Index

Action Programme for Sustainable Development (Netherlands) 75
active information 57
activity-based budgeting 86–7
adaptive management, in SEA 147
administered sustainable development strategies 104, 105–7
administration, relations with politics 63, 105–6
administrative instruments
  definitions 50, 51
  deployment
    in Australia 293–9, 305
    in the EU 61, 165–7, 173
    in Germany 187–91
    in Norway 205–7
    in the OECD 33–4, 35, 41, 56, 60, 62
    in Sweden 229–31
    in the UK 251–2, 254–6
    in the USA 272–5
effectiveness of 332
history 50–52
perspectives 52–5, 59, 61, 62–4, 65, 66
trends 317–18, 320
types 27, 32–5, 49
see also departmental environmental units; departments, amalgamation; green cabinets; hierarchical instruments; horizontal instruments; interdepartmental working groups; liaison officers; mission statements
Advisory Council on the Environment (Germany) 181–2, 183
Agenda 21 9–10, 74, 94, 96, 97, 101, 135
see also national strategies for sustainable development
agriculture
  environmental policies
    Australia 296
    Sweden 238, 239
    USA 270–72, 273, 274, 275–6, 280–82
    European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund 83, 84, 85
see also landcare movement
air quality
  US policies 276–7, 280, 283
see also climate change; transport
amalgamation of departments see departments, amalgamation
Amsterdam Treaty 163
Australia
  environmental bodies
    advisory bodies 297–8
    Australian Greenhouse Office 296
    coordination bodies 291, 294–5, 297–8
    National Heritage Trust 293
    Sustainable Environment Committee 295
  environmental policies
    administrative capacity 304, 305
    administrative instruments 293–9, 305
    on agriculture 296
    barriers to 304, 305
    on climate change 296, 301, 307
devolution of 290–93, 324
    on energy 301
    evaluation 303–8
    and government agencies 295, 296–7
    and green budgeting 75–6, 299
    green cabinet 291, 294–5
    green procurement 299
    instruments used 40–41
    national 291–3
    OECD review 301

343
and policy appraisal 291, 301–3, 305–6
and political leadership/will 294, 296, 297, 304, 306
reporting 302–3
resource management 296, 298, 300, 306–7
sub-national 289, 290–91, 293, 295, 297, 298–9, 300, 302–3, 306–7
success factors 304, 305
and symbolic politics 307–8
environmental strategies
Decade of Landcare Program 291
development 300–301, 304, 306
economic sectors 300–301
Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 294
Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment 292, 294
National Conservation Strategy 291
National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development 292, 300
features of 290
governmental structure 289, 290, 327
Austria
instruments used 40–41
sustainable development strategy 100, 103
Belgium, instruments used 40–41, 56
best available technology principle, in Germany 182, 185, 197, 198
better regulation 172
see also Lisbon Process
Blair, Tony 74, 118, 251, 256
Brundtland, Gro Harlem, role in Norway 218
Brundtland Report 3, 4, 74, 108, 204
see also Agenda 21
budgetary lifecycle 70, 72–3, 90
budgeting see activity-based budgeting; green budgeting
bureaucratic instruments see administrative instruments
bureaucratic politics, and administrative instruments 52–3, 59, 61, 62–3, 65
bureaucratic rules 56–7
Business Effect Test (Netherlands) 122–3
cabinet-level groups see green cabinets
Canada
instruments used 40–41
policy appraisal in 123–5, 126, 127, 128, 130, 144, 145
sectoral strategies 31
Cardiff Process 163–4, 165–6, 168–9, 172, 174, 193
catchment management (Australia) 298–9
chair see leadership
Clean Water Action Plan (USA) 76, 273
climate change policy
in Australia 296, 301, 307
in the EU 26
in Germany 189–90, 198
in Sweden 237–8
‘club government’ 251
cognitive perspective, on EPI 15–16, 17, 329–30, 334
