

# Index

---

- academic literature 49–58, 67, 166
- Accelerating Technology Transfer Presidential Memorandum (2011) 23–5
  - and measures of ‘pace’ or ‘effectiveness’ 150, 151
  - and NIST 25, 65
  - RTI International study motivated by 68
- Adams, J.D. 52, 56, 166
- Advanced Technology Program 166
- agencies *see* federal agencies
- American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) 27
- Bayh-Dole Act of 1980 42
- Bozeman, B. 13, 29, 61, 65–6, 68
- Bush, G.H.W. 22–3
- Bush, V. 15–16, 32, 129–30, 144
- Carter, J. 17, 29, 157–8
- Chen, C. 29, 47, 55, 57–8, 70
- Cochrane, R.H. 142–4
- CRADAs (Cooperative Research and Development Agreements)
  - in academic literature 49–52, 55–6, 57–8
  - in Accelerating Technology Transfer Presidential Memorandum 23–4
  - agencies’ authority to enter into 29
  - in Federal Technology Transfer Act 19, 20–23, 47
  - as market for new technological knowledge 113
  - metrics
    - active traditional 74–5, 83–103
    - aggregated across all federal agencies 78
    - growth rates 75–6, 79, 110–11, 113–14
    - number of new 73–5, 83–103
    - by selected federal agencies 107, 109–11, 113
    - total active 73–5, 83–103
    - in policy literature 59–61, 64, 67
- Department of Agriculture *see* US Department of Agriculture (USDA)
- Department of Commerce (DOC)
  - background information 71
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - estimated public sector knowledge production function 124, 126
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - increase in CRADAs 73
  - National Bureau of Standards transferred to 142, 144
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - organizations within 134
  - reportings
    - biannual, submission to Congress 24–5
    - CRADA information 52
    - of scientific publication information 134
    - on technology transfer 65
    - on trends in emerging technologies 147–8
  - technology transfer
    - examples of successful 117
    - mechanisms and metrics 84–5
    - reportings on 65
    - willingness to participate in RTI study 68
- Department of Defense (DOD)
  - background information 71
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154

- calculated license success rates 152, 153, 154
- calculated transfer rates 153, 155
- CRADAs
  - new 109–11, 114
  - potential impact of increasing growth rate in 113
- distribution of funded projects 159–60, 161
- distribution of mean value of new patent applications 163
- estimated public sector knowledge production function 124, 126
- federal R&D allocations 104–5
- licensing activities study 67
- National Bureau of Standards
  - research funded by 144
- new inventions disclosed 107, 111, 114
- new licenses 109–11, 114
- new patent applications 108, 111–12, 114, 163
- new patents issued 73, 108, 109–11, 114
- number of US STEM employees 106
- SBIR funded projects
  - distribution of 159–60, 161
  - negative binominal regression results 164
  - variables 162
- technology transfer
  - examples of successful 117
  - mechanisms and metrics 86–7, 107–15
  - policy literature 61, 63, 65
- Technology Transfer Consortium 19
- willingness to participate in RTI study 68
- Department of Energy (DOE)
  - absence from RTI study 68
  - background information 71
  - best practice document by 67
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - collaborations 49
  - estimated public sector knowledge production function 124–5, 126
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - increased patenting activity in 1980s 56
  - new CRADAs 109, 111, 114
  - new inventions disclosed 107, 109–11, 114
  - new licenses 109, 111, 114
  - new patent applications 108, 111–12, 114, 163
  - new patents issued 108, 111–12, 114
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - reports on 65–6
  - SBIR funded projects
    - distribution of 159–60, 161
    - negative binominal regression results 164
    - variables 162
  - technology transfer
    - academic literature 50–51, 53, 57
    - examples of successful 118
    - mechanisms and metrics 88–9, 107–15
    - policy literature 59, 60, 62
- Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
  - background information 71
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - technology transfer
    - examples of successful 118, 121
    - mechanisms and metrics 92–3
- Department of Interior (DOI)
  - background information 72
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - estimated public sector knowledge production function 124–5, 126
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - technology transfer
    - examples of successful 119
    - mechanisms and metrics 94–5

