

## References

---

- Adler, N.J., & Harzing, A.W. (2009). When knowledge wins: Transcending the sense and nonsense of academic rankings. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 8(1): 72–95.
- Adler, P.S. (2016). 2015 Presidential address: Our teaching mission. *Academy of Management Review*, 41(2): 185–95.
- Aguinis, H., Shapiro, D.L., Antonacopoulou, E.P., & Cummings, T.G. (2014). Scholarly impact: A pluralist conceptualization. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 13(4): 623–39.
- Altbach, P.G. (2004). Globalisation and the university: Myths and realities in an unequal world. *Tertiary Education & Management*, 10(1): 3–25.
- Alvesson, M., & Gabriel, Y. (2013). Beyond formulaic research: In praise of greater diversity in organizational research and publications. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 12(2): 245–63.
- Alvesson, M., Gabriel, Y., & Paulsen, R. (2017). *Return to meaning: A social science with something to say*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Alvesson, M., & Sandberg, J. (2013). Has management studies lost its way? Ideas for more imaginative and innovative research. *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(1): 128–52.
- Alvesson, M., & Sköldbberg, K. (2017). *Reflexive methodology: New vistas for qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Bartunek, J.M. (2003). A dream for the academy. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(2): 198–203.
- Bartunek, J.M. (2007). Academic–practitioner collaboration need not require joint or relevant research: Toward a relational scholarship of integration. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(6): 1323–33.
- Bartunek, J.M. (2014). Introduction: Bringing to life a correlation of .14: Teaching evidence-based management engagingly and convincingly. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 13(1): 102–3.
- Bartunek, J.M., & Rynes, S.L. (2014). Academics and practitioners are alike and unlike: The paradoxes of academic–practitioner relationships. *Journal of Management*, 40(5): 1181–201.
- Baruch, Y. (2013). Careers in academe: The academic labor market as an eco-system. *Career Development International*, 18(2): 196–210.
- Bilimoria, D., & Huse, M. (1997). A qualitative comparison of the boardroom experiences of US and Norwegian women corporate directors. *International Review of Women and Leadership*, 3(2): 63–76.
- Boyer, E.L. (1990). *Scholarship reconsidered: Priorities of the professoriate*. Lawrenceville, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Boyer, E.L. (1996a). From scholarship reconsidered to scholarship assessed. *Quest*, 48(2):129–39.

- Boyer, E.L. (1996b). The scholarship of engagement. *Bulletin of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*, 49(7): 18–33 (also published in *Journal of Public Service and Outreach*, 1: 11–20).
- Cern, K.C. (2013). *Boyer's four domains of scholarship critically reassessed*, paper prepared as a part of European Commission's 7th framework program grant EduWel, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznan, Poland.
- Chen, M.J. (2014). Presidential address—Becoming ambicultural: A personal quest, and aspiration for organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 39(2): 119–37.
- Cummings, T.G. (2007). Quest for an engaged academy. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2): 355–60.
- Cunliffe, A.L., & Scaratti, G. (2017). Embedding impact in engaged research: Developing socially useful knowledge through dialogical sensemaking. *British Journal of Management*, 28(1): 29–44.
- Davis, G.F. (2015). Editorial essay: What is organizational research for? *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 60(2): 179–88.
- DeNisi, A.S. (2010). 2009 Presidential address: Challenges and opportunities for the academy in the next decade. *Academy of Management Review*, 35(2): 190–201.
- DORA, n.d. <https://sfedora.org/>. Accessed Feb. 21, 2019.
- Eisenhardt, K.M., Graebner, M.E., & Sonenshein, S. (2016). Grand challenges and inductive methods: Rigor without rigor mortis. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59(4): 1113–23.
- Etzioni, A. (1959). Authority structure and organizational effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(1): 43–67.
- Ferraro, F., Etzion, D., & Gehman, J. (2015). Tackling grand challenges pragmatically: Robust action revisited. *Organization Studies*, 36(3): 363–90.
- Gabrielsson, J., & Huse, M. (2002). The accumulation of knowledge of boards of directors—Contributions from 100 student reports. *Conference paper presented at the 2nd EURAM conference on innovative research in management in Stockholm*.
- Gabrielsson, J., & Huse, M. (2004). Context, behavior, and evolution: Challenges in research on boards and governance. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 34(2): 11–36.
- George, G., Howard-Grenville, J., Joshi, A., & Tihanyi, L. (2016). Understanding and tackling societal grand challenges through management research. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59(6): 1880–95.
- Glynn, M.A. (2019). 2018 presidential address: The mission of community and the promise of collective action. *Academy of Management Review*, 44(2): 244–53.
- Guerin, C. (2013). Rhizomatic research cultures, writing groups and academic researcher identities. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies*, 8: 137–50.
- Hakim, C. (2011). *Erotic capital: The power of attraction in the boardroom and the bedroom*. New York: Basic Books.
- Hall, J., & Martin, B.R. (2019). Towards a taxonomy of research misconduct: The case of business school research. *Research Policy*, 48(2): 414–27.

