Index

Abigail Alliance v FDA (2008) 412
access 218–20
accountability argument 319
Acid Rain Trading Program (1990) 381
acyclicity and social choice 247
administrative law 21, 24–5, 38, 286, 311, 312, 334, 336, 337, 339, 342, 344, 352, 353, 414
Administrative Procedure Act (1946) 40, 292, 340–45, 354, 364, 379
administrators 73–4, 76, 79
adverse selection 337
advocacy groups 376–7
agency
costs 24
discretion 338
officials, appointment and removal of 298–9
see also statutory interpretation by agencies
agenda manipulation 236
agenda setting 89–92, 94, 179, 243–5
aggregation problem 175, 188, 277
ally principle 288, 293, 303, 338
ambient standards 366
amendment 267–9, 277
control 179
thresholds calibration 268
American Textile Manufacturers Institute 460–61
Americans for Democratic Action 431
Americans with Disabilities Act 354
anti-competitive state regulation, new forms of 441–5
Anti-Federalists 216, 276
anti-trust law 292, 374, 376
antilockup approach 186
appeals courts 39, 307, 308–9, 439, 440
appellate courts 105, 106, 121, 122, 125–6
appointment of agency officials 298–9
appropriations power 295
approval regulation game 408–10
approval voting 101–2
Argentina 241
Arrow's Impossibility Theorem 3, 22, 26–8, 30, 40, 92–3, 117–27, 128
collective choice 107
Condorcet criterion 117, 119–20, 121–3, 125–6, 128
constitutional design 261
Corollary 118
environmental policy 451
fairness 117–18, 119–20, 122, 124, 126
independence of irrelevant alternatives 90, 92, 118, 123–5, 128, 176, 247
institutional reform 105–6
logrolling and structure-induced equilibria 111–13, 123, 125
non-dictatorship 93, 118, 126–7, 128, 176, 247
range 92, 118, 120–24, 128
rationality 118, 119–20, 122
representative democracy 175–7
social choice 88, 92–3, 247–8
unanimity 92, 118, 123, 125–6, 128, 176, 262, 388
voice-based justifications for federalism 215
Articles of Confederation 275
asymmetry 97–9
at-large elections 174
Atomic Energy Commission 346
attitudinalists/attitudinal model 38–9, 235, 236, 246, 254, 255
Attorney General 356
Australia 103, 402
Averch-Johnson effect 424, 427
background assumptions 2–4
bailout plan 95–6
Baker v Carr (1962) 173
balance rule 228
ballot
access 148–9, 158, 187
measures 147, 158–60
banking 374
bankruptcy law 292
bargaining 236
over rules and bargaining within rules 263–5
behavioral detail 7
behavioral economics 2
behavioral sciences 389–90
benefit-cost analysis 369–70
Bernoulli trial 409
‘Better Regulation’ initiative (European Union) 364
bicameralism 225, 272, 273, 314, 388
Bill of Rights 276

487

Daniel A. Farber and Anne Joseph O'Connell - 9781849804899
Downloaded from Elgar Online at 07/27/2019 06:58:21AM
via free access
bipartisan agreements on redistricting 198
bipartisan cartelization 192
bipartisan election boards 198
Black, Justice 426
blame shifting hypothesis 289–91, 293, 301
Board of Regents v Bakke (1978) 101
bootlegger-Baptist coalitions 384, 386, 461, 469
Borda counting 102
Brandeis, L. 425
Brazil 386
   Constitution 276–7
Breyer, S. 378
British North America Act 226
Brown v Board of Education (1954) 240
Brown and Williamson verdict 404
Brownian motion 405
Buckley v Valeo (1976) 188
Bumpers Amendment 292
bundling 269, 277, 303
bureaucratic agencies 242
bureaucratic budget 24, 36–7
bureaucratic control 36–7
Bush, G.W. 299, 380–81, 412
business organizations 65
business-oriented groups 54–5
business-oriented interests 76
Byrd, R. 380

California v Kleppe (1979) 354
campaign finance 188–91
Canada 182, 387
   Citizens’ Assembly 157, 164
   Supreme Court 226
Canadian Federation 226
candidate elections 158–61
cap and trade see tradable allowances
capture theory 56, 421–2, 456
carbon tax 387
cardinal preferences 128
cardinal values 116
Carpenter, D.P. 407–8, 409–11
cartelization, bipartisan 192
Carter, J. 364, 464, 466
case outcomes 250
catastrophic risks 383
central legislatures’ misallocation of local public goods resulting from predatory majorities 212–13
central legislatures’ misallocation of local public goods resulting from universalistic coalitions 211–12
certiorari writ 127, 236, 243, 244–5, 251
CFCs 384–6
Chicago Edison 420
chief judge 126
Chief Justice 126
China 385, 386