- willingness to participate in RTI study 68
- Department of Transportation (DOT)
  - background information 72
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - estimated public sector knowledge production function 124–5, 126
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - technology transfer
    - examples of successful 119
    - mechanisms and metrics 96–7
    - willingness to participate in RTI study 68
- Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)
  - background information 72
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - estimated public sector knowledge production function 124, 126
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - technology transfer
    - examples of successful 119
    - mechanisms and metrics 98–9
- Domestic Policy Review (1979) 17, 157–8
- Economic Recovery Tax Act (ERTA) of 1981 42
- economics *see* technology transfer economics
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
  - background information 72
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - estimated public sector knowledge production function 124, 126
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - technology transfer
    - examples of successful 120
    - mechanisms and metrics 100–101
    - willingness to participate in RTI study 68
- evaluation
  - counterfactual method 167–8
  - of CRADAs 59
  - of federal laboratory activities 166
  - reasons for 167–8
  - use of term 166
- federal agencies
  - in Federal Technology Transfer Act 19–20
  - in President's Management Agenda 26
  - in Technology Transfer Commercialization Act 24
  - technology transfer mechanism metrics
    - by agency and fiscal year 82–103
    - federal R&D allocations 104–5
    - graphical view over time 69–73
    - growth rates 73–9, 107–15
    - new metrics 150–56
    - number of US STEM employees 106
  - technology transfers
    - examples of successful 116–20
    - impact of R&D spending on 128–33
    - patent data analysis 28
    - terminology 4
    - see also* federal laboratories
- federal laboratories
  - numbers in United States 12
  - technology transfer
    - downside risk 37–8
    - economic arguments for 30, 32, 33
    - evaluation of activities 166–8
    - genesis of scholarly studies 9
    - impact of Federal Technology Transfer Act 43–7
    - impact of Stevenson-Wydler Act 43–7
    - literature on mechanisms 49–68
    - policies toward 17–29
    - publications as mechanism 134–9
    - simple view of process 69–70
    - terminology 4
    - see also* federal agencies

- Federal Laboratory Consortium (FLC)  
 for Technology Transfer  
 CRADAs  
 benefits to laboratories 22  
 definition 21  
 date of origin 19  
 definition of technology transfer 2, 3  
 estimated number of federal laboratories 12  
 formalized through Technology Transfer Act 19  
 on management of federal laboratories 20  
 Playbook 67  
*Technology Transfer Desk Reference*  
 21, 64, 67
- federal R&D  
 allocations by agency 104–5  
 in heuristic arguments 32–3  
 identifying R&D projects in need of support from 33–4, 36–7  
 innovation as output of 4  
 investments, private sector firms versus federal agencies 164  
 spending, relationship with productivity growth 43–7  
 toward return on investment to 28–9
- Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986 20–23  
 amendment of Stevenson-Wydler Act with passage from 19  
 biannual reports required by 24–5  
 effectiveness 30  
 establishment of FLC 19  
 impact  
 on CRADA activity at NIST 55, 57–8, 59  
 on effectiveness of intramural federal R&D on total factor productivity 43–7  
 on patenting activity at NIST and Sandia 48, 52–3, 56  
 on technology transfer from federal laboratories 43–7
- fiscal accountability 167  
 FLC *see* Federal Laboratory Consortium (FLC) for Technology Transfer
- Galvin Report 60, 65  
 government owned, contractor operated (GOCO) laboratories 12, 20–21, 29, 60, 65  
 government owned, government operated (GOGO) laboratories 20, 29  
 Government Performance and Results Act (GPR) 167, 168
- Health and Human Services (HHS)  
 background information 71  
 calculated filing ratios 152, 154  
 calculated license success rates 152, 153, 154  
 calculated transfer rates 153, 155  
 estimated public sector knowledge production function 124, 126  
 federal R&D allocations 104–5  
 new CRADAs 109–11, 114  
 new inventions disclosed 107, 110–12, 114  
 new licenses 109, 111, 114  
 new patent applications 108, 111, 112, 114  
 new patents issued 108, 111, 112, 114  
 number of US STEM employees 106  
 SBIR funded projects 159–60  
 technology transfer  
 early report on 59  
 examples of successful 118  
 in history of 15  
 mechanisms and metrics 90–91, 111, 114  
 willingness to participate in RTI study 68
- heuristic arguments 30, 32–3
- High Technology Research and Development Joint Ventures Act of 1983 40, 42
- Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF)  
 62, 66
- innovation  
 definition 7, 128  
 and federal technology transfer 150  
 fueling economic growth 24