- Hambrick, D.C. (1994). What if the academy actually mattered? *Academy of Management Review*, 19(1): 11–16.
- Hill, P.A. (2010). Twenty years on: Ernest Boyer, scholarship and the scholarship of teaching. *Paper presented at the American University of Beirut, Hamra, Lebanon.*
- Hitt, M.A. 1998. Twenty-first-century organizations: Business firms, business schools, and the academy. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2): 218–24.
- Hodgkinson, G.P., Herriot, P., & Anderson, N. (2001). Re-aligning the stakeholders in management research: Lessons from industrial, work and organizational psychology. *British Journal of Management*, 12: S41–S48.
- Hodgkinson, G.P., & Rousseau, D.M. (2009). Bridging the rigour–relevance gap in management research: It’s already happening! *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(3): 534–46.
- Hodgkinson, G.P., & Starkey, K. (2011). Not simply returning to the same answer over and over again: Reframing relevance. *British Journal of Management*, 22(3): 355–69.
- Honig, B., & Lampel, J. (2018). Reflections on scientific misconduct in management: Unfortunate incidents or a normative crisis? *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 32(4): 412–42.
- Honig, B., Lampel, J., Siegel, D., & Drnevich, P. (2017). Special section on ethics in management research: Norms, identity, and community in the 21st century. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 16(1): 84–93.
- Huff, A.S. 2000. 1999 presidential address: Changes in organizational knowledge production. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(2): 288–93.
- Huse, M. (1993). *Tante, barbar eller klan: Om styrets rolle*, Bodø: Nordland Research Institute.
- Huse, M. (1995). *Stakeholder perspectives on corporate governance: A sample of Scandinavian contributions*. Bodø: Nordland Research Institute.
- Huse, M. (1996). Researching unresearchable issues: Ethical dilemmas in qualitative research. *Proceedings of the International Association for Business and Society*, 7: 853–63.
- Huse, M. (1998). Researching the dynamics of board–stakeholder relations. *Long Range Planning*, 31(2): 218–26.
- Huse, M. (2007). *Boards, governance and value creation: The human side of corporate governance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Huse, M. (ed.). (2008a). *The value creating board: Corporate governance and organizational behaviour*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Huse, M. (2008b). Building blocks in understanding behavioural perspectives of boards: developing a research stream. In Huse (ed.) *The value creating board: Corporate governance and organizational behaviour* (pp.72–83). Abingdon: Routledge.
- Huse, M. (2008c). Exploring methods and concepts in studies of board processes. In Huse (ed.) *The value creating board: Corporate governance and organizational behaviour* (pp.221–33). Abingdon: Routledge.
- Huse, M. (2008d). The “value creating board” surveys: A benchmark. In Huse (ed.) *The value creating board: Corporate governance and organizational behaviour* (pp.367–83). Abingdon: Routledge.

