

Index

- accessibility 6, 48, 66, 67, 149, 152, 160
 - amendments 80–81, 139
 - clear messages 59, 60
 - codification 109, 140, 141
 - consolidation 140, 147
 - context 66, 80–81, 83, 127
 - ‘all-in-one’ messages 67
 - ‘diffuse’ messages 70–71, 78
 - ‘fragmented’ messages 73, 74
 - ‘patchwork’ messages 74, 78
 - law reform 142
 - OECD checklist 110
 - tradition and style 50
- air pollution 132
- ‘all-in-one’ messages 67–9, 78, 82, 83
- amendments 74, 75–8, 79
 - accessibility 80–81, 139
 - legislative failure 137–9, 143, 146, 147, 149
- anchoring 158–9
- apartheid 42
- Australia 60, 80, 94, 99
- automatisms 56, 79, 152, 158–62
- availability 80, 158–9

- behavioural economists 158–9
- behavioural insights 61
- behavioural law and economics 4
- Belgium 75
- benchmarks 11, 102, 118, 125
 - objectives as 36–7, 38
- bias(es) 137
 - cognitive *see separate entry*
 - confirmation 56
 - selection 117
 - status quo 158–9
- bottom up or top down approach 155–6
- bounded rationality 44, 158

- Canada 23, 36, 111
 - preambles 27–8
 - purpose clauses 26
 - Regulatory Impact Analysis 114
- China 21
- civil law countries 62, 140, 142, 147, 159–60
 - see also individual countries*
- climate change 102
- codification 71, 79, 80, 109
 - legislative failure 140–141, 142, 143, 147
 - non-discrimination provisions 160
 - ‘patchwork’ messages 76–8
- cognitive biases 4, 56, 154, 158–9
 - borrowed, imported and
 - supranational rules 162
 - legislative styles, drafting
 - conventions and regulatory culture 159–60
 - path dependence, habitual action and legislative ‘patterns’ 160–161
- coherence 6–7, 11, 53–4, 124, 126, 152
- codification 109, 140, 160
- context 65–6, 67, 71, 74, 78, 81–2, 83, 123, 127
- impact assessments 112
- simplification 109

- styles and drafting conventions 160
- common law countries 27, 62, 141, 142, 159
 - see also individual countries*
- communicating the law 4, 48–51, 54, 64, 83, 118, 124
 - clear messages 59–60
 - effective message delivery 79–82
 - equality legislation 52, 53
 - failure, legislative 133–4, 135, 145
 - superstructure and transmission of messages 66–79
- comparative law 162
- competitiveness 115
- complexity and fragmentation 74
- compliance 45, 46–7, 48, 74, 116, 118, 124, 139
 - anticipating 57–8
 - costs 47, 57–8, 99, 113
- confirmation bias 56
- consolidation 79, 80, 140, 142, 147
 - ‘patchwork’ messages 76–8
- Constitutional Courts 6, 7
 - France 44
 - Germany 6–7, 18
 - purpose 18, 19
- constitutional law/rules 5, 19, 81
- constitutional principles 42
- constitutionality
 - purpose 18
- Constitutions 5, 19, 42, 80, 98, 99, 109, 125, 133–4
- consultation 57, 109, 110, 116–19
 - effectiveness 120
- content 16, 151, 152
 - balance opposing dynamics 44
 - challenges 40
 - communicating the law 48–51
 - compliance and enforcement 45–8
 - selecting substantive rules 41–4
 - conclusions 61–3
 - effective mechanics 51
 - anticipating compliance 57–8
 - clear legislative strategy 52–3
 - clear mechanics 53–4
 - clear messages for clear communication 59–60
 - enforcement and implementation 58–9
 - experimentation 60–61
 - informed by evidence 54–6
 - selected on basis of effectiveness 56
 - effectiveness test 122–3, 124–5, 126, 127
 - heart of effectiveness 14–15
- context 15, 16, 83, 151, 152
 - effective message delivery 79
 - accessibility 80–81
 - coherence 81–2
 - how will changes to appraised 82
 - informed choices on superstructure 79
 - effectiveness test 123
 - superstructure and messages 66, 78–9
 - ‘all-in-one’ messages 67–9, 78, 82, 83
 - ‘diffuse’ messages 69–71, 78–9, 83
 - ‘fragmented’ messages 71–4, 78, 79, 82, 83
 - ‘patchwork’ messages 74–8, 83
 - why context matters 64–6
- conventions, legislative styles and regulatory culture 159–60
- ‘copy-out’ technique 162
- cost(s) 10, 11, 19, 29, 42, 59, 108
 - compliance and enforcement 45, 46, 47, 57–8, 62, 99, 113
 - cost-benefit analysis 28, 42, 56, 57, 110, 119, 146, 147, 152, 154

