

Index

- Abou-Zeid, M. 171
- acceptability
- and differentiation of charges 19
 - car users
 - factors related to acceptability judgements 216–19
 - field study 213–16
 - overview 211–13, 222–4
 - predicting acceptability judgements 219–22
 - empirical study
 - discussion 202–3
 - hypotheses 199
 - results 201–2
 - Stockholm Road Pricing field trial 199–200
 - survey 200–201
 - firms
 - dependence on existing traffic problems 257
 - dependence on improved accessibility 258–60
 - dependence on revenue use 255–7
 - differences between firms 263–4
 - factors explaining 262–3
 - impact of serious negative effects 260–62
 - overview 250–53, 264–7
 - research method 253–4
 - measures of 194–6
 - overview 193–4, 203–5, 209–11
 - theoretical framework 196–8
- accessibility measures
- overview 227–30, 245–7
 - sensitivity analysis
 - characteristics 233–8
 - results 238–45
 - simulation model 230–33
 - sensitivity to fuel costs 244–5
 - sensitivity to price-measure characteristics 241–3
 - sensitivity to travel-time benefits 239
 - sensitivity to Value Of Time 243–4
- Ahlstrand, I. 193
- Ajzen, I. 195, 196, 205
- Altman, E. 157
- Anas, A. 110
- ANPT (Automatic Number Plate Recognition) 276–7
- Arentze, T. 101, 102, 197
- Arnott, R. 13, 21, 107, 131, 151
- arrival time 74
- ASTRA model 307
- attitude 195
- attitude objects 195
- Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) 276–7
- Bamberg, S. 198, 202, 210, 251
- Banister, D. 86
- Baron, J. 203
- Bartley, B. 210
- Basar, T. 160
- Bates, J. 66
- Beckmann, M.J. 171
- Beesley, M.E. 131
- Bell, M.G.H. 137, 152
- Ben-Akiva, M. 171
- Bento, A.M. 13, 21
- Bertrand, J. 132
- Besseling, B. 125
- Bhakar, J. 289
- Bickel, P. 305
- Black, I.G. 66
- Black, W.R. 193
- Bliemer, M.C.J. 71, 83, 178, 179, 231, 236, 237
- Bocarejo, J.P. 11
- Bolis, S. 36
- bottleneck model 19–21
- Bovy, P.H.L. 125, 178
- Boyce, D.E. 178
- Bråthen, S. 224

- Brehmer, B. 198
 Brewer, A. 31
 Brownstone, D. 66
 Bruinsma, F. 227
 Button, K.J. 9
- CAPI (computer-aided personal interview) 39
- car users
 kilometre charging acceptability factors related to acceptability judgements 216–19
 field study 213–16
 overview 211–13, 222–4
 predicting acceptability judgements 219–22
- Carver, C.S. 197
 Cassir, C. 152
 Chabini, I. 178
 Chaiken, S. 195, 196
 Chen, O.J. 153
 children, having, price sensitivity 93
 clamping, London Congestion Charging Scheme (LCCS) 278
 climate change valuation, EC 305–6
 common stops/links/lines *see* shared stops
 commuting
 price sensitivity 91, 94–5
 theoretical impacts 109
 computer-aided personal interview (CAPI) 39
 contour measures 233
 Cournot Game 159–60, 164
 Crawford, J.H. 193
 Cullinane, K.P.B. 36
- Dafermos, S.C. 132, 171
 Danielis, R. 36
 Dawes, R.M. 195, 204
 de Borger, B. 247
 de Jong, G. 65, 66
 de Wit, J. 106
 departure time 75
 design considerations
 behavioural effects and differentiation 18–19
 major characteristics 17–18
 revenue use 21–2
see also firms, kilometre charging acceptability, dependence on revenue use
 self-financing infrastructure 22
- Dietz, T. 198
 differentiation of charges
 behavioural effects 18–19
 dynamic congestion charging example 19–21
 direct mean-variance modelling of travel-time unreliability 66
- Draper, D.P. 138
 dynamic congestion charging example 19–21
- Eagly, A.H. 195, 196
- EC
 climate change valuation 305–6
 earmarking 307–8
 heavy goods vehicle user charges 30, 298–9
 marginal social cost pricing impact 306–7
 measurement and valuation of external costs 302–6
 overview 308–9
 policy development 293–6
 Rail Infrastructure Charging Directive (2001/14) 296–7
 reasons for lack of progress 299–300
 truck toll harmonization 29–30
 variability of infrastructure costs 300–302
- effectiveness
 and differentiation of charges 19
 unsuitability as objective 13–14
 efficiency, and differentiation of charges 18
- Einbock, M. 30
 Eliasson, J. 65, 66, 86, 110
 Emmerink, R.H.M. 205
 Eurovignette Directive 30, 298–9
 Evans, A.W. 131, 137, 295
 Evans, J. 29
 express lane example, second-best pricing 23
- Fair Payment for Infrastructure Use 294
 fairness, as objective 15–16

