

Index

- Aalborg Charter of European Cities and Towns Towards Sustainability 180, 184
- accountability, NSDS evaluation 103
- ADEME (Agence de l'environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie) 262
- administrative bodies, commissioning of evaluations 54
- administrative structures, SD, France 261–3
- agri-environmental programme committee (Austria) 113
- agri-environmental programmes 110
 - case study, Austria 111–12
 - conclusions 120–21
 - evaluation approach and identification of indicators 112–17
 - results 118–20
- agriculture sector, French national strategy 266
- alternatives, defining, SIAs 92–3
- Amsterdam Treaty (1997) 294
- analysis, NSDS evaluation 104
- Analysis of Context, US environmental reports 313
- annual reports, French national strategy 268–9
- applied sciences, challenges for 321–3
- assistant review leader, PRESUD project 193
- Austria
 - agri-environmental programme 111–12
 - case study
 - conclusions 120–21
 - evaluation approach and identification of indicators 112–17
 - results 118–20
 - development of SEA and SIA 84–9, 94
- Austrian Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act (1994) 84
- basic workshop, self-evaluation 223–6
- Belgium, institutionalized evaluations 237–42
 - federal policy and planning cycle 238–9, 242
 - impact assessment processes 240–41
- best timing, evaluation efforts 322
- 'Better regulation' policy agenda 240
- biennial reports, Belgium Federal Planning Bureau 238–9
- Biodiversity Convention 98
- biophysical process models, agri-environmental programme analysis 117
- Brundtland Report (1987) 1–2, 4, 43, 63, 126
- budgetary provision, NSDS evaluation 104
- Bush, George W. 308
- Canada, SEA and SIA 88
- capacity-building, Finnish evaluation reports 289
- capitals' model *see* four capitals' model
- case studies, successful development of SIA 94
- Cells for Sustainable Development 241
- Central and Eastern Europe, evaluating governance-related technical assistance projects 201–13
- CIDA 203, 206, 207, 209, 211
- cities, local authority organization, France 263
- citizens, French national strategy 266
- city coordinators, PRESUD project 195
- City of Tomorrow and Cultural Heritage 181

- city-regions, implementing sustainable development in 129–31
- civil society
 - development of national strategies 104
 - involvement in sustainability, France 263
- climate change, French national strategy 266
- Climate Convention 98
- cognition, donor organizations 204
- 'command and control' approach 250
- Commission of the European Communities *see* European Commission
- commissioners
 - information asymmetry between evaluators and 55
 - lack of perception of evaluating organizations 53–4
 - motivation for commissioning evaluations 53
- Committee 21 (Le Comité 21) 263–4
- Common Agriculture Policy, case study (Austria) 109–21
 - agri-environmental programme 111–12
 - conclusions 120–21
 - evaluation approach and identification of indicators 112–17
 - results 118–20
- communication
 - multi-level systems 66
 - NSDS evaluation 103
- communication procedures 43–4
- communicative action 3
- community, role, evaluating contribution of SF to SD 155–6
- companies, French national strategy 266
- comparability, PRESUD project consortium 191
- compensation, monitoring of SD 73
- competition *see* horizontal competition; vertical competition
- Complex Urban Plans 250
- confidence, PRESUD project consortium 191
- confidentiality, PRESUD project consortium 191
- conflict regulation, monitoring of SD 73, 74
- consensus
 - multi-level government 65, 66
 - NSDS evaluation 102, 103
- 'consultant crowding out' 202
- consultants, as potential evaluators 54
- consumers
 - French national strategy 266
 - interests, agri-environmental programme analysis 115
- Contrat de Plan* 280, 282
- Contratti di Quartiere* 250
- control of monopolies 69–70
- cooperation, multi-level government 65
- coordination
 - with donors, NSDS evaluation 103
 - multi-level government 65, 66–7
- cost and benefit analysis 171
 - based on four capitals 168–9
 - characterising costs and benefits 171
 - connecting project with its own context 172–3
 - expanded and practice-oriented 'four capitals' model 170–71
 - experiences 174–5
 - graphical representation, project outcomes 173
 - indicator approach 175
 - list of components for each capital 170–71
 - project management and analysis software 171–2
- costs, technical assistance projects
 - consultancies of donor country 202
 - training activities, by donors and recipient countries 204, 205
- Council Regulation 2078/92 EEC 112
- counterfactual judgements, evaluating contribution of SF to SD 154
- Court of Audit, report, Belgium 239, 241
- 'creative commons' licence 140
- critical mass strategy, TAPs 208, 209, 211
- crop yields, Austrian agri-environmental programmes 118, 120
- culture
 - PRESUD project consortium 192
 - see also* national evaluation culture
- 4D associations 264
- decentralization, NSDS evaluation 104