- impact assessments 113, 114, 115, 118, 119
 - review obligation 93
 - evaluation: cost-efficiency 95, 97
 - failure, legislative 133, 146, 147
 - impact assessments 113, 114, 115, 116, 118, 119
 - implementation 99, 102
 - evaluation 95, 97
 - learning from results 106
 - plan 59, 102
 - review 93, 104
 - path dependence 160
 - simplification 139
- definitions 60, 74, 124–5, 134
- existence or placement 59
 - ‘diffuse’ messages 69–71, 78–9, 83
 - disabled people 73
 - see also* equality
 - discrimination *see* equality
 - drafting conventions, legislative styles
 - and regulatory culture 159–60
 - due legislative process 75
- East African states 142
- Kenya 133–4
 - Mozambique 134
- economics 160
- effectiveness 59, 62, 97, 109, 119, 149, 150–155, 163
- efficacy or efficiency 9–12
 - functional link 56
 - intuitive lawmaker 157
 - lawmaker that ‘muddles through’ 158
 - potential to be effective 151
 - reflective lawmaker 158
 - test *see* effectiveness test
 - see also* content; context; purpose; results
- effectiveness test 16, 119–21, 128, 151, 154, 163
- advantages of 127–8
 - amendments 147
 - case studies 124–6
 - content 122–3, 124–5, 126, 127
 - context 123
 - failure, legislative
 - diagnosis of 144–5
 - limitations of 128
 - overarching questions 124
 - purpose 121–2, 124, 125, 126
 - results 123–4, 125, 126, 127
 - usefulness and relevance 127–8
- effects/impacts, outcomes and direct results 86–7
- efficacy 97, 109, 118, 119–20, 150, 152
- effectiveness or efficiency 9–12
- efficiency 4, 59, 97, 118, 119, 120, 150, 152
- effectiveness or efficacy 9–12
 - ‘patchwork’ messages 76
- enforcement 45–8, 52–3, 54, 116, 124, 125, 126, 127, 135
- equality 110, 118, 154–5
- content 49–50
 - clear legislative strategy 52–3
 - enforcement 47–8
 - selecting substantive rules 41–4
 - context
 - ‘all-in-one’ messages 67–9, 82
 - ‘diffuse’ messages 69–71, 79
 - ‘fragmented’ messages 71–3, 82
 - ‘patchwork’ messages 75–7, 78
 - effectiveness test: three case studies 124–6
 - purpose
 - benchmarks 36, 37
 - long title of Act 27, 30, 124
 - tactical and strategic goals 21
 - too much information 30–33

