

References

- Adamowicz, W.L., J.J. Louviere and M. Williams (1994), 'Combining revealed and stated preference methods for valuing environmental amenities', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 26, 271–92.
- Adamowicz, W., P. Boxall, M. Williams and J. Louviere (1998), 'Stated preference approaches for measuring passive use values: choice experiments and contingent valuation', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 80, 64–75.
- Ajzen, I. (1991), 'The theory of planned behaviour', *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50, 179–211.
- Ajzen, I. and M. Fishbein (1977), 'Attitude behaviours relation: a theoretical analysis and review of empirical research', *Psychological Bulletin*, 84, 888–918.
- Ajzen, I. and B.L. Driver (1992), 'Contingent value measurement: On the nature and meaning of willingness to pay', *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 1, 297–316.
- Ajzen, I., T.C. Brown and L.H. Rosenthal (1996), 'Information bias in contingent valuation: effects of personal relevance, quality of information and motivational orientation', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 30, 43–57.
- Ajzen, I., T.C. Brown and F. Carvajal (2004), 'Explaining the discrepancy between intentions and actions: the case of hypothetical bias in contingent valuation', *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 30(9), 1108–21.
- Alberini, A., B.J. Kanninen and R.T. Carson (1997), 'Modeling response incentive effects in dichotomous choice contingent valuation data', *Land Economics*, 73(3), 309–24.
- Aldred, J. and Jacobs, M. (2000), 'Citizens and wetlands: evaluating the Ely citizen's jury', *Ecological Economics*, 34, 217–32.
- Aldred, J. (2005), 'Consumer valuation and citizen deliberation', in M. Getzner, C.L. Spash and S. Stagl (eds), *Alternatives for Environmental Valuation, Routledge Explorations in Environmental Economics*, London: Routledge.
- Álvarez-Farizo, B. and N. Hanley (2006), 'Improving the process of valuing non-market benefits: combining citizens; juries with choice modelling', *Land Economics*, 82(3), 465–79.
- Andreoni, J. (1990), 'Impure altruism and donations to public goods', *Economic Journal*, 100, 464–77.

- Arrow, K.J. (1982), 'Risk perception in psychology and economics', *Economic Enquiry*, 20, 1–9.
- Arrow, K.J. (1986), Comments within Chapter 12 of R.G. Cummings, D.S. Brookshire and W.D. Schulze (eds), *Valuing Environmental Goods: An Assessment of the Contingent Valuation Methods*, Totowa, NJ: Rowman and Allanheld.
- Arrow, K.J. and A.C. Fisher (1974), 'Environmental preservation, uncertainty and irreversibility', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 88, 312–19.
- Arrow, K.J., R. Solow, P.R. Portney, E.E. Leamer, R. Radner and H. Schuman (1993), *Report of the NOAA Panel on Contingent Valuation*, Washington, DC: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 11 January.
- Baker, R. and A. Robinson (2004), 'Responses to standard gambles: are preferences "well-constructed"?' *Health Economics*, 13(1), 37–48.
- Baron, J. and J. Greene (1996), 'Determinants of insensitivity to quantity in valuation of public goods: contribution, warm glow and budget constraints, availability and prominence', *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 2(2), 107–25.
- Barr, S., N.J. Ford and A.W. Gilb (2003), 'Attitudes towards recycling household waste in Exeter, Devon: quantitative and qualitative approaches', *Local Environment*, 8(4), 407–21.
- Bateman, I.J., M. Cole, P. Cooper, S. Georgiou, D. Hadley and G.L. Poe (2004), 'On visible choice sets and scope sensitivity', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 47(1), 71–94.
- Bateman, I.J., I.H. Langford, A.P. Jones and G.N. Kerr (2001), 'Bound and path effects in double and triple bounded dichotomous choice contingent valuation', *Resource and Energy Economics*, 23, 191–213.
- Bateman, I.J., I.H. Langford, R.K. Turner, K.G. Willis and G.D. Garrod (1995), 'Elicitation and truncation effects in contingent valuation studies', *Ecological Economics*, 12, 161–79.
- Beggs, S., S. Cardell and J. Hausman (1981), 'Assessing the potential demand for electric cars', *Journal of Econometrics*, 17, 1–20.
- Ben-Akiva, M., T. Morikawa and F. Shiroishi (1991), 'Analysis of the reliability of preference ranking data', *Journal of Business Research*, 23, 253–68.
- Bennett, J. and R. Blamey (eds) (2001), *The Choice Modelling Approach to Environmental Valuation*, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US: Edward Elgar.
- Berg, B.L. (1995), *Qualitative Research Methods for Social Scientists*, 2nd edn, London: Allyn and Baron.
- Bergstrom, J.C., J.R. Stoll and A. Randall (1989), 'Information effects in contingent markets', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 71, 685–91.
- Blamey, R.K. (1996), 'Citizens, consumers and contingent valuation: clarification

