

# Index

---

- accountability 5
  - national parliaments *see under* national parliaments
  - sub-national government, role of *see under* multi-level governance structure of EU
- agencies 40–41
- Agenda 2020 Programme 16, 19, 34, 218
  - delivering EU policy objectives by sub-national governance 188
  - EU exercising more competence at expense of Member States 55
  - soft law and policy co-ordination 49
- Amsterdam Treaty 47, 91, 98, 160
- Amsterdam Protocol 10, 91–3, 114, 128–9, 139–40, 146
  - COSAC 174
  - and Protocol 2 144
  - co-decision procedure 100
  - recognition of national parliaments 72–3
- Armstrong, K. 64
- Auel, K. 52, 53
- Barosso Initiative 64–5, 92, 94, 114–17, 197
  - Commission providing wider set of documents 114–15, 161–2, 176
  - Commission's procedure for responding to feedback 162
  - dialogue between national parliaments and Commission 116
  - parliaments responding through collective reasoned opinions 114–16, 176–7
  - see also* reasoned opinions
    - reducing dependence of national parliaments on government 115
- Belgium 123, 185
- Benz, A. 52, 53
- Better Law Making Agenda 55–61, 190
  - aim of smart regulation 56, 60
  - better law-making and principle of subsidiarity 56–60
    - 'better regulation' re-branded
    - 'smart regulation' 56
  - Impact Assessments *see* Impact Assessments
  - improving quality of EU legislation 55
  - necessity and efficiency criteria/subsidiarity justification 145–8
  - using EU legislation to address socio-economic challenges 55–6
  - need for co-ordinated action 60–61
    - absence of uniform agreement remaining a problem 60
  - Report on Better Law-Making, 18th 176
- Bridge, J.W. 147
- Charter of Fundamental Rights 43, 179, 181
- citizens/civil society 9, 11–12, 27
  - citizen participation and democratic legitimacy 27–33, 123
    - Art 11 TEU improving citizen participation and legitimacy 28–33
    - citizens and the democratic deficit 27–8, 216–17
  - decision-making, role in 11–12, 27, 164

- decoupling nationality from citizenship 10
- and democratic governance 5–6, 7, 8
- disconnection, feelings of 164, 219, 221
- European Parliament
  - expressing citizens' views 8
  - little popular support for/citizens disconnected from 25, 87–8, 217, 218
- future of EU democracy: citizens rather than parliaments? 218–21
- improved participation, need for 15, 164
- integration *see under*
  - Europeanisation/EU integration
- Citizens' Initiative 9, 27, 29–33, 85, 216, 218
- civil society *see* citizens/civil society
- co-decision procedure 99–100
- comitology 12
  - criticisms of 12
  - Member States implementing regulatory acts 50
  - transparency, need for 12
  - unaccountable and undemocratic process 50
- Committee of the Permanent Representatives (COREPER) 175
- Committee of the Regions (CoR) 19
  - failure effectively to represent sub-national governance in legislative process 186
- judicial review by the CoR 204–8
  - Art 8 of Protocol 2 204–7
  - procedural challenges 207–8
- membership 196
- role 196
- subsidiarity monitoring 3, 135, 144, 187, 193, 194–203
  - Art 5 TEU in regional context 194–5
- challenging validity of legislation 175
- early warning mechanism 189, 198–201
- expressing views 175
- Impact Assessments *see under*
  - Impact Assessments
  - judicial review actions 169
- Subsidiarity Monitoring Network *see* Subsidiarity Monitoring Network
- White Paper on Multi-Level Governance 189
- competences
  - Agenda 2020 Programme, EU exercising more competence through 55
- conferral principle *see* conferral principle
- 'creeping competences' 42, 44–8, 192, 225
  - need to guard against unnecessary EU legislation 85–7
  - soft law 41–2, 44, 49
- exclusive competences 48, 53, 134
- expansion of EU competences 68, 161
- national parliaments *see under*
  - national parliaments
- non-exclusive/complementary 48, 134–5
- shared 25–6, 48, 134
  - EU legislating in majority of cases 53, 121
- sub-national governance *see under*
  - multi-level governance structure of EU
- subsidiarity *see* subsidiarity
- types 48, 134
  - whether EU correctly exercising competences under the Treaty 56–60
- Conciliation Committee 83, 100
  - impact of national parliaments at Conciliation Committee stage 118
  - role 102–3
- Conference of European Affairs
  - Committees of national parliaments *see* COSAC
- conferral principle 127
  - governing limits of EU competence 193