- vague language 22–5
- results
 - outcomes, impacts and 87
 - reviews 93, 99, 100–101
 - top down or bottom up approach 155–6
- equality before the law 61
- European Union 135
 - Better Regulation Guidelines 110
 - costs 58
 - Court of Justice 27, 30
 - equality laws 43, 44, 52, 126
 - ‘fragmented’ messages 72–3
 - evaluation 106
 - clauses 97–8, 106
 - impact assessments 113, 114–15
 - monitoring clauses 89
 - Parliament: evaluations 99–100
 - practical guide 110
 - purpose clauses 26
 - recitals 27
 - tax law 58
- evaluation of draft legislation: usability
 - tests 60
- evaluation or post-legislative scrutiny
 - 84–5, 87–8, 95–8, 125, 126, 137
 - clauses 97–8, 104–6
 - ‘diffuse’ messages 71
 - framework 103–4
 - learning from results 106–7
 - purpose 18
 - when, how and who 98–101
- evidence-based
 - lawmaking 8–9, 54–6, 108
 - policy making 112
 - review of legislation 7
- experimentation 60–61, 154
- extraneous or preparatory material and purpose 28, 37–9
- failure, legislative 129, 149
- anticipating and avoiding future
 - 143–4
 - ‘immune’ system of legal order 146–7
 - invest in effective lawmaking 149
 - learn from failure 147–8
 - limits of law 146
 - overarching questions 146
 - questionnaire for diagnosis of 145
 - scepticism 144
 - strategic approach to analysis of failure 144–6
- responding to 137
 - amendments 137–9, 143, 146, 147, 149
 - codification 140–141, 142, 143, 147
 - effectiveness of responses 143
 - law reform 141–3, 147
 - simplification 139–40, 143, 147
 - timing 146
- timing and actors of diagnosis of 136–7
- typology of 131–2, 144
 - combinations 135–6
 - drafting and communication 133–4
 - implementation 134–5
 - legislative design 132–3
 - understanding 130–131
- feedback 57, 116
- ‘fragmented’ messages 71–4, 78, 79, 82, 83
- France 80
 - Council of State
 - ‘patchwork’ messages 75
 - equality legislation 24–5, 36, 52
 - ‘diffuse’ messages 69–71
 - effectiveness test 125–6
 - enforcement 47, 125, 126

- 'patchwork' messages 76
 - pay equality evaluation
 - 100–101
 - selecting substantive rules 41,
 - 42–4
 - strategy 52, 54
- impact assessments 113–14
- 'patchwork' messages 75, 76
- practical guide 110–111
- purpose clauses 26
- results 100–101, 102–3, 125
 - monitoring 89, 125
 - National Assembly 99
- Fuller, L. 17, 19
- fundamental rights 15, 84, 115
- gender 20–21, 72–3, 79, 86
 - impact assessments 115
 - pay gap 21, 24–5, 36, 93
 - evaluation 100–101
 - recognition 89
- general principles of law 19
- Germany 6–7, 18, 23, 36
 - communicating the law 48
 - compliance costs 57–8, 99
 - explanatory memoranda 28–9
 - human-centred development
 - approaches 61
 - impact assessments 113
 - results 99, 100
- goals, justifications and reasons 18–20
- Greece: equality legislation 24, 36, 44,
 - 47
 - effectiveness test 126
 - inconsistency 49–50
 - strategy 52–3
- habitual action 161
- headings 59
- heuristics 2, 158
- historical legacies 42
- housing 134–5
- human-centred development approaches
 - 61
- hybrid nature of purpose 22, 152
- immigration 43
- impact assessments 109, 110, 112–16,
 - 118–19, 120
 - amendments 147
 - effectiveness test 128
 - functions 112
 - purpose 28, 29–30, 31, 34
 - questions considered by 113–14
 - reviews required by 90
- impacts/effects, outcomes and direct
 - results 86–7
- implementation 58–9, 116, 117, 118, 127
 - failure in 134–6, 139, 145
 - plan 59, 102–3
 - results *see separate entry*
- inclusivity 80
- inconsistency 97
 - content 49–50, 51, 54
 - context 65–6, 74
 - effectiveness test 126, 127
 - legislative failure 134, 135
 - codification 140
 - purpose 30, 33
- incrementalism 55, 62, 69, 79, 158
- inequalities, elimination of *see* equality
- information
 - asymmetries 73
 - disclosure 61
 - inclusivity 80
 - objectives: too much 30–33
- international rules 81
- Internet 80
- intuitive lawmaker 157
- Ireland 89, 143
- Israel 75
- Italy 100
- jargon 50

- judicial activism 7
- judicial and legislative processes 3
- judicial review 6, 7, 107
 - Germany 6–7
 - purpose 18
- justifications, reasons and goals 18–20

