, G 7
- Brexit 45, 55, 65, 133, 200, 204–205,
249, 265–6, 275
- Brodie, D 133
- Brown, A *ix*, *xi*, *xiv*, *xv*, *xvii*, *xxi*, *xxii*
- Brown, C 100, 297

- Brown, K 233
 Brunnee, J 35
 Bubela, T 15
 Burdon, P 181
 Burn-Murdoch, H 64
 Burns, M 11
 Burrows, A 193–4, 200, 201, 203,
 204, 242, 245
- Caine, C 154
 Calabresi, G 86
 Calboli, I 60
 Callinan, C 30, 181, 182, 207, 233
 Calvillo, C 46
 Canada
 Comprehensive Economic and
 Trade Agreement (CETA) 39,
 287–9, 290, 292, 300–301
Geophysical services v Encana 130
 TPP (Trans-Pacific Partnership)
 266, 289–90, 301
- carbon capture facility funding,
 Scotland 45, 46
- Cardullo, M 222
 Carnworth, Lord 100
 Carroll, M 14
- case studies 115–73
 black holes 138, 147, 164, 168
 conflict and driver for change
 121–4
 international and national
 jurisdiction overlaps 122–4
 new technologies and passage of
 time, effects of 121
- case studies (case study 1), emissions
 reduction from increased use of
 low noise technology (case study
 1) 117, 129–38, 209–10, 216
- copyright and freedom of
 information legislation
 regarding the environment and
 oil and gas 130–31
- copyright legislation possibilities,
 and public interest provision
 129–31, 134, 135–6
- Crown use provisions, patents and
 designs 126–8, 209–10
- Energy Performance Certificate
 (EPC) 127, 131–2
- IP and competition 131–3
- IP and competition, dominance
 abuse issues 132–3
- IP and human rights 133–7, 210
- IP infringement consideration
 126–31, 216
- IP and statutory landscape 137,
 209–10
- patents and compulsory licensing
 128–9
- case studies (case study 2), emissions
 reduction, and impact of
 government plans to encourage
 wider activity to reduce
 emissions 117–18, 139–41, 211,
 216
- common design issue 140
- Crown use and patent and design
 compulsory licensing 139–40,
 211
- IP infringement and BEIS 140–41,
 211, 216
- joint tortfeasorship 140
- case studies (case study 3),
 obligations under climate change
 legislation 118–19, 141–7,
 211–12, 216, 217–18
- Energy Performance Certificate
 (EPC) 142–3
- IP infringement and BEIS 146, 169
- judicial review availability 144–7
- judicial review availability, and
 Aarhus Convention 145, 146–7
- NGO climate change action 143–7,
 216, 217–18
- UK Climate Change Act (CCA) and
 mandatory obligations to
 reduce emissions 143–4,
 146–7, 211–12
- case studies (case study 4), planning
 law, local council climate change
 legislation obligations and
 discretionary powers 119–20,
 147–59, 212–13, 216

- Aberdeenshire Local Development Plan (2017) 151–2, 153, 154, 156
- copyright and planning conditions 157
- decision makers 149–50
- injunctions and damages 158–9
- IP actions and planning impact 157–9, 216
- noise considerations 153–4, 158
- patent and design and planning conditions 157–8
- planning enforcement process 155–7, 212–13
- planning enforcement process, failing to comply 156–7
- planning enforcement process, withdrawal 157
- planning permission and IP owner's consent 154–5
- planning permission subject to conditions 152–7
- planning system (Scotland) 148–59
- representations and appeals 154–5
- Scottish Government Onshore Wind Policy Statement 150–51
- technological uncertainty considerations and environmental impact 154
- wider development plans, consideration of 150
- case studies (case study 5), noise issues, planning law, local council climate change legislation obligations and discretionary powers 120–21, 153–4, 158, 159–70, 213, 216
- climate change actions 169–70
- consent application 162–3
- Electricity Act 149, 162, 165
- environmental impact assessment 162–3, 167
- IP infringement action 161, 213, 216
- IP owner, actions against 161–2
- judicial review 165–9
- judicial review and human rights 166–7
- judicial review, NGO and local council involvement 168–9
- judicial review, regard to differing views 167
- judicial right interest 165–6
- noise issue 163
- planning permission conditions 164
- planning and pollution overlap 163–4
- technology sharing and duty of care 161
- Wind Policy Statement 162
- Catenacci, M 12
- CETA (Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement) 39, 287–9, 290, 292, 300–301
- Chapman, A 28
- Chon, M 60
- Church, J 97
- Claeys, E 86
- Clara, R 294
- Clayton, R 190, 193, 194
- climate change
 - international climate change responses 3–8
 - and investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) 272–4, 285–91, 309
 - legislation obligations *see* case studies (case study 3)
 - local council legislation *see* case studies (case study 4)
 - local council legislation and noise issues *see* case studies (case study 5)
 - national legislation and policy making *see* national legislation and policy making, climate change perspective
 - new judicial approaches and Climate Change and Technology-related (CCT) human rights 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 215
 - NGO climate change action, case studies (case study 3) 143–7, 216, 217–18

- technology-based responses to climate change 38–40, 236–7
- and Wild Law *see* new judicial approaches, Wild Law and climate change focus
- climate change law, conflict and alignment 79–85, 95–110
 - air quality obligations 103
 - constitutional implications 97–8
 - ecosystem-based approach 79–80, 86
 - emission reduction levels 95–100
 - environmental law lessons 80–82 and government structures 110–11 and human rights 98, 99–100, 104–109
 - IP, limited levels of engagement with 96–7
 - judicial review 98–9, 103–104
 - obligations 95–7
 - obligations and potential for litigation 97–100
 - parliamentary process 111–12
 - planning permission and land use 102
 - and precautionary principle 81–2, 106
 - public bodies and companies, litigation against 100–101
 - public versus private law 82–5
 - regulation effectiveness 103–104
 - societal values regarding environmental protection 81–2
 - state action steering private sector to deliver outcomes which meet state's obligations 84–5
 - tax arrangement changes 103
 - technological development effects 96
- Climate Change Principles for Enterprises 25
- Collins, D 275
- Collins, R 42
- Colon-Rios, J 181
- common design 140
- commons and IP rights 76–7, 87
- competition law 65, 131–2, 133, 143
- complementary approaches to IP and technology-based responses to climate change 38–40
 - investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) 39–40
 - trade and investment agreements and investor disputes 39–40
 - UK climate change legislation 38–9
- Conconi, P 293
- conflict and alignment *see* climate change law, conflict and alignment; IP law, conflict and alignment; IP rights, conflict and alignment
- Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) 4, 10, 15
- copyright 13, 18, 20
 - Crown Use provision 93 and design intersection 91, 93 and freedom of information legislation 130–31
 - infringement and direct or indirect reproduction 94
 - licensing 92, 93, 128–9, 139–40, 211, 241
 - originality requirement 90–91 and planning conditions, case studies (case study 4) 157 and public interest provision 93, 129–31, 134, 135–6 *see also* designs, registered; IP; patents; trade marks
- Cornish, W 70
- Correa, C 21, 269
- courts
 - balancing laws and remedies awards 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213
 - discretionary powers *see* case studies (case study 4) and planning law
 - new international investment court suggestion 297–8 *see also* cases (listed under individual countries and courts); 'judicial' headings
- Coyle, S 81, 86, 89, 102, 113, 171, 191, 198