- subsidiarity 135–6, 142–3
- Constitutional Convention 20, 28, 38, 48, 220, 219, 220
- inclusive forum 109
  - information provision 91
  - introduced after rejection of Nice Treaty by Irish voters 75
  - subsidiarity monitoring 158, 163, 192, 214
- Constitutional Treaty 47–8, 69, 73, 95, 114–15, 218
- Convention on the Future of Europe 9, 17–18, 42, 47, 216
- QMV 111
- COSAC 71, 105, 216, 222
- Amsterdam Protocol 174
  - role 173–5
    - co-ordinating as essential
      - pre-requisite of early warning mechanism 173–4
    - forum to debate policy and an information exchange 174
    - improving coordination and communication in scrutiny activities 156, 174
    - more direct leadership role in early warning mechanism possible 174–5
  - Subsidiarity Monitoring Pilot Project 175–6
- Curtin, D. 24
- De Gaulle, Charles 107–8, 109
- Declaration on the Future of Europe 14
- democracy and the EU 6, 8
  - accountability as integral part of 5
  - democratic deficit 13
    - and the citizen 27–8, 216–17
  - deparliamentarisation as a continued democratic deficit 214–17
  - failure to address 213–14
  - increased legislative powers for European Parliament 37
  - insufficient citizen participation 219
  - legitimacy deficit as part of wider democratic deficit 216
  - new democratic deficit 25–6
  - ordinary legislative procedure
    - intended to address 104
  - QMV loosening accountability of ministers to parliaments 215
  - soft law proposals, review of 65
  - democratic justification for
    - subsidiarity monitoring 159–62
  - democratic legitimacy after Lisbon 22–6
    - accountability to whom? 23–5
    - new democratic deficit 25–6
  - democratisation of decision-making 99–106, 111
    - co-decision procedure 99–100
    - ordinary legislative procedure 100–102
    - Trilogues, use of 102–6
      - see also* Trilogues
  - direct democracy/Citizens' Initiative 9, 31–2
    - as foundations of EU 7–8, 9–10
    - future of EU democracy: citizens rather than parliaments? 218–21
  - inter-institutional co-operation
    - reinforcing democracy 189
  - parliamentary and representative/participatory democracy 8, 9–10
  - constitutionalisation of representative democracy 27–8
    - improving participatory democracy in EU 11–13, 85
    - participatory democracy, definition of 27
    - transparency, need for 12
  - rule of law 7, 8, 12–13
  - democratic deficit *see under* democracy and the EU
  - Denmark 77, 88, 107, 179
  - referendum 127, 213
  - deparliamentarisation 2, 3, 12, 20
    - better/smart regulation
      - absence of uniform agreement on subsidiarity objections 60
    - as example of deparliamentarisation 55–6

- causes of deparliamentarisation
    - 39–42
    - ‘active’ restrictions 50–51
    - constitutionalisation of the EU 39, 41
    - EU governance structure 99, 185
    - EU legislative process 51–2
    - EU membership and
      - Europeanisation 39–40, 43
    - transfer of competence from national parliaments 52, 68
  - as a continued democratic deficit 214–17
  - deparliamentarisation ‘thesis’ 36, 41
  - EU Member States,
    - deparliamentarisation within 35–42
    - loss of legislative competence 35–9
    - legislative consequences 45–6
    - modernisation of government and public administration 40–41
  - QMV 108
  - soft law proposals, insufficient
    - review of 63
  - subsidiarity monitoring addressing 68
- early warning mechanism 132, 135, 144, 156–8, 164–70
- collective response approach 132–3, 173–4
  - Commission reviewing proposals 157–8
  - and CoR 198–201
  - COSAC *see* COSAC
  - deadlines/timing 73, 95, 102, 157, 165, 171, 175, 200–201
  - decision to continue legislation 70
  - horizontal co-operation to secure consensus, need for 173–4
  - judicial review for infringement of subsidiarity principle 144–5, 165–6, 169–70
  - orange card procedure 132, 157, 165, 177
    - in practice 166–8, 173
  - precise communications needed 166
  - primary weaknesses/criticisms of 132–3, 166, 171–2, 173
  - procedure 165–6
  - quasi-compulsory political dialogue included 160
  - reasoned opinions *see* reasoned opinions
  - threshold recognising bicameral nature of most EU Parliaments 81
  - threshold requirement 102, 110, 157, 165
  - yellow card procedure 132, 157, 165, 166–8, 177
    - first yellow card 132, 178, 179–83, 211, 221
    - in practice 166–8
    - raising presumption that legislative proposal will not proceed 144–5
    - and vetos 80
  - Edinburgh Council/Guidelines 72, 124–8, 140
  - effectiveness of subsidiarity monitoring 170–83
    - allocation of votes to national parliaments 171–3
    - criticisms of early warning mechanism 171–2
    - opportunity for political influence within the Commission 172–3
    - problem of horizontal co-ordination between parliaments 173
  - COSAC, role of 173–5
  - subsidiarity monitoring as independent political activity 170–71
  - subsidiarity monitoring in practice 175–8
    - initial ineffectiveness of early warning mechanism 178
    - reasoned opinions sent to Commission 176–7
    - weak horizontal dialogue 177–8
    - yellow card issued 178
  - European Commission
    - accountability 12