- goal to improve transition to marketplace 26
- industrial 23
- post-productivity slowdown
  - technology-related and innovation-related policies 42
- public sector 4–6
- in R&D, technology, transfer chain 2
- report on unleashing 26–7
- scientific discovery as source 128–9
- in Small Business Innovation Development Act 158
- small firms as engine for 157
- supported by public and private sector 12
- technological 3, 18, 40, 158
- technology transfer as driver of 24
- terminology 4
- Institute for Defense Analysis (IDA) 60–61, 65
- Interagency Workgroup on Technology Transfer (IAWGTT) 24–5
- inventions disclosed
  - across individual agencies 82–103
  - agency metrics across technology transfer mechanisms 74
  - average annual growth rate 79
  - graphical description
    - aggregated across all federal agencies 73, 76
    - by selected federal agencies 107, 110
  - and knowledge production function 125–6
  - leading to new patent applications 131–3
  - number at NIST 135
  - as possible measure of knowledge 127
  - R&D investments leading to 131–3
  - relation to R&D and publications 136–9
  - relations to licensing success rate 150–51, 152, 154
  - by selected federal agencies
    - generalization re technology 108
    - graphical description 107, 110
    - growth rates 110–11, 114
    - and new patent applications 111–12
  - as starting point for patenting and licensing activity 69
  - in technology transfer process 69, 111, 128, 130, 139
- knowledge
  - as fundamental to economic growth 129
  - historical context 14–16
  - new inventions disclosed as measure of 127
  - origin of 122
  - R&D-based 36, 129
  - from SBIR projects 161–64
  - science as search for new 7
  - scientific 15–16, 129–30
  - spillovers 56, 160
  - tacit or codified 3, 5, 7, 8, 113, 143
  - technology as application of 7
  - see also* technical knowledge
- knowledge-based technical innovation 5–6
- knowledge production function 122–7, 128, 160–61
- kutils* 113
- Leyden, D.P. 4, 10, 12–13, 16, 42, 47, 147, 165
- licenses
  - in academic literature 51, 53, 57, 58
  - across individual agencies 82–103, 117–20
  - agency metrics across technology transfer mechanisms 74
  - graphical description
    - income from, aggregated across all federal agencies 78
    - new, aggregated across all federal agencies 77
    - new, by selected agencies 109–10
  - growth rates
    - for all federal agencies 79
    - for selected agencies 111, 112–14
  - income from 28, 73, 75, 78
  - leading to new technology being adopted 131–3
  - and new patent applications 125, 131–3
  - number of new 73, 77
  - in policy literature 60, 61, 63, 67

- in technology transfer process 69–70, 111, 128, 130, 139
- as transfer technology mechanism 23
- Licensing Success Rate metric 150–56
- Link, A.N. 4, 10, 12–13, 16, 28–9, 36, 39, 40, 42, 47–8, 52, 55, 56, 57–8, 63, 69, 70, 80, 122, 125, 127, 147, 165, 166–8
- Matthew Effect 8
- mechanisms *see* CRADAs; inventions disclosed; licenses; patents; publications
- metrics
  - by agency and fiscal year 82–103
  - exploring new 150–56
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - growth rates 73–9, 107–15
  - number of US STEM employees 106
- Morrill Act of 1862 15
- Morris, C.A. 80, 122, 125
- Mowery, D.C. *xi*, 49–50, 52, 56, 68
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
  - background information 72
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - estimated public sector knowledge production function 124, 126
  - evaluation of International Space Station 166
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - new CRADAs 107
  - new inventions disclosed 107, 109, 111, 114
  - new licenses 73, 109
  - new patent applications 108, 109, 111–12, 114, 163
  - new patents issued 108, 109, 111–12, 114
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - SBIR funded projects
    - distribution of 159–60, 161
    - negative binominal regression results 164
    - variables 162
  - study of patenting 56
- technology transfer
  - academic literature 51
  - examples of successful 120
  - mechanisms and metrics 102–3, 107–15
- National Bureau of Standards
  - acting as host agency 19
  - background information 142–4
  - renaming 144, 147–9
- National Competitiveness Technology Act of 1989 21
- National Cooperative Research Act 42, 166
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)
  - aggregated agency metrics across all technology transfer mechanisms, 74
  - biannual reports prepared and submitted by 25
  - commission of literature review on technology transfer research and evaluation 65–6
  - definition of technology transfer 2
  - formerly National Bureau of Standards 19
  - granting network safety technology license 117
  - historical background
    - National Bureau of Standards 142–4
    - renaming 144, 147–9
    - standard weights and measures 140–142
  - impact of Federal Technology Transfer Act on CRADA activity at 55, 57–8, 59
  - on intellectual property 134
  - laboratory R&D budget 136–9
  - NASA's technology transfer activities 73
  - new inventions disclosed 135, 137–9
  - patenting activity
    - impact of Federal Technology Transfer Act 48, 52–3, 56
    - impact of Stevenson-Wydler Act 48, 52, 56
    - policy literature on 63–4, 67
    - report on ROI initiative 26, 28