- and the expression of citizen values and issue-opinions', Chapter 7 in W.L. Adamowicz, P.C. Boxall, M.K. Luckert, W.E. Phillips and W.A. White (eds), *Forestry, Economics and the Environment*, Oxford: CAB International.
- Blamey, R. (1998), 'Contingent valuation and the activation of environmental norms', *Ecological Economics*, 24, 47–72.
- Blamey, R., M. Common and J. Quiggin (1995), 'Respondents to contingent valuation surveys: consumers or citizens', *Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 39(3), 263–88.
- Blamey, R., J. Gordon and R. Chapman (1999), 'Assessing environmental values of water supply options', *The Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 43(3), 337–57.
- Blamey, R.K., R.F. James, R. Smith and S. Niemeyer (2000), 'Citizens' juries and environmental value assessment', Australian National University, Canberra, accessed at <http://cjp.anu.edu.au/docs/CJ1.pdf>
- Bogardus, E.S. (1926), 'The group interview', *Journal of Applied Sociology*, 10, 372–82.
- Bohara, A.K., M. McKee, R.P. Berrens, H. Jenkins-Smith, C.L. Silva and D.S. Brookshire (1998), 'Effects of total cost and group-size information on willingness to pay responses: open-ended vs. dichotomous choice', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 35, 142–63.
- Boyle, K.J., W.H. Desvousges, F.R. Johnson, R.W. Dunford and S.P. Hudson (1994), 'An investigation of part-whole biases in contingent valuation studies', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 27, 64–83.
- Boyle, K.J., F.R. Johnson, D.W. McCollum, W.H. Desvousges, R.W. Dunford and S.P. Hudson (1996), 'Valuing public goods: discrete versus continuous contingent valuation', *Land Economics*, 72(3), 381–96.
- Boyle, K.J., W.H. Desvousges, F.R. Johnson, R.W. Dunford and S.P. Hudson (1994), 'An investigation of part-whole biases in contingent valuation studies', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 27, 64–83.
- Boxall, P.C., W.L. Adamowicz, J. Swait, M. Williams and J. Louviere (1996), 'A comparison of stated preference methods for environmental valuation', *Ecological Economics*, 18, 243–53.
- Brookshire, D.S., B.C. Ives and W.D. Schulze (1976), 'The valuation of aesthetic preferences', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 3, 325–46.
- Brookshire, D.S., R.C. d'Arge, W.D. Schulze and M.A. Thayer (1981), 'Experiments in valuing public goods', in V.K. Smith (ed.), *Advances in Applied Microeconomics: A Research Annual*, vol. 1, Greenwich, CT: JAI Press Inc.
- Brouwer, R., N.A. Powe, R.K. Turner, I.H. Langford and I.J. Bateman (1999), 'Public attitudes to contingent valuation and public consultation', *Environmental Values*, 8, 325–47.

- Brown, T.C., G.L. Peterson and B.E. Tonn (1995), 'The values jury to aid natural resource decisions', *Land Economics*, **71**(2), 250–60.
- Bryman, A. (2004), *Social Research Methods*, 2nd edn, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bryman, A. and R.G. Burgess (eds) (1994), *Analysing Qualitative Data* London: Routledge.
- Burgess, J. (1996), 'Focusing on fear: the use of focus groups in a project for the Community Forest Unit, Countryside Commission', *Area*, **28**(22), 130–35.
- Burgess, J., J. Clark and C.M. Harrison (1998), 'Respondents' evaluations of a CV survey: a case study based on an economic valuation of the Wildlife Enhancement Scheme, Pevensey Levels in East Sussex', *Area*, **30**(1), 19–27.
- Burgess, J., J. Clark and C.M. Harrison (2000), 'Culture, communication, and the information problem in contingent valuation surveys: a case study of a Wildlife Enhancement Scheme', *Environment and Planning C, Government and Policy*, **18**(5), 505–24.
- Burgess, J., C. Harrison and M. Limb (1988a), 'Exploring environmental values through the medium of small groups. Part 1: theory and practice', *Environment and Planning A*, **20**, 309–26.
- Burgess, J., C. Harrison and M. Limb (1988b), 'Exploring environmental values through the medium of small groups. Part 2: illustrations of a group at work' *Environment and Planning A*, **20**, 457–76.
- Calia, P. and E. Strazzera (2000), 'Bias and efficiency of single versus double bound models for contingent valuation studies: a Monte Carlo analysis', *Applied Economics*, **32**, 1329–36.
- Cameron, J.I. (1997), 'Applying socio-ecological economics: a case study of contingent valuation and integrated catchment management', *Ecological Economics*, **23**, 155–65.
- Cameron, T.A. and J. Quiggin (1994), 'Estimation using contingent valuation data from a "dichotomous choice with follow-up" questionnaire', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **27**, 218–34.
- Carson, R.T. (1997), 'Contingent valuation surveys and tests of insensitivity to scope', in R.J. Kopp, W.W. Pommerehne and N. Schwarz (eds), *Determining the Value of Non-market Goods: Economic, Psychological and Policy Relevant Aspects of Contingent Valuation Methods*, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Carson R.T. and R.M. Mitchell (1993), 'The value of clean water: the public's willingness to pay for boatable, fishable and swimmable quality water', *Water Resources Research*, **29**, 2445–54.
- Carson, R.T. and R.M. Mitchell (1995), 'Sequencing and nesting in contingent valuation surveys', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **28**(2), 155–73.

