

Index

- academia, lack of support 133–4
- academic community
- dependent 107
 - heirs to 144
 - intellectual dimension 113–14
 - managers within 109
 - perspectives on REF 145
 - quantitative analyses 131
 - reputation 119
- academic conformity 40
- ‘academic freedom’ 35
- academic labour
- excellence 118–19
 - insecurity 46
 - precarity 32, 117–18
 - quantitative analyses 114
 - research as 25
- academic research *see* research
- academics
- academic reviewers, impact
- evaluation 72, 74, 76–7, 81
- academics
- definitions 9
 - reconceptualization of 2
 - response to neoliberalism 37
- Academics4Change collective 112
- ‘accelerated Academy’ concept 7
- access problems, Parliament 101
- accountability
- fair system of 17–33
 - illusory nature of 90
 - scientific expressions of 136
- activism 10, 32–3, 140
- administrative authorities 114
- administrative sub-divisions 30
- administrative tasks, academics
- 1–2, 9–10
- Adorno, T. 28, 31
- Alvesson, Mats 31
- applied research(ers) 50–51, 57–60, 62, 64, 68
- see also* impactful researchers
- arts and humanities management
- 109
- audit culture 89–90
- authorship, ICS evaluation 74
- autonomy, institutional 138
- Bakhtin, M. 144
- Barrie, S. 37
- Bath University 86, 93
- Becher, T. 50
- Bill, A. 47
- Bloch, E. 6
- Bourdieu, P. 83, 90
- Breuer, A. 45
- Brexit 30, 102, 135
- Brown, Wendy 79
- Buckingham, Robert 40
- bullying 36
- Busch-Vishniac, Hene 40
- businesses, universities as 112
- Butler, N. 38
- Cairney, P. 88
- career progression 25, 50, 120–21
- the carnivalesque 144
- chance, linkage mechanisms 87
- change theory 76
- Chubb, Jenn 71, 75
- claims to impact 79–80, 103–4
- cloistered existence,
- parliamentarians 100–101
- co-option process 46

- collective action
 - academic welfare 142
 - mobilization 139–40
- collective protest 45, 122
- collective submission, REF system 65–6
- Collini, Stefan 112, 117
- commerciality 60
- commodity, impact as 128–35
- common property 115
- 'community', sense of 144–5
 - see also* academic community;
 - parliamentary community;
 - policy communities
- competitive accountability
 - death of 140
 - definitions 4–9
 - disclaiming 107–24
 - paradoxes 125–41
 - producing 49–70
 - recognizing 85–106
- competitive performance 26–7
- competitiveness
 - identity production 143
 - research production 19–20
 - science 32
- confidentiality 60
- conformity 38, 40
- 'conscientization' process 6, 45–6
- consensus, impact studies 83
- consultative leadership 121–2
- consumerism 28, 29
- contracts 22, 118
- Cox, Brian 76
- credibility of academics 95
- critical activism 32–3, 140
- critical agency 29
- critical solidarity 34
- criticality
 - as 'disruptive behaviour' 47
 - possibilities of 38
- culture change 134
- culture industry 27–9, 31
- Davies, William 19
- Debord, Guy 29
- decision-making, groups 129
- dependent community, academics 107
- Derrick, G. 128
- despair discourse 132
- dialectical dance 5–6
- digital agora 43–6, 47
- digitalization 7, 12, 44–5
- dirigiste* compulsions 35–6
- disciplinary panels 73, 75, 84
- discourse
 - of despair 132
 - of doing 132, 138
- Dismore, H. 44
- 'disruptive behaviour' 47
- dissent, acts of 37–42, 47, 111, 119
- doctoral work 118
- doing, discourse of 132, 138
- 'doxa' 90
- earning potential *see* pay
- economic catastrophe 2008 11
- economic prosperity 135–6
- economic responsibility, education 36
- economic self-rationalization, ICS document 56
- 'educated hope' 6
- education, economic responsibility 36
- emotional engagement 47, 122–3
- emotional investment, 'game-playing' 46
- emotional manipulation 91
- empirical data collection 14
- 'employee of the month' syndrome 7
- engaged research(ers) 50–53, 58, 60–63, 100, 125
- Enlightenment ideals 19, 28
- evaluation criteria 54, 71–84, 129–30