- decision support, evaluation as 18–19, 90, 324–5
 decision-making
 centralized governments 64
 evidence-based 324
 multi-level government 64, 66, 67, 72
 stakeholder participation 4
 Declaring Conflicts and Interests, US
 environmental reports 314
 democracy of proximity 265
 Denmark, assessment of government
 bills and proposals 88
 départements, France 263
 development paths 147
 DFID 207, 209, 212
 diffusion studies 40–42
 direct sustainability strategy, TAPs 208, 209
 discussion, PRESUD project 192, 194
 diversity, SD evaluation 132
 document analysis 54
 donors, coordination with, NSDS
 evaluation 103
 DPS 249
 DPSIR framework 247, 254
 dual strategy, to implement SD 128–9
 dynamic changes, Finnish evaluation
 reports 288

 Earth Summit (Rio, 1992) 97, 100, 260, 310, 315
 EASY-CO network 166
 eco-efficiency, evaluating contribution of
 SF to SD 154–5
 Eco-Management Audit Scheme
 (EMAS) 178
 ecological dimension, SD evaluation
 131, 132
 ecologically oriented models 167
 economic activities, French national
 strategy 266
 economic dimension, SD evaluation
 69–70, 131, 132
 economics, life-course model 35
 economy-environment links, evaluating
 contribution of SF to SD 154–5
 economy-social links, evaluating
 contribution of SF to SD 155–6
 education, in evaluation, Finland 284
 efficiency, SAPARD 295
 elitist strategy, TAPs 208, 209
 energy sector, French national strategy
 266
 Enquete Commission 70, 72
 Environment 2010: Our Future, Our
 Choice 109–10
 environmental assessment
 SAPARD 296
 see also strategic environmental
 assessment
 environmental dimension, SD evaluation
 70–71
 environmental impact assessment 178
 Italy 246–8
 environmental impact statement 308
 environmental issues, NSDS evaluation
 102
 environmental losses, OECD target for
 reversal of 98
 environmental management measures,
 Austrian farmers 112
 environmental themes, PRESUD project
 190
 equity
 Finnish evaluation reports 289
 SAPARD 295
 SF programmes 254
 ten elements evaluation tool 132, 134
 ethics, ten element evaluation tool 131,
 132
 Europe
 Local Agenda 21 evaluation 177
 local sustainable development 179–80
 see also Central and Eastern Europe;
 individual countries
 European Agricultural Guidance and
 Guarantee Fund (EAGGF) 109,
 110
 European Commission
 Communication on impact assessment
 87
 Fifth Framework Programme 181
 impact assessment 240
 interlocking levels of PPP 9
 European Common Indicators (ECIs)
 180, 184, 253, 254
 European Community
 Council Regulation 2078/92 112
 Directive 2001/42/CE 268
 European Social Fund 248