- Carson, R.T., N.E. Flores and W.M. Hanemann (1998), 'Sequencing and valuing public goods', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **36**(3), 314–23.
- Carson, R.T., N.E. Flores and N.F. Meade (2001), 'Contingent valuation: controversies and evidence', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **19**, 173–210.
- Carson, R.T., T. Groves and M.J. Machina (1999), 'Incentive and information properties of preference questions', paper presented at the European Association of Resource and Environmental Economics conference, Oslo.
- Carson, R.T., M. Mitchell, M. Hanemann, R. Kopp, S. Presser and P. Ruud (1992), 'A contingent valuation study of lost passive use values resulting from the Exxon Valdez oil spill', report to the Attorney General of Alaska, commissioned by the State of Alaska, Juneau, AL.
- Carson, R.T., L. Wilks and D. Imber (1994), 'Valuing the preservation of Australia's Kakadu conservation zone', *Oxford Economic Papers*, **46**, 721–49.
- Chess, C. and K. Purcell (1999), 'Public participation and the environment: do we know what works?', *Environmental Science & Technology*, **33**(16), 2885–692.
- Chilton, S.M. and W.G. Hutchinson (1999), 'Exploring divergence between respondent and researcher definitions of the good in contingent valuation studies', *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **50**(1), 1–16.
- Chilton, S.M. and W.G. Hutchinson (2003), 'A qualitative examination of how respondents in a contingent valuation study rationalise their WTP responses to an increase in the quantity of the environmental good', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **24**, 65–75.
- Christie, M., N. Hanley, J. Warren, K. Murphy, R. Wright and T. Hyde (2006), 'Valuing the diversity of biodiversity', *Ecological Economics*, **58**, 304–17.
- Clark, J., J. Burgess and C.M. Harrison (2000), "'I struggled with this money business": respondents' perspectives on contingent valuation', *Ecological Economics*, **33**, 45–62.
- Clarke, P.M. (2000), 'Valuing the benefits of mobile mammographic screening units using the contingent valuation method', *Applied Economics*, **32**, 1647–55.
- Coffey, A. and P. Atkinson (1994), *Making Sense of Qualitative Data: Complementary Strategies*, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Coombs, C. (1964), *A Theory of Data*, New York: Wiley.
- Cooper, J.C. and M.W. Hanemann (1995), 'Referendum contingent valuation: how many bounds are enough?', Food and Agricultural Organisation Economic and Social Department, working paper, Italy.
- Coote, A. and J. Lenaghan (1997), *Citizens Juries: Theory into Practice*, London: Institute for Public Policy Research.

- Crosby, N., J.M. Kelly and P. Schaefer (1986), 'Citizens panels: a new approach to citizen participation', *Public Administration Review*, **46**(2), 170–78.
- Cummings, R.G. and L.O. Taylor (1999), 'Unbiased value estimates for environmental goods: cheap talk design for the contingent valuation method', *American Economic Review*, **89**(3), 649–65
- Cummings, R.G., D.S. Brookshire and W.D. Schulze (1986), *Valuing Environmental Goods: An Assessment of the Contingent Valuation Method*, Totowa, NJ: Rowman & Allanheld.
- Curtis, I.A. (2004), 'Valuing ecosystem goods and services: a new approach using a surrogate market and the combination of a multiple criteria analysis and a Dephi panel to assign weights to the attributes', *Ecological Economics*, **50**, 163–94.
- Davies, A.R. (1999), 'Where do we go from here? Environmental focus groups and planning policy formation', *Local Environment*, **4**(3), 297–316.
- De Jong, M. and P.J. Schellens (1998), 'Focus groups or individual interview? A comparison of text evaluation approaches', *Technical Communication*, **45**, 77–88.
- Department of Health (1999), *Economic Appraisal of the Health Effects of Air Pollution*, Ad-Hoc Group on the Economic Appraisal of the Health Effects of Air Pollution, London: The Stationery Office.
- DeShazo, J.R. (2002), 'Designing transactions without framing effects in iterative question formats', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **43**, 360–85.
- Desvousges, W.H., V.K. Smith, D.J. Brown and D.K. Pate (1984), *The Role of Focus Groups in Designing a Contingent Valuation Survey to Measure the Benefits of Hazardous Waste Management Regulation*, RTI project no. 2505–13 Chapel Hill, NC: Research Triangle Institute.
- Diamond, P.A. and J.A. Hausman (1993), 'On contingent valuation measurement of nonuse value', in J.A. Hausman (eds), *Contingent Valuation: A Critical Assessment*, Amsterdam: North Holland.
- Diamond, P.A. and J.A. Hausman (1994), 'Contingent valuation: is some number better than no number?', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **8**(4), 45–64.
- Dienel, P. (1997), *Die Planungszelle: der Bürger plant seine Umwelt. Eine alternative zur establishment-demokratie*, Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlage.
- Dryzek, J.S. (1990), *Discursive Democracy: Politics, Policy and Political Science*, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Dryzek, J.S. (2000), *Deliberative Democracy and Beyond: Liberals, Critics, Contestations*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Elster, J. (1983), *Sour Grapes, Studies in the Subversion of Rationality*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Elster, J. (1998), 'Introduction', in J. Elster (ed.), *Deliberative Democracy*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Farber, S.C., R. Costanza and M.A. Wilson (2002), 'Economic and ecological concepts for valuing ecosystem services', *Ecological Economics*, 41, 375–92.
- Fischhoff, B. (1991), 'Value elicitation: is there anything in there?' *American Psychologist*, 46(8), 835–47.
- Fischhoff, B. (1997), 'What do psychologists want? Contingent valuation as a special case of asking questions', in R.J. Kopp, W.W. Pommerehne and N. Schwarz (eds), *Determining the Value of Non-Market Goods: Economic, Psychological, and Policy Relevant Aspects of Contingent Valuation*, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Fischhoff, B. and L. Furby (1988), 'Measuring values: a conceptual framework for interpreting transactions', *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 1, 147–84.
- Fischhoff, B., M.J. Quadrel, M. Kamlet, G. Loewenstein, R. Dawes, P. Fischbeck, S. Klepper, J. Leland and P. Stroh (1993), 'Embedding effects: stimulus representation and response mode', *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 6, 211–34.
- Fischhoff, B., P. Slovic and S. Lichtenstein (1978), 'Fault trees: sensitivity of estimated failure probabilities to problem representation', *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 4, 330–40.
- Fischhoff, B., N. Welch and S. Frederick (1999), 'Construal processes in preferences assessment', *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 19(1/3), 139–65.
- Fishbein, M. and I. Ajzen (1975), *Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behaviour: An Introduction to Theory and Research*, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Fisher, A.C. (1996), 'The conceptual underpinnings of the contingent valuation method', in D.J. Bjornstad and J.R. Kahn (eds), *The Contingent Valuation of Environmental Resources: Methodological Issues and Research Needs*, Cheltenham, UK and Brookfield, VT, US:Edward Elgar.
- Foster, V. and S. Mourato (2000), 'Valuing the multiple impacts of pesticide use in the UK: a contingent ranking approach', *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 51(1), 1–21.
- Foster, V. and S. Mourato (2002), 'Testing for consistency in contingent ranking experiments', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 44, 309–28.
- Frey, B. (1997), 'A constitution for knaves crowds out civic virtues', *The Economic Journal*, 107, 1043–53.
- Frykblom, P. (1997), 'Hypothetical question modes and real willingness to pay', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 34, 275–87.
- Frykblom, P. and Shogren, J. (2000), 'An experimental testing of anchoring effects in discrete choice questions', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 16(3), 329–341.