corporate perspective, and US environmental policies 272
Committee for Director Generals for Environmental Questions (Germany) 188
Committee for International Sustainable Development (Norway) 206–7
committees of inquiry (Sweden) 234, 235
Common Agricultural Policy, reform proposals 238
communicative instruments
implementation 33, 40
logic of intervention 333–4
trends 317, 318–19, 320
types 27, 29–32
see also cognitive perspective;
constitutional provisions;
independent evaluation;
institutional perspective;
mission statements; national
environmental plans; policy
communities; reporting
procedures; sustainable
development, strategies
comparative political systems
perspective, of EPI 12–14, 326–9
Compensatory Strategic
Environmental Assessment 141
conditionality, in EU expenditure
control 77, 83
Conservation Reserve Program (US)
275, 282
Conservative Party (UK),
environmental policies 248–51,
255
Constitutional Court (Germany) 197
constitutional provisions
in Australia 293–4
concept 29
in Germany 197
in Norway 205
in the OECD 40
trends in use of 33
in the US 272
consultation see stakeholder
involvement
cooperation principle, in Germany 182
coordinating bodies, for policy
appraisal 126–7, 128
coordination
and departmentalism 263–5
levels of, in the UK 260, 262, 263–5
Metcalfe scale 16, 260–62
see also environmental policy
integration
coordination devices see administrative
instruments
core executive, lacking in the EU 161
Council on Environmental Quality
(USA) 269, 272–3
cross-jurisdictional policy integration
96, 98, 101, 104, 316–17
cross-sectoral policy integration 96–7,
102–3
in Germany 184, 192
in Norway 334
in the UK 249–50, 262
in the USA 280, 283
Czech Republic, instruments used
40–41
Decade of Landcare Program
(Australia) 291
decentralization
and policy integration 326–8
see also cross-jurisdictional policy
integration; Australia, Germany
and United States with the
subheading sub-national;
vertical integration
decision making, SEA steps 140
Denmark, EPI in 40–41, 100, 144
Department of the Environment, Food
and Rural Affairs (UK) 251–2,
262
departmental environmental units 35,
60, 184
departmentalism 263–5
departments
amalgamation 33–4, 35, 53
in Norway 206
in the OECD 41
in Sweden 230
in the UK 251–2, 254
see also cross-sectoral policy
integration; environmental
departments; interdepartmental
working groups; sectoral
strategies
DG Environment
and development of EPI 162–4, 165,
166–7, 168–70, 172–3, 175–6
role in financial planning 80
DG Regions see Structural Funds
Earth Summit see Agenda 21; Rio +5
East Germany, pollution control in 184
eco-taxes see green budgeting
EIA see Environmental Impact
Assessment
emissions control see climate change
policy; pollution control
‘end of pipe’ pollution control 182
energy
environmental policies
Australia 301
Germany 191
Sweden 237–8, 239
USA 270–72, 273, 275, 276, 282–3
environment, as public good, and
bureaucratic politics 53
Environment Cabinet Committee (UK) 250, 251, 254–5, 260
Environment Committee (EU) 81–2, 88
Environment Council (EU) 166
Environment Test (Netherlands) 122–3, 130
environmental accounting see indicators; reporting
Environmental Action Programmes (EU) 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167–8, 169–70, 174
Environmental Code (Sweden) 229
environmental departments and green budgeting 71, 89
strengthening 36, 53, 65, 334
Environmental Impact Assessment 115, 194, 195–6, 210, 269, 291, 305
see also Strategic Environmental Assessment
Environmental Management Systems (Sweden) 227, 228, 230–31, 241
Environmental Objectives Council (Sweden) 229, 233
Environmental Policy Assessment (UK) 118
environmental policy integration and Agenda 21 9–10
in the Brundtland Report 3, 4
concept 7–8, 10–12, 141, 321–6
and decentralization 326–8
evaluation infrastructure 336–7
as a governing process 11–12
cognitive perspective 15–16, 17, 329–30, 334