- Fearnside, K. 138
- firms
- kilometre charging acceptability
 - dependence on existing traffic problems 257
 - dependence on improved accessibility 258–60
 - dependence on revenue use 255–7
 - differences between firms 263–4
 - factors explaining 262–3
 - impact of serious negative effects 260–62
 - overview 250–53, 264–7
 - research method 253–4
 - responses to road-user charging
 - data and methodology 111–12
 - HR policy changes 117–19
 - overview 123–5
 - relocation 119–23
 - short-term responses 112–16
 - theory 106–11
- Fishbein, M. 195, 196
- Fisk, C.S. 152
- Florian, M. 132, 136
- Flowmap GIS 231
- Forkenbrock, D.J. 30
- Fowkes, A.S. 36, 38
- Fraser, G. 285, 288, 290
- free corridors, London Congestion Charging Scheme (LCCS) 274, 276
- free-flow travel time 42
- freight transporters
- responses to road-user charging
 - conceptual framework 31–3
 - data collection strategy 43–6
 - empirical framework 35–43
 - empirical model results 46, 60
 - marginal disutility of monetary measures 56–60
 - marginal disutility of travel-time elements 47–56
 - modelling approach 33–5
- Fujii, S. 197, 204, 205
- game theory and road pricing
 - application 156–7
 - Cournot Game 159–60, 164
 - experiments
 - maximize revenues 164–5
 - maximize social surplus 165–6
 - maximize total travel utility 162–4
 - overview 160–62
 - literature 152–3
 - model structure 155–6
 - overview 151–2, 168
 - problem statement 154–5
 - road authority objectives 158
 - Social Planner (Monopoly) Game 159, 162–3
 - Stackelberg Game 159, 163–7
- Garcia, A. 152
- Gärbling, T. 195, 196, 197, 204, 205, 250
- Garvill, J. 197, 198
- Gaver, D.P., Jr 66
- Geertman, S.C.M. 234
- Geller, E.S. 213
- Geurs, K.T. 227, 233, 234, 258
- Glaeser, E.L. 107
- Glaister, S. 131, 139
- Gomez-Ibañez, J.A. 131, 209, 251
- Goodwin, P.B. 86, 96, 193, 235
- Graham, D.J. 139
- Greene, W.H. 33, 34, 61
- Grieco, M. 193
- Gunn, H. 212, 236
- Gwilliam, K.M. 131
- Hamdouch, Y. 132
- Handy, S.L. 227
- Hårsman, B. 193
- Harwitz, M. 15, 22
- Hau, T.D. 193
- Hearing London's Views 273
- heavy goods vehicles, EC user charges 30, 298–9
- Hensher, D.A. 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 43
- Herman, R. 171
- Hine, J. 193
- Hollander, Y. 66, 67, 82
- households
 - kilometre charging
 - car ownership 96–9
 - overview 86–7, 103–4
 - relocation effects 99–102
 - short-term responses 87–96
- Huang, H.-J. 171
- hyperpaths 132