- Garrod, G.D. and K.G. Willis (1997), 'The non-market benefits of enhancing forest biodiversity: a contingent ranking study', *Ecological Economics*, 21, 45–61.
- Garrod, G.D. and K.G. Willis (1999), *Economic Valuation of the Environment: Methods and Case Studies*, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US: Edward Elgar.
- Goldman, A.E. and S.S. McDonald (1987), *The Group Depth Interview: Principles and Practice*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Goodin, R. (1986), 'Laundering preferences', in J. Elster and A. Hylland (eds), *Foundations of Social Choice Theory*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Goodin, R.E. (1992), *Motivating Political Morality*, Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Green, C.H. and S.M. Tunstall (1999), 'A psychological perspective', in I.J. Bateman and K.G. Willis (eds), *Valuing Environmental Preferences: Theory and Practice of the Contingent Valuation Method in the US, EU and Developing Countries*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gregory, R. and P. Slovic (1997), 'A constructive approach to environmental valuation', *Ecological Economics*, 21(3), 175–81.
- Gregory, R., S. Lichtenstein and P. Slovic (1993), 'Valuing environmental resources: a constructive approach', *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 7, 177–97.
- Gregory, R. and K. Wellman (2001), 'Bringing stakeholder values into environmental policy choices: a community-based estuary case study', *Ecological Economics*, 39, 37–52.
- Gundry, K.G. and T.A. Heberlein (1984), 'Do public meetings represent the public?', *Journal of the American Planning Association*, Spring, 175–82.
- Habermas, J. (1984), *The Theory of Communicative Action*, Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Hammack, J. and G.M. Brown, Jr. (1974), *Waterfowl and Wetlands: Towards Bioeconomic Analysis*, Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- Hammitt, J.K. and J.D. Graham (1999), 'Willingness to pay for health protection: inadequate sensitivity to probability', *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 8(1), 33–62.
- Hanemann, W.M. (1994), 'Valuing the environment through contingent valuation', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 8(4), 19–43.
- Hanemann W.M. and Kanninen B. (1999), 'The statistical analysis of discrete-response CV data', in I.J. Bateman and K.G. Willis (eds), *Valuing Environmental Preferences: Theory and Practice of the Contingent Valuation Method in the US, EU and Developing Countries*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hanemann, W.M., J. Loomis and B. Kanninen (1991), 'Statistical efficiency of

- double-bounded dichotomous choice contingent valuation', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **73**(4), 1255–63.
- Hanley, N. (2001), 'Cost-benefit analysis and environmental policymaking', *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, **19**, 103–18.
- Hanley, N. and C.L. Spash (1993), *Cost-benefit Analysis and the Environment*, Cheltenham, UK and Brookfield, VT, US: Edward Elgar.
- Hanley, N., D. Macmillan, R.E. Wright, C. Bulluck, I. Simpson, D. Parsisson and B. Crabtree (1998), 'Contingent valuation versus choice experiments: estimating the benefits of Environmentally Sensitive Areas in Scotland', *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **49**(1), 1–15.
- Hanley, N., J. Shogren and B. White (2001), *Introduction to Environmental Economics*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hanley, N., K.G. Willis, N.A. Powe and M. Anderson (2002), 'Valuing the benefits of biodiversity in forests', report to the Forestry Commission, accessed at www.forestry.gov.uk
- Hausman J.A. (ed.) (1993), *Contingent Valuation: A Critical Assessment*, Amsterdam: North Holland.
- Hausman, J.A. and P.A. Ruud (1987), 'Specifying and testing econometric models for rank-ordered data', *Journal of Econometrics*, **34**, 83–104.
- Hoehn, J.P. (1991), 'Valuing the multidimensional impacts of environmental policy: theory and methods', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **73**(2), 289–99.
- Hoehn, J.P. and A. Randall (1987), 'A satisfactory benefit cost indicator from contingent valuation', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **14**, 226–47.
- Hoehn, J.P. and A. Randall (1989), 'Too many proposals pass the benefit cost test', *American Economic Review*, **79**(3), 544–51.
- Hoevenagel, R. (1994), 'The contingent valuation method: scope and validity', PhD dissertation for the Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.
- Hoevenagel, R. (1996), 'The Validity of the contingent valuation method: perfect and regular embedding', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **7**, 57–78.
- Horowitz, J. and K. McConnell (2002), 'A review of WTA-WTP studies', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **44**(3), 426–47.
- Howarth, R.B. and M.A. Wilson (2006), 'A theoretical approach to deliberative valuation: aggregation by mutual consent', *Land Economics*, **82**(1), 1–16.
- Howe, C.W. and M.G. Smith (1994), 'The value of water supply reliability in urban water systems', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **26**, 19–30.
- Irwin, J.R., P. Slovic, S. Lichtenstein and G.H. McClelland (1993), 'Preference reversals and the measurement of environmental values', *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, **6**, 5–18.