comparative political systems perspective 12–14, 326–9
institutional perspective 15–16
policy analysis perspective 14–17, 330–34
political perspective 16–17
historical summary 24–6, 32
instruments see instruments of EPI
interpretations normative 7–8, 10, 325–6, 329–30, 334
positive 10, 325–6, 334
logic of intervention 333–4
and policy appraisal 126–7, 129–30, 320, 325
and the policy cycle 14–15, 319, 331–2
policy learning perspective
as a policy outcome 17–19, 335–7
and principled priority 7–8, 205, 325, 330
public support for 329
and SEA 150, 151–2, 325
and sectoral policies see cross-sectoral policy integration
and sustainable development see stakeholder involvement see stakeholder involvement
and sustainable development see sustainable development, and policy integration
timescales 96, 99, 100, 104, 105
trends across jurisdictions 314–17
adoption patterns 320–21, 332–3
instrument usage 317–20, 321
temporal 319
see also countries and regions with the subheading environmental policies, e.g. European Union, environmental policies
environmental pressure groups see pressure groups
Environmental Profile of the State Budget (Norway) 74, 77, 204, 207–8, 214–15, 216
Environmental Programme (Germany) 181–2, 183, 192
Environmental Protection Agency (USA) 270, 271, 274
Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (Australia) 294
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (US) 275
environmental taxes see green budgeting
environmental units see departmental environmental units
European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund 83, 84, 85
European Commission and EPI implementation 57, 60, 61, 166–7, 175
see also DG Environment
European Councils 94–5, 120, 161, 165
European Parliament
and EPI 165, 175
and green budgeting 76, 77, 81–2, 88, 167
European Union
budget
adoption 80–82
and green budgeting 76, 77, 81–2, 87–90, 167, 173
implementation 82–6
powers 71
reform discussions 80
and revenue raising 73, 87–8, 89
strategic planning for 78–80
Common Agricultural Policy, reform
proposals 238
environmental bodies
Environment Committee 81–2, 88
Environment Council 166
environmental policies
administrative instruments 61, 165–7, 173
Cardiff Process 163–4, 165–6, 168–9, 172, 174, 193
on climate change 26
current status 4–5
development of 162–5, 322–3
drivers 161, 171–2
evaluation 167–9, 172–6
German advocacy 192–3
legal status 3–4, 7, 159, 161–2, 164
and the Lisbon Process 168, 169, 172, 174, 322–3
policy appraisal 119–22, 126, 127, 128, 130, 163, 170–71
and political leadership/will 161, 163, 172–3
and SEA 148–9, 171
environmental strategies
development 167–70, 174
Environmental Action
Programmes 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167–8, 169–70, 174
green star system 120, 163
Sustainable Development Strategy
101, 164, 165, 172, 174
expenditure 71, 73
environmental controls 77, 82–6
evaluation 86–7, 88–9
priorities 78–80
institutional structure 160–62, 174–5, 327
Single Europe Act 25, 192–3
treaties 162–3
evaluation
and policy learning 103, 105
see also independent evaluation;
inspection; policy appraisal
ex ante appraisal see policy appraisal
expenditure see European Union, expenditure; spending reviews
(UK)
farming see agriculture
federalism see cross-jurisdictional;
decentralization; Australia,
Germany and United States with
the subheading sub-national;
vertical integration
Finland, EPI in 40–41, 100, 101
fisheries, EU inspection system 85
France, EPI in 40–41, 56, 102, 103
fuel duty escalator (UK) 78, 250, 256
Germany
Constitutional Court 197
environmental bodies
Advisory Council on the
Environment 181–2, 183
Committee for Director Generals
for Environmental Questions 188
Environmental Ministry 188–90
environmental policies
administrative instruments 187–91
BAT principle 182, 185, 197, 198
and climate change 189–90, 198
core principles 182