choice 2
   choice-of-law clauses 210
   see also rational choice; social choice;
suboptimal choice
circuit courts 252
citizen groups 146–7, 148, 217
Citizens Against Rent Control v Berkeley (1981) 147
Citizens’ Assembly (Canada) 157, 164
Citizens Initiative Implementation Oversight Commission 166–7
Civil Aeronautics Board 31
Civil Rights Act (1964) 292
clearing of responsibility problem 302, 305
Clayton Act 292
Clean Air Act (1977) 31, 345, 375, 378, 380
Clean Air Act (1990) 380, 383, 387
Clean Air Interstate Rule 381
Clean Water Act 286, 480
clinical trials 402–3
Clinton, W.J. 299, 311, 364
collections 109–10, 250–51
   collegial, maximum winning 255
   judicial 252
   minimum winning 255
   universalistic 211–12
   see also Bootlegger-Baptist
cogeneration facilities 434
cohere (social choice) 248–9
collective action 3
   environmental 458–61, 462–3
   see also interest groups
collective choice 107, 176
collective indeterminacy or irrationality 117
collateral, maximum winning coalition strategy 255
collusion 33
command technology standards 379–80, 382
command-and-control 474, 475
Commerce Clause 112
Commons, J. 420
communications 374
Compact Clause of the Constitution 442–3
compensatory damages 110
compensatory inertia 44
completeness 247, 249, 353
composition fallacy 106–7, 111, 119, 303–4
composition of judicial panel 6
compromises 156
Concept 1 democracy 184
Concept 2 democracy 184
concurrent vetoes 228
Condorcet criterion 89–92, 117, 119–20, 121–3, 125–6, 128
see also Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem; Condorcet winners; majority rule
Condorcet independence 124
Condorcet losers 100, 102, 269
Condorcet Paradox 3, 26–7, 248, 261
see also voting paradox
Condorcet winners 93–4, 97, 100–101, 102, 103, 129
Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem 120, 122
constitutional design 272
legislatures and appellate courts, comparison between 115, 116
conduct rules 365–6
Confederate Constitution 275
Congress 4–5, 40–41, 44
administrative agencies design 335, 336–7
administrative agency structure and decisionmaking procedures 339, 341, 344–5, 346
agency insulation and centralization 347, 348
agency redundancy and overlapping authority 353, 354, 356, 357
agency statutory interpretation 298, 299, 301, 304, 305–6, 317
Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem 119–20, 122, 124–5, 126, 127, 128
Civil Aeronautics Board 31
collective choice 107
Commerce Clause 112
committee membership 25
energy regulation and deregulation 419, 428, 429, 431–2, 434, 443
environmental policy 451, 460, 466, 467, 474, 479
institutional reform 104
judicial influence on agency statutory interpretation 312–15
judiciary 252, 253
logrolling and structure-induced equilibria 113
models 6
monopolistic bargaining 24
pharmaceutical regulation 403, 404
political process theories of federalism 226, 227
positive mechanism choice 372, 378–80
rational choice 240, 241, 242
see also Congressional; House of Representatives; Senate
Congressional control of bureaucracy 37
Congressional Dominance school 336
Congressional influence on agency statutory interpretation 285–97
delegation through statutory imprecision 286–94
determination of delegation of interpretive authority 292–4
reasons for delegation of policymaking authority 286–92
ex post influence over statutory interpretation 294–7
Congressional override 241–2, 245, 252–3
consensus 127
counsel 388
consistency with empirical and historical observations 410–11
consistency and social choice 248–9
Constitution
agency insulation and centralization 349
Article I 314
Brazilian 276–7
collective choice 107
Compact Clause 442–3
democracy and electoral processes 195
French 275–6
hybrid appointments scheme 348
Indian 276–7
Ninth Schedule to 268
pharmaceutical regulation 412
Spanish 275
see also constitutional design
Constitutional Convention (1787) 106
canonical design 261–78
amendment 267–9
bargaining over rules and bargaining within rules 263–5
centrality 261–3
domination 265–7
normative analysis 270–76
bicameralism 272
constitution-making processes 274–6
federalism and secession 272–3
international law 273
Presidentialism and parliamentarism 270–72