- Annual Monitoring of the Application of EU Law*, 28th 51
- Barroso Initiative *see* Barroso Initiative
- better law-making agenda *see* Better Law Making Agenda 55–61
- Citizens' Agenda: Delivering Results for Europe* 161
- dialogue with national parliaments 116–17
- dominating EU law and policy 53
- Edinburgh Guidelines on application of subsidiarity 72, 124–8, 140
- EU legislative action
- addressing socio-economic challenges of financial crisis 55
  - Impact Assessments *see* Impact Assessments
  - Internal Market imperative
    - justifying EU action 121
    - need for improved legitimacy recognised 55
    - reasons for 25–6
    - shared competence, approach to 53, 145, 170
  - governance White Paper *see* White Paper on European Governance
  - legislative and policy agendas, setting 52–3
  - subsidiarity 53
    - efficiency criterion 141–2, 145
    - necessity test 138–40, 145
    - regional and local dimensions of proposals, considering 191
    - subsidiarity justification 145–8
  - Trilogues 24, 102–6
- European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR) 43
- European Council
- accountability 12, 83–4
  - autonomy and legislative power 24, 28, 83–4
  - lack of transparency 24, 83
  - consultation requirement 28–33
  - COREPER 175
  - OMC increasing leadership role 42
- ordinary legislative procedure 100–102
- Passerelles* 79–82
- QMV 83–4, 108
- impeding parliamentary scrutiny 223–4
  - simplified process 111–12
  - traditional functioning of 110–11
- subsidiarity
- compliance with subsidiarity and proportionality principles 175
  - justification 145
- Trilogues 24, 102–6, 118–19
- European Court of Justice
- arbitrary power, protecting against exercise of 12–13
  - citizenship case law 11, 49
  - integration, supporting 49, 68
  - internal market 26
  - 'new legal order' 39, 42
  - political discretion, review of 147–8
  - primary obligation 148
  - shared competence, justifications for 53
  - subsidiarity, judicial control of 135–6, 141–2, 148–54, 190
  - CoR bringing actions *see under* Committee of the Regions (CoR)
  - interpreting 'necessity' for EU action as the primary issue 146–8
  - judicial review for infringing subsidiarity principle 144–5, 146–8, 165–6
  - justiciability of subsidiarity principle 142–5
  - Member States and parliaments bringing actions 169–70, 205
  - regional institutions bringing actions 188
  - reluctance to annul legislative acts 147–54, 161
  - subsidiarity cases 148–54
- European Parliament
- accountability, role in achieving 8, 23
  - and citizens *see under* citizens/citizenship

- co-decision procedure 99–100
- comitology, peripheral role in 12
- Committee on Legal Affairs 175
- consent to legislation 83
- cooperation between national parliaments 71
- and Council 24
- democratic legitimacy, providing 82, 105
- directly elected 5, 8
- increased powers 5, 6, 24–5, 34, 37, 41
  - at expense of national parliaments 216
  - increased legislative powers 98, 215–17
- legislative and policy agendas, setting 52–3
- ordinary legislative procedure 100–102
- Passerelles* 79–82
- political affiliations/political parties 10
- representative democracy/
  - institutional expression of citizens' views 8, 9–10
- subsidiarity
  - co-operation with CoR *see under* Committee of the Regions (CoR)
  - ensuring compliance with subsidiarity control mechanism 175
  - justification 145
- Trilogues 24, 102–6, 118–19
  - representation by Presidency 118–19
- European Stability Mechanism (ESM) 88–90
- European Union
  - conferral principle *see* conferral principle
  - core values, enforcing 8
  - decision-making process
    - comitology *see* comitology
    - criticisms of 11–12
    - democratic deficit in EU legislative process *see under* democracy and the EU
    - EU institutions dominating 13, 39
    - subsidiarity *see* subsidiarity
  - democracy *see* democracy and the EU
  - ECHR, signatory to 43
  - harmonisation *see* harmonisation
  - integration *see* Europeanisation/EU integration
    - multilevel governance/structure *see* multi-level governance structure of EU
  - Europeanisation/EU integration 4, 5, 19–20, 34–5, 185
  - Commission
    - increase in EU legislative competence 124–6
    - ten-point plan 92, 161
  - creeping competences *see under* competences
  - constitutionalisation of EU 39–40
  - decline in influence of national parliaments 39–41
  - democratic principles of 7–11
    - adequate constitutional safeguards, need for 11
    - citizens isolated from integration process 216–17
    - consent of the citizen/core of EU integration 9–10, 27–8, 69–70, 213, 218
    - European *demos* lacking 10, 220
    - passive approval of EU citizens no longer assumed 213
    - scepticism about integration across Member States 213
  - dialogue between national parliaments and with Commission 116–17
  - 'European legal space' 43
  - Europeanisation of parliamentary competences *see under* national parliaments
  - governance framework
    - creating deparliamentarisation 34–5, 99