- CPTPP (Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership) 266, 289–90, 301
- Craig, R 205
- Crown use 92–3, 126–8, 139–40, 209–10, 211
- Culver, K 16, 88
- Cunningham, R 87, 181, 182, 226
- Currie, B 179
- damages, and planning law 158–9
- Davies, A 85
- Davison, M 282
- Daya-Winterbottom, T 197
- De Meeus, J 11
- De Paor, R 35
- Dechezlepretre, A 34, 223
- Derclaye, E 59, 90, 91
- designs, registered 13, 18, 20
 - copyright and design intersection 91, 93
 - Crown use provisions 93, 126–8, 139–40, 209–10, 211
 - licensing 92, 93, 128–9, 139–40, 211, 241
 - see also* copyright; IP; patents; trade marks
- Dicey, A 190–91
- Dinwoodie, G 177–8
- dispute settlement *see* investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS); WTO, Dispute Settlement
- Dodd, J 221
- Douglas-Scott, S 37, 122, 123, 124, 172, 176, 189, 190
- Drahos, P 19, 20, 71
- Drake, L 36
- Dreyfuss, R 14, 231, 279
- Dupuy, P-M 294, 298
- Dworkin, R 123, 187
- Earth Charter 29, 31, 50, 181
- Earth Summit 4–5, 10–12, 15, 50, 84, 111
- ecosystem-based approach, climate change law 79–80, 86
- Edenhofer, O 68
- Eisenberg, R 74
- Elliott, M 193, 205
- emissions
 - Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009 (CCSA) 49–51, 52, 64, 80, 96, 97
 - reduction from increased use of low noise technology *see* case studies (case study 1)
 - reduction, and impact of
 - government plans to reduce *see* case studies (case study 2)
 - reduction levels, and climate change law 95–100
 - third climate change plan 2018–2032, Scotland 45–6
 - UK, Climate Change Act (CCA) 97, 98, 112, 143–4, 146–7, 211–12
- energy efficiency standards, national legislation and policy making 45, 46, 50
- energy innovation, national legislation and policy making 53–5
- Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) 127, 131–2, 142–3
- England
 - Civil Justice Council and consideration of new rules 232
 - Regulatory Reform and Sanctions Act 83
 - Senior Courts Act 232
 - see also* Scotland; UK
- England, cases
 - A (No2) v Secretary of State for the Home Department* 193
 - AJA v Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis* 194
 - Alconbury v Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport 150*
 - Anderson v Secretary of State for the Home Department* 195
 - Armstrong DLW v Winnington Networks* 87
 - Ashdown v Telegraph Group* 134–5, 139, 157, 208, 210, 211, 213

- Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority* 137
- AXA General Insurance v HM Advocate* 145, 165
- British American Tobacco UK Ltd v Secretary of State for Health* 166, 281
- Bromley LBC v Greater London Council* 202–203
- Bucks CC v Secretary of State for Transport* 195
- Re Budniok* 202
- Calveley v CC of Merseyside* 144
- Campbell v MGN Ltd* 134, 198, 214–15
- Child Poverty Action Group v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* 194
- ClientEarth v Secretary of State for Food, Environment and Rural Affairs* 103
- Coventry v Lawrence / Lawrence v Fen Tigers* 158–9, 162
- Designers Guild v Russell Williams* 94
- Dimmock v S of S Children, Schools and Families* 145
- Donoghue v Poplar Housing* 85
- Drax Power Ltd v Revenue and Customs Commissioner* 103
- Edwards Lifesciences v Boston Scientific* 158
- Edwards v Environment Agency* 145
- Eli Lilly v Actavis* 94
- FA Premier League v QC Leisure* 65
- Fish & Fish Ltd v Sea Shepherd UK* 141
- Friends of the Earth v Secretary of State for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform* 97, 143
- Gateshead MBC v S of S for the Environment* 164
- General Tire v Firestone* 90
- Ghaidan v Godin Mendoza* 195
- Glyn v Weston Feature Film Co* 91
- Hillingdon LBC v Secretary of State for Transport* 242
- HM Stationery Office v Automobile Association* 131
- Holder v Gedding* 102, 150
- Home Office v Harman* 194
- Hopkins Developments Ltd v First Secretary of State* 164
- HRH Prince of Wales v Associated Newspapers* 135
- HS2 Action Alliance Ltd v Secretary of State for Transport* 195
- HTC v Nokia* 158
- Hulme v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* 153
- Hyde Park Residence v Yelland* 129
- Innes v Information Commissioner* 242
- Intel Corporation v Via Technologies* 65
- Jackson v Attorney General* 205
- JH Rayner (Mincing Lane) Ltd v Department of Trade and Industry* 63
- JS v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* 197
- Kennedy v Information Commissioner* 192
- Larner v Solihull Metropolitan BC* 97
- Lethem v Secretary of State for Transport* 164
- Lucasfilm v Ainsworth* 91
- Ludlow v Williams* 158
- McCartan Turkington v Times* 202
- In re McKerr* 197
- Magmatic Ltd v PMS International Ltd* 94
- Miller v Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union* 205, 237
- MMI Research Ltd v Cellxion Ltd* 127
- Moohan v Lord Advocate* 194–5, 205–206
- O (A Child) v Rhodes* 192
- Pepper (Inspector of Taxes) v Hart* 200, 242, 250, 252