rights 273–4
constitutional endurance 265–7
constitutions 388–9
consumer
interest groups 65, 76, 423, 429, 435
protection 382
regulation 374
continuation strategy 409
contract damages rule 110
contractual rules see expectancy damages rule
Coombs voting 102
cooperation, lack of 2
coordination and agency insulation and centralization 350–51
coordination problem and constitutional design 266
Copeland voting (round robin) 103–4
corruption 272
cost
of experimentation 410
of information acquisition about policy outcomes 221–2
structure 181
cotton dust standard 460
courts 38–40
appeals 39, 307, 308–9, 439, 440
appellate 105, 106, 121, 122, 125–6
circuit 252
federal 234
lower 245–6
state 234
see also Supreme Court
credible commitment 289, 293, 303
criminal procedure 274
crisis events 382–4
critique and rapprochement 19–45
courts 38–40
interest group theory 30–36
legislatures and administrative agencies, interaction between 36–8
overview 20–25
voting theory 26–30
crypto-initiatives 159, 161
cumulative voting 175
cyclical preferences 89–92, 98–9
cycling 3, 27
Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem 93, 117, 120, 121–2
collective choice 107
democracy and electoral processes 175, 176
empty core 109–11
formal voting protocols 102
institutional reform 104, 106
legislatures and appellate courts, comparison between 115–16
logrolling and structure-induced equilibria 114
multidimensional issue spectrum with asymmetrical preferences 97
social choice 91, 96, 249
toxic waste disposal facility location
hypothetical 112–13
unidimensional issue continuum 95

Davis v Bandemer (1968) 191, 192
de gustibus principle 461–7, 471
deadlines, effects of 408
death penalty laws 143
decentralization 208, 218
decision-making, principled or strategic 127
deck-stacking 341, 342
defensive denials 236
defence 306–12, 313, 315–20, 425–6
judicial 309
delegation 7
as abdication thesis 339
democracy and electoral processes 173–202, 388–9
campaign finance 188–91
politics as markets 182–7
redistricting 191–4
regulation of parties 195–8
see also direct democracy; representative democracy
democratic accountability argument 302
democratic institutions, design of 161–4
Democrats 307–8, 311
Department of Agriculture 402
Department of Justice: antitrust division 292
designing agencies 333–58
insulation and independence 346–8
Legislative Rule doctrine 342–4
legislative views 336–8
non-delegation 344–6
preferences 334–6
redundancy and overlapping authority 351–7
structure and process theory 339–42
unitary executive 349–51
devolution policy 473
dictatorships 388–9
Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act (1994) 404
diffusion process 405–6
dimensionality 94–7
direct democracy 137–69
decision-making, structure of 155–7
indirect influence 141
voter competence and voting cues 151–5
see also hybrid democracy; interest groups and role of money
direct regulation 474–7, 480
direct-to-consumer advertising 414
disclosure laws 147, 153–5
discretionary window 288
dvergence 140
Dominican Republic 267
Dormant Commerce Clause 442–3, 444
Dotterweich case 404
Douglas, Justice 425
drafting costs hypothesis 293
drug review as stochastic optimal control problem 405–8
dual path initiative process 157
duplication of administrative effort see overlapping authority
*Duquesne Light Co. v Barasch* (1989) 425–7
duty to serve 423–4
Duverger’s Law 179–82, 183, 186

early entrant protection 408
economic groups 146, 153–4
economic regulation and deregulation 374–5
economic rights 274
economics 4
economies of scale 59–60, 79
efficacy regulation 402
efficiency in judiciary 252
effluent standards 366
elections
at-large 174
bipartisan boards 198
candidate 158–61
general 196
re-election 23
run-off 27

electoral processes see democracy and electoral processes
electric power blackout (2003) 436–8, 444
emissions standards 366
empirical research 5–6, 7–8, 253–6, 410–11
empty core 107–11, 112
enabling rules 187
enactment costs 322
end results test 425–6
endurance 265–7, 269–70, 277
energy regulation and deregulation 419–47
anti-competitive state regulation, new forms of 441–5
federalism 428–30
filed rate doctrine 439–41
government failure versus market failure 436–8