- evidence-based policy 90–91
evidence capture, impact studies
 55, 60, 73, 79–81
evidence/policy interface 89, 92,
 99, 102–3
evidence submission 87–8, 95
excellence
 academic labour 118–19
 constituents of 128
 as dominant paradigm 29
 public intellectuals 133
 REF indicators 23, 130–31
 in science 18
exclusion, peripheral zones 42–3,
 45
expertise 11–12
faculty members, FTE numbers
 23–4
fair system of accountability 17–33
fear and excellence 119
financial return, excellence 130
financial reward, impact studies 82
 see also pay
‘flow-through’ process 103
focus groups 94
Frankfurt School 17
free-market principles 26, 27
freedom, academic 35
Freire, P. 6
full-time equivalent (FTE) model
 23–4, 66
funding
 doctoral work 118
 higher education 24, 75–7
 performance-based 7–9, 62
 quality-related 8, 18, 20, 65–6,
 68, 127, 130
‘game-playing’ 46, 91, 143–4
Giroux, Henry and Susan 5
global knowledge 44–5
governance technologies 67, 69,
 74–5, 139
government-sponsored review, REF
 system 17
grant income 116
Grimm, Stefan 40
group concept 77–8, 83, 129
‘habitus’ 83
Halffman, W. 29
HE *see* higher education
Hedecoc, Adam 73, 80
HEFCE *see* Higher Education
 Funding Council for England
Henderson, Mark 136
heteropias see peripheral zones
hierarchies
 institutional 111
 managerialist 110
high-value commodity, impact as
 128–35
Higher Education Funding Council
 for England (HEFCE) 24,
 75–7
higher education (HE)
 marketization 4–5, 17–18, 28
 neoliberal critique 3
 neoliberalization 17, 34–8, 40,
 43, 45–6, 78
 policy innovations 1
 ‘prestige economy’ 5
 see also universities
homogeneity, evidence/policy 102
Hong Kong student protests 42
Horkheimer, M. 28
Humboldtian research vision 89
ICS *see* impact case studies
identity production, academics 143
impact
 commodification 128–35
 constitution of 75
 of knowledge 115–16
 leadership model 123
 looseness of term 75
 parliamentary perspectives 103–4
 public engagement as 115, 133

- of research 8–9, 12–13, 129, 134–5
- impact agenda, REF 126, 134, 137
- impact case studies (ICS) 14
 - emergence/consolidation 139
 - engaged researchers 125
 - evaluation 73–4
 - narrative quality 80–81
 - parliamentary perspectives 93, 96
 - policy communities 85–6, 91–2
 - ‘policy-informed evidence’ 136
 - research stratification 127–8
 - submission 2021 66–8
 - survey 49–70
- impact draft 60
- impact evaluation 71–84, 129–30
- impact ownership 126–7
- impact snowball effect 54–5
- ‘impact tax’ 60
- impactful researchers 50, 63, 127
 - see also* applied research
- incentivization 129, 134
- inclusivity 25–6
- income
 - from research 66–7, 131
 - grants 116
- independence of academics 7
- independent community, managers 107
- individual agency 30
- individual identity 29
- industrial action 107, 110, 124
 - see also* strike action
- inequality, manifestations of 17
- Inglis, F. 43
- Institute for Policy Research (IPR), Bath University 86, 93
- institutional agents 123
- institutional autonomy 138
- institutional capital 45
- institutional hierarchies 111
- institutional managers *see* managerial ...
- institutional manipulation, impact evaluation 79
- institutional ownership of impact 126–7
- institutionalization of academics 10–11, 13, 29
- instrumentalization 29
- intellectual agents 123
- intellectual dimension, academic community 113–14
- intellectual labour, pursuits of 107–8
- intellectual leadership 109, 113
- intellectual space 140
- intellectuals
 - academics as 4–6, 9–14, 68–9, 97–8, 116–17
 - excellence 133
 - self-concept 125
 - teaching/research 108
- international collaboration 25
- internationalization 44
- invisibility of academics 45–6
- IPR *see* Institute for Policy Research
- Jacoby, Russell 10
- Kennedy, Michael 44–5
- Kligyte, G. 37
- knowledge creation/production 114–16, 126–7, 134–6
- knowledge democratization 12
- knowledge mediation 13–14
- knowledge transfer programmes 89
- knowledge-users-cum-producers 134
- Krücken, Georg 89
- labour market 25
 - see also* academic labour; intellectual labour
- leadership 109, 111–13, 121–3
- league table positionality 82
- likeability of academics 95