- scientific and technical publications
  - 134–5, 137–9
- Technology Partnerships Office 12, 25, 67–8, 70, 73, 116, 134, 150, 151
- National Research Council (NRC) 27–8, 159
- National Science Foundation (NSF) 52, 130, 157–8, 159–61, 163
- Nixon, R. 19–20
- Obama, B. 27, 29
  - see also* Accelerating Technology Transfer Presidential Memorandum (2011)
- Oliver, Z.T. 29, 47, 55, 57–8, 70
- Organic Act of 1901 142, 144, 145–6
- patents
  - in academic literature 51–3, 55–6, 57, 58
  - and Licensing Success Rate 151, 152–3
  - new applications
    - across individual agencies 82–103, 117–19
    - aggregated across all federal agencies 73–4, 76
    - elasticity 28–9, 131, 132–3
    - growth rates 75–6, 79, 111–14
    - inventions disclosed as starting point for 110, 131–3
    - in public sector knowledge production function 123–7
    - in SBIR projects 161–64
    - by selected federal agencies 107, 108, 109–10
  - new issues
    - across individual agencies 82–103, 117–19
    - aggregated across all federal agencies 73–4, 77
    - elasticity 131, 132–3
    - growth rates 75–6, 79, 111–14
    - leading to new licenses 110, 131–3
    - new patent applications as starting point for 110, 131–3
    - by selected federal agencies 107, 108, 109–10
    - in policy literature 63–4, 67
    - under Technology Transfer Commercialization Act 25
    - in transfer technology process 69–70, 111, 128, 130, 139
  - performance accountability 167
  - policies *see* technology transfer policies
  - policy literature 58–67, 166
  - Popp, D. 54–5, 57, 68
  - President's Management Agenda (PMA) 25–8, 150, 151
  - private sector
    - consumers in 5–6
    - cooperation with 32
    - and CRADAs 22–3, 58
    - demand side to technical knowledge market 112
    - investments in R&D 8
    - motivational differences with public sector 6
    - in National Competitiveness Technology Act 21
    - in President's Management Agenda 26–7
    - and public sector's investments 168
    - R&D elasticity of new licenses 132
    - SBIR Program 157–64
    - as targeted party of legislation 42
    - in transfer technology process 69–70, 111, 128, 130, 139
  - productivity
    - growth 40, 42, 43, 45–7, 147
    - slowdown 3, 17–18, 28–9, 30, 40, 42, 47, 147, 166
    - see also* total factor productivity (TFP)
  - public sector innovation 4–6
    - defining characteristics 5
    - definitions 5
    - drivers of 5
    - motivations 6
    - segue to concept of federal technology transfer 8
  - public sector knowledge production function 122–7, 128
  - public sector, use of phrase 3–4
  - publications
    - effect of increasing R&D funding 57
    - as technology transfer mechanism 134–9