development of 180–87, 193, 196–7
on energy 191
evaluation 197–8
and federal structure 183–4, 327
and green budgeting 75, 77, 191–2
green cabinets 183, 184, 188, 190
instruments used 40–41, 56, 183–4, 185, 198
and ministerial independence 185, 187–8
and policy appraisal 194–6
and political leadership/will 181, 186–8, 190, 191–2
on transport 191
environmental strategies
development 192–3
environmental programmes (1971, 1976) 181–2, 183, 192
sectoral 184, 186–7, 192, 324, 327
Grand Coalition, environmental
developments 187, 190–91, 192
passive rules, problems with 57
Red–Green coalition, environmental
developments 186–7, 190, 191–2
Social–Liberal coalition, environmental developments 181–3, 188
see also East Germany
Gothenburg European Council 94–5, 120
governance
and SEA 141–4, 150
see also decentralization;
environmental policy integration, as a governing process
governance by objectives see National Environmental Quality Objectives;
new public management;
objectives-led SEA; specification of output
Grand Coalition (Germany),
environmental developments 187, 190–91, 192
Greece, instruments used 40–41
Green Alliance (UK) 262, 263
green budgeting
budgetary lifecycle 70, 72–3, 90
corecept 36
deployment
in Australia 75–6, 299
in the EU 76, 77, 81–2, 87–90, 167, 173
in Germany 75, 77, 191–2
history 73–8
in the Netherlands 75
in Norway 74, 77, 204, 207–8
in the OECD 41
in Sweden 75, 77–8, 231–2, 237, 238
in the UK 74–5, 77, 78, 250, 253, 256–7
in the USA 76, 77, 275–7
and environmental departments 71, 89
evaluation of 77, 331–2
factors in 89–90
and policy implementation 77
statistics 38
green cabinets 56
in Australia 291, 294–5
concept 34–5
in Germany 183, 184, 188, 190
in the OECD 41, 62
statistics 34
in Sweden 229–30
trends 318
Green Ministers network (UK) 250, 251, 253–4, 255, 260
‘green people’s home’ (Sweden) 226–7, 240
green procurement 232, 299
green star system (EU) 120, 163
green taxes see green budgeting
hierarchical instruments 55–6, 272–4
see also green cabinets; leadership
horizontal instruments 59–62, 274–5
Hungary, instruments used 40–41
Iceland, instruments used 40–41
Impact Assessment
in Canada 126, 128
concept 37–8
in the EU 120–22, 126, 128, 170–71
integrated 151
methodologies 127, 128
in the Netherlands 126, 128
in the OECD 41
statistics 38
in Sweden 235–6
in the UK 126, 128
see also Environmental Impact Assessment; policy appraisal;
Regulatory Impact Assessment;
Strategic Environmental Assessment; Sustainability Impact Assessment
incrementalism, in sustainable development policies 104–5
independent evaluation
concept 31–2
in Norway 207
in the OECD 40
statistics 33
in Sweden 230
indicators
evaluation of 336–7
for sustainable development see sustainable development, indicators
innovation, definition 4
inspection, and EU expenditure control 84–5
institutional approaches, to sustainable development 108, 333–4
institutional fragmentation 327
institutional perspective, of EPI 15–16
instruments of EPI
effectiveness 335–6
frameworks, lack of 321, 338–9
functions 331
logic of intervention 333–4
trends 317–20, 321
types 26–8
see also administrative instruments; communicative instruments; procedural instruments use, OECD 29, 30–32, 33–4, 35, 36, 37–8, 39–42, 56, 60, 62
integrated impact assessment 151
see also policy appraisal, integrated
integrated policy appraisal see policy appraisal, integrated
Integrated Strategic Environmental Assessment 141, 145, 147
inter-agency coordination, Australia 295
interdepartmental working groups
in Norway 206–7
in the OECD 41
statistics 34, 35
see also departmental environmental units; task forces; teams
Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment (Australia) 292, 294
intergovernmental ministerial councils
(Australia) 294–5
inter-ministerial mechanisms
and sectoral policy integration 102
see also green cabinets
interstate ministerial council for the environment (Australia) 291