- Jacobs, M. (1997), 'Environmental valuation, deliberative democracy and public decision making institutions', in J. Foster (ed.), *Valuing Nature? Economics, Ethics and Environment*, London: Routledge, Chapter 13.
- James, R.F. and R.K. Blamey (2005), 'Deliberation and economic valuation', in M. Getzner, C.L. Spash and S. Stagl (eds), *Alternatives for Environmental Valuation, Routledge Explorations in Environmental Economics*, London: Routledge.
- Janis, I.L. (1982), *Groupthink*, Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Johannesson, M., B. Liljas and R. O'Connor (1997), 'Hypothetical versus real willingness to pay: some experimental results', *Applied Economics Letters*, 4(3), 149–51.
- Johansson, P.O., B. Kriström and K.G. Mäler (1989), 'Welfare evaluations in contingent valuation experiments with discrete response data: comment', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 71, 1054–56.
- Johnson, K.N., R.L. Johnson, D.K. Edwards and C.A. Wheaton (1993), 'Public participation in wildlife management: opinions from public meetings and random surveys', *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 21, 218–25.
- Johnston, R.J. (2006), 'Is hypothetical bias universal? Validating contingent valuation responses using a binding public referendum', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 52, 469–481.
- Johnston, R.J., T.F. Weaver, L.A. Smith and S.K. Swallow (1995), 'Contingent valuation focus groups: insights from ethnographic interview techniques', *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*, 24(1), 56–69.
- Jourard, S.M. (1964), *The Transparent Self*, Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand.
- Kahneman, D. (1986), Comments within Chapter 12 of R.G. Cummings, D.S. Brookshire and W.D. Schulze (eds), *Valuing Environmental Goods: An Assessment of the Contingent Valuation Method*, Totowa, NJ: Rowman and Allanheld.
- Kahneman, D. and Knetsch, J.L. (1992), 'Valuing public goods: the purchase of moral satisfaction', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 22, 55–70.
- Kahneman, D., I. Ritov and D. Schkade (1999), 'Economic preferences or attitude expressions?: An analysis of dollar response to public issues', *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 19(1–3), 203–35.
- Kaplowitz, M.D. (2000), 'Identifying ecosystem services using multiple methods: lessons from the mangrove wetlands of Yucatan, Mexico', *Agriculture and Human Values*, 17, 169–79.
- Kaplowitz, M.D. and Hoehn, J.P. (2001), 'Do focus groups and individual interviews reveal the same information for natural resource valuation?', *Ecological Economics*, 36, 237–47.
- Kealy, M.J. and R.W. Turner (1993), 'Testing of equality of contingent valuations', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 75(2), 321–31.

- Kealy, M.J., M. Montgomery and J.F. Dovidio (1990), 'Reliability and predictive validity of contingent valuation values: does the nature of the good matter?', *Journal of Environmental Valuation and Management*, 19, 244–263.
- Keeney, R.L. (1980), *Siting Energy Facilities*, New York: Academic.
- Kenyon, W. and N. Hanley (2005), 'Three approaches to valuing nature, forest floodplain restoration', in M. Getzner, C.L. Spash and S. Stagl (eds), *Alternatives for Environmental Valuation*, Routledge Explorations in Environmental Economics, London: Routledge.
- Kenyon, W., N. Hanley and C. Nevin (2001), 'Citizens' juries: an aid to environmental valuation?' *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 19, 557–66.
- Kenyon, W. and C. Nevin (2001), 'The use of economic and participatory approaches to assess forest development: a case study in the Ettick Valley', *Forest Policy and Economics*, 3, 69–80.
- Kreps, D.M. (1990), *A Course in Microeconomic Theory*, London: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Krueger, R.A. (1993), 'Quality control in focus group research', in D.L. Morgan (eds), *Successful Focus Groups: Advancing the State of the Art*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Krueger, R.A. (1994), *Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research*, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Krueger, R.A. and M.A. Casey (2000), *Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research*, London: Sage Publications.
- Krutilla, J.V. (1967), 'Conservation reconsidered', *The American Economic Review*, 57, 777–86.
- Kwak, S.-J., S.-H. Yoo and T.-Y. Kim (2001), 'A constructive approach to air-quality valuation in Korea', *Ecological Economics*, 38, 327–44.
- Larson, D.M. (1993), 'On measuring existence value', *Land Economics*, 69(4), 377–88.
- Lazo, J.K., W.D. Schulze, G.H. McClelland and J.K. Doyle (1992), 'Can contingent valuation measure nonuse values?', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 74, 1126–32.
- Levine, J.M. and R.L. Moreland (1995), 'Group processes', in J.M. Tesser (ed.), *Advanced Social Psychology*, New York: McGraw Hill.
- Lichtenstein, S. and P. Slovic (1973), 'Reversals of preference between bids and choices in gambling decisions', *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 89, 46–55.
- Lienhoop, N. and D. Macmillan (2006), 'Valuing wilderness in Iceland: estimation of WTA and WTP using the market stall approach to contingent valuation', *Land Use Policy*, 24(1), 289–95.
- Lienhoop, N. and D. Macmillan (2007), 'Valuing a complex environmental change: assessing participant performance in deliberative group-based ap-