- Pfizer Corp v Minister of Health* 141
- Philips Electronics NV v Inman Ltd* 133
- Phillips v News Group Newspapers* 200
- Pioneer Aggregates (UK) Ltd v S f S for the Environment* 174–5
- PJS v News Group Newspapers* 215
- Plan B Earth v Secretary of State for Business, Enterprise and Industrial Strategy* 98–100, 108–9, 121, 144, 160, 198
- Pozzoli v BDMO* 90
- Quintavalle v Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority* 200, 203
- R v Horseferry Road Magistrates Court* 143
- R v Inland Revenue Commissioners ex p National Federation of Self-Employed and Small Businesses* 145
- R v Lyons* 63
- R v Panel on Takeovers & Mergers (ex p Datafin)* 85
- R v Rochdale MBC ex p Milne (no 1)* 154
- R v Secretary of State for Home Department ex p Simms* 194
- R v Secretary of State for Home Dept ex p McQuillan* 191
- R v Smith* 242
- R(O) v Secretary of State for International Development* 145
- Royal College of Nursing of the UK v Department of Health and Social Security* 203
- Ryanair Ltd v HM Revenue and Customs* 246
- Salomon v Commissioners of Customs and Excise* 137
- ShelleyFilms Ltd v Rex Features Ltd* 91
- Smartsources Drainage v Information Commissioner* 84
- Smith Kline & French Laboratories Ltd Cimetidine Patent (No.2)* 128
- Solar Century Holdings Ltd v S of S for Energy and CC* 97
- Spath Holme Ltd v Secretary of State for the Environment, Transport and the Regions* 185, 202
- Sportswear Co SpA v Stonestyle Ltd* 131, 132
- Synthon v Smithkline Beecham* 90
- Tesco Stores Ltd v Secretary of State for the Environment* 150
- Thoburn v Sunderland City Council* 195
- Three Rivers DC v Bank of England* 200
- UBS AG v Revenue and Customs Commissioners* 200
- Unison v Lord Chancellor* 194
- University of London Press v University Tutorial Press* 90
- Unwired Planet International Ltd v Huawei Technologies Ltd* 142
- Various Claimants v Wm Morrison Supermarkets* 215
- Wilson v First County Trust (No 2)* 200, 242
- Wobben Property GmbH v Siemens* 90
- Worboys* 145, 146
- X (Minors) v Bedfordshire CC* 144
- environmental impact assessment 154, 162–3, 167, 182–3
- environmental law 80–82, 86–9, 104, 106
- Ethier, W 36
- EU
- competition law 65, 131–2
- Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) 39, 287–9, 290, 292, 300–301
- environmental law 81
- EU-Japan Agreement for an Economic Partnership 290–91
- human rights 24, 27–8, 108

- investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS), non-trade factors contributions 266, 298–301
- IP and climate change 17–18
- IP Enforcement Directive 63
- Strategic Energy Technology Plan 34
- TTIP (Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership) 266, 299–300
- EU, cases
- Accord ECG* 300
- Achmea* 300
- Carvalho v Parliament and Council* 108
- Fish Legal v Information Commissioner* 84
- Huawei Technologies v ZTE* 142
- IMS Health v NDC Health* 65, 132
- Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening* 90–91, 94
- Krizan v Slovenska inspekcija zivotneho prostredia* 130
- Magill* 132
- Microsoft Corp v Commission* 65, 132
- Philip Morris Brands Sarl v Secretary of State for Health* 166, 281
- Radio Telefis Eireann v Commission of the European Communities* 132
- Stichting Greenpeace Nederland v European Commission* 130
- United Brands Co v Commission of the European Commission* 132
- Volvo AB v Erik Veng* 132
- Eurodefence 65, 131
- European Court of Human Rights
- Anheuser-Busch v Portugal* 27
- Balan v Moldova* 27
- Sporrong & Lonnroth v Sweden* 27
- Evensky, J 68
- fair and equitable treatment, investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) 267, 272, 276–7, 280, 283–4, 285, 307–308
- Feldman, D 183, 199, 203, 257
- Feldman, M 296
- Fiedler, S 290
- Firth, A 86, 135
- Fischer-Lescano, A 176–7, 178, 179
- Fisher, E 41, 100, 109, 175
- Fisher, W 70
- France-Hudson, B 62, 87
- Francioni, F 269
- Franck, S 295, 297, 307
- Frankel, S 20, 36, 272, 279
- freedom of information 84, 130–31, 192, 215
- French, D 271, 292
- Friedman, D 191, 196
- Fuller, L 1, 9, 177, 186–7, 219
- future legislative conflict avoidance *see* integration delivery, future legislative conflict avoidance
- Galloway, K 182, 198, 207
- Gardiner, S *xiv*, 79
- Gearty, C 134, 191, 192, 193, 247
- Geddes, K 279
- Geiger, C 28
- Germany, compulsory licensing legislation 92
- Gervais, D 20, 60, 303
- Ghaleigh, N S 2, 5, 17, 62, 103
- Gill, G 182, 229, 230, 236
- Ginsburg, J 19, 74
- Gold, R 15
- Gordon, G 228
- Gordon, W 75
- Gover, D 244, 245, 246, 247, 249
- government structures, and climate change law 110–11
- Graham, S 74
- Greenberg, D 199, 242, 244, 245, 246, 247, 249, 252
- Greenhalgh, C 70
- greenhouse gas emissions *see* emissions
- Griffiths, J 135, 166, 282

- Grosse Ruse-Khan, H 36, 37, 40, 177, 270, 274
- Habermas, J 225–6
- Hall, B 77
- Hansom, J 3
- Hardin, G 76
- Harrison, J 298
- Hart, H 187–8
- Helfer, L 28, 37, 313
- Heller, M 76
- Hellinx, E 277, 295
- Hemel, D 78
- Henckels, C 284, 293
- Hettinger, E 70, 71, 72, 73, 76, 86, 87, 126
- Hiebert, J 247
- Hilson, C 80
- Himsworth, C 165, 166
- Hirsch, M 294, 295
- Ho, C 271
- Howarth, D 205
- Howe, H 88, 183
- Hsu, S-L 62
- Huber, M 298
- Hughes, J 75, 76
- human rights
 - and climate change law, conflict and alignment 98, 99–100, 104–109
 - and IP, case studies (case study 1) 133–7, 210
 - and judicial review, case studies (case study 5) 166–7
 - new courts consideration, UK Intellectual Property, Climate Change and Technology Act 240
 - new judicial approaches *see* new judicial approaches, human rights
 - Scotland's reputation as a leader in 45
 - and structural change call, Scotland 257–8
- Humphreys, S 13
- Hunt, M 197
- Hunter, D 2, 3, 5
- Hutchens, G 103
- hybrid action, new judicial approaches, human rights 215–17
- ICSID
 - Allard v Barbados* 272–3
 - Burlington Resources Inc v Republic of Ecuador* 273
 - Canada v Eli Lilly* 40
 - Metalclad Corporation v United Mexican States* 292, 294
 - Philip Morris v Oriental Republic of Uruguay* 40, 283–5, 293, 308
 - Tecmed v United Mexican States* 292
 - Waste Management v United Mexican States II* 280
- Iljadica, M 93
- incentive rationales, IP rights 70–72, 77–8
- India
 - Ahmed v India* 190
 - Forward Foundation v State of Karnataka* 230
 - human rights and climate change actions 189–90, 197
 - National Green Tribunal (NGT) 181–2, 229, 230–31
 - Subhas Kumar v State of Bihar* 189
- injunctions, and planning law 158–9
- innovation
 - energy innovation, and national legislation 53–5
 - Innovation Fund, Scotland 45, 141
 - Manufacturing Future for Scotland 47
 - Ofgem competition 55–6
 - promotion, and integration delivery 222–4
 - Saltire Prize 51–2, 56
- integration delivery 219–64
 - barriers removal issues 225, 226, 231, 239, 243, 261
 - legislation use 234–43