- ordinary legislative procedure at centre 99
- see also* deparliamentarisation
- importance of nation state in process of EU integration 156
- integration as constituent part of deparliamentarisation 36
- integration placing 'active' restrictions on national parliaments' agendas 52
- integration strengthening Council 36
- national parliaments
  - impact on legislative functions of national parliaments 25, 68
  - role within process of Europeanisation 68, 161
  - as victims of Europeanisation 3, 36, 68, 215, 223
- policy co-ordination, use of 49, 62
- required on core integration policies 63
- policy, Europeanisation of 39
- QMV as tool of integration 106, 107–10
- regional dimension to integration 186
- soft law, use of 51
  - 'creeping competences' 41–2, 44, 49
  - increased use to achieve Europeanisation 4, 19, 49, 68
  - OMC *see* open method of co-ordination (OMC)
  - regional parliaments/ administrative bodies using soft law governance 51
- subsidiarity principle inconsistent with integration 163
- supranational nature 22, 25
- transparency, importance of 12
- see also* transparency
- financial crisis 20–21
  - EU legislation addressing socio-economic challenges 55
- Finland 52, 179, 183
- France
  - integration 127
  - scrutiny by Parliament 113–14
- QMV 107–8
- Germany
  - competence transfer 126
  - Constitution 8
  - Constitutional Court 11
    - Fiscal Treaty* case 88–90
    - Lisbon Treaty* case 85–8, 135–6, 138–9, 170, 218
    - Maastricht Treaty* case 69
  - early warning mechanism 135–6
  - ESM 88–90
  - integration 69, 127–8
    - Europeanisation, consequences of 43–4, 51
    - only through popular consent 213
  - multi-level governance 185, 207
  - Parliament
    - ordinary legislative procedure, effect of 118
    - as 'strong' actor in EU affairs 64
  - subsidiarity 123, 130
  - monitoring 85–8
  - principle 121–2
- Harding, C. 43
- harmonisation 4, 5
  - and governance 44–8
  - hard law, use of 34, 61
  - soft law, use of 34, 61–5
    - OMC *see* open method of co-ordination (OMC)
- Hix, S. 36, 41
- human rights 43
- Impact Assessments 56–61, 105, 126, 188, 220
  - Commission failing to provide necessary quantitative information 57–60
  - not wanting a co-ordinated response from national parliaments 61
- Commission's criteria for Impact Assessments 59, 145
  - necessity and efficiency 145–8
- CoR and Impact Assessments 195–8

- CoR contributing to Impact Assessments through dialogue 196–7
- participation by regional institutions having only minor impact 197
- raising competence directly to Commission 197
- divergent views among national parliaments 60–61, 132
- generalist justifications, insufficiency of 59–60
- Impact Assessment Board, role of 56–7
- Impact Assessment system preparing evidence 56
- purpose of 57, 132
- risk of Impact Assessments being mere formalities 61
- information/documents *see under* national parliaments
- integration *see* Europeanisation/EU integration
- Internal Market 25–6, 45–7, 134, 137
  - creation requiring QMV 109
  - Internal Market imperative justifying EU action 121
  - Viking* judgment 179–82
- IPEX 174
- Ireland 16, 75, 76, 176
- Italy 185–6
- judicial review *see* Committee of the Regions (CoR); European Court of Justice
- Kardasheva, R. 106
- Kiiver, P. 91, 226
- König, T. 51
- Laeken Declaration 9, 14–15, 28, 42, 47, 73, 164
  - legislative legitimacy 3, 173, 216
- Lasok, D. 147
- Latvia 77, 88, 107
- legitimacy of EU legislation and decision-making process and accountability *see* accountability and legitimacy
  - citizens, derived from 7
  - failure to secure legislative legitimacy 213–14
  - input and output legitimacy 5, 7, 19, 28, 131–2, 214, 220
  - crucial requirement of output legitimacy 6
  - deficiencies in 6, 214
  - improving output legitimacy 116
  - subsidiarity monitoring by national parliaments 3, 160
  - role of sub-national government *see under* multi-level governance structure of EU
- Lenaerts, K. 206
- Lisbon Agenda 19, 34
- Lisbon Treaty 5, 6
  - accountability framework 19
  - citizens, role of 27–8, 31–2, 216
  - see also* citizens/civil society; Citizens' Initiative
  - competences of the EU 48, 192
  - see also* competences democratic legitimacy
    - after Lisbon Treaty *see under* democracy in the EU
    - objective of improving 73–4
    - participatory democracy 27
  - see also* democracy and the EU
  - deparliamentarisation 20
  - see also* deparliamentarisation
  - EU integration 9–10
  - see also* Europeanisation/EU integration
  - information/document provision 94–5, 116
  - see also under* national parliaments
  - multilevel governance structure of the EU 3
  - see also* multi-level governance structure of EU
  - national parliaments 3, 35, 67
    - after Lisbon treaty *see under* national parliaments in the EU
    - Treaties