Ireland, instruments used 40–41
Italy, instruments used 40–41, 60
Japan, instruments used 40–41, 56
Korea, instruments used 40–41
Labour Party (UK)
environmental policies 248, 251–5
see also Blair, Tony
landcare movement (Australia) 291, 293
leadership of teams 61
see also political leadership
learning-oriented approaches 66, 108, 143, 144, 334
liaison officers 59–60
Lisbon Process 168, 169, 172, 174, 322–3
Local Agenda 21 101
Local Investment Programmes
(Sweden) 231
logic of intervention, in EPI 333–4
Luxembourg, instruments used 40–41
Maastricht Treaty 162–3
management by objectives see National Environmental Quality Objectives; objectives-led SEA; specification of output
markets
as facilitators of EPI 173
in water 274, 293, 307
mega departments see departments, amalgamation
Metcalfe coordination scale 16, 260–62
Mexico, instruments used 40–41
mirror units see departmental environmental units
mission statements 62
Multi-annual Financial Perspective
(EU) 79–80, 88
National Action Plan for Sustainable Development (Norway) 204, 206, 209, 217
National Committee for Sustainable Development (Norway) 206–7
National Conservation Strategy (Australia) 291
National Councils for Sustainable Development 101
National Environmental Monitoring System (Norway) 204, 210–213, 216
National Environmental Plans 29, 33, 40
National Environmental Policies Act (USA) 76, 146, 148, 269–70, 279–80
National Environmental Quality Objectives (Sweden) 227, 228, 229, 233–4, 239, 240–41
National Heritage Trust (Australia) 293
national strategies, EU requirement 83–4
national strategies for sustainable development
and Agenda 21 94
concept 29–30, 94
in the OECD 40
and Rio +5 94–5
stakeholder involvement in 96, 98–9, 100–101, 333–4
statistics 33
see also specific countries, e.g. Australia
National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development (Australia) 292, 300
nature conservation, in Sweden 226
Netherlands
green budgeting in 75
instruments used 40–41, 57, 58
policy appraisal in 115, 122–3, 126, 127–9, 130
sustainable development strategy 75, 100, 103
networked governance see communicative instruments
new public management 58, 211
New Zealand, instruments used 40–41, 145
non-governmental organizations see pressure groups
normative interpretations of EPI 7–8, 10, 325–6, 329–30, 334
Norway
environmental bodies
Committee for International Sustainable Development 206–7
Ministry of Environment 203, 205–6, 215, 219–20
National Committee for Sustainable Development 206–7
environmental policies
administrative instruments 205–7
and administrative recalcitrance 219
and coalition governments 219, 220
development of 202–4, 213–14, 323
evaluation 207, 214–20
and green budgeting 74, 77, 204, 207–8
instruments used 40–41
and policy appraisal 210–213
and political leadership/will 215, 217–18, 219–20
priority areas 208, 209
reporting 209, 211, 212, 213, 216
Environmental Profile of the State Budget 74, 77, 204, 207–8, 214–15, 216
environmental strategies
development 209
national 204, 206, 209, 217
sectoral 211–12, 215–16
sustainable development indicators 209, 217
National Environment Monitoring System 204, 210–213, 216
new public management in 211
oil dependence 218–19
objectives-led SEA 138–9
OECD
environmental review
Index

of Australia 301
of the UK 259, 263
use of EPI instruments 29, 30–32, 33–4, 35, 36, 37–8, 39–42, 56, 60, 62
see also Australia; Austria; Canada; Denmark; Finland; France; Germany; Netherlands; New Zealand; Norway; Slovakia; Sweden; United Kingdom; United States
oil dependency, as obstacle to EPI 218–19
openness see transparency
organizational instruments see administrative instruments
organizational politics, and administrative instruments 53–4, 62–3, 65, 66
output, specification of 58–9, 66, 208, 211
‘own resources’ system (EU) 87–8, 89
ownership, specification of 62