- proaches and in-person interviews for contingent valuation', *Environmental Values*, forthcoming.
- Lockwood, M. (1998), 'Integrated value assessment using paired comparisons', *Ecological Economics*, **25**(1), 83–93.
- Loomis, J., M. Lockwood and T. DeLacy (1993), 'Some empirical evidence on embedding effects in contingent valuation of forest protection', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **24**, 45–55.
- MacLean, D. (1991), 'A critical look at informed consent', unpublished manuscript, University of Maryland at Baltimore County, Catonsville, MD.
- Macmillan, D.C., L. Philip, N. Hanley and B. Alvarez-Farizo (2002), 'Valuing the non-market benefits of wild goose conservation: a comparison of interview and group-based approaches', *Ecological Economics*, **43**, 49–59.
- Macmillan, D., N. Hanley and N. Lienhoop (2006), 'Contingent valuation: Environmental polling or preference engine?' *Ecological Economics*, **60**(1), 299–307.
- Margolis, H. (1982), *Selfishness, Altruism, and Rationality*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- McClelland, E. (2001), *Measurement Issues and Validity Tests for Using Attitude Indicators in Contingent Valuation Research*, Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- McComas, K.A. (2001), 'Public meetings about local waste management problems, comparing participants to nonparticipants', *Environmental Management*, **27**(1), 135–47.
- McComas, K.A. and C.W. Scherer (1998), 'Reassessing public meetings as participation in risk management decisions', *Risk: Health, Safety and Environment*, **9**(4), 347–60.
- McDaniels, T.L. and C. Roessler (1998), 'Multiattribute elicitation of wilderness preservation benefits: a constructive approach', *Ecological Economics*, **27**, 299–312.
- McDaniels, R.L., R. Gregory, J. Arvai and R. Chuenpagdee (2003), 'Decision structuring to alleviate embedding in environmental valuation', *Ecological Economics*, **46**, 33–46.
- McFadden, D. (1973), 'On conditional logit analysis of qualitative choice behavior', in P. Zarembka (ed.), *Frontiers of Econometrics*, New York: Academic Press.
- McFadden, D. and G. Leonard (1993), 'Issues in the contingent valuation of environmental goods: methodologies for data collection and analysis', in J. A. Hausman (ed.), *Contingent Valuation: A Critical Assessment*, Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Merton, R.K. and P.L. Kendal (1946), 'The focused interview', *American Journal of Sociology*, **51**, 541–57.

- Merton, R.K. (1987), 'The focussed interview and focus groups: continuities and discontinuities', *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 51, 550–66.
- Merton, R.K., M. Fiske and P.L. Kendall (1990), *The Focused Interview*, 2nd edn, New York: Free Press.
- Miles, M.B. and A.M. Huberman (1994), *Qualitative Data Analysis*, 2nd edn, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Mitchell, R.C and R.T. Carson (1989), *Using Surveys to Value Public Goods: The Contingent Valuation Method*, Washington DC: Resources for the Future.
- Morgan, D.L. (1997), *Focus Groups as Qualitative Research*, Qualitative Research Methods Series, 16, 2nd edn, London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Morgan, D.L. and R.A. Krueger (1993), 'When to use focus groups and why', in D.L. Morgan (ed.), *Successful Focus Groups-Advancing the State of the Art*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Morgan, D.L. and M.T. Spanish (1985), 'Social interaction and the cognitive organisation of health-relevant behaviour', *Sociology and Health of Illness*, 7, 401–22.
- Navrud, S. and R. Ready (eds) (2006), *Environmental Value Transfer: Issues and Methods, The Economics of Non-Market Goods and Resources*, vol. 9, London: Springer.
- Neill, H.R. (1995), 'The context for substitutes in CVM studies: some empirical observations', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 29, 393–7.
- Neill, H.R., R.G. Cummings, P.T. Ganderton, G.W. Harrison and T. McGuckin (1994), 'Hypothetical surveys and real economic commitments', *Land Economics*, 70(2), 145–54.
- Nicholson, W. (1989), *Microeconomic Theory: Basic Principles and Extensions*. 4th edn, Chicago, IL: Dryden Press.
- Niemeyer, S. and C.L. Spash (2001), 'Environmental valuation analysis, public deliberation, and their pragmatic syntheses: a critical appraisal', *Environment and Planning C: Government & Policy*, 19(4), 567–86.
- Nunes, P.A.L.D. (2002), 'Using factor analysis to identify consumer preferences for the protection of a natural area in Portugal', *European Journal of Operational Research*, 140, 499–516.
- Nunes, P.A.L.D. and E. Schokkaert (2003), 'Identifying the warm glow effect in contingent valuation', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 45, 231–45.
- O'Neil, J. (1997), 'Value pluralism, incommensurability and institutions', in J. Foster (ed.), *Valuing Nature? Economics, Ethics and Environment*, London: Routledge, Chapter 5.
- O'Riordan, T. (1985), 'Future directions in environmental policy', *Environment and Planning A*, 17, 1431–46.