- European Sustainable Cities and Towns Campaign 179
- European Union
 - defining concept of sustainability 294
 - environmental assessment requirement 246
 - Fifth Environmental Action Programme 109
 - motivations, commissioning evaluations 53
 - Sixth Environmental Action Plan 178, 179
 - Structural Funds *see* Structural Funds sustainability strategy 8–9
- evaluation culture, need for diffusion of 282
- evaluation projects, Finland 285–6
- evaluation reports
 - Finland 286–90
 - PRESUD project 196–7
 - United States 310–15
- evaluation, sustainable development
 - challenges for applied sciences 321–3
 - conceptualization of 23
 - as decision support 18–19, 90, 324–5
 - definitions 6–7
 - as learning for institutional transition 325–6
 - methods and tools
 - at national strategic policy level 97–107
 - case study on reorientation of CAP, Austria 109–21
 - Local Evaluation 21 tool 177–85
 - participatory *see* strategic environmental assessment; sustainable impact assessment
 - peer reviews for cities 187–97
 - practice-oriented process for local communities 165–75
 - self-evaluation in research projects 217–30
 - ten elements evaluation tool 133–9
 - thematic approach, contribution of SF to SD 143–62
- in multi-level government 63–75
 - assessment criteria 69–74
 - finding the appropriate governmental level 64–7
 - SD as a public responsibility 68–9
 - national culture
 - Belgium 237–42
 - Finland 283–90
 - France 259–82
 - Italy 245–56
 - Poland 293–303
 - as performance assessment 325
 - policies, programmes and projects (PPP)
 - achievement of goals 8–9
 - administrative level 13–14
 - approaches and methods 20–22
 - as decision support 18–19
 - interlocking levels 9
 - for legitimization 19–20
 - phases 14–17
 - policy areas
 - frameworks 10
 - role 7–8
 - programmes 10–11
 - projects 11–13
 - as vehicle for social learning 19
 - referential framework 51–61
 - common ground for understanding of SD 55–6
 - market for evaluation of SD 52–5
 - meta-analysis of LA21 evaluations 57–60
 - need for 51–2
 - social science approach 33–48
 - micro- and macro-perspective 42–6
 - theory 35–42
 - usability 46–8
 - standards *see* standards
 - sustainability models 167–8
 - USA *see* United States
- evaluators
 - consultants as potential 54
 - Finland 285
 - information asymmetry between commissioners and 55
 - methodological skills 54
 - national strategies 105
 - practical questions for 22–5
 - event-defined phases, life-course research 35–6
 - evidence-based decision-making 324
 - evolutionary process, SD as 5
 - ex ante* evaluations 14, 15–16
 - Finland 285, 286

- impact assessments 83–4, 240
- Poland 295–6, 297
- ten elements evaluation tool 133–40
- ex post* evaluations 15, 17
 - biennial reports, Belgium 238
 - Finland 285, 286
 - Poland 293–4
 - social science approach 33–48
 - WinWin22 171–2
- exemplary state, French national strategy 266
- experts, SD evaluation by 278–9
- extended four pillars model 168–75
- extended three pillars model 3–4, 144–5
- external sustainability 45, 46
- farmers (Austria)
 - environmental management measures 112
 - interests, agri-environmental programme analysis 115, 116
- fault tolerance, self-evaluation 218
- Federal Planning Bureau (Belgium) 238–9
- federal policy and planning cycle, Belgium 238–9, 242
- Federal Strategy for Sustainable Development (FSSD) 237
- federalism 65
- feedback, NSDS evaluation criteria 104
- feedback workshop, self-evaluation 227
- Fifth Environmental Action Programme (EU) 109
- Fifth Framework Programme (EC) 181
- Finland
 - evaluation of SD study 283–90
 - background information 284–5
 - evaluation projects 285–6
 - evaluation reports
 - results 287–90
 - timing, size and availability 286–7
 - evaluators 285
 - SIA and SEA 88
 - Finnish Environment Institute 284
 - Finnish Evaluation Society 284
 - Finnish National Commission on Sustainable Development 283
 - Flemish Regulatory Impact Analysis 240
 - FocusLab 253
 - foreign aid, sustainability of 201–13
 - formal organizational structures 39, 41
 - four capitals' model 4–5, 156–7
 - advantages 160
 - cost and benefit analysis *see* cost and benefit analysis
 - disadvantages 160–61
 - evaluation of SF to SD 144–5
 - extending 161–2
 - interrelationships between capitals 156, 158–9
 - trade-offs between capitals 146
 - Framework Strategic Evaluation of the Environmental Impact of the National Development Plan for 2004-2006* 297
 - France 259–82
 - national-level promotion of local activities 179
 - sustainability
 - emergence of 260–61
 - in traditional policies 279–81
 - sustainability development
 - administrative structures 261–3
 - civil society involvement 263–4
 - LA21 267–8, 269–70, 272
 - legislative framework 264–5
 - national charter for environment 267
 - national strategy
 - an academic exercise 260–61
 - evaluation 268–9
 - objectives 265–7
 - policy evaluation
 - by experts 278–9
 - environmentally driven approach 276–9
 - indicators of SD 273–6
 - lessons drawn 281–2
 - methodological framework 270–72
 - state of the art 268–70
 - French Commission for SD 260
 - French Strategy for SD 260
 - German National Action Plan (NAP) 139
 - global consensus, sustainable development as 126–7
 - global system 129