Parallel Strategic Environmental Assessment 141
see also Strategic Environmental Assessment
parliamentary environmental audit committee (UK) 252, 256, 258
parliaments and green budgeting 89–90
see also European Parliament
participation see stakeholder involvement
passive information, problems with 57
peer review, of sustainable development 103
performance indicators see indicators petroleum see oil
Poland, instruments used 40–41
policies, plans and programmes, SEA 135, 140, 148–9
policy analysis perspective, of EPI 14–17, 330–34
policy appraisal central coordination 126–7, 128 concept 117–18
deployment
in Australia 291, 301–3, 305–6
in Canada 123–5, 126, 127, 128, 130, 144, 145
in the EU 119–22, 126, 127, 128, 130, 160, 170–71
in Germany 194–6
in the Netherlands 115, 122–3, 126, 127–9, 130
in Norway 210–213
in Sweden 234–6, 241
in the UK 118–19, 125–6, 127, 128, 250, 252, 258–9
in the USA 269, 270, 278–9
development of 115–16
and EPI 126–7, 129–30, 320, 325
evaluation of 128, 336
ex post 129–30
integrated
country comparisons 128
pros and cons 116, 129–30
UK 119
methodologies 127, 128
selection of policies for appraisal 126
transparency of 127, 128, 129
see also Strategic Environmental Assessment
policy communities 61
policy coordination see coordination
policy cycle 14–15, 117–18, 319, 331–2
policy integration see environmental policy integration
policy learning through evaluation 103, 105
see also learning-oriented approaches
policy outcomes, of EPI 17–19, 335–7
political leadership/will
in Australia 294, 296, 297, 304, 306
in the EU 161, 163, 165, 172–3
in Germany 181, 186–8, 190, 191–2
in Norway 215, 217–18, 219–20
and political change 63–4, 328–9
and sustainable development strategies 105–6, 107–8
in Sweden 238–9, 240, 242
in the UK 249, 251, 254–5, 259, 262, 265
in the USA 273
political perspective, on EPI 16–17
political symbolism see symbolic politics
political systems perspective, of EPI 12–14, 326–9
politics, relations with administration 63, 105–6
pollution control 182–3, 184, 185, 191–2, 203
see also air quality; climate change policy; water, quality
Portugal, instruments used 40–41
positive interpretations of EPI 10, 325–6, 334
precautionary principle, in Germany 182
President’s Council on Sustainable Development (USA) 271, 277
pressure groups 63, 172, 183, 262–3
principled priority, of environmental protection 7–8, 205, 325, 330
priorities, and SEA 139, 141
procedural instruments effectiveness 335–6
and learning processes 334
trends 318, 320
types 28, 36–8
use 38–9, 41
see also green budgeting; Impact Assessment; National Environmental Monitoring System; policy appraisal; stakeholder involvement; Strategic Environmental Assessment; vetoes
procedural strategies, for sustainable development 108
‘Prodi package’ 79–80
programmatic environmental impact assessments see Strategic Environmental Assessment
public participation see pressure groups; stakeholder involvement; transparency
Public Service Agreements (UK) 253, 257, 260
Red–Green coalition (Germany), environmental developments 186–7, 190, 191–2
Red–Green coalition (Norway), EPI potential 220
red tape see bureaucratic rules
regional strategies, EU requirement 83–4
Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) characteristics 115, 119
deployment in Australia 302
in Canada 124–5
in the EU 126
in Germany 194–5
in the Netherlands 126
in Sweden 235–6
in the UK 118–19, 125–6, 252, 258–9
in the USA 270
and the environment 130
Regulatory Reform Strategy (Canada) 123–4
reporting procedures in Australia 302–3
characteristics 31
in Norway 209, 211, 212, 213, 216
in the OECD 31, 40
statistics 33
in Sweden 232
in the UK 257
Results and Documentation System (Norway) 212, 213
revenue raising 73, 87–8, 89
see also green budgeting
reverse integration 333
Rio +5 94–5
Rio Earth Summit see Agenda 21
Rural Development Plan (Sweden) 238