- sub subsidiarity/competence monitoring 4, 6, 9, 122, 159, 226
- soft law 65
- sub subsidiarity 129–33
  - see also* subsidiarity
- Luxembourg 177
- Luxembourg Compromise 107–8
- Maastricht Treaty 5, 6, 27, 37, 69
  - co-decision procedure 99–100
  - decentralisation 185
  - political crisis surrounding 213
  - recognition of national parliaments 70–72, 74–5, 156
  - sub subsidiarity principle 71–2, 122, 123–8, 130, 142
  - unanimity maintained in sensitive policy areas 109
- Mäder, L. 51
- Malta 172, 176
- Maurer, A. 35–7, 160
- Member States
  - ‘active restrictions’ 49–51
  - deparliamentarisation within *see under* deparliamentarisation
  - circumstances when required to act 49–50
  - EU committees
    - effect of Europeanisation on 52–3
    - as passive and reactive 52, 53
  - EU legislation as significant proportion of legislative composition 51–2
  - ‘ever closer union’ 143
  - exchange of policy ideas 34
  - heterogeneous constitutional traditions/regional competences 3, 187–8
  - importance of nation state in process of EU integration 156
  - multilevel governance *see* multi-level governance structure of EU
  - national parliaments *see* national parliaments
  - OMC permitting differentiated levels of participation 62
  - parliamentary democracy 8
  - QMV 107–8, 109–10
  - socio-economic traditions 225
  - sub-national institutions *see* multi-level governance structure of EU
  - supremacy of EU law 50
  - suspension of EU membership 8
  - withdrawal from EU 210
- Menon, A. 5
- Moravcsik, A. 36
- multi-level governance structure of EU 3, 7, 185–93
  - ‘Europe of the Regions’, creation of 191–2
  - multi-level governance in the EU 187–93
    - delivering EU policy objectives by sub-national governance 188
    - dependent on respect for subsidiarity principle 188
    - integrating objectives of local and regional authorities 188
    - no single model for sub-national governance 188–9, 192
    - sub-national governance and allocation of competences 192–3
    - third level of EU governance 190–92
  - regional governance/regional parliaments 3, 36
  - decentralisation in EU, trend towards 188–9
  - devolution 36, 40
  - growth in regional governance 40, 185–6
  - regional autonomy requiring improved recognition 187
  - regional competences 3, 186–93
  - regional representation 17
  - soft law governance 51
  - sub subsidiarity monitoring by regional institutions 186–7, 189–90
  - CoR subsidiarity monitoring *see under* Committee of the Regions (CoR)
  - necessity requirement in subsidiarity monitoring 136

- mutual recognition principle 137, 140
- national parliaments
- accountability and transparency 5–33, 82–95
  - accountability of ministers 10, 27–8, 35, 38–40, 53–4, 68, 108–9, 215, 224
  - challenge of securing
    - accountability 83–4, 213–21
  - citizen participation and democratic legitimacy *see under* citizens/citizenship
  - comitology, only rare scrutiny of 12
  - Convention on the Future of Europe 9, 17–18
  - democratic credentials 1–3, 13, 71
  - democratic legitimacy after Lisbon 22–6
  - democratic principles of EU integration 7–11
  - deparliamentarisation 34–5, 214–17
  - see also* deparliamentarisation
  - governance White Paper *see* White Paper on European Governance
  - improving participatory democracy in EU 11–13
  - individual scrutiny function 10
  - institutional status of national parliament 2, 3–4, 21, 160–61
  - Laeken Declaration 14–15
  - participatory function in EU affairs 13
  - peripheral position of national parliaments 19–22, 37, 39–40
  - role in promoting good governance in EU context 7, 8, 13, 35, 42, 82
  - Scrutiny Reserves *see* Scrutiny Reserves
  - search for improved accountability 7–13, 211–12
  - tradition of pursuing accountability of decision-makers 6–7, 67
  - transfer of competences, effects of 36–7, 40, 42, 52
  - Amsterdam Protocol 10, 91–3
  - constitutional consequences of EU integration 3–4
  - EU integration 35–6
  - see also* Europeanisation/EU integration
  - in EU treaties *see* national parliaments in the EU treaties
  - EU's Better Law-Making agenda 55–61
    - better law-making and principle of subsidiarity 56–60
    - need for co-ordinated action 60–61
  - Europeanisation of parliamentary competences 43–55
  - EU governance and transformation of national parliaments 48–53
  - harmonisation and governance 44–8
  - legislative sovereignty to scrutiny as substitute sovereignty 53–5
  - functions 40
  - heterogeneous nature 13, 35, 51, 157, 158, 159, 211
  - as influential collective bloc or individual actors? 222–6
  - identifying 'national parliaments' in the Treaty 222–4
  - individual to collective scrutiny: creation of new scrutiny paradigm? 224–6
  - information/documents 68, 90–91
  - Amsterdam Protocol 91–3, 94
  - Barosso Initiative *see* Barosso Initiative
  - information deficit 224
  - legally binding obligations to transmit information 72, 94–5, 114
  - need for timely provision of documentation 54–5, 91, 94–5, 113
  - OMC review 64–5
  - prerequisite for effective scrutiny 91