- linkage mechanisms 86–8, 98
 lobbying activities 96–7
 local policy communities 104
 low salience, parliamentary context 100
- major claimants, public good 63–6
 managerial control 30, 119
 managerial leadership 113
 managerial positions/staff 1, 107–8, 111, 120
 managerial structures 109–10
 managerialist hierarchies 110
 Marcuse, Herbert 29–30, 31
 marginalization, public engagement 132
- Marginson, S. 44
 marketization 4–5, 13, 17–18, 28
 Members of Parliament (MPs) 92–3
 Merton, R.K. 5, 34
 micro-contributions, public good 63–6
 mobility of academics 119–20
 modalities of research praxis 83
 monograph submissions 24
 moral code 90
 moral fortitude, academics 140–41
 MPs *see* Members of Parliament
- narrative quality 80–81
see also story-telling
 neoliberal critique 3, 120
 neoliberal sensibility 78–9, 134
 neoliberalism/neoliberalization 1
 higher education 17, 34–8, 40, 43, 45–6, 78
 internationalization 44
 public intellectual life 116
 New Labour policies 11
 new public management 17, 19, 72, 110
 New Right policy community 134, 135–6
 Nisbet, Robert 11
- (non)participation zones 34–8, 132, 144
 non-portability, research 24–7, 126
- Olssen, Mark 130
 one-dimensionality, REF system 29–30
 ownership
 of impact 126–7
 of knowledge 115–16
- Parliament 91–104, 105–6
 parliamentary community 92, 137
 Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology (POST) 92, 94
 parliamentary select committees 87, 93, 96–7, 101
 (non)participation zones 34–8, 132, 144
 participatory venture, impact studies 83
 pathway to impact model 61
 pay 107–24
see also financial reward
 PBRFS *see* performance-based research funding system
 peer reviews 77–8
 pensions 107–24
 performance, competitive 26–7
 performance assessment/evaluation 8, 18, 65, 72–84
 performance-based expectations 53
 performance-based research funding system (PBRFS) 7–9, 62
 performance management regime 4, 31
 ‘performative’ mindset of academics 5
 performative turn 7
 peripheral zones, (non)participation 34–8, 132
 policy communities 85–91, 98, 104, 134–6

- policy/evidence interface 89, 92, 99, 102–3
- policy impact, research 91–2
- ‘policy-informed evidence’ 136
- policy innovations 1
- policy-making process 103
- policy permutations 17–33
- policy–research nexus 86
- political agency 10, 13–14, 97–8
- political interests, parliamentarians 98–9, 137
- political values, academic facts 88–9
- politicization 57, 97–8
- popularization/popularity 46, 59
- populism 11
- positional goods 5, 18, 126, 136
- POST *see* Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology
- power, scrutiny of 11–12
- Power, Michael 18, 38
- powerful zones, (non)participation 34–8
- precarity 32, 117–18, 140, 142–4
- ‘prestige economy’ 5
- private ownership, knowledge 116
- Pro Vice-Chancellor for Research (PVCR) 73
- productive marginalization 132
- professional victimization 6
- professionalization of academics 10–11
- Prof4Change collective 112
- public accountability 56, 89
- public engagement
 - as critical activism 32–3
 - culture of 9
 - as impact 75–6, 115, 133
 - productive marginalization 132
 - science 30
 - survey respondents 49
- public good 63–6, 136
- public intellectuals 4–6, 9–14, 97–8, 108, 116, 125, 133, 140
- public role, research 3–5
- ‘pure’ science 50, 57–8
- PVCR *see* Pro Vice-Chancellor for Research
- QR research funding *see*
 - quality-related research funding
- quality-related (QR) research funding 8, 18, 20, 65–6, 68, 127, 130
- quantitative analyses, academic contributions 114, 131
- Radder, H. 29
- RAE (Research Assessment Exercise) 20
- reality, consumerism and 29
- reappointment of academics 5–7
- recruitment of researchers 25
- REF (Research Excellence Framework) 7–9, 12–14, 16–20, 65–8, 90
 - amendments 26–33
 - government-sponsored review 17
 - impact evaluation 71–84
 - implementation responsibility 142–5
 - knowledge impact 115–16
 - paradoxes 125–31, 133–9
 - parliamentary perspectives 93, 96, 101–2, 104
 - policy communities 85–6, 92
 - Stern review 21–2
 - survey 49–70
- REF2014 8, 15, 21, 27, 39, 49, 51–2, 65–6, 71–2, 74, 76, 80–82, 85–6, 91–2, 95–6, 102, 104, 127–30
- REF2021 7, 20, 52, 63, 65–8, 76, 82, 123, 126, 128, 139
- remuneration *see* pay
- reports, parliamentary 99
- reputation 24, 119, 121