- Opaluch, J.J., S. Swallow, T. Weaver, C. Wessels and D. Wichlens (1993), 'Evaluating impacts from noxious waste facilities, including public preferences in current siting mechanisms', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 24, 41–59.
- Oppenheim, A.N. (1992), *Questionnaire Design, Interviewing and Attitude Measurement*, New Edition: London, Continuum.
- Ostrom, E. (2000), 'Collective action and the evolution of social norms', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 14(3), 137–58.
- Payne, J.W., J.R. Bettman and E.J. Johnson (1992), 'Behavioral decision research: a constructive processing perspective', *Annual Review of Psychology*, 43, 87–131.
- Pearce, D.W. (1983), *Cost-Benefit Analysis*, 2nd edn, London: Macmillan.
- Petty, J., I. Guijit, J. Thompson and I. Scoones (1995), *Participatory Learning and Action: A Trainers Guide*, London: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Philip, L.J. and D.C. Macmillan (2005), 'Exploring values, context and perceptions in contingent valuation studies: the CV Market Stall technique and willingness to pay for wildlife conservation', *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 48(2), 257–74.
- Powe, N.A. (2000), 'Using contingent valuation to value nested goods: a case of the Broadland Flood Alleviation Scheme', PhD thesis for the School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich.
- Powe, N.A. and I.J. Bateman (2003), 'Ordering effects in nested "top-down" and "bottom-up" contingent valuation designs', *Ecological Economics*, 45(2), 255–70.
- Powe, N.A. and Bateman, I.J. (2004), 'Investigating insensitivity to scope: a split-sample test of perceived scheme realism', *Land Economics*, 80(2), 258–71.
- Powe, N.A., W.A. Wadsworth, G.D. Garrod and P.L. McMahon (2004a), 'Putting action into biodiversity planning: assessing preferences towards funding', *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 47(2), 287–301.
- Powe, N.A., G.D. Garrod, P.L. McMahon and K.G. Willis (2004b), 'Assessing customer preferences for water supply options using mixed methodology choice experiments', *Water Policy*, 6, 427–41.
- Powe, N.A., G.D. Garrod and P.L. McMahon (2005), 'Mixing methods within stated preference environmental valuation: choice experiments and post-questionnaire qualitative analysis', *Ecological Economics*, 52(4), 513–26.
- Powe, N.A., K.G. Willis and G.D. Garrod (2006), 'Difficulties in valuing street light improvement: trust, surprise and bound effects', *Applied Economics*, 38(4), 371–83.
- Randall, A (1991), 'Total and nonuse values', in J.B. Braden and C.D. Kolstad

- (eds), *Measuring the Demand for Environmental Quality*, London: North-Holland.
- Rice, S.A. (ed.) (1931), *Methods in Social Science*, Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Rokeach, M. (1973), *The Nature of Human Values*, New York: Free Press.
- Rowe, R., R. d'Arge and D.S. Brookshire (1980), 'An experiment on the economic value of visibility', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 7, 1–19.
- Rawls, J. (1974), 'Some reasons for the maximum criterion', *American Economic Review*, 64(2), 141–6.
- Sagoff, M. (1988), *The Economy of the Earth*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sagoff, M. (1998), 'Aggregation and deliberation in valuing environmental public goods: a look beyond contingent pricing', *Ecological Economics*, 24(2–3), 213–31.
- Samuelson, P. (1954), 'The pure theory of public expenditure', *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 36, 387–9.
- Scarpa, R. and I.J. Bateman (2000), 'Efficiency gains afforded by improved bid design versus follow-up valuation questions in discrete-choice CV studies', *Land Economics*, 76(2), 299–311.
- Schkade, D.A. and J.W. Payne (1993), 'Where do the numbers come from? How people respond to contingent valuation questions', in J.A. Hausman (ed.), *Contingent Valuation: A Critical Assessment*, Amsterdam: North Holland.
- Schkade, D.A. and J.W. Payne (1994), 'How people respond to contingent valuation questions: a verbal protocol analysis of willingness to pay for an environmental regulation', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 26, 88–109.
- Schulze, W.D., R.C. d'Arge and D.S. Brookshire (1981), 'Valuing environmental commodities: some recent experiments', *Land Economics*, 57(2), 151–72.
- Schulze, W.D., G.H. McClelland, J.K. Lazo and R.D. Rowe (1998), 'Embedding and calibration in measuring non-use values', *Resource and Energy Economics*, 20(2), 163–78.
- Schwartz, S.H. (1977), 'Normative influences on altruism', in L. Berkowitz (ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, vol. 10, New York: Academic Press.
- Simon, H. (1956), 'Rational choice and the structure of the environment', *Psychological Review*, 63, 129–38.
- Sinclair, M. (1977), 'The public hearing as a participatory device: evaluation of the IJC experience', in W.R.D. Sewell and J.T. Coppock (eds), *Regulating Risk: The Science and Politics of Risk*, Washington, DC: International Life Sciences Institute.