- goals
 - defining, SIAs 92
 - NSDS evaluation 104
 - organizational structures 39
- governance, of desired SD 72–3
- governance themes, PRESUD project 189
- governance-related technical assistance
 - projects, evaluating 201–13
 - key concepts 203
 - scope of research 203–4
 - training assistance projects
 - management and content 204–9
 - summary and conclusions 212–13
 - sustainability of assistance 209–11
- government
 - commitment, NSDS evaluation 103
 - as a model, French national strategy 266
- government bodies, interests, agri-environmental programme analysis 114
- Grid RST 277–8
- Guidelines on Strategic Environmental Assessment 246

- health dimension, French national strategy 266
- hierarchy of sustainability 43
- Hong Kong, SIA 88
- horizontal competition 65
- horizontal social integration 44
- human capital 4
 - extended four pillars model 169, 170
 - interrelationships with other capitals 158, 159
- hydrological response units, agri-environmental programme analysis 117

- IDHEAP 166
- IFEN 273
- IFSOL 248
- impact assessment processes 324
 - Belgium 240–41
 - see also* environmental impact assessment; sustainability impact assessment
- impact model 38–40
- impact sustainability 45, 46, 47

- implementation capacity, NSDS
 - evaluation 104
- implementation responsibilities, NSDS
 - evaluation 103
- indicators
 - measuring contribution of SF to SD 152–3
 - NSDS evaluation 104
 - of SD, France 273–6
- INEA 249
- informal organizational structures 39, 41
- information, NSDS evaluation 104
- information asymmetry, evaluators and commissioning authorities 55
- innovation-oriented sustainability 43, 46, 47
- innovations, monitoring of SD 73
- institutional arrangements, coordination, multi-level government 66
- institutional capacity, sustainable development 201
- institutional capacity-building 248
- institutional level, timing, evaluation efforts 322
- institutional map 73
- institutional transition, evaluation as learning for 325–6
- institutionalization process, Italy 245–9
- institutionalized evaluations, Belgium 237–42
 - federal policy and planning cycle 238–9, 242
 - impact assessment processes 240–41
- instruction, PRESUD project 194
- integration
 - NSDS evaluation 102
 - of planning and SEA 87
 - PRESUD project 190
- Inter-Ministerial Delegate (France) 261
- Interdepartmental Commission for SD 239
- interdependency, shift from SEA to SIA 88
- interest groups
 - shift from SEA to SIA 89
 - see also* stakeholders
- internal sustainability 44, 45, 46
- international action, French national strategy 266

- International Association for Impact Assessment (2002) 88
- international commitments, NSDSs 97–8, 102
- International Conference of the Lake Constance region 138–9
- International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) 184, 253, 309
- International Development Targets (OECD) 98
- invisible hand 72
- ISO 9000 101
- Italy
 - evaluation of SD 245–56
 - institutionalization process 245–9
 - Local Agenda 21 processes 252–4
 - in the planning system 249–52
 - themes and trends 254–5
 - national-level promotion of local activities 179
- joint cooperation-based project, sustainable development as 127–9
- knowledge, for evaluations 54
- land-use planning, pilot SEAs, Austria 86
- language, PRESUD project consortium 192
- LASALA project 180–81
 - Local Evaluation 21 tool
 - assessment methodology 183–5
 - conclusion 185
 - construction of 181–2
 - online self-assessment process 182–3
 - process and progress evaluation 178
 - project consortium 180
 - self-assessment form 181
 - self-assessment module 180–81
 - themes 10, 180
- Law Voynet 264–5, 270
- learning for institutional transition, evaluation as 325–6
- learning process, SD as 5
- legislative framework, SD, France 264–5
- legitimization, evaluation for 19–20
 - levels and limits, Finnish evaluation reports 288–9
 - life-course model 35–7, 42
 - LOADDT 264–5, 270
 - Local Agenda 21 (LA21) 43, 130
 - Europe 178
 - France 267–8, 269–70, 272
 - Italy 252–4
 - evaluation, *see also* LASALA project hints on implementing SD 127–8
 - identification of problems and opportunities 177
 - meta-analysis of evaluations 57–60
 - United States 309
 - use of ten element evaluation tool 138
 - Local Evaluation 21 tool 178
 - assessment methodology 183–5
 - conclusion 185
 - construction of 181–2
 - online self-assessment process 182–3
 - urban sustainability 179
 - local sustainable development
 - in Europe 179–80
 - process and progress evaluation 178
 - local sustainable development projects, evaluating 165–75
 - bridging gap between research and practice 166
 - cost and benefit analysis
 - analysed projects 174
 - based on four capitals 168–9, 175
 - characterising costs and benefits 171
 - connecting the project with its own context 172–3
 - further steps 174–5
 - graphical synthetic representation of project outcomes 173
 - indicator approach 175
 - lessons learned 174
 - list of components for each capital 170–71
 - project management and analysis software 171–2
 - focusing on project evaluation 166–7
 - situation in Switzerland 165–6, 167
 - sustainability models 167–8
 - Lombardia region 247
 - long-term vision, NSDS evaluation 103