- research
 - commitment to 108
 - competitiveness 19–20
 - functions in impact agenda 137
 - leadership model 123
 - production of 19–20
 - stratification 127–8
 - units of assessment 20
- research academics
 - policy impact 91–2
 - policy–research nexus 86
 - public role 3–5
 - REF legitimacy 137–8
- ‘research active’ staff 22, 118
- Research Assessment Exercise (RAE) 20
- research evaluation system 19–20
- Research Excellence Framework
 - see* REF...
- research funding
 - performance-based 7–9, 62
 - quality-related 8, 18, 20, 65–6, 68, 127, 130
- research outputs
 - assessment of 65
 - consumerism 28
 - knowledge 116
 - non-portability 24–7
 - Stern review 21
 - UoA level 23–4
- research–policy nexus 86
- research praxis 74–5, 83, 139
- research technicians 10
- resistance
 - governance technologies 69
 - (non)participation 34–8
 - parliamentarians 105
- retirement of academics 64
- ‘returnability’, excellence outputs 131
- Robin, Corey 43
- Ross, Andrew 13
- Rowe, Gene 54
- Rummery, K. 88
- Said, Edward 10–11
- salaries *see* pay
- science
 - applied research 57–8, 68
 - competitiveness 32
 - economic prosperity 135–6
 - engaged research 50
 - Enlightenment ideals 19
 - excellence in 18
 - impact of research 129, 134–5
 - management within 108–9
 - public engagement 30
 - roles of academics 94
 - tenets of practice 81
- science, technology, engineering and maths (STEM) 136–7
- ‘scientific accountability’ 137
- ‘scientific’ judgements 79–80
- select committee inquiries 87, 93, 103, 105
- selectivity/selective approach 20, 23–4, 38, 65–6, 130
- self-concept
 - engaged researchers 53
 - fragility of 61, 71, 88
 - governance technologies and 67
 - impact evaluators 78
 - institutionalization 13, 79
 - limitations 2–3
 - locating 6–7
 - public intellectuals 125
 - strike action effects 124
- self-interest, researchers 62
- senior management 107–8, 119
- senior policy actors 104–5
- senior university leaders 114
- sensibility, neoliberal 78–9, 134
- sensitivity of academics 105–6
- Smith, Katherine 78–9
- Snow, C.P. 108–9
- ‘snowflake’ sensitivity 106
- social media 45
- social sciences 85–6, 91, 97, 129, 137
- social theory 15, 17

- socialization 77
- 'soft' versions, self-concept 3
- South African student protests 42
- the 'spectacle' 29
- Spoelstra, S. 38
- stakeholder relations 55–61, 104
- STEM *see* science, technology, engineering and maths
- Stern review 17–26
- story-telling 128
 - see also* narrative quality
- stratification, researchers 127–8
- strike action 110–12, 121–4
 - see also* industrial action
- student dissent 37, 111
- student protests 40–43, 47
- sub-panels, impact evaluation 72, 75, 77
- 'super-academics' 53
- Sutton, Paul 5
- 'system-blaming' 79

- Taylorist approach 59–60, 116
- 'teach-ins' 110–11
- teaching commitments 108
- teaching-only contracts 22
- technicians, researchers as 10
- technological innovation 12
- technologies of governance 69, 74–5, 139
- theory, impact evaluation 76, 81
- 'third-mission activities' 5, 9
- time periods, impact evaluation 71–2
- Tomlinson, Michael 4
- Trowler, P. 50
- Trumpism 135–6
- trust 94–6, 109–10, 123, 136–7
- trustworthiness 105

- UK universities
 - leadership 122
 - REF impact agenda 126
 - science production 68
 - student protests 41–2
- units of assessment (UoAs) 20, 23–4, 66
- universal submission 8, 20, 22, 24, 27, 66
- universities
 - as businesses 112
 - intellectual identities 10
 - leadership 122
 - REF impact agenda 126
 - science production 68
 - student protests 41–2
 - transition 2
 - see also* higher education
- university management *see* managerial ...
- user-assessors, impact evaluation 72, 74, 76–7, 81

- Välilmaa, Jussi 19
- valorization, academic community 131
- vanity 144–5
- vice-chancellors 107–8, 112–13, 117, 121

- Waitere, H.J. 37
- Wakefield, K. 44
- Walker, R. 43
- Warner, Marina 38–9
- 'white noise wave' motif 61–4
- whole-community approach 137
- 'wicked problems' 38
- Wonders of the Solar System* (Cox) 76