

Figures

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 2.1 | Coasean bargaining | 21 |
| 3.1 | Relationships between producers, contractors and victims | 46 |
| 4.1 | Selecting an operator | 80 |
| 5.1 | State adoption of strict liability | 100 |
| 6.1 | Comparison of IMC and ITC Projects | 125 |
| 6.2 | Comparison of projects with $q_{DN} < q_e$ | 126 |
| 8.1 | Penalty assessment costs when $b(0) = 0$ | 158 |
| 8.2 | Penalty assessment costs when $b(0) > 0$ and minimizing per-dollar costs is feasible | 158 |
| 8.3 | Average penalty assessment costs under the optimal NSR regime and a replicating SR programme | 160 |
| 8.4 | Derivation of the non-report/self-report intervals | 162 |
| 8.5 | Expected accident penalties under two regimes | 163 |
| 11.1 | The SLAPP game | 234 |
| 11.2 | Extended SLAPP game | 239 |
| 12.1 | Comparison of regimes | 271 |
| 12.2 | Comparison of regimes when investment in firm is socially desirable only if effort prevention is high | 273 |
| 13.1 | Definition 3 | 288 |
| 13.2 | Definition 3 | 289 |
| 13.3 | Jurisdiction j 's best-reply mapping for case i | 290 |
| 13.4 | Jurisdiction k 's best-reply mapping for case ii | 291 |
| 13.5 | Jurisdiction j 's best-reply mapping for case iii | 293 |
| 13.6 | Expected damages | 295 |
| 15.1 | Beaches in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, California | 321 |
| 16.1 | Cumulative percentage of people willing to accept new industrial installations at various distances from their homes | 371 |