- McSharry Reform 109
- manufactured capital
 - extended four pillars model 169, 170
 - interrelationships with other capitals 158, 159
- market, for evaluation of SD 52–5
- market economy 69
- market failure 67, 69, 70
- mathematical models 322
- Meta-evaluation, US environmental reports 314–15
- method of assessment, SIAs 93
- methodological level, timing, evaluation efforts 322
- methodological skills, evaluators 54
- mid-term evaluation 14, 16–17
- Ministry of the Environment (Italy) 246, 253, 254
- Ministry of Infrastructures and Public Works (Italy) 250
- mobility management problem, France 265
- models, for evaluating sustainability 167–8
- monitoring
 - of desired SD 72–3
 - NSDS evaluation 104
 - upgrading of, SIAs 91–2
- motivations, commissioning evaluations 53
- multi-level government
 - advantages 74
 - enforcement of sustainability 74
 - evaluation, sustainable development in 63–75
 - assessment criteria 69–74
 - finding the appropriate governmental level 64–7
 - SD in public responsibility 68–9
- multiplier effect strategies, TAPs 209, 210
- national charter for the environment, France 267
- National Commission for Public Debate (France) 265
- National Council for SD (CNDD) 263
- National Development Plan (Poland), SD evaluation 296–301, 303
- national evaluation culture
 - Belgium 237–42
 - Finland 283–90
 - France 259–82
 - Italy 245–56
 - Poland 293–303
- national sustainable development
 - strategies 97–107
 - characteristics of effective 100–101
 - effectiveness of planning 101
 - evaluation
 - development of criteria for 101–5
 - objectives 98
 - organization of 106–7
 - results for each criterion 105–6
- France
 - an academic exercise 260–61
 - evaluation 268–9
 - objectives 265–7
 - international commitments to 97–8
 - principles 100
- National System of Evaluation 249
- natural capital 4
 - extended four capital model 169, 170
 - interrelationships with other capitals 158, 159
- negotiation orientation, self-evaluation as 218
- Neighbourhood Contracts (Italy) 250
- Netherlands, sustainability analysis 88
- New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD) 201
- nitrate concentration, agri-environmental programme analysis 116–17, 120
- Nitrates Directive, implementation, Austria 115
- non-aggregation of specific contributions, evaluating contribution of SF to SD 153
- non-governmental organizations 87, 115, 307
- non-SF activities, evaluating contribution of SF to SD 153
- non-weighting of assessment criteria, evaluating contribution of SF to SD 153
- Norway, assessment, government proposals 88
- objectives, defining, SIAs 92