- Huse, M. (2010). Building a community of engaged scholars. *European Management Review*, 7: 133–5.
- Huse, M. (2011). The golden skirts: Changes in board composition following gender quotas on corporate boards. *Presented at the Australian and New Zealand Academy Meeting in Wellington, NZ*.
- Huse, M. (2012a). Letter from the president, *EURAM newsletter* 51(June): 1–3.
- Huse, M. (2012b). The “golden skirts”: Lessons from Norway about women on corporate boards of directors. In Gröschl, S. & Takagi, J. (eds) *Diversity Quotas, Diverse Perspectives* (pp.11–23). Gower/Ashgate, republished 2016, Abingdon: Routledge.
- Huse, M. (2015). Kjønn i styret: Verden ser til Norge. In Alsos, G., Bjørkhaug, H., Bolsø, A., & Ljunggren, E. (eds) *Kjønn og næringsliv i Norge* (pp.153–74). Oslo: Cappelen.
- Huse, M. (2018a). *Value-creating boards: Challenges for future research and practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Huse, M. (2018b). Gender in the Boardroom: Learnings from world-leader Norway. *FACTBase Bulletin* 58. Committee for Perth/University of Western Australia.
- Huse, M. (2019). What matters most for our scholarly community: Reflections from former AOM presidents. In Benito, R. and Lunnan, R. (eds) *Voyages of a scholar: Navigating companies, channels, and clusters* (pp.21–44). Bergen: Fagbokforlaget.
- Huse, M., & Solberg, A.G. (2006). Gender-related boardroom dynamics: How Scandinavian women make and can make contributions on corporate boards. *Women in Management Review*, 21(2): 113–30.
- Ireland, R.D. (2015). 2014 presidential address: Our academy, our future. *Academy of Management Review*, 40(2): 151–62.
- Jackson, S.E. (2012). WE@ AOM. *Academy of Management Review*, 37(2): 170–71.
- Kogut, B. (2008). Rankings, schools, and final reflections on ideas and taste. *European Management Review*, 5(4): 191–4.
- Kraker, P., Leony, D., Reinhardt, W., & Beham, G. (2011). The case for an open science in technology enhanced learning. *International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, 3(6): 643–54.
- Kruse, O. (2006). The origins of writing in the disciplines: Traditions of seminar writing and the Humboldtian ideal of the research university. *Written Communication*, 23(3): 331–52.
- Lee, T.W. (2009). The management professor. *Academy of Management Review*, 34(2): 196–9.
- Levin, K., Cashore, B., Bernstein, S., & Auld, G. (2012). Overcoming the tragedy of super wicked problems: Constraining our future selves to ameliorate global climate change. *Policy Sciences*, 45(2): 123–52.
- Machold, S., Huse, M., Hansen, K., & Brogi, M. (eds). (2013). *Getting women on to corporate boards: A snowball starting in Norway*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- MacIntosh, R., Beech, N., Bartunek, J., Mason, K., Cooke, B., & Denyer, D. (2017). Impact and management research: Exploring relationships

- between temporality, dialogue, reflexivity and praxis. *British Journal of Management*, 28(1): 3–13.
- McGahan, A.M. (2018). 2017 presidential address—Freedom in scholarship: Lessons from Atlanta. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(2): 173–8.
- Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The structuring of organizations*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.
- Moosa, I.A. 2018. *Publish or perish: Perceived benefits versus unintended consequences*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Mowday, R.T. (1997). Reaffirming our scholarly values. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(2): 335–45.
- Olssen, M., & Peters, M.A. (2005). Neoliberalism, higher education and the knowledge economy: From the free market to knowledge capitalism. *Journal of Education Policy*, 20(3): 313–45.
- Özbilgin, M.F. (2009). From journal rankings to making sense of the world. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 8(1): 113–21.
- Pearce, J.L. (2004). What do we know and how do we really know it? *Academy of Management Review*, 29(2): 175–9.
- Pettigrew, A.M. (2011). Scholarship with impact. *British Journal of Management*, 22(3): 347–54.
- Rennison, B.W. (2012). *Knæk kønnets koder: Kvinder i ledelse*. Copenhagen: Gyldendal A/S.
- Rigolini, A., & Huse, M. (2019). Women and multiple board memberships: Social capital and institutional pressure. *Journal of Business Ethics*, online first <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04313-6>
- Romme, A.G.L., Avenier, M.J., Denyer, D., Hodgkinson, G.P., Pandza, K., Starkey, K., & Worren, N. (2015). Towards common ground and trading zones in management research and practice. *British Journal of Management*, 26(3): 544–59.
- Rousseau, D.M. (2006). Is there such a thing as evidence-based management? *Academy of Management Review*, 31(2): 256–69.
- RRBM (2017). A vision of responsible research in business and management: Striving for useful and credible knowledge. *RRBM position paper of 22 November 2017*, <https://rrbm.network/>. Accessed Mar. 3, 2019.
- Rynes, S.L., Bartunek, J.M., & Daft, R.L. (2001). Across the great divide: Knowledge creation and transfer between practitioners and academics. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(2): 340–55.
- Sandberg, S. (2013). *Lean In: Women, Work and the Will to Lead*. London: WH Allen.
- Scott, C.E. (2019). The crisis in academic research, RRBM Blog, January 12, <https://rrbm.network/the-crisis-in-academic-researchcarole-e-scott/>. Accessed Jan. 12, 2019.
- Sealy, R., Doldor, E., Vinnicombe, S., Terjesen, S., Anderson, D., & Atewologun, D. (2017). Expanding the notion of dialogic trading zones for impactful research: The case of women on boards research. *British Journal of Management*, 28(1): 64–83.