- legislation use, existing platforms 234–5
- new judicial approaches 176–80, 215–17
- new rules consideration 231–4
- new rules consideration, existing possibilities 231–2
- new rules consideration, new structural intervention 233–4
- new rules consideration, substantive amendment to existing legislation 235–6
- oil and gas industry 219–22
- oil and gas industry, regulatory analogies 223–5
- oil and gas industry, renewable energy links 221–3
- oil and gas industry, Wood Report and Maximising Economic Recovery (MER) programme 223–4
- remedies 239, 243, 254, 262–3
- resistance management 219–27
- and systems theory 226–7
- technology-based responses to climate change 236–7
- theoretical justifications for direct integration 225–7
- integration delivery, future legislative conflict avoidance, Scottish level 254–62
 - Commission on Parliamentary Reform and committee collaboration 256–7, 259–60, 261
 - current process 255–7
 - existing and potential connections 257–60
 - human rights and structural change call 257–8
 - judicial review 257
 - standing orders review 260–61
 - structural changes, proposed 260–62
- integration delivery, future legislative conflict avoidance, UK level 244–54
 - draft legislation review opportunities 249–50, 252
 - drafting and committee contribution opportunities 244–7
 - impact assessments 246–7, 252
 - Industrial Strategy Green Paper recommendations 252–3
 - Joint Human Rights Committee 246, 247–9, 251–2
 - new statement proposal 253–4
 - Select Committees 246–7
 - structural suggestions 250–51
 - temporary joint Commons and Lords Committee proposal 252–3
- integration delivery, IP power holders, challenge from 219–24
 - competition questions 224
 - and innovation promotion 222–4
 - oil and gas industry 219–20
 - oil and gas industry, hydroelectric industry regulation comparison 224
- integration delivery, new courts consideration 228–31
 - examples outside UK 229–31
 - specialist Scotland-wide environmental court, dismissal of 229, 230–31
- integration delivery, new courts consideration, legislative proposals for UK Intellectual Property, Climate Change and Technology Act 237–43
 - and Aarhus Convention obligations 241
 - clarity requirement and detailed instructions 241–2
 - and human rights 240
 - impact 242–3
 - IP licensing terms and formalities 241
 - justification for 239–41
 - and proportionality 239–40
 - working with 241–3
- Inter-American Commission, global warming in the Arctic 27

- International Bar Association, Model Statute on Legal remedies for Climate Change 25
- International Court of Justice, *Gabcikovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary/Slovakia)* 24
- International Energy Charter 33, 34
- International Law Association, Declaration of Legal Principles Relating to Climate Change 17
- international and national jurisdiction overlaps 122–4
- International Panel on Climate Change 101
- investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) 265–310
- climate change and the environment 272–4, 289, 290–91, 309
 - complementary approaches to IP and technology-based responses to climate change 39–40
- Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) 266, 289–90, 301
- draft Dutch model BIT and sustainable development 291
- EU-Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) 39, 287–9, 290, 292, 300–301
- EU-Japan Agreement for an Economic Partnership 290–91
- expansion 268–9
- fair and equitable treatment 267, 272, 276–7, 280, 283–4, 285, 307–308
- integrated approach 274–5
- IP and climate change 285–91
- IP as covered asset 269–79
- Korea-US Agreement 287
- mega-regional agreements 266, 268
- new framework proposal 304–309
- new framework proposal, independent expert integrated decision making 307
- new framework proposal, minimum standard and fair and equitable treatment 307–308
- opportunities 267–9
- proportionality principle 281, 285, 293–4, 308
- sustainable development 288, 291, 294, 302–303, 309
- trade agreements 265–7
- US-Peru Trade Promotion Agreement 286–7
- US–Australia FTA 286, 292
- and WTO, Dispute Settlement approach 293–4
- investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS), national-level proposals, analogies to 275–85
- plain packaging disputes and right to use trade marks 281–5
- regulatory action, *Bilcon v Canada* and core community values concept 279–81
- regulatory action, *Bilcon v Canada*, standard of treatment requirement 280
- statutory interpretation, IP innovation, *Eli Lilly v Canada* 275–9, 292
- statutory interpretation, IP innovation, *Eli Lilly v Canada*, patent utility evidence 276, 277–8
- investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS), non-trade factors 291–303
- application and interpretation 291–5
- decision making, impact of nature of 295–301
- EU contributions 266, 298–301
- EU contributions, Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) 266, 299–300
- new international investment court suggestion 297–8
- new model suggestions 302–303

- IP
- challenge on integration delivery
 - see* integration delivery, IP
 - power holders, challenge from
 - and competition 131–3
 - as covered asset 269–79
 - and human rights 133–7, 210
 - impact of government plans to
 - encourage wider activity to
 - reduce emissions (case study 2)
 140–41, 211, 216
 - infringements 64, 126–31, 146, 161, 169, 213, 216
 - innovation 275–9, 292
 - national legislation and policy
 - making, climate change
 - perspective 61–5
 - and planning impact 154–5, 157–9, 161–2, 216
 - and statutory landscape 137, 209–210
 - TRIPS Agreement 20–22, 35, 36, 37, 59, 60, 63, 88, 90, 94, 236, 241, 287, 288, 309
 - WIPO 18–19, 31, 55
 - see also* copyright; designs, registered; patents; trade marks
- IP and climate change
- climate change law and limited levels of engagement 96–7
 - instrumental engagement 14–18
 - investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) 285–91
 - IP protection and impact on
 - technology access and transfer
 15
 - private rights and state goals, possible conflict between 15–16
 - relationship between 1–2, 13–18
- IP law, conflict and alignment 89–95
- copyright and compulsory and collective licensing regimes 93
 - copyright and design intersection 91, 93
 - copyright infringement and direct or indirect reproduction 94
 - copyright and originality requirement 90–91
 - copyright and public interest defence 93
 - copyright, registered designs legislation and Crown Use provision 93
 - criteria for existence of rights 89–92
 - patent and compulsory licensing 92
 - patent legislation and Crown Use provision 92–3
 - patents and importance of variants 94
 - patents and novelty requirement 90
 - stewardship approach suggestion 81, 88, 89, 94, 113
 - term limits 92
- IP rights, climate change perspective
- Scotland 49, 52
 - UK 55, 56, 58–61
- IP rights, conflict and alignment 69–79, 86–9
- and environmental law 86–9
 - and environmental law, information environment 87–8
 - and environmental law, and stewardship approach 88–9
 - history of benefits and disadvantages 70–72, 74, 75
 - and innovation 69
 - IP rights, commons and tragedy of anticommons 76–7, 87
 - and link between the work and the person 75–6
 - natural rights approach 74–5
 - and patent thickets 76–7
 - public goods and private rights conflict 69–72
 - reward and incentive rationales 70–72, 77–8
 - social contract rationale and climate change 73–4
 - utilitarian rationale and future innovation 73, 74, 77–8