- Slovic, P. (1995), 'The construction of preference', *American Psychologist*, **50**(5), 364–71.
- Smith, G. (2003), 'Deliberative democracy and the environment', in *Routledge Research in Environmental Politics*, London: Routledge.
- Smith, V.K. (1992), 'Arbitrary values, good causes, and premature verdicts: comment', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **22**, 71–89.
- Smith, V.K. and L.L. Osborne (1996), 'Do contingent valuation estimates pass a "scope" test? A meta analysis', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **31**(3), 287–301.
- Spash, C.L. (2000), 'Ecosystem, contingent valuation and ethics: the case of wetland re-creation', *Ecological Economics*, **34**, 195–215.
- Spash, C.L. and N. Hanley (1995), 'Preferences, information and biodiversity preservation', *Ecological Economics*, **12**, 191–208.
- Stevens, T.H., J. Echeverria, R.J. Glass, T. Hager and T.A. More (1991), 'Measuring the existence value of wildlife: what do CVM estimates really show', *Land Economics*, **67**(4), 390–400.
- Stevens, T.H., R.A. More and R.J. Glass (1994), 'Interpretation and temporal stability of CV bids for wildlife existence: a panel study', *Land Economics*, **70**(3), 355–63.
- Strack, F. and N. Schwarz (1992), 'Communicative influences in standardized question situations', in G.R. Semin and K. Fielder (eds), *Language Interaction and Social Cognition*, London: Sage.
- Strauss, A.L. and J. Corbin (1998), *Basics of Qualitative Research : Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Sussman, S., D. Burton, C.W. Dent, A.W. Stacy and B.R. Flay (1991), 'Use of focus groups in developing an adolescent tobacco use cessation program: collective norm effects', *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, **21**, 1772–82.
- Svedsäter, H. (2003), 'Economic valuation of the environment: how citizens make sense of contingent valuation questions', *Land Economics*, **79**(1), 122–35.
- Turner, R.K. and T. Jones (eds) (1991), *Wetlands, Market and Intervention Failures*, London: Earthscan.
- Turner, R.K., D. Dent and R.D. Hey (1983), 'Valuation of the environmental impact of wetland flood protection and drainage schemes', *Environment and Planning A*, **15**, 871–88.
- Turner, R.K., J.C.J.M. van den Bergh, T. Söderqvist, A. Barendregt, J. van der Straaten, E. Maltby and van E.C. Ierland (2000), 'Ecological-economic analysis of wetlands: scientific integration for management and policy', *Ecological Economics*, **35**, 7–25.

- Turner, R.K., J.M. van den Bergh and R. Brouwer (2003), 'Introduction', in R.K. Turner, J.M. van den Bergh and R. Brouwer (eds), *Managing Wetlands: An Ecological Economics Approach*, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US: Edward Elgar.
- Tversky, A. and D. Kahneman (1974), 'Judgement under uncertainty: heuristics and biases', *Science*, 185, 1124–31.
- Tversky, A., P. Slovic and S. Sattath (1988), 'Contingent weighting in judgement and choice', *Psychological Review*, 95(3), 371–84.
- United Nations (1993), *The Global Partnership for the Environment and Development. A Guide to Agenda 21*, post-Rio edn, New York: United Nations.
- Vadnjaj, D. and M. O'Connor (1994), 'What is the value of Rangitoto Island?', *Environmental Values*, 3, 369–80.
- Varian H.R. (1992), *Microeconomic Analysis*, 3rd edn, London: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Varian, H.R. (1999), 'Commentary on "economic preferences or attitude expressions: an analysis of dollar responses to public issues" by Kahneman *et al.*', *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 19(1–3), 241–2.
- Vatn, A. (2004), 'Environmental valuation and rationality', *Land Economics*, 80(1), 1–18.
- Vatn, A. (2005), *Institutions and the Environment*, Cheltenham, and Northampton, MA, US: Edward Elgar.
- Vatn, A. and D.W. Bromley (1994), 'Choices without prices without apologies', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 26, 129–48.
- Viscusi, W.K., W.A. Megat and J. Huber (1991), 'Pricing environmental health risks: survey assessments for risk-risk and risk-dollar trade-offs for chronic bronchitis', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 21, 32–51.
- Ward, H. (1999), 'Citizens' juries and valuing the environment: a proposal', *Environmental Politics*, 8(2), 75–96.
- Welch, N. and B. Fischhoff (2001), 'The social context of contingent valuation transactions', *Society and Natural Resources*, 14(3), 209–21.
- Welsh, M. and G.L. Poe (1998), 'Elicitation effects in contingent valuation: comparisons to a multiple-bounded discrete choice approach', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 34, 219–32.
- Whitehead, J.C. and G.C. Blomquist (1991), 'Measuring contingent values for wetlands: effects of information about related environmental goods', *Water Resources Research*, 27(10), 2523–31.
- Whittington, D., V.K. Smith, A. Okorafor, A. Okore, J.L. Liu and A. McPhail (1992), 'Giving respondents more time to think in contingent valuation studies: a developing country application', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 22, 205–25.

- Willis, K.G., G.D. Garrod, J.F. Benson and M. Carter (1996), 'Benefits and costs of the wildlife enhancement scheme: a case study of the Pevensy Levels', *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 39, 387–401.
- Willis, K.G. and N.A. Powe (1998), 'Contingent valuation and real economic commitments: a private good experiment', *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 41(5), 611–19.
- Willis, K.G., N.A. Powe and G.D. Garrod (2005), 'Estimating the value of improved street lighting: a factor analytical discrete choice approach', *Urban Studies*, 42(12), 1–15.
- Wilson, M.A. and R.B. Howarth (2002), 'Discourse-based valuation of ecosystem services: establishing fair outcomes through group deliberation', *Ecological Economics*, 41, 431–43.
- von Winterfeldt, D. and W. Edwards (1986), *Decisions Analysis and Behavioral Research*, New York: Cambridge University Press.