- Seierstad, C., Warner-Söderholm, G., Torchia, M., & Huse, M. (2017). Increasing the number of women on boards: The role of actors and processes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(2): 289–315.
- Shapiro, D. (2017). Making the academy full voice-meaningful. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(2): 165–73.
- Smith, K.G. (2008). Fighting the orthodoxy: Learning to be pragmatic. *Academy of Management Review*, 33(2): 304–8.
- Starbuck, W.H. (1999). Our shrinking earth. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2): 187–90.
- Starbuck, W.H. (2005). How much better are the most prestigious journals? The statistics of academic publication. *Organization Science*, 16: 180–200.
- Starkey, K., Hatchuel, A., & Tempest, S. (2004). Rethinking the business school. *Journal of Management Studies*, 41(8): 1521–31.
- Starkey, K., Hatchuel, A., & Tempest, S. (2009). Management research and the new logic of discovery and engagement. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(3): 547–58.
- Starkey, K., & Madan, P. (2001). Bridging the relevance gap: Aligning stakeholders in the future of management research. *British Journal of Management*, 12: S3–S26.
- Tourish, D. (2019). *Management studies in crisis: Fraud, deception and meaningless research*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tsui, A.S. (2004). Contributing to global management knowledge: A case for high quality indigenous research. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 21(4): 491–513.
- Tsui, A.S. (2013). 2012 presidential address—On compassion in scholarship: Why should we care? *Academy of Management Review*, 38(2): 167–80.
- Tsui, A.S. (2018). Commentary on “opportunities and challenges of engaged indigenous scholarship (Vand de Ven, Meyer, and Jing, 2018).” *Management and Organization Review*, 14(3): 463–6.
- Tung, R.L. (2005). Reflections on engendering a sustainable community within the Academy. *Academy of Management Review*, 30(2): 239–44.
- Uhl-bien, M., Sitkin, S., & Rynes, S. (2018). Responsible research call to action: Improving lives by improving research impact—Research–practice partnership, *RRBM handout, AOM Chicago*.
- Uhrmacher, P.B. (1995). Uncommon schooling: A historical look at Rudolf Steiner, anthroposophy, and Waldorf education. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 25(4): 381–406.
- Van De Ven, A.H. (2002). Strategic directions for the Academy of Management: This academy is for you! *Academy of Management Review*, 27(2): 171–84.
- Van De Ven, A.H. (2011). Building a European community of engaged scholars. *European Management Review*, 8(4): 189–95.
- Von Glinow, M.A. (1996). 1995 presidential address: On minority rights and majority accommodations. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(2): 346–50.
- Walsh, J.P. (2011). Presidential address: Embracing the sacred in our secular scholarly world. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2): 215–34.

- Wells, P., & Nieuwenhuis, P. (2017). Operationalizing deep structural sustainability in business: Longitudinal immersion as extensive engaged scholarship. *British Journal of Management*, 28(1): 45–63.
- Whetten, D.A. (2001). What matters most. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(2): 175–8.
- Wilson, D., & McKiernan, P. (2011). Global mimicry: Putting strategic choice back on the business school agenda. *British Journal of Management*, 22(3): 457–69.
- Winter, R. (2011). A call for critical perspectives in qualitative research. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 12(1): Art. 7.
- Wright, R.P., & Brown, K.G. (2014). *Educating tomorrow's thought-leaders: Distinguished scholars answer a burning question*. Strategic Management Society: Teaching Community.
- Zheng, C., & Kouwenberg, R. (2019). A bibliometric review of global research on corporate governance and board attributes. *Sustainability*, 11(12): 3428. doi:10.3390/su11123428