logrolling 430–32
politics of deregulation 432–6
public utility regulation: market failure and rent seeking 420–23
stability of regulatory contract and traditional doctrines of public utility law 423–8
enforcement in constitutional design 277
entrenchment rules 268, 269–70, 277
Environmental Defense Fund 378, 381, 476–7
Environmental Impact Statements 368
environmental interest groups 76–7
energy regulation and deregulation 423–5, 431, 435, 438, 444
positive mechanism choice 377, 379–80
environmental legislation 34–5
positive mechanism choice 374–5, 382
environmental policy 450–81
collective environmental action 458–61
federalism 467–74
government failure 468–72
majority preferences 470–71
median voter model 469–71
race to the bottom thesis 468–70, 472–3
welfare-maximization 471–2
willingness to pay 470–71
government choices and government failure 454–7
instrument choice 474–8
markets and market failure 452–4
preferences and motives: *de gustibus* principle 461–7

*Environmental Protection Agency* 76–7, 451, 460, 464–5, 477
administrative agencies design 336
administrative agency structure and decisionmaking procedures 346
agency insulation and centralization 347
agency redundancy and overlapping authority 354
air quality standards 480
normative mechanism choice 370
positive mechanism choice 376, 378
environmental regulation:
CFCs 384–6
GHGs 384–7
environmentalism 31
equal division rule 109, 112
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 346, 347, 354
Equal Protection Clause 191
equality 176
equilibrium concept and constitutional design 266

*Eskridge, W.* 115
ethnic minority citizens 173–4
ethnic, racial and minority policies 143–5
European Commission 387
European Union 388, 390
‘Better Regulation’ initiative 364
Emissions Trading System 387
environmental policy 450, 461, 463, 466, 472, 473, 477
environmental regulation: GHGs 386–7
Impact Assessment Board 364
pharmaceutical regulation 401
exclusivity 353, 355–6
Executive Order 12044 364
Executive Order 12291 364
Executive Order 12866 364
executive veto 271
exit options and constitutional design 273
exit, role of 196
exit-based account 228
exit-based normative justification 207
exit-based theories of federalism 208–13, 227
mobility as device for revealing subjects’ preferences for local public goods 208–11
mobility as device to constrain incompetent or predatory unitary states 211–13
expectancy damages rule 110, 112
experimentation costs 411
expertise 288, 293, 303–4, 320–22
agency redundancy and overlapping authority 356
agency statutory interpretation 286–8, 319
externalities 364, 453–4, 457, 468
Exxon Mobil 480
Fair Political Practices Commission 166
Fair Political Practices Commission v Superior Court (1979) 164
fair value test 425
fairness 106, 107, 109, 176, 198
see also Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem
Farber, D. 104, 109–10, 113–14
Federal Communications Commission 300
federal courts 234
Federal Election Commission 346
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 245, 430, 434, 436, 439–40, 444
Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (1938) 402, 404, 413
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act 465
Federal Highway Beautification Act 461
Federal Power Act 428, 429–30
Federal Power Commission see Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Federal Power Commission v Hope Natural Gas Co. (1944) 425
Federal Reserve 455
Federal Trade Commission 292, 347
federalism 207–29, 272–3
energy regulation and deregulation 428–30
pharmaceutical regulation 412–13
political process theories 224–8
see also exit-based theories; environmental policy; voice-based justifications
fiat 388
filed rate doctrine 439–41
financial contributions and interest groups 60–61
firm-dominance models 476
First Amendment 147, 182, 188, 404
first mover advantage 222
first-passage time policy 407
first-past-the-post 157, 181, 197, 247
fiscal equivalence dilemma 208–9
fiscal policies 142–3
Fischel, W. 220–21
Fish and Wildlife Service 465, 467
fixed (non-transferable) performance standards 366
Food and Drug Administration 336, 399–404, 466