SEA see Strategic Environmental Assessment
Sectoral Environmental Action Plans (Norway) 211–12, 215–16, 334
sectoral policy integration see cross-sectoral policy integration
sectoral strategies 30–31, 33, 40, 327
see also agriculture; energy; pollution control; transport; water
separation of powers, and US environmental policies 281, 284
Index

single issue assessments 116
Slovakia, EPI in 40–41, 103
Social–Liberal coalition (Germany), environmental developments 181–3, 188
Spain, instruments used 40–41, 56
specification of output/tasks 58–9, 66, 208, 211
spending reviews (UK) 253, 256–7, 260
staff training, as administrative instrument 57–8
stakeholder involvement
  and EPI 61, 84, 96, 98–9, 100–101, 304, 333–4
  in SEA 142, 147, 210
  see also pressure groups; transparency
standard operating procedures 56–7
Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)
  concept 36–7, 115, 134, 136–44
  deployment
    in Australia 303, 305–6
    in Canada 124–5
    in the EU 148–9, 171
    in Germany 195–6
    in Norway 210
    in the OECD 41
    in Sweden 236
    in the UK 252, 259
    in the USA 137, 146–8, 279, 280
  development of 135–6, 137–9, 141–4, 149–50
  and EPI 150, 151–2, 325
  future challenges 150–51
  and governance 141–4, 150
  integrated 141, 145, 147
  learning-oriented approaches 144
  models 139, 140–41, 143, 144–6
  objectives-led 138–9
  and policies, plans and programmes 135, 140, 148–9
  as process 138–9, 145, 147
  processes and instruments 142, 143
  stakeholder involvement 142, 147, 210
  statistics 38
  timing of 138–9
  and values and priorities 139, 141
  see also Environmental Impact Assessment; policy appraisal
strong conditionality, in green budgeting 77
strong integrators 61–2, 66
Structural Funds
  and environmental protection 81, 82, 83–4, 85
  evaluation of 85–6
  SEA steps 140
subsidies, as environmental tools 77, 275–7, 280, 283
Sustainability Impact Assessment 120, 170
sustainable development concept 96
  indicators 209, 217, 232, 257
  institutional approaches 108, 333–4
policies
  comprehensive 104–6, 107
  and incrementalism 104–5
  and policy integration 7–8, 93, 321–2, 337–8
  across jurisdictions 96, 98, 101, 104, 316–17
  across sectors see cross-sectoral policy integration
  across stakeholders 84, 96, 98–9, 100–101, 304, 333–461
  short- and long-term 96, 99, 100, 104, 105
resources, in the UK 262
  and revenue raising see green budgeting
  strategies
    administered 104, 105–7
    characteristics 107–8
    development of 94–5
    EU 101, 164, 165, 172, 174
    guidelines 95, 96, 97, 98
    instruments used 103
    monitoring 102–3
    performance of 103–9, 331
    and political leadership/will 105–6, 107–8
    symbolic 104, 106
  see also Agenda 21; national strategies for sustainable development
Sustainable Development Commission (UK) 103, 252–3
Sustainable Development Unit (UK) 252, 253, 255–6, 260
Sustainable Environment Committee (Australia) 295
Sweden
environmental bodies
Environmental Objectives Council 229, 233
for evaluation 230
governmental agencies 225, 235–6, 239–40
green cabinets 229–30
Ministry for the Environment 227, 230
Ministry of Sustainable Development 227, 228, 230
environmental policies
administrative instruments 229–31 in agriculture 238, 239
and climate change 237–8
development of 225–8, 323–4
in energy 237–8, 239
evaluation of 238–42
and green budgeting 75, 77–8, 231–2, 237, 238
green procurement 232
instruments used 40–41, 56
and policy appraisal 234–6, 241
and political leadership/will 238–9, 240, 242
reporting 232
sectoral responsibilities 228, 229, 233–4, 239–40, 241, 242, 327
in transport 236–7
environmental strategies
development 100, 232–4
Environmental Code 229
Environmental Management Systems 227, 228, 230–31, 241
Local Investment Programmes 231
National Environmental Quality Objectives 227, 228, 229, 233–4, 239, 240–41