- OECD (Organization for Economic Development)
 - evaluation definition 6
 - International Development Targets 98
 - principles of strategic planning for SD 99, 100
- Office for Future-Related Issues of the State Government of Vorarlberg 138–9, 140
- online self-assessment process, LASALA 182–3
- organizational research, life-course model 35
- organizational structures
 - elements of 39–40
 - innovation diffusion 41
- participation
 - Finnish evaluation reports 289
 - monitoring of SD 73
 - SD evaluation 132
 - see also* stakeholder participation
- partnerships, SD evaluation 132
 - PRESUD project 188
- PDU 265, 270
- peace 71
- peer review approach *see* PRESUD project
- peer review exercise, French national strategy 269
- performance assessment, evaluation as 325
- PHARE-RAPID 293
- PHARE-STRUDER 293
- PHARE-TACIS 203, 206, 207, 209, 212
- planning, integration with SEA 87
- planning system, evaluation in, Italy 249–52
- PLUs 265, 270
- Poland, evaluation of SD 293–303
 - conclusions 302–3
 - in SAPARD 295–6
 - National Development Plan 296–301, 303
 - formal mega-criteria 298–9
 - substantial mega-criteria 299–301
- policies, programmes and projects (PPP), evaluation
 - administrative level 13–14
 - conference papers
 - Austria agri-environmental programme 111–21
 - governance-related technical assistance projects 201–13
 - LASALA 177–85
 - practice oriented approach, local SD projects 165–75
 - self-evaluation methodology 217–30
 - Structural Funds evaluation 143–62
 - ten elements evaluation tool 133–40
 - phases 14–17
 - policies 10, 133
 - PRESUD project 187–97
 - programmes 10–11
 - projects 11–13
 - role of 7–8
 - subject of 8–10
 - policy process, NSDS evaluation 103
 - policy synergy, evaluating contribution of SF to SD 153
 - political action, SD as frame for 1–2
 - political capital 4
 - political concept, SD as 127
 - political initiatives, shift from SEA to SIA 89
 - political level aspects, environmental sustainability 71
 - political support, NSDS evaluation 103
 - potential aspects, referential system 56
 - poverty issues, NSDS evaluation 102
 - power, division of, multi-level government 64, 67
 - practical level, timing, evaluation efforts 322
 - practice-oriented approach, evaluating local SD projects 165–75
- President's Council on Environmental Quality 308
- President's Council on Sustainable Development (1993) 308
- Pressure State Response derived models 167
- PRESUD project 10, 188
 - benchmark 190
 - consortium 191–2
 - environmental themes 190
 - governance themes 189
 - hosting city 195
 - integration themes 190

- lessons learned 197
- objectives 188–9
- partnership 188
- peers 192–4
- report 196–7
- ‘principle of precaution’ 260
- private firms, interests, agri-
 - environmental programme analysis 115
- process aspect, referential system 56
- process evaluation, local sustainability 178
- process-oriented quality management, self-evaluation as 218
- production-oriented sustainability 43, 46
- programme models 211
- programmes *see* policies, programmes and projects
- progress evaluation, local sustainability 178
- project assistance 36
- Project Management and Analysis Tool 171–2
- project pipeline checklist 150, 157
- project-oriented sustainability 43, 46
- projects
 - impact model research 38–40
 - life-course research 36–7
 - see also* policies, programmes and projects
- proving phase, project evaluation 37
- PRUSST 250
- Public Administration Excellence Model (PAEM) 178, 180, 184
- public awareness, NSDS evaluation 103
- public participation, SIA 90
- public responsibility, SD as 68–9

- qualitative analysis, contribution of SF to SD 151–2
- quality, evaluation reports
 - Finland 290
 - PRESUD project 197
 - United States 313–15
- quality management
 - ten elements evaluation tool
 - evaluation checklist 135–8
 - experiences with 138–9
 - implementation 134–5
 - preliminary conclusions 139–40
 - quality standard 133–4
- quantitative analysis, contribution of SF to SD 151–2

- RARE network 270
- reciprocal behaviour 155
- redistribution policies 70
- referential framework, for evaluation 51–61
 - common ground for the understanding of SD 55–6
 - market for evaluation of SD 52–5
 - meta-analysis of LA21 evaluations 57–60
 - need for 51–2
- reflection culture, self-evaluation 219–20
- reflexivity
 - as an institutional strategy 73
 - Finnish evaluation reports 289
- regional Agenda 21 initiative 138
- regional assessment, contribution of SF to SD 150, 153, 154
- regional councils, France 263
- regional development paths 147–8
- regional sustainable development
 - approaches to assessing 156–62
 - implementing 129–31
- regulative idea, sustainability as 3–4, 126
- Regulatory Impact Analysis (Flemish) 240
- reports *see* annual reports; biennial reports; evaluation reports; state of the environment reports
- research institutes, competency for evaluations 54
- research projects, self-evaluation 217–30
 - methodological approach 220–29
 - basic workshop 223–6
 - discussion of 228–9
 - feedback workshop 227
 - interim feedback session 226–7
 - preparation and assessment 222–3
 - outlook 230
 - reason for 217–20
- researchers, interests, agri-environmental programme analysis 115
- resource efficiency, evaluating
 - contribution of SF to SD 154–5
- resource issues, NSDS evaluation 102