pharmaceutical regulation 405–6, 408, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414
tobacco regulation 480
Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act (2007) 405
Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act (1997) 404
Food and Drug Administration v Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. (2000) 336
footnote 4 paradigm 274
Ford, G. 464
Forest Service ‘roadless rule’ (2001) 480
formal rulemaking see notice-and-comment formalism 241
forum shopping 440
forward thinking 236
Framework Convention on Climate Change (1992) 386
framing effects 382–3
France: Constitution 275–6
franchise service area 420
free riding 2–3, 22–3, 22
Freedom of Information Act 354
Frickey, P. 104, 109–10, 113–14
Friedman, M. 455
fundamental rights 274
fusion candidates 187
gatekeeping 314, 399
general election 196
general interest legislation 374–5
general oversight authority 295
Germany 157, 227, 402
gerrymandering 191–3
Index 493

Gonzales v Oregon (2006) 356
Gorsuch Burford, A. 467
government
choices and environmental policy 454–7
failure 436–8, 454–7, 468–72
ownership 368
takings of private property 274
see also Congress; House of Representatives;
Presidential influence; Senate; White House
grandfathering scheme 476, 480
grassroots lobbying 61–2
Gray, B. 381
greenhouse gases (GHGs) 384–7
gridlock 271
Grimmer, J. 407–8
guidance 403

Hammer v Dagenhart (1918) 123
Hardin, R. 53–5
Hare voting (single transferable vote) 103, 105, 175
Health Care Financing Administration 341
Health Review Commission 355
Heinz, J. 381
hierarchical control 252
historical observations 410–11
Hechter, J. 400–1
Hodge, J. 400–1
Hodges, J. 219
Hodel v Virginia Surface Mining & Reclamation Ass’n (1981) 209
Holmes, Justice 425
Homevoter Hypothesis 220–21
homogeneity of subnational populations’ interests 214–15
homogenous groups 50–51
Hope case 426
House of Representatives 304, 314–15, 464
Housing and Urban Development rules 76
Humphrey’s Executor v United States (1935) 349
hybrid appointments scheme 348
hybrid democracy, political interactions in 138–9, 141–2, 146–7, 158–67
democratic institutions, design of 161–4
initiative spillover effects in candidate elections 158–61
initiatives, effective implementation of 164–7
identity groups 65
ideological consistency and agency statutory interpretation 293
ideological framing and energy regulation/deregulation 430–31
ideological groups 152–4, 268
ideological position 35, 39, 464
agency statutory interpretation 307–8, 310, 311–12
ideology, judicial 253–5
Immigration and Naturalization Serv. v Chadha (1983) 99
Impact Assessment Board (European Union) 364
incentive-based instruments 375, 383, 475–7, 480–81
market-based 370, 382–3
see also in particular taxes; tradable allowances
incompetent unitary states 211–13
incremental voting rule 94
incumbent-protecting redistricting 192–3
independence agencies 298
designing agencies 346–8
independence of irrelevant considerations 90, 92, 118, 123–5, 128, 176, 247
see also Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem
Independent Citizens Oversight Committee 166
Independent Counsel Act 349
Independent Power Producers 434
Independent Prosecutor 349
indeterminacy, collective 117
India 385, 386
Constitution 268, 276–7
individual choice models 2, 7
individual choice models 2, 7
India 386
industry alliances 65
industry groups 217
inequity 364
informal political norms 225–6
information acquisition about policy outcomes, costs of 221–2
asymmetry 337, 341, 363–4, 441
cascades 154–5
disclosure rules 368
initiative 137–8, 139, 140–42, 143–5
direct 137, 138
effective implementation 164–7
implementation problems 165–6
indirect 137
process and influence of money 147–50
process success 145–7
spillover effects in candidate elections 158–61
voters 151
inside strategy 217
institutional alignment 252
institutional choices 220

Daniel A. Farber and Anne Joseph O’Connell - 9781849804899
Downloaded from Elgar Online at 07/27/2019 06:58:21AM
via free access
institutions
institutional details
institutional reform, implications for
institutional structures
instrument choice see mechanism choice
insurance and health-plan formulations
insulation and designing agencies
Insull, S.