National Sustainable Development Strategy 227, 228, 232–3
Rural Development Plan 238
sustainable development indicators 232
‘green people’s home’ 226–7, 240
institutional background 225
policy coordination, procedures 225
Switzerland, instruments used 40–41
symbolic politics
and administrative instruments 54–5, 63–4, 65, 66
in Australia 307–8
symbolic sustainable development strategies 104, 106
target setting see specification of output
task forces 60, 66
task setting 58
taxes see green budgeting; revenue raising
teams 61–2, 66
Thatcher, Margaret 249
timescales, for EPI 96, 99, 100, 104, 105
top-down approach, to EU budgeting 79
training see staff training
transaction costs, in coordination 54
transitions management approach 100
transparency of policy appraisal 127, 128, 129
see also stakeholder involvement
transport
environmental policies
Germany 191
Sweden 236–7
USA 271–2, 273–4, 275, 276–7, 278, 279, 283
SEA steps 140
see also air quality; fuel duty escalator
Treaty on European Union 162–3
Turkey, instruments used 40–41
UNCED see Agenda 21; Rio +5
United Kingdom
‘dirty man of Europe’ 249
environmental bodies
Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs 251–2, 262
Environment Cabinet Committee 250, 251, 254–5, 260
Green Ministers network 250, 251, 253–4, 255, 260
parliamentary environmental audit committee 252, 256, 258
Sustainable Development Commission 103, 252–3
sustainable development ministers 254
Sustainable Development Unit 252, 253, 255–6, 260
environmental policies administrative instruments 251–2, 254–6
Conservative Government 248–51, 255
coordination in 260, 262, 263–5
cross-sectoral policy integration 249–50, 262
and departmental amalgamation 251–2, 254
development of 248–54, 323
evaluation 259–60, 262–5, 327–8
and government style 250–51
green budgeting 74–5, 77, 78, 250, 253, 256–7
instruments used 40–41, 58
Labour Government 248, 251–5
OECD review 259, 263
and policy appraisal 118–19, 125–6, 127, 128, 250, 252, 258–9
political leadership/will 249, 251, 254–5, 259, 262, 265
reporting 257
sectoral responsibilities 327
environmental pressure groups, role 262–3
environmental strategies development 257
Public Service Agreements 253, 257, 260
spending reviews 253, 256–7, 260
on sustainable development 100, 250, 252, 253, 257, 262
United States
environmental bodies Council on Environmental Quality 269, 272–3
Department of Energy 270
Environmental Protection Agency 270, 271, 274
President’s Council on Sustainable Development 271, 277
environmental policies administrative instruments 272–5
in agriculture 270–72, 273, 274, 275–6, 280–82
on air quality 276–7, 280, 283
and the commerce clause 272
cross-sectoral policy integration 280, 283
development of 268–72, 324
on energy 270–72, 273, 275, 276, 282–3
evaluation 283–5
and green budgeting 76, 77, 275–7
instruments used 40–41
mandatory requirements 280–81
and policy appraisal 269, 270, 278–9
and political leadership/will 273
role of federal agencies 274–5
and SEA 137, 146–8, 279, 280
and separation of powers 281, 284
sub-national 269, 273–4, 277–8, 279, 284
on transport 271–2, 273–4, 275, 276–7, 278, 279, 283
environmental strategies Clean Water Action Plan 76, 273
Conservation Reserve Program 275, 282
development 277–8
Environmental Quality Incentives Program 275
National Environmental Policies Act 76, 146, 148, 269–70, 279–80
federal structure 327
values, and SEA 139, 141
vertical integration 147, 174
see also cross-jurisdictional policy
integration; decentralization; Australia, Germany and United States with the subheading *sub-national*

vetoes 327–8

water catchment management (Australia) 298–9

markets 274, 293, 307

quality, US initiatives 76, 273, 274, 275, 280

waste water levy (Germany) 191

*see also* fisheries

weak integrators 61

World Commission on Environment and Development *see* Brundtland Report