- reliance on government to provide information to parliament 54, 114
- legislative competence, loss of 35–9
  - proposals for reform 38
  - subsidiarity monitoring 37–8
  - transfer of competences 36–7, 40, 42, 52, 53
- new modes of governance and national parliaments 61–5
  - need for soft law 62
  - OMC as more democratic and accountable decision-making 63–5
- ordinary legislative process *see* ordinary legislative process and national parliaments
- Passerelles* 36, 79–82, 160
- peripheral position of 19–22, 37, 39–40, 68, 119
  - effect of Europeanisation on national parliaments 19–20
  - national parliaments as political bystanders 20–22, 37
- pressure on parliaments to process large volume of EU legislation 51–2
- as primary legislative chambers for Member States 40
- principal form of political representation for EU citizens 40
- restrictions
  - ‘active’ restrictions 49–51, 52
  - ‘passive’ restrictions 49
- scrutiny of EU legislation 53–5
  - challenge of effective scrutiny 112–19
  - Conciliation Committee, stage, impact of national parliaments at 118
  - COSAC *see* COSAC
  - Explanatory Memorandums 117, 118
  - importance of executive scrutiny 54
  - individual to collective scrutiny: creation of new scrutiny paradigm? 224–6
  - IPEX 174
  - monitoring changes to legislative proposals 117–19
  - need for timely provision of documentation 54–5, 91, 94–5, 113
  - parliaments as ‘strong’ or ‘weak’ 112–13, 159–60, 222–3
  - QMV, effect of 118
  - Scrutiny Reserves *see* Scrutiny Reserves
  - sharing information/co-operation between national parliaments 173–4
  - subsidiarity monitoring *see under* subsidiarity
    - as substitute sovereignty 54–5, 75
    - sufficient resources needed 113
    - Trilogue stage, impact of national parliaments at 118
    - as victims of Europeanisation 3, 36, 68, 215, 223
  - national parliaments in the EU Treaties 67–96
    - EU accountability and limits for national parliaments 82–90
    - challenge of securing accountability 83–4
    - FCC *Fiscal Treaty*: individual parliamentary role confirmed 88–90
    - FCC *Lisbon Treaty*: limits of collective parliamentary monitoring: 85–8
    - national parliaments after Lisbon Treaty 73–82
      - general oversight of EU affairs by national parliaments 74–6
      - improving democratic legitimacy, objective of 73–4
    - Passerelles* and national parliaments 79–82
    - treaty revision: ordinary revision procedure 76–8
    - treaty revision: simplified amendment procedure 78–9
    - recognition within the EU Treaties 69–73

- Amsterdam Treaty 72–3
- consent of national parliaments to integration 69
- Constitutional Treaty 73
- Maastricht Treaty 70–72, 74–5
- Treaty reform as improving
  - procedural opportunities for parliaments 70
- securing ministerial accountability:
  - operation of Scrutiny Reserves 90–95
  - Amsterdam Protocol on national parliaments 91–3
  - Lisbon Treaty arrangements 94–5
- need for subsidiarity monitoring
  - 158–65
  - democratic justification 159–62
  - institutional justification 162–5
- Netherlands
  - Europeanisation, consequences of 43–4
- Nice Treaty 16, 47, 75, 91
  - negotiations 109
- Norton, P. 35–6
- open method of co-ordination (OMC)
  - 41–2, 212
  - absence of structured legislative process for national parliaments 62
  - accountability 62, 212
  - criticisms of 61
  - inclusive system 61
    - encouraging participation by diverse range of stakeholders 63
  - increased use 68
  - as more democratic and accountable
    - decision-making 63–5
    - dissemination of information, problems with 64–5
    - expanding EU competence 64
    - national parliaments devoting little time to reviewing OMC 64–5
    - OMC strengthening role of Commission and Council 63
  - policy co-ordination as prime concern 62
  - policy improvement as purpose 65
  - pursuing Europeanisation by 61
  - soft law processes and OMC 62
  - supplementing decision-making
    - through quantitative/qualitative benchmarks 62
- orange card procedure of Protocol 2 *see under* early warning mechanism
- ordinary legislative process and national parliaments 97–120, 161
  - challenge of effective scrutiny 112–19
    - Barroso Initiative for transmission of documents *see* Barroso Initiative
    - monitoring changes to legislative proposals 117–19
    - need for sufficient time to review 109, 113
    - variable nature of scrutiny across national parliaments 112–14
  - ‘Community method’ of decision-making reflecting supra-national integration 98
  - democratisation of decision-making 99–106
    - co-decision procedure 99–100
    - ordinary legislative procedure 100–102
    - Trilogues, use of 102–6
  - expansion into new areas of competence 119
  - QMV and parliamentary scrutiny 106–12
    - QMV after Lisbon Treaty 110–12
    - QMV as tool of integration 107–10
  - securing improved accountability by national parliaments 211–12
- Passerelles*
  - and national parliaments 36, 79–82, 160
    - silence construed as consent 81
  - nature of 79
  - sector-specific *Passerelles* 81
- Passos, R. 160, 223
- ‘Plan D’ 161
- Poland 177, 183