insurance and health-plan formularies
intelligible principle
intensities of preference
interest groups
administrative agency structure and decisionmaking procedures
background and definition
business-oriented groups
capture theory
constitutional design
consumer
democracy and electoral processes
economies of scale
energy regulation and deregulation
environmental policy
financial contributions
grassroots lobbying
group good
homogenous groups
identification
influence, measures of
influence, points of
intermediate groups
intra-group bargaining
large groups
mobilization
moral considerations
organizational populations
plurality
political participation
political R&D
positive mechanism choice
privileged groups
rewards and sanctions
selective inducements
small groups
solidarity
subgroups
see also environmental interest groups; interest groups and role of money
interest groups and role of money
initiative process and influence of
majority empowerment
interior Department
intermediate groups
internality
international environmental regulation
international law
International Panel on Climate Change
interpretive rules
Interstate Commerce Act
Interstate Commerce Commission
intransitivity or voting cycle
Ireland
iron triangles
irrationality, collective
isolation fallacy
Japan
joint decision-trap
judges and agency statutory interpretation
judicial appointments
judicial coalitions
Judicial Committee of the British Privy Council
judicial decision-making
judicial deference doctrine
judicial ideology
judicial influence
judicial influence on agency statutory interpretation
deference and non-deference
expertise
judicial policy preferences and deference
procedural formality and deference
strategic interaction
deference
non-deferential review
judicial panel composition
judicial powers
judicial review
judicial signaling effects
judicial strategy
judiciary
Congressional overrides
empirical testing
ideology
rational choice
separation of powers
social choice
see also rational choice; social choice
‘jumps’
jurisdiction rules 179
jurisprudential mode 243
Justices of the Peace (United Kingdom) 226
Kahn, A. 378
Kassel v Consolidated Freightways (1981) 249
Kelman, S. 43
Kelsey, F.O. 402–3
Keohane, R.O. 379–80
Kessler, D. 404
Keynesian fiscal policy 96
Kimberley Process 386
Kornhauser, L. 248–9
Kyoto Protocol (1997) 386, 387, 461
labour organizations 65
Latin America 274
Lavelle, R. 467
Lear hypothetical 107–11, 116
least preferred candidate options 102
legislative committees 242
legislative drafting costs 286–7
legislative history 294, 296
Legislative Rule doctrine 342–4
legislative views and designing agencies 336–8
legislators and interest groups 72–6
legislatures 7, 122, 466
and administrative agencies, interaction between 36–8
and appellate courts, comparison between 114–16
Leviathan model of government 212–13, 215
Levy evidence process 405, 407
liberalism 28–9
limited voting 175
line-item veto 271
litigation 78
avoidance 316–17, 319–20
provoking 316, 320
Lobbying Disclosure Act (1995) 70
local public goods
misallocation resulting from predatory majorities 212–13
misallocation resulting from universalistic coalitions 211–12
preferences for 208–11
localism 213
Lochner v New York (1905) 123, 425
logical order to voting 94
logrolling
Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem 111–13, 123, 125
constitutional design 269
energy regulation and deregulation 430–32
legislatures and appellate courts, comparison between 116
social choice 113–14
voters 155, 156
Long Island Power Authority 444
lower courts, control over 245–6
Lupia, A. 151–2
McCarty, N.M. 141
McNollgast thesis 24–5, 38, 40, 245
Madison, J. 214–15
maintenance costs 267–8
majority effect 307–8
majority empowerment 140–45
majority, plurality rule (with and without runoff) 99–101
majority preferences and environmental policy 470–71
majority rule 27–8
Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem 121
constitutional design 262, 272
legislatures and appellate courts, comparison between 116
mechanism choice 388
simple 90
social choice 91–2
unlimited 88
majority voting 106, 175, 176, 179
marginal costs 420
equal marginal benefits 453, 474
marginal returns 189
market failure 420–23, 436–8, 452–4
Market Street Railway v Railroad Commission (1945) 425–6