- Ireland, *Friends of the Irish Environment CLG v Fingal County Council* 107–108
- Jacob, R 126, 222
- Japan, EU-Japan Agreement for an Economic Partnership 290–91
- Jayawickrama, N 134
- Jolly, S 189
- Jones, G 164
- judicial approaches, new *see* new judicial approaches
- judicial review
- climate change law, conflict and alignment 98–9, 103–104
 - and human rights 107–108
 - integration delivery, Scotland 257
 - obligations under climate change legislation 144–7
 - and planning decisions 149–50, 165–9, 226
 - see also* cases (listed under individual countries and courts); courts
- judicial right, title and interest, case studies (case study 5), noise issues, planning law, local council climate change legislation obligations and discretionary powers 165–6
- Karpilow, Q 78
- Kavanagh, A 195, 196, 217, 247
- Kernochan, J 19
- Kim, R 31
- King, J 240
- Kizer, K 301
- Klimchuk, D 175
- Klug, F 134, 247
- Knoll-Tudor, I 294
- Knowles, D 76
- Knox, J 23
- Korea-US Agreement 287
- Korth, P 37
- Kramer, L 179
- Ku, C 5
- Kulovesi, K 11
- Kur, A 60
- Kyoto Protocol 6, 15–16, 23, 101
- Lai, J 74
- Lametti, D 77
- Lane, E 13, 86, 223
- Lang, A 37
- language use, and new judicial approaches, statutory interpretation 206–207
- Lazacrowicz, M 237, 256, 257, 259
- Lazarus, R 80
- Lazo, R 290
- Lee, B 16
- Lee, M 162
- legitimate radicalism, new judicial approaches 183–9
- Leistner, M 91
- Lemley, M 68, 70
- Levesque, C 299
- Levine, D 14
- Lieberman, J 281
- licensing 92, 93, 128–9, 139–40, 211, 241
- see also* patents
- Liddell, K 204, 270, 276, 278
- Liebenberg, S 190
- Lin, J 100, 104, 105
- Liscow, Z 78
- Llewelyn, D 128
- Lo, C 294–5
- local council climate change legislation *see* case studies (case study 4); case studies (case study 5)
- Locke, J 74, 75, 76
- Lorenzen, E 179
- Loy, F 6
- Lybbert, T 9
- McCluskie, J 256
- McCorkindale, M 221
- MacCormick, N 177, 188, 201
- McEleavy, P 178

- McFadden, J 237, 256, 257, 259
 McGrady, B 283
 McHarg, A 97, 144, 146, 204–205, 256
 Machlup, F 72, 75
 MacKinnon, R 7
 McLachlan, C 292
 McMaster, P 144
 McMaster, R 47
 Macmillan, F 22
 MacQueen, H 133
 Macrory, D 222, 243
 Macrory, R 69, 81, 83, 89, 97, 98, 114
 Magnus, U 100
 Makuch, Z 189
 Malkani, B 205
 Malloy, R 68
 Maloney, M 181, 182, 198, 207
 Mandel, G 68
 Marceau, G 36
 Margil, M 80
 Maskus, K 69, 70
 Masterman, R 134
 Matthews, D 16
 Meadows, D 3
 Mehling, M 62–3
 Melamed, D 86
 Meltzer, J 290
 Mercurio, B 270, 287–8
 Merges, R 70
 Merry, S 37
 Mestral, A de 269, 270, 281, 285, 286, 295
 Meyer, T 2
 Michaels, R 176, 177, 179, 180
 Mikadze, K 298
 Miles, K 274, 297
 Mitchell, A *xxii*, 271, 274, 281, 284, 286, 293, 294, 295, 302
 Morgera, E 11
 Morrow, K 81, 86, 89, 102, 113, 171, 191, 198
 Mullen, T 166
 Munro, J *xxii*, 271, 274, 286, 295
 Murray, C 177, 233
 Naiki, Y 37
 national and international jurisdiction overlaps 122–4
 national legislation and policy making, climate change perspective, Scotland 45–53
 carbon capture facility funding 45, 46
 Climate Change Bill and Paris Agreement 46, 51
 Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009 (CCSA) and greenhouse gas emissions 49–51, 52, 64, 80, 96, 97
 diesel and petrol cars, phasing out 45
 energy efficiency standards 45, 46, 50
 Energy Strategy and renewable energy 47
 human rights, reputation as a leader in 45
 Innovation Fund 45, 141
 IP infringement and discretionary interdict 64
 IP rights 49, 52
 Manufacturing Future for Scotland and innovation 47
 planning system and climate change 47–9, 50, 52–3
 public bodies involvement 47–8, 49–50, 61
 Royal Society of Edinburgh, Energy Inquiry 47, 50
 Saltire Prize and innovation 51–2, 56
 Scottish National Planning Framework (NPF3) and energy efficiency 52–3
 sustainable development 49–50
 technology and third climate change plan 2018–2032, greenhouse gas emission reduction targets 45–6
 Wind Policy Statement 48–9
see also climate change; Scotland national legislation and policy making, climate change