- R&D  
 relation to technology transfer 1–2  
 from SBIR projects 161–64  
 study into private and social rates of return 33–8  
 toward ROI to federal 28–9
- R&D funding  
 in academic literature 54, 57  
 as genesis element or catalyst of what is transferred 3, 64
- R&D spending  
 in academic literature 54  
 increases in private sector 40  
 and new innovations disclosed 136  
 relationship with measured productivity growth 43–7  
 on technology transfer in federal agencies, impact of 128–33
- Reagan, R. 24
- return on investment (ROI) 26–7, 28–9
- Roosevelt, F.D. 15–16, 129–30
- Sandia National Laboratories  
 patenting activity  
 impact of Federal Technology Transfer Act 48, 52–3, 56  
 impact of Stevenson-Wydler Act 48, 52, 56
- SBIR Program  
 background information 157–9  
 data description 159–60  
 preliminary evaluation 166  
 R&D and knowledge from projects 161–64
- science  
 ability of American people to harness 20  
 contribution to economic growth 27  
 definition 7  
 important role of 16
- Scott, J.T. 13, 36, 39, 127, 165, 166–8
- Siegel, D.S. 29, 40, 47–8, 52, 56, 70, 147
- Small Business Innovation Development Act of 1982 42, 158  
 standard weights and measures 140–42
- Stevens, A.J. 53, 56–7
- Stevenson-Wydler Act of 1980 17–20  
 amendments 19, 21, 23  
 Congress passing 3  
 effectiveness 30  
 evaluation completeness shortfall 166  
 impact on patenting activity at NIST and Sandia 48, 52, 56  
 impact on productivity growth 47  
 impact on technology transfer from federal laboratories 43–7  
 as response to productivity slowdown 30, 40, 42
- technical knowledge  
 Carter encouraging flow of 17  
 either tacit or codified in nature 3, 7, 8, 143  
 example of Bureau-based 143  
 historical context 14–15  
 market for 112–13, 115  
 and new CRADAs 108, 110  
 from publications 139
- technological innovation 3, 18, 40, 158
- technology  
 concept 7–8  
 definition 7
- Technology Competitiveness Act 149
- technology transfer  
 definitions 2–3  
 evaluation 166–8  
 examples of successful 116–20  
 graphical view over time 69–73  
 history in United States 14–16  
 impact of R&D spending on 128–33  
 origin of concept 8–9  
 publications as mechanism of 134–9  
 redefining 7–9  
 relation to R&D 1–2  
 from SBIR Program 157–64  
 study of 9  
 UNESCO's explanation of 14–15  
 use of phrase 7  
*see also* metrics
- technology transfer activity  
 academic literature related to 49–58, 67, 166  
 historical context for 14–16  
 policy literature related to 58–67, 166
- R&D study  
 downside risk 37–8  
 hurdle rates 33–8  
 private rate of return 33–7



- social rate of return 33–4, 35–6, 38
  - spillover gap 35–6
  - theoretical arguments 33–8
- technology transfer economics
  - benefits of SBIR Program 159–60
  - DOD licensing activities 67
  - heuristic arguments 30, 32–3
  - innovation fueling growth 24
  - knowledge as fundamental to growth 129
  - new patent applications 125
  - in NIST definition 2
  - paucity of studies 156
  - policy literature 61–3
  - in President's Management Agenda 26–7
  - R&D importance for continued growth 8
  - regional laboratories 66
  - small firms as vehicle for stimulating growth 157
  - Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act 17–18, 23
  - theoretical arguments 33–8
  - in *U.S. Technology Policy* 22
- technology transfer policies
  - effectiveness of 40–47
  - Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986 20–23
  - heuristic arguments in support of 30, 32–3
  - impetus for 17
  - Obama's accelerating memorandum 23–5
  - policy literature 58–67, 166
  - Stevenson-Wydler Act of 1980 17–20
  - towards ROI to federal R&D 28–9
  - Trump's Management Agenda 25–8
  - theoretical arguments 33–8
- total factor productivity (TFP) 30–31, 39, 40–41, 43, 44–5, 47, 147
- Trump's Management Agenda 25–8, 150, 151
- US Department of Agriculture (USDA)
  - background information 71
  - calculated filing ratios 152, 154
  - calculated license success rates 152, 153
  - calculated transfer rates 153, 155
  - estimated public sector knowledge production function 124, 126
  - federal R&D allocations 104–5
  - number of US STEM employees 106
  - technology transfer
    - examples of successful 116–17
    - in history of 15
    - mechanisms and metrics 82–3
    - willingness to participate in RTI study 68
- USDA *see* US Department of Agriculture
- van Fleet, D.D. 29, 47–8, 52, 56, 70
- van Hasselt, M. 28–9, 80, 125