- resources, organizational 40
- RESPECT network 282
- responsibility, allocation, multi-level government 69–70
- Rete dei Nuclei di Valutazione e Verifica 249
- reverse Peter-Principle 129
- review team leader, PRESUD project 193
- review team members, PRESUD project 193–4
- Rio Declaration on Environment and Development 125, 126
- Rio Earth Summit (1992) 97, 100, 260, 310, 315
- risk prevention, French national strategy 266
- Round Table (SEA) 87, 90
- RST grid 277–8

- SANU 166
- SAPARD 295–6, 303
- Scope and Selection of Information, US environmental reports 314
- SCOTs 265, 270
- self-assessment process, LASALA 182–3
- self-evaluation, in research projects 217–30
 - methodological approach 220–29
 - basic workshop 223–6
 - discussion of 228–9
 - feedback workshop 227
 - interim feedback session 226–7
 - preparation and assessment 222–3
 - outlook 230
 - reasons for 217–20
- self-organization, monitoring of SD 73
- SEVAL standards 133, 134
- site-specific crop yields, Austrian agri-environmental programmes 118, 120
- Sixth Environmental Action Plan (EU) 178, 179
- social capital 4
 - extended four pillars model 170
 - interrelationships with other capitals 158, 159
 - SD and need for development of 155–6
 - social component, sustainability 230
 - social dimension, SD evaluation 71, 266
 - social environment, innovation diffusion 41
 - social innovations, diffusion studies 40–42
 - social issues, NSDS evaluation 102
 - social learning, evaluation as vehicle for 5, 19
 - social policy strategies 156
 - social science approach 33–48
 - micro- and macro-perspective 42–6
 - theory 35–42
 - usability 46–8
 - socio-cultural dimension, SD evaluation 131, 132
 - socio-economic development, SD evaluation 248–9
 - Solidarity and Urban Rebuilding 265
 - South Africa, SIA 88
 - spatial delimitation, implementation of sustainability 126
 - spatial interlinkages, Finnish evaluation reports 288
 - spatial planning, use of ten element evaluation tool 138
 - SRDTOOLS 153
 - stability 71
 - stakeholder analysis, agri-environmental programme evaluation 113–14
 - Stakeholder Identification, US environmental reports 313–14
 - stakeholder interests
 - agri-environmental programme analysis 114–16
 - TAPs 212–13
 - stakeholder participation
 - Austria agri-environmental programme committee 110–11
 - decision-making 4
 - NSDS evaluation 102
 - strategic environmental assessment 87
 - sustainability impact assessment 90
 - stakeholders, agri-environmental programme 113, 121
 - standards, SEVAL 133, 134
 - state of the environment (SER) reports 253
 - steering committee, NSDS evaluation 107

- Stockmann's evaluation concept 33–48
- strategic environmental assessment
 - definition 83
 - development in Austria and around the world 84–9, 94
 - EU guidelines 246–7
 - implementation, Italy 246, 247, 248
 - success factors 86–7
 - sustainability impact assessment
 - differences between 85
 - features in common with 84
- strategic planning
 - effectiveness of 101
 - for SD 99
- strong sustainable development 145
- structural features, SD evaluation 132
- Structural Funds
 - evaluation of socio-economic development 248, 254
 - expenditure Italy, spread of SEA 246
 - thematic evaluation 143–62
 - awareness of 53
 - case study approach 144
 - extended three pillars approach 144–5
 - geographic levels of analysis 146
 - lessons for 150–62
 - purpose of 143
 - tools and methods 146–50
- structures, organizational 39–40
- subcontractors, commissioning of 54
- subsidiarity
 - multi-level systems 64
 - SD evaluation 132
- sustainability
 - emergence of, France 260–61
 - micro- and macro-perspective 42–6
 - as a political concept 127
 - social component 230
- sustainability analysis, Netherlands 88
- sustainability appraisal 87–8
- sustainability assessment, as next
 - generation of SEA 88
- sustainability assessment matrix 148, 149, 157
- sustainability impact assessment
 - conclusions and recommendations 93–4
 - definition 83–4
 - development in Austria and around the world 84–9
 - equally weighted
 - content of 92–3
 - design of process 89–92
 - future of a federal, Belgium 237–42
 - pilot SIAs 94
 - strategic environmental assessment
 - differences between 85
 - features in common with 84
- sustainability management system,
 - interlocking levels 9
- sustainability plant 132, 133
- sustainability-oriented teamwork
 - 219–20
- sustainability-oriented work 217–18
- sustainable development
 - contribution of Structural Funds to 143–62
 - definitions 52
 - enlarging the concept of 131–3
 - evaluation *see* evaluation
 - as a frame for political action 1–2
 - as global consensus 126–7
 - implementation
 - challenges to 125–6
 - in regions and city-regions 129–31
 - as a joint cooperation-based project 127–9
 - as learning process 5
 - operational concepts
 - capitals' model 4–5
 - extended pillars 3–4
 - three pillars model 3
 - as a regulative idea 126
- Sustainable Development Challenge Grants 308
- Sweden, SIA in 88
- Swiss Federal Institute of Technology 138
- Swiss Federal Office for Spatial Development (ARE) 165, 167
- Switzerland
 - evaluating local sustainability development projects 165–75
- SIA in 88
- system-oriented sustainability 43, 46
- systemic aspects, referential system 56
- systemic models 167
- systems theory, self-evaluation in 218