- IACEs (interactive agency choice experiments) 31–2
- IASON project 306
- ICT usage 115–16
- IIP (inferred influence and integrative power) model 32
- implementation cost, importance of considering 11
- income
- and benefitting from London Congestion Charging Scheme 289
 - kilometre charging sensitivity 211–12
 - price sensitivity 93–4
- indirect scheduling modelling of travel-time unreliability 66–7
- INDY model 231
- inferred influence and integrative power (IIP) model 32
- infrastructure financing, as intermediate objective 14
- interactive agency choice experiments (IACEs) 31–2
- Jackson, W.B. 66
- Jaensirisak, S. 224, 251
- Jakobsson, C. 197, 198, 202, 210, 211, 251
- Jansson, J.O. 294
- Johansson, L.-O. 204
- Joksimovic, D. 153, 160, 168, 171
- Jones, P.M. 194, 209, 210, 251
- Jucker, J.V. 66
- Journey, J. 203
- Kahn, M.E. 107
- kilometre charging
- acceptability, car users
 - factors related to acceptability judgements 216–19
 - field study 213–16
 - overview 211–13, 222–4
 - predicting acceptability judgements 219–22
 - acceptability, firms
 - dependence on existing traffic problems 257
 - dependence on improved accessibility 258–60
 - dependence on revenue use 255–7
 - differences between firms 263–4
 - factors explaining 262–3
 - impact of serious negative effects 260–62
 - overview 250–53, 264–7
 - research method 253–4
 - households
 - car ownership 96–9
 - overview 86–7, 103–4
 - relocation effects 99–102
 - short-term responses 87–96
- Kim, J.H. 102
- Kitamura, R. 193
- Knight, T.E. 66
- Kohler, J. 306
- Krugman, P. 106
- Lam, T.C. 66
- Latham, G.P. 197
- Le Clerq, F. 132, 136
- Levinson, D. 153
- Lindberg, G. 302
- Link, H. 301
- Liu, L.N. 23, 131
- Livingstone, Ken (Mayor of London) 193, 273, 290
- LMC (long-run marginal social cost) 294–5
- Locke, E.A. 197
- London Congestion Charging Scheme (LCCS)
- Automatic Number Plate Recognition 276–7
 - background 273–4
 - clamping 278
 - costs/benefits/revenues
 - original zone 286–7
 - Western Extension 287–8
 - description 274–8
 - exemptions 276
 - Fleet Automated Scheme 276
 - free corridors 274, 276
 - impacts
 - accidents 284
 - congestion 278–9
 - economic 283
 - emissions 284
 - public transport 280–83
 - vehicle counts 279–80
 - Western Extension 284–5

- overview 289–90
 - Penalty Charge Notices 277–8
 - residents' discount 276
 - traffic management modifications 279
 - transferability to other regions 290
 - Western Extension
 - costs/benefits/revenues 287–8
 - impacts 284–5
 - winners and losers 288–9
 - long-run marginal social cost (LMC) 294–5
 - Loukopoulos, P. 197, 199, 204, 210, 251
 - Louviere, J.J. 36

 - McAfee, R.P. 131
 - McDonald, J.F. 23, 131
 - McFadden, D. 177, 196
 - Mackie, P. 11, 131
 - McKinnon, A.C. 30
 - McQuaid, R.W. 110, 121
 - Maggi, R. 36
 - Mahmassani, H.S. 171
 - marginal external costs 8
 - Maskin, E. 132
 - Matthews, B. 301
 - Mattsson, L.G. 86, 110
 - May, A.D. 108, 131, 170
 - Mayeres, I. 13, 21
 - Mayor of London (Livingstone, Ken) 193, 273, 290
 - Menon, A. 209
 - MIGI (minimum information group inference) method 32
 - Milne, D.S. 108, 131, 170
 - mobility management 193
 - Mohring, H. 15, 22, 151
 - Mohring–Harwitz theorem 15, 22