- policy co-ordination 49, 62
    - information/document provision 64–5
    - non-binding, inter-governmental nature 62
    - required on core integration policies 63
  - proportionality
    - Amsterdam Protocol 128–9
    - EU legislation 26
    - Impact Assessments 57–8, 61, 132
    - scope and content of proposal, considering 131, 132
    - smart regulation respecting 56
    - and subsidiarity 131, 143
  - Protocol 2 *see* subsidiarity
  - qualified majority voting (QMV)
    - allocation of QMV votes under ordinary legislative procedure 172
    - implications for national parliaments 70
      - difficulties in objecting to revised legislation 118
    - in the Council 83–4, 108, 110–12
    - impeding parliamentary scrutiny 223–4
    - simplified process 111–12
    - traditional functioning of 110–11
  - increased use to achieve Europeanisation 4
  - increased use loosening accountability of ministers to parliaments 215
  - introduction of 99
  - Luxembourg Compromise 108
  - Member States adopting unwanted legislation 108
  - opposition to 108, 109
  - in ordinary legislative procedure 101, 106–12
  - parliamentary scrutiny 106–12
    - QMV after Lisbon Treaty 110–12
    - QMV as tool of integration 107–10
  - Passerelles* 79–82
  - simplified process 111–12
  - traditional functioning of 110–11
- Raunio T. 36, 41
  - reasoned opinions 114–16, 132–3, 164–5, 225–6
    - European Parliament 175
    - reasoned opinions sent to Commission 176–7
    - time for 73, 95, 102, 157, 165, 171, 175
  - regional governance/regional parliaments *see* multi-level governance structure of
  - rule of law *see under* democracy
  - Scharpf, F. 5, 6, 7, 10, 16, 219–20
  - Scrutiny Reserves 101
    - comprehensive Scrutiny Reserves improving flow of information 71
    - importance recognised 72
      - as cornerstone of scrutiny process 93
    - minimum time periods for completion of scrutiny 94–5, 109
    - recognised within the Treaty 98
    - restraining ministers from voting prior to scrutiny process 54
    - right of national parliaments to enforce 72
    - scrutiny procedures as substitute sovereignty 55
    - securing ministerial accountability 90–95
      - Amsterdam Protocol on national parliaments 91–3
      - Lisbon Treaty arrangements 94–5
  - ‘smart regulation’ *see* Better Law Making Agenda
  - soft law 181
    - domestic legislation, effect on 51
    - Europeanisation *see under* Europeanisation/EU integration
    - harmonisation *see* harmonisation
    - increased use of 62, 64, 65
    - national parliamentary scrutiny of soft law/policy co-ordination problematic 62