- Kenya 133–4

- law and economics 4, 9
- law reform 7, 141–3, 147
- layered approach 60, 61
- learning 155
 - from failure 147–8
 - from results 106–7
- legal certainty 5, 6, 61, 75, 84, 95, 109, 115, 139
- legal sociology 4, 12
- legal theory 4
- legal transplants 44, 142, 162
- legalese 50
- legalism 159
- legislative studies or jurisprudence 7–9
- legitimacy 7, 17, 18, 19, 66, 117, 118, 131
- LGBTI community 73
 - see also* equality
- life cycle of legislation 155
- limits of law 146, 154–5
- Llewellyn, K. 1
- long title to Act 27, 30, 75, 124
- loss aversion 158–9

- mapping ‘user-journeys’ 57
- media 134–5, 136, 146
- micro, meso and macro goals 21
- monitoring 87–9, 106, 125, 126
 - clauses 89, 104, 106
 - framework 103–4
 - when, how and who 98–101
- moral values 115
- morality 47, 56

- Mozambique 134
- ‘muddles through’, lawmaker that 158

- naming and shaming 61
- narrative approach 60, 61
- navigability 75, 80
- Netherlands
 - Table of Eleven 57
- non-discrimination *see* equality
- norms 3, 5, 6, 12, 46, 115, 130, 131, 146, 155
 - effectiveness 9, 10
- nudges 61

- objective or purpose clauses 25–6, 32–3, 35, 37
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) 109
 - checklist 110
 - reviews 89–90
- optimal solutions 44
- outcomes, direct results and effects/impacts 86–7

- ‘patchwork’ messages 74–8, 83
- path dependence, habitual action and legislative ‘patterns’ 160–161
- patterns 57–8, 161
- perverse incentives 73
- plain language 50–51, 142
- Poland 138
- policy 82, 95, 118, 150
 - consultation 117
 - objectives and purpose of law 22–3, 24–5, 35, 152
 - translator 3–4
- political science 4, 131, 160
- post-legislative scrutiny *see* evaluation or post-legislative scrutiny
- Pound, R. 162
- power games 107

- preambles 27–8, 31–3, 37
- precedent 7
- preparatory or extraneous material and purpose 28, 37–9
- principles to guide lawmaking 4–9
- privacy 110
- problem-solving approach 153
- proportionality 5, 10, 109, 124
 - content 54, 122
 - purpose 18, 19
- ‘protector pattern’ 161
- public policy analysis 153–4
- purpose 16, 17–18, 39, 85–6, 151, 152
 - benchmark of effectiveness 13–14
 - challenges 18
 - (intended) goals and (real) effects 20–22
 - location of legislative objectives 25–30
 - too much information 30–33
 - unclear boundaries between reasons, justifications and goals 18–20
 - vague language 22–5
 - what objectives 20–22
- effectiveness test 121–2, 124, 125, 126
- hybrid nature of 22, 152
- objectives 33
 - as benchmarks 36–7, 38
 - broader 34
 - hierarchy 35, 36
 - specific, measurable and well-formulated 35
 - starting point in lawmaking 34
 - traceable 37–9
- purpose or objective clauses 25–6, 32–3, 35, 37
- purposive interpretation 18
- questionnaire for diagnosis of legislative failure 145
- rationality 2, 5, 8, 11, 17, 18, 19, 108, 118, 148, 149, 151
 - bounded 44, 158
 - economic or ‘managerial’ 9
 - means-end 152
 - procedural 6, 150
 - substantive 6
- reasons, justifications and goals 18–20
- reflective lawmaker 147–8, 157–8
- regulatory culture, legislative styles and drafting conventions 159–60
- repeals 75, 142
- reports accompanying draft legislation 28–9
- representativeness 158–9
- responsive regulation 46
- results 16, 84–5, 107, 151, 152
 - challenges 85
 - evaluation 87–8, 95–101
 - horizontal questions 98–101
 - how can results be anticipated and measured 87–9
 - monitoring 87–9, 98–101
 - results produced by legislation 85–7
 - review 87–8, 89–93, 98–101
 - sunsetting 94–5
 - direct results, outcomes and effects/impacts 86–7
 - in effective lawmaking 101
 - anticipating implementation 102–3
 - clauses 104–7
 - clearly defined results 101–2
 - framework 103–4
 - effectiveness test 123–4, 125, 126, 127
 - measure of effectiveness 15–16
 - monitoring, review and evaluation 88–98, 102–3, 107, 137
 - clear framework 103–4
 - clear and specific clauses 104–6