- perspective, technology, IP and climate change conflict 61–5
 - climate change enforcement 64
 - and EU competition law 65
 - treaty obligations in national courts 63–5
- national legislation and policy
 - making, climate change perspective, UK 53–9
- Carbon Plan and technology focus 57–8
- Clean Growth Fund 55
- Clean Growth strategy and IP 54
- Climate Change Act (CCA) 56–7, 64, 80, 81, 96
- Climate Change Act (CCA) and greenhouse gas emissions 97, 98, 112, 143–4
- Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) 53, 54–6, 98, 110, 140–41, 169
- Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS) 96
- Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC) 54–5, 96
- Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) 54–5, 96
- energy innovation 53–5
- Industrial Strategy White Paper and low carbon technologies 53–4
- Innovate UK 54–5
- InnovateUK 55
- IP infringement and discretionary injunction 64
- IP rights 55, 56, 58–61
- offshore wind target 58
- Ofgem competition and innovation 55–6
- patents and commercial exploitation issues 59, 90
- Renewable Energy Roadmap 57
 - see also* climate change; UK national-level proposals, and investor–state dispute settlement
 - see* investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS), national-level proposals, analogies to
- natural rights approach, IP rights, conflict and alignment 74–5
- Netherlands
 - draft Dutch model BIT and sustainable development 291
 - Urgenda Foundation v The State of the Netherlands* xx, 105
- new framework proposal, investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) 304–309
- new international investment court suggestion 297–8
- new judicial approaches 174–218
 - black holes 180, 204, 209
 - established hierarchies 177–8
 - fragmentation and integration of international law 176–80, 215–17
 - fragmentation and integration of international law, and *lex specialis* 177–8, 180
 - legislation interpretation, judicial creativity, coherence and integrity 186–9
 - legislation interpretation and misunderstood intentions 185–6
 - legislation interpretation, purpose and conflicting interests 183–6
 - legitimate radicalism 183–9
 - private international law and classification of action 178–9, 215–17
 - ProEarth approach 198, 206, 208, 211, 213, 215, 235–6, 239, 308
- new judicial approaches, human rights 189–98, 214–17
 - cause of action and new changes 215–17
 - freedom of expression and freedom of information 192, 215
 - Human Rights Act (HRA) impact and common law 190–93, 208, 215
 - hybrid action 215–17
 - and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 198, 208
 - and unincorporated treaties 196–7

- new judicial approaches, statutory interpretation 199–207
- and Aarhus Convention 199–200
- Climate Change and Technology-related (CCT) human rights 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 215
- conflicting range of human rights relevant to facts 208
- courts balancing laws, interpretative possibilities and rights 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213
- courts balancing laws, remedies awards 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213
- engagement with silence 202, 204–205
- identification of relevant fields of law 207, 209, 210, 211–12, 212–13
- judicial disobedience possibility 205–206
- lack of silence or ambiguity 201–202
- and language use 206–207
- meaning, context and legitimacy consideration 206, 209, 210, 211, 212
- purposive-based approach 199–200
- societal and technological change 203–204, 207
- wider goals of the legislature 201–203
- new judicial approaches, Wild Law and climate change focus 180–83, 191, 198, 206–207
- and climate change focus, initiatives 181–2
- environmental impact assessment and downstream consequences 182–3
- and prioritisation of nature 182
- property and ecocentric relationship with nature 183
- and restriction of use of environmentally significant land 182
- technology engagement, limited 183
- new model suggestions, investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS), non-trade factors 302–303
- new rules consideration *see under* integration delivery
- New Zealand
 - Sarah Thomson v The Minister for Climate Change Issues* 101
 - Re Xstrata Coal Queensland* 102
- NGO involvement 143–7, 168–9, 216, 217–18
- Ni, K-J 16
- noise issues
 - emissions reduction from increased use of low noise technology *see* case studies (case study 1)
 - and planning law *see* case studies (case study 5)
- Nollkaemper, A 63
- non-trade factors, investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) *see* investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS), non-trade factors
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) 266, 285–6, 292
 - Bilcon v Canada* 279–81
 - Eli Lilly v Canada* 275–9, 292, 306, 307–308
 - International Thunderbird v United Mexican States* 297
 - SD Myers v Government of Canada* 292
 - TransCanada Corp v USA* 273–4
- Nozick, R 75
- Nsoh, W 84
- Oberthur, S 35
- O'Donoghue, A 177, 257
- oil and gas industry 90, 130–31
 - integration delivery *see under* integration delivery
- Okediji, R 270–71, 279, 302, 303
- O'Neill, A 257
- O'Neill, C 165, 166
- Ortino, F 284

- Osofsky, H 27, 100, 110, 218, 228, 243
- Osterwalder, A 9
- Ouellette, L 78
- Pakistan, *Asghar Leghari v Federation of Pakistan* 104–105
- Palmer, S 85
- Paris Agreement *xi-xiv, xvi-xvii*, 6–8, 17, 24, 25, 26, 32–3, 37, 46, 50, 51, 57, 290
- parliamentary process, and climate change law, conflict and alignment 111–12
- patents
- commercial exploitation issues 59, 90
 - Crown use provisions 92–3, 126–8, 139–40, 209–10, 211
 - licensing 92, 93, 128–9, 139–40, 211, 241
 - and planning conditions 157–8
 - thickets 76–7
 - utility 276, 277–8
 - variants, importance of 94
 - see also* copyright; designs, registered; IP; trade marks
- Paterson, J 227
- Patron, M 7
- Pauwelyn, J 60, 176, 177, 179, 180
- Pavoni, R 294
- Peel, J 27, 80, 100, 109, 110, 217, 218, 228, 243
- Penrose, E 72, 75
- Perez, R 158
- Perry, A 145
- Peru, US-Peru Trade Promotion Agreement 286–7
- Petersmann, E-U 269, 297
- Pettinger, M 8
- Philippines, climate change and human rights 105
- Pillai, A 84
- plain packaging disputes and right to use trade marks 281–5
- planning conditions 157–8
- planning law 102, 182
- case studies *see* case studies (case study 4); case studies (case study 5)
- Plaza, C 85
- Popp, D 34
- Pottage, A 71
- precautionary principle, and climate change law 81–2, 106
- Preston, B 46, 100, 161–2, 174, 208, 229
- private international law, classification of action and new judicial approaches 178–9, 215–17
- private law, public law versus 82–5
- private rights, public goods and private rights conflict 69–72
- private sector, state action steering private sector to deliver outcomes which meet state's obligations 84–5
- ProEarth approach 198, 206, 208, 211, 213, 215, 235–6, 239, 308
- proportionality principle
- and integration delivery, new courts consideration 239–40
 - investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) 281, 285, 293–4, 308
- public bodies and companies, litigation against 100–101
- public bodies involvement, national legislation and policy making, Scotland 47–8, 49–50, 61
- public goods and private rights conflict 69–72
- public interest provision 93, 129–31, 134, 135–6
- public law, private law versus 82–5
- public versus private law, climate change law, conflict and alignment 82–5
- Pyc, D 60
- Ragavan, S 60
- rationale for IP, utilitarian 73, 74, 77–8
- Raz, J 184, 185
- Reaume, D 180