- insufficient review of soft law proposals 63
- need for soft law 62
- OMC *see* open method of co-ordination (OMC)
- sovereignty 25
  - Europeanisation challenging 220–21
  - legislative sovereignty to scrutiny as substitute sovereignty 53–5, 75
  - national parliaments as embodiment of political sovereignty 40
  - political and legislative sovereignty to the EU 22
- Spain 185–6
  - Europeanisation, consequences of 43–4
- sub-national governance *see* multi-level governance structure of EU
- subsidiarity 8, 27
  - aim of subsidiarity principle 71–2
  - application of principle *see under* subsidiarity as regulatory principle in EU law
  - competences, exercising 25–6, 27–8
  - Edinburgh Guidelines 72, 124–8, 140
  - EU legislation, determining whether necessary 25–6
  - Impact Assessments 57–9, 61
  - national parliaments monitoring *see under* national parliaments
  - political concept, subsidiarity as 71–2, 121
    - whether subsidiarity a political or legal principle 142–3, 145–8
  - regulatory principle, subsidiarity as *see* subsidiarity as regulatory principle in EU law
  - smart regulation respecting 56
  - socio-philosophical principle of subsidiarity 122
  - subsidiarity monitoring by national parliaments/Protocol 2 3, 5, 6, 9, 19, 20, 30, 37–8, 75–6, 211–12, 221
    - allocation of votes to national parliaments 171–3
    - bridging democracy gap between citizen and EU 67
    - carried out towards end of legislative process 53
    - coordination of scrutiny activities through COSAC *see* COSAC Convention on the Future of Europe 18
    - difficulties with 26, 57–60
    - early warning mechanism *see* early warning mechanism
    - effectiveness of monitoring *see* effectiveness of subsidiarity monitoring
    - fostering democratic system of governance 35
    - harmonised minimum standard for EU scrutiny activities 159–60
    - horizontal co-operation to secure consensus, need for 173–4
    - Impact Assessments 56–9, 132
    - important development for national parliaments 156
    - judicial review for infringement of subsidiarity principle 144
    - meaning of subsidiarity for national parliaments 130
    - need for subsidiarity monitoring *see* need for subsidiarity monitoring
    - need for timely provision of documentation 54–5, 94–5
    - no normative definition 121
    - objective 131–2, 159
    - ‘orange cards’ *see* early warning mechanism
    - ordinary revision procedure 76–8
    - output legitimacy 28
    - political rather than judicial control 144
    - prioritizing over soft law review 65
    - procedural device, subsidiarity monitoring as 159, 161, 171
    - providing basic minimum standard of scrutiny 60–61
    - QMV, implications of 110
    - raising subsidiarity objections 60
    - reasoned opinions *see under* early warning mechanism

- simplified amendment procedure 78–9
- subsidiarity monitoring in practice 175–8
- Trilogues, after conclusion of 119
- volume of EU legislation, effect of 52
- ‘yellow cards’ *see* early warning mechanism
- vague interpretation 121–2, 124, 143, 144, 156
- when applicable 123
- subsidiarity as regulatory principle in EU law 121–55
- application of subsidiarity principle 133–42
  - difficulties with assessing subsidiarity 133–4
  - efficiency criterion: added value through EU regulation 141–2
  - jurisdictional criterion 134–6
  - necessity requirement 136–40
  - scope of principle 133
- from Maastricht to Lisbon:
  - subsidiarity in the Treaties 123–33
  - Amsterdam Protocol on
    - application of subsidiarity/ proportionality 128–9
  - Edinburgh Guidelines on
    - application of subsidiarity 72, 124–8, 140
  - subsidiarity in Lisbon Treaty: Art 5 TEU 129–33
- justiciability of subsidiarity principle 142–54
  - subsidiarity before the ECJ 148–54
  - whether subsidiarity a political or legal principle 142–3, 145–8
- Subsidiarity Monitoring Network 175, 200, 201–3
  - membership 202
  - method of operation 203
  - purposes/objectives 202
- Sweden 177, 179, 183
- Switzerland 122
- Tans, O. 91
- transparency 27
  - Art 11 TEU 28–33
  - in decision-making process 11–12
  - Impact Assessments evidencing
    - benefits/costs of policy choices 56
  - see also* Impact Assessments
- integration *see under*
  - Europeanisation/EU integration
- Trilogues 118, 119
  - see also* Trilogues
- Treaty revision *see under* national parliaments
- Trilogues 24, 102–6, 159, 160
  - challenge for national parliaments to review proposals 104
  - compromises, achieving 102–3, 113
  - criticisms of 104–6
  - effective scrutiny by national parliaments difficult 118–19
  - increased use of informal Trilogues 119
  - informal, confidential and non-transparent nature 118, 119, 159, 215
  - legislative efficiency as justification for 104, 105, 118
  - nature of 103
  - procedure 103–4
- United Kingdom 22
  - Agencies, use of 40–41
  - BSE crisis 109
  - competence transfer 126
  - decentralisation 185–6
  - Europeanisation, consequences of 43–4
  - industrial relations legislation 179, 182, 183
  - Parliament
    - document-based and significance-based approaches 84, 118
  - EU Committees 52–3, 84, 113, 139, 166–7, 181
  - Guidance to departments on informing Parliament 113, 118

- Impact Assessments 57–9
  - ordinary legislative procedure,
    - effect of 118
  - recognition of limited role in EU policy and decision-making 68
- Scrutiny Reserves 71
  - as ‘strong’ actor in EU affairs 64
- subsidiarity monitoring 123–4,
  - 130–31, 177
  - and integration 123
- van Rompuy, Herman 21, 220
- Weatherill, S. 5, 47, 152–3
- Weiler, J.H.H. 10
- Wessels, W. 35–7
- White Paper on European Governance
  - 9, 15–17, 105, 164
  - criticisms of 16
  - definition of good governance 15–16, 17, 187
- yellow card procedure of Protocol 2 *see under* early warning mechanism