- differences between 87–8
 - learning from results 106–7
 - Parliaments 99–100
 - when, how and who 98–101
- reverse engineering 57, 152
- review 85, 87–8, 89–93, 106, 125, 137
 - clauses 104
 - framework 103–4
 - sunset 94
 - when, how and who 98–101
- Rules of Procedure 19
- sanctions, penalties or fines 46–7, 52, 56, 157
- scepticism 144
- scrutiny of law *see* evaluation or post-legislative scrutiny
- simplification 109, 139–40, 143, 147
- simplified outline 60
- single/‘all-in-one’ messages 67–9, 78, 82, 83
- smart regulation 46
- social construction 45
- South Africa
 - objects clause 32–3
 - preambles 27, 31–3
 - selecting substantive rules 42
- Spain 26, 27
- specialisation of law 73
- specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound objectives 35
- stakeholders 57, 110, 116–17
- status quo bias 158–9
- statutory interpretation 3, 74
 - Explanatory Notes in UK 29
 - impact assessments 30
 - purposive 18
- story-telling approach 60, 61
- strategic approach to analysis of legislative failure 144–6
- strategic or tactical objectives 21
- style(s) 50, 59, 79
 - drafting conventions and regulatory culture 159–60
 - sunsetting 94–5, 99
 - Sweden 23, 100
 - Switzerland 22–3, 36
 - dispatches on Bills 28
 - evaluation 107
 - clauses 97
 - Federal Assembly
 - impact assessments 99
 - preambles 27
 - purpose clauses 26
 - Table of Eleven 57
 - tactical or strategic objectives 21
 - tax law 58, 141
 - tools 108–9, 128
 - consultation 57, 109, 110, 116–19, 120
 - effectiveness test *see separate entry*
 - impact assessments *see separate entry*
 - toolkits and lawmaking 109–12, 118–19
 - top down or bottom up approach 155–6
 - traffic codes 61
 - transnational commercial law 142
 - transparency 19, 22, 112, 116, 117, 118
 - transplants 44, 142, 162
 - typology of lawmakers 156
 - intuitive 157
 - ‘muddles through’ 158
 - reflective 157–8
- United Kingdom 80
 - codification 141
 - consultation 117
 - equality legislation 52
 - ‘all-in-one’ message 67–9
 - clear legislative strategy 52
 - effectiveness test 124–5
 - enforcement 47

- ‘fragmented’ messages 71–2
- long title to Act 27, 30, 124
- ‘patchwork’ messages 75, 76–7, 78
- reviews 93, 99, 100
- selecting substantive rules 41, 43
- too much information on objectives 30–31
- Explanatory Notes to Acts 29, 31, 124
- failure, legislative 140
 - Dangerous Dogs Act 1991 136
 - housing 134–5
 - Law Commission in England and Wales 141, 142
 - law reform 141, 142
 - Mental Capacity Act 2005 135–6
- impact assessments 30, 31, 113, 115
- legislative patterns 161
- long title of Act 27, 30, 75, 124
- ‘patchwork’ messages 75, 76–8
- practical guide 110
- readers of legislation 48
- results 102–3
 - Parliament 100
 - post-implementation reviews 85, 90–93, 99, 100, 106, 125
 - target: net carbon account in 2050 102
- United States 42
 - Americans with Disabilities Act 73
 - Clean Air Act 1970 132
 - purpose clauses 26
 - selecting substantive rules 42
 - sunset reviews 94
 - Truth-in-Lending Act 1968 61–2
- usability tests of draft legislation 60
- vague language 49
 - of legislative objectives 22–5
- VAT legislation 58
- visual aids 59, 61