- Reichman, J 69
- Reid, C 64, 84, 97, 98, 143, 144, 148, 150, 258
- Reid, E 22, 40, 284, 293–4
- Reinisch, A 298
- remedies
- courts balancing laws 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213
 - integration delivery 239, 243, 254, 262–3
- Renewable Energy Roadmap 57
- resistance management, integration delivery 219–27
- reward and incentive rationales, IP rights, conflict and alignment 70–72, 77–8
- Richard, C 221
- Ricketts, A 182
- Rimmer, M *ix-xxiii*, 267, 290
- Rio+20 conference 23, 30
- Rio Declaration 4, 10, 23, 309
- Rittel, H 80
- Rivkin, D 292
- Robinson, N 4
- Rogers, M 70
- Rogers, N 181, 182, 198, 207
- Ronneberg, E 3
- Rosati, E 91
- Ross, A 209, 259
- Ross, C 124
- Ruiz Bou-Nigm, V 271, 296
- Russell, M 244, 245, 246, 247, 249
- St John, T 269
- Sales, P 191, 194, 197, 215
- Sandeen, S 14
- Santamauro, J 16, 223
- Sardinha, E 299, 300
- Sarnoff, J 78
- Saunders, C 63
- Savaresi, A 32
- Scarman, Lord 174–5, 201
- Schacherer, S 268
- Scherer, F 70, 222
- Schill, S 297
- Scotford, E 44, 81, 100
- Scotland
- Aberdeenshire Local Development Plan (2017) 151–2, 153, 154, 156
 - Civil Justice Council and consideration of new rules 231–2
 - Climate Change Bill and Paris Agreement 46, 51
 - climate change and national legislation *see* national legislation and policy making, climate change perspective, Scotland
 - Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009 (CCSA) 49–51, 52, 64, 80, 96, 97, 235–6, 258
 - Commission on Parliamentary Reform 256–7, 259–60, 261
 - Electricity Act 149, 162, 168
 - hydro-electric sector and public/private law 83–4
 - Innovation Fund 45, 141
 - integration delivery *see* integration delivery, future legislative conflict avoidance, Scottish level
 - Manufacturing Future for Scotland and innovation 47
 - planning system (Scotland) 148–59
 - publicly owned not-for-profit energy company proposal 84
 - renewable energy installations and planning permission 102
 - Royal Society of Edinburgh, Energy Inquiry 47, 50
 - Saltire Prize and innovation 51–2, 56
 - Scotland Act and legislative changes 237, 258
 - Scottish National Planning Framework (NPF3) and energy efficiency 52–3
 - specialist Scotland-wide environmental court, dismissal of 229, 230–31

- third climate change plan
 2018–2032, greenhouse gas
 emission reduction targets 45–6
- Wind Policy Statement 48–9,
 150–51, 162
- Wood Report and Maximising
 Economic Recovery (MER)
 programme 223–4
see also case studies; England; UK
- Scotland, cases
- British Airports Authority v
 Secretary of State for Scotland*
 153
- Christian Institute v Lord Advocate*
 165
- City of Edinburgh Council v S of S
 for Scotland* 150
- Elsick Developments v Aberdeen
 City* 153
- Ineos Upstream v Lord Advocate*
 163, 166, 237
- Kaur v Lord Advocate* 197
- McGinty v Scottish Ministers* 165
- Mackie v Askew* 63
- Moray CC v Scottish Ministers* 150
- North East Fife DC v S of S for
 Scotland* 153
- Rape Crisis Centre v Secretary of
 State for the Home Department*
 165
- RSPB v The Scottish Ministers* 102,
 119, 163, 167, 168–9, 199
- Re Scottish Old People's Welfare
 Council* 165
- Sustainable Shetland v Scottish
 Ministers* 102, 149, 168, 169
- Trump International Golf Club v
 Scottish Ministers* 153
- Walton v The Scottish Ministers* 145
- Whaley v Lord Watson of
 Invergowrie* 257
- Wordie Property Co v Secretary of
 State for Scotland* 165
- Scott, P 93
- Scott, S 5
- Sedley, S 189
- Segger, M-C 33, 285
- Sell, S 60
- Seymour, S 74
- Shaffer, G 35
- Sharpe, J 301
- Sherman, B 71
- Sherwood, R 70
- Shiffrin, S 74
- silence engagement, new judicial
 approaches 201–202, 204–205
- Sims, A 91
- Slade, A 38
- Slater, A-M 47, 150
- social contract rationale 73–4
- societal and technological change, and
 new judicial approaches
 203–204, 207
- societal values regarding
 environmental protection 81–2
- Soltau, F 82
- South Africa
- BP v MEC for Agriculture,
 Conservation, Environment and
 Land Affairs* 189
- Director of Mineral Development v
 Save the Vaal* 189
- human rights and climate change
 actions 189, 190, 197
- Laugh it Off v South African
 Breweries* 190
- Ministry of Health v Treatment
 Action Campaign* 190
- Spiers, J 100
- Spijkers, O 105
- Stallworthy, M 8, 98, 144–5
- standing orders 145, 260–61
- Stark, S 240
- state action steering private sector to
 deliver outcomes which meet
 state's obligations 84–5
- statutory interpretation
- emissions reduction from increased
 use of low noise technology
 (case study 1) 137, 209–10
- IP innovation, *Eli Lilly v Canada*
 275–9, 292
- new judicial approaches *see* new
 judicial approaches, statutory
 interpretation

- stewardship approach suggestion, IP law, conflict and alignment 81, 88–9, 94, 113
- Stiglitz, J 68
- Stokes, B 3
- Stone, C 181, 183
- Strandburg, K 14
- Strowel, A 11
- Summers, R 188, 201
- Sumner, D 9
- sustainable development 4–5, 10, 29, 31, 49–50
- draft Dutch model BIT 291
 - investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS) 288, 291, 294, 302–303, 309
 - and new judicial approaches, human rights 198, 208
- systems theory, and integration delivery 226–7
- Tainsh, L 50
- Taylor, A 52
- technology
- complementary approaches to responses to climate change 38–40
 - development effects, climate change law 96
 - emissions reduction from increased use of low noise technology *see* case studies (case study 1)
 - integration delivery and technology-based responses to climate change 236–7
 - national legislation and policy making, climate change perspective 61–5
 - new judicial approaches, Climate Change and Technology-related (CCT) human rights 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 215
 - new judicial approaches, societal and technical context of legislation 207
 - new judicial approaches, societal and technological change 203–204
 - new technologies and passage of time, effects of 121
 - patents and technology access and transfer 15
 - and public goods perspective 68–9
 - sharing and duty of care 161
 - and third climate change plan 2018–2032, Scotland 45–6
 - UK Carbon Plan and technology focus 57–8
 - uncertainty considerations and environmental impact 154
 - Wild Law and limited engagement 183
 - wind farm technology case studies *see* case studies
- technology and climate change
- Earth Charter 29
 - human rights, changes in approach 29–33
 - human rights and the environment, link between 24
 - human rights, international 23–6
 - human rights, and IP protection 27–9, 75
 - human rights, regional 26–7
 - international recognition of technology and Earth Summit 10–12
 - IP and legal conflict concerns 34–8
 - IP and legal conflict concerns, national level 37–8
 - IP and legal conflict concerns, regime shifting 37
 - IP protection 27–9
 - legal landscape 22–33
 - patents and copyright 13–14, 19–20, 28–9
 - relationship between 1, 8–13
 - sustainable development 22–3, 26–7, 29–31
 - technology responding to climate change 9

- technology transfer issues 10–11, 12–13
- Tereposky, G 298
- Teubner, G 37, 176–7, 178, 179, 227
- Tierney, S 257
- Topham, G 8
- Torremans, P 178
- TPP (Trans-Pacific Partnership) 266, 289–90, 301
- Trade marks, plain packaging disputes 281–5
see also copyright; designs, registered; IP; patents
- TRIPS Agreement 20–22, 35, 36, 37, 59, 60, 63, 88, 90, 94, 236, 241, 287, 288, 309
- Tsilikas, H 142
- TTIP (Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership) 266, 299–300
- Tung, K-Y 268, 297
- UK
 Brexit 45, 55, 65, 133, 200, 204–205, 249, 265–6, 275
 Carbon Plan and technology focus 57–8
 Clean Growth Fund 55
 Climate Change Act (CCA) 56–7, 64, 80, 81, 96, 97, 98, 112, 143–4, 146–7, 211–12, 235–6, 250
 climate change legislation, complementary approaches 38–9
 climate change and national legislation *see* national legislation and policy making, climate change perspective, UK
 Copyright Designs and Patents Act (CDPA) 129–31, 135, 234–5
 Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) 53, 54–6, 98, 110, 140–41, 169
 Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC) 54–5, 96
 Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) 54–5, 96
 Electricity Act 149, 162, 165
 Energy Innovation Board 54–5
 Environment (Principles and Governance) Bill 81–2
 freedom of information 84
 Human Rights Act (HRA) 98, 133–5, 190–93, 208, 215
 Industrial Strategy Green Paper recommendations 252–3
 integration delivery *see* integration delivery, future legislative conflict avoidance, UK level
 Intellectual Property Act 234, 247, 249
 Intellectual Property, Climate Change and Technology Act proposals *see* integration delivery, new courts
 consideration, legislative proposals for UK Intellectual Property, Climate Change and Technology Act
 Joint Human Rights Committee 246, 247–9, 251–2
 Ofgem competition and innovation 55–6
 Patents Act 126
 Renewable Energy Roadmap 57
see also England; Scotland
 UN Declaration on the Right to Development 22
 UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) 4, 5, 6–8, 10–13, 16–18, 24–5, 34, 37, 101, 290
 UN General Assembly, ‘Transforming our World’ 30–31, 50
 UN Global Judges programme 81
 UN Human Rights Council 24–5
 UN Millennium Development Goals 23
 UNCITRAL
Methanex v Unites States of America 294
Philip Morris v Australia 282

- Sustainable Development Goals 309
- UNESCO, Venice Statement on the right to enjoy the benefits of science 26
- UNESCO Universal Copyright Convention 19
- Universal Declaration of the Rights of Mother Earth 30, 181, 198, 208, 240
- Upreti, P 40
- US
- Clean Air Act 101
 - climate change 93, 103, 106–107, 189
 - human rights and climate change actions 106–7, 189
 - Korea-US Agreement 287
 - nuisance actions and effect of fossil fuels on sea levels 102
 - TPP (Trans-Pacific Partnership) 266, 289–90, 301
 - TTIP (Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership) 266, 299–300
 - US-Peru Trade Promotion Agreement 286–7
 - US–Australia FTA 286, 292
- US, cases
- BG Group v Republic of Argentina* 267
 - California v BP* 102
 - City of Oakland v BP* 102
 - County of San Mateo v Chevron* 102
 - Exxon Mobil v Attorney General* 103
 - Marin County v Chevron* 102
 - Massachusetts v EPA* 101
 - Paice LLC v Toyota Motor Corp* 86
 - Youth/Juliana v United States* 106–7, 189
- utilitarian rationale and future innovation 73, 74, 77–8
- Vadi, V 294
- Van Asselt, H 63
- Van Calster, G 44
- Vanhonnaecker, L 286
- Vaver, D 72, 73, 74, 77
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties 36, 277, 291–2, 299
- Von Benda-Beckmann, F 37
- Voon, T 266, 267, 268, 281, 284, 293, 302
- Vytautas, M 60
- Waibel, M 270, 276, 278
- Waldron, J 73, 77, 78
- Walker, D 190
- Walker, N 38, 122, 180
- Watchman, J 155
- Watson, B 221
- Weatherall, K 290
- Webber, M 80
- Wei, Z 36
- Weisbach, D 79
- White, R 199
- Whitman, M 221
- Wiener, J 82
- Wild Law *see* new judicial approaches, Wild Law and climate change focus
- Wildbore, H 247
- Williams, A 218
- wind farm technology case studies *see* case studies
- Winter, G 81
- WIPO 18–19, 31, 55
- Wolstenholme, R 255
- Wood, G 50
- Woolley, O 8, 149, 182, 183, 252
- World Commission on Environment and Development (Brundtland Commission) 4, 22–3
- WTO
- GATT and proportionality focus 293
 - TRIPS Agreement 20–22, 35, 36, 37, 59, 60, 63, 88, 90, 94, 236, 241, 287, 288, 309
- WTO, Dispute Settlement 35, 293–4
- Australia – Plain Packaging* 281

- Canada – Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products* 36
- China – Protection of Intellectual Property* 36, 293
- European Communities – Biotech* 293
- European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos* 35
- India – Solar Cells and Solar Modules* 36
- United Arab Emirates – Trade in Goods and Services* 36
- United States – Gambling* 293
- United States – Gasoline* 36
- United States – Section 110(5) of US Copyright Act* 36
- United States – Shrimp and Shrimp Products* 293
- Young, M 37
- Yowell, P 247
- Yu, P 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 279, 296, 297
- Zasinaite, A 209
- Zegarac, J 3
- Ziemer, L 74
- Zuleta, E 297