market-based incentive instruments 370, 382–3
markets and environmental policy 454–7
Marks v United States (1977) 101
mass numbing 383
Massachusetts v EPA (2007) 320–21, 336
Matsusaka, J.G. 141–3
mechanism choice 363–90
behavioral sciences 389–90
benefit-cost analysis 369–70
constitutions 388–9
environmental policy 474–8
normative 363–71
conduct rules 365–6
government ownership 368
information disclosure rules 368
price/liability rules 367–8
private ordering 368–9
quantity/property rules 366–7
corporate and institutions and instruments 390
positive 371–87
European Union environmental regulation: GHGs 386–7
international environmental regulation: CFCs and GHGs 384–6
optimal choice 372–3
public attitudes 381–4
see also suboptimal choice
scale 388
taxes and tradable allowance (cap and trade) systems 370–71
topical domains 389
voting rules 388
median outcome 100–101
median voter 44
agency statutory interpretation 302–3, 319
democracy and electoral processes 196
environmental policy 469–71
hybrid democracy 162
interest groups and role of money 140–42, 143
Presidential control over agency statutory interpretation 302–6
rational choice 239
rescue by 177–9
Median Voter Theorem 93–4, 178, 197
medication, access to 411–12
Merrill, R. 406
Metropolitan Stevedore Co v Rambo (1997) 354
Meyer v Grant (1988) 147
microeconomics 2, 451, 452
minimal threshold allocation 96
minimum winning coalition strategy 255
minority voting rights 174–5, 198
misallocation of local public goods resulting from predatory majorities 212–13
misallocation of local public goods resulting from universalistic coalitions 211–12
Mississippi Power & Light Co v Mississippi (1988) 335
Mitchell, G. 380
mixed member proportional system 157
mobility as device for revealing subjects’ preferences for local public goods 208–11
mobility as device to constrain incompetent or predatory unitary states 211–13
modeling agencies 6
modeling and its limits 6–7
monopolistic bargaining 24
Montreal Protocol Fund 385
moral considerations 54
moral hazard 337
moral motivations 67
Morrison v Olsen (1988) 349
motion and amendment voting 122
motivation 79
motives and environmental policy 461–7
Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Association v State Farm 311
Motor Vehicle Safety Act 31
multidimensional issue spectrum with asymmetrical preferences 97
multidimensional judicial utility 250–51
multidimensional policy 179
multidimensional and symmetrical preferences 98
multipeakedness 94–6
Myers v United States (1926) 349
narrowest grounds rule 99–101, 105, 119–20, 121, 128
Nash equilibrium 443
National Cable & Telecommunications Association v Brand X Internet Service (2005) 357
National Cotton Council of America 460–61
National Electric Light Association 420
National Environmental Policy Act 354
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration 76, 467
National Labor Relations Board 300
National Science Foundation 8
national subgroups with distinct political identities, cooperation among 222–4
Natural Gas Act 428
Natural Gas Policy Act 428
natural resources 77
neoclassical economics 2
neutral enforcer model 38
New Deal 300, 339, 383, 428
New England Power Company 439
New State Ice v Liebmann (1932) 221
New York v United States (1992) 111
New Zealand 157, 164, 387
next-period drug project abandonments 411
Ninth Schedule to the Constitution 268
nirvana fallacy 105, 118
Niskanen, W. 23–4, 36–7
Nixon, R. 475
nominations 252
non-compete agreement 191
non-Condorcet rules 91, 121
non-deference 318–20, 336
non-deferential judicial review 312–15, 320
non-delegation 291–2, 344–6
non-dictatorship 93, 118, 126–7, 128, 176, 247
non-governmental organizations 463
normative implications 8–9
normative questions and pharmaceutical regulation 411–13
Northeast Utilities 444
Not In My Back Yard (NIMBY) 112, 470
notice-and-comment requirements 340, 341, 342–3, 480
Nuclear Regulatory Commission 347, 354, 466
nutritional and dietary supplements 403–4
Obama, B. 299, 304, 364
objective function 23
occupational licensing 34–5
Occupational Safety and Health Act 355, 375–6
cotton dust standards 460
Hazard Communication Standard 368
off-the-rack rules 111, 112–13
Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs 77, 299–300, 364, 368
Office of Management and Budget 299, 364, 369, 466
Office of