- targets, NSDS evaluation 104
- task assignment, multi-level government
 - 65, 67
- taxpayers, interests, agri-environmental programme analysis 115
- team climate, self-evaluation as reinforcing 219
- technical assistance *see* governance-related technical assistance projects
- technical knowledge, prerequisite for evaluation 54
- ten elements evaluation tool
 - evaluation checklist 135–8
 - experiences with 138–9
 - implementation 134–5
 - preliminary conclusions 139–40
 - quality standard 133–4
- Ten Elements of Sustainable Development 131, 132
- territorial coherence schemes, France 265, 270
- territories, French national strategy 266
- theories, evaluation of SD 321
- theory-driven evaluations 35–42
- three pillars approach 3
 - see also* extended three pillars approach
- time horizon, implementation of sustainability 126
- time-span, evaluation reports, Finland 288
- timing, evaluation efforts 322
- tools, evaluation of SD 322–3
- Toscana region
 - planning system 249–50
 - strategic environmental assessment 248
- Towards Sustainability 109
- trade-offs
 - evaluation of SD 323
 - in form of capital 146
 - justification, SIAs 91
 - levels of capital stocks 4–5
- traditional policies, evaluation of sustainability, France 279–81
- training, PRESUD project 194
- training assistance projects
 - estimated ‘typical’ unit costs of activities 205
 - key features 203
 - management and content
 - assistance strategies 208–9, 213
 - direct efficiency 204–5
 - implementation framework 205–6
 - sustainability 204
 - training content 207
 - transparency 206–7
 - strategies 204, 208–9
 - summary and conclusions 212–13
 - sustainability of assistance 209–11
- trans-regional development 130
- transparency
 - NSDS evaluation 103
 - technical assistance projects 206–7
 - throughout impact assessment 91
- Transparency in Assessment, US
 - environmental reports 314
- transport sector
 - French national strategy 266
 - pilot SEA, Austria 86
- transport/land-use issues, pilot SEA, Austria 86
- trend analysis, evaluating contribution of SF to SD 153
- trust 155
- United Kingdom, sustainability appraisal 87–8
- United Nations
 - Decade of Education for Sustainable Development 139
 - principles of strategic planning for SD 99
 - WEHAB agenda 179–80
- United States, evaluation of SD
 - 307–15
 - institutional and cultural background 307–8
 - national demand 308–9
 - regional and local demand 309
 - state of the art 315
 - survey of evaluation reports
 - definition of SD 311–12
 - fields and levels 311
 - general characterization 310–11
 - methods employed 312–13
 - quality of reports 313–15
 - sustainability impact assessment 88
- unsustainable development 146
- Unternehmen V 139, 140

- urban commuting plans, France 265, 270
- urban development, sustainable 179
- urban mix, French SD legislation 265
- urban planning regulatory documents, France 265, 270
- urban sustainability, *see also* PRESUD project
- usability, for sustainable development 46–8
- USAID 203, 206, 207, 209, 212
- value neutral element, stakeholder participation as 4
- values, Finnish evaluation reports 289
- vertical competition 65
- vertical social integration 44
- waste management, pilot SEAs, Austria 86
- watershed scale, Austrian agri-environmental programmes 118, 119
- weak sustainable development 145
- WEHAB agenda 179–80
- Western Australia, SIA 88
- Western best practice, irrelevance in technical assistance 202
- WinWin22 171–2
- World Summit on Sustainable Development (2002) 179