 - Nash, C.A. 297, 301, 307
 - Nash, J.F. 152
 - Niemeier, D.A. 227
 - Niskanen, E. 209, 307
 - Noland, R.B. 65, 66, 67, 70, 78, 82
 - Number Plate Recognition 276–7

 - objectives
 - broad efficiency 12–13
 - curbing transport-related problems 13–14
 - fairness 15–16
 - internalizing external costs 7–12
 - multiple objectives 16
 - overview 7
 - revenue generation 14–15
 - Odeck, J. 224
 - Oliver, R.L. 196
 - Olsder, G.J. 160
 - Olszewski, P. 86, 95
 - O'Mahony, M.D. 30
 - optimal toll design
 - case studies
 - network description 181–2
 - optimal for maximizing revenues 182–5
 - optimal for minimizing travel time 186–7
 - overview 188
 - zero toll case 182
 - model formulation
 - overview 173–4
 - road authority objectives 175–6
 - road-pricing 174–6
 - traffic assignment 176–9
 - overview 170–71, 189
 - problem statement 172–3
 - solution algorithm 179–81
 - state of the art 171–2
- Ouellette, J.A. 198
-
- parents, price sensitivity 93
- Parry, I.W.H. 13, 21
- Pas, E.I. 193
- PCNs (Penalty Charge Notices) 277–8
- Pellenbarg, P.H. 110, 122
- Pen, J.C. 110
- Penalty Charge Notices (PCNs) 277–8
- Perry, M.K. 131
- Pigou, A.C. 171
- Polak, J. 66
- Porter, R.H. 131
- potential/gravity-based measures 233
- price policies, advantages 11
- private road operators, and concession mechanisms 15
- Proost, S. 13, 21, 247
- Prud'homme, R. 11
- Puckett, S.M. 29, 31, 32, 33, 36
- purpose of trip, price sensitivity 91

- quasi first-best charges 23
 Quinet, E. 204
- Rail Infrastructure Charging Directive
 (EC 2001/14) 296–7
- Ran, B. 178
- relocation effects
 firms 119–23
 households, kilometre charging
 99–102
- research directions
 empirical 315–16
 interdisciplinary 314
 modelling 316–17
 policy and process 318–19
 pricing design 317–18
 pricing effects 314–15
 technology 318
- residents' discount, London Congestion
 Charging Scheme (LCCS) 276
- revenue generation
 as objective 14–15
 see also London Congestion
 Charging Scheme (LCCS),
 costs/benefits/revenues
- revenue maximization
 game theory experiments 164–5
 optimal toll design, case study 182–5
 revenue use, design considerations 21–2
 see also firms, kilometre charging
 acceptability, dependence on
 revenue use
- Review of Charging Options for
 London (ROCOL) Working
 Group 273
- Ricci, A. 307
- Richards, M.G. 193
- Rienstra, S.A. 210, 223
- Rietveld, P. 109, 227
- Ritsema van Eck, J.R. 227, 233, 234
- Ritzberger, K. 160
- road pricing
 conclusions 312–13, 320
 political interest in 1
- ROCOL (Review of Charging Options
 for London) Working Group 273
- Rölle, D. 198, 202, 210, 251
- Rose, J.M. 36
- Rothengatter, W. 295
- Salant, S.W. 131
- Salomon, I. 125
- Samuelson, W. 198
- Sandor, Z. 36
- Sansom, T. 301, 302, 304
- Santos, G. 209, 251, 285, 288, 289, 290
- Schade, J. 194, 209, 212, 251, 307
- Scheier, M.F. 197
- Schelling, T.C. 151
- Schellings, R. 237
- Schlag, B. 209, 210, 212, 223, 251, 307
- Schuitema, G. 195, 209, 210, 251, 252,
 264, 267
- second-best pricing
 definitions 171
 express lane example 23
- Senna, L.A.D.S. 66
- shared stops 132, 135, 138–40, 144
- short-run marginal social cost (SMC)
 pricing 294–5
- Sjölin, L. 110
- slowed-down travel time 42
- Small, K.A. 9, 15, 22, 66, 67, 125, 131,
 209, 251
- SMC (short-run marginal social cost)
 pricing 294–5
- Smeed Report 193
- Smith, T.L. 195
- Social Planner (Monopoly) Game 159,
 162–3
- social surplus
 definitions 8
 maximization 8–10
- Spiess, H. 132, 136
- Spit, W. 109
- Stackelberg Game 159, 163–7
- Steg, E. M. 210
- Steg, L. 195, 210, 214, 250, 251, 252,
 264, 267
- Stern, P.C. 198
- Stockholm Road Pricing field trial see
 acceptability, empirical study
- Tavasszy, L. 306
- TDM (travel demand management)
 193
- Teubel, U. 210, 223, 251
- theory of planned behaviour (TPB)
 195–6
- theory of reasoned action (TRA)
 195–6

- Tillema, T. 71, 99, 110, 227, 233, 234, 247, 248
- Timmermans, H. 101, 102
- Timothy, D. 109
- TIPMAC project 306–7
- Tobin, J. 104
- Tobin, R.L. 171
- toll design see optimal toll design
- Towards Fair and Efficient Pricing in Transport 294
- Towriss, J.G. 66
- Toy, N.R. 36
- TPB (theory of planned behaviour) 195–6
- TRA (theory of reasoned action) 195–6
- Train, K. 34
- transit markets
and congestion charges
example 138–40
model 133–8
overview 131–3, 144, 149
results 140, 144
- travel costs 75
- travel demand management (TDM) 193
- travel-time bandwidth 74–5
- travel-time unreliability
data collection 70–71
model estimations 75–8
model results 78–82
modelling approaches 65–8
overview 64–5, 82–3
research methodology 69–70
research questions 68–9
values 67–8
- Tretvik, T. 194
- trip length 75
- Ubbels, B.J. 70, 78, 82, 83, 97, 98, 105, 193, 209, 216, 219, 251
- value of reliability gains (VRG) 35, 47–56
- value of time (VOT)
accessibility measures sensitivity to 243–4
derivation 236
- value of travel-time savings (VTTS) 35, 47–56
- van Amelsfort, D.H. 71, 83, 236, 237
- van Dijk, J. 110
- van Gent, G. 106
- van Ommeren, J.N. 109, 118
- van Wee, B. 102, 106, 227, 250, 258
- Verhoef, E.T. 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, 20, 22, 24, 106, 108, 109, 151, 170, 193, 212, 251
- Verplanken, B. 197
- Vervoort, K. 109
- Vickerman, R.W. 86, 204
- Vickrey, W.S. 19, 20
- Viti, F. 171
- Vlek, C. 210
- VOT (value of time)
accessibility measures sensitivity to 243–4
derivation 236
- VRG (value of reliability gains) 35, 47–56
- VTTS (value of travel-time savings) 35, 47–56
- Walters, A.A. 151
- Wardman, M. 236, 291
- Wardrop, J.G. 152
- Wardropian equilibrium 152
- Wedel, M. 36
- Wheaton, W. 109
- White Paper on Transport Policy (EC, 2001) 296
- Whitelegg, J. 193
- Wichiensin, M. 133
- Wie, B.-W. 152, 171
- Wong, G.K.M. 99
- Wood, W. 198
- Xie, L. 86, 95
- Xu, R. 110
- Yan, A. 13
- Yang, H. 137, 171
- Zeckhausen, R. 198
- Zhang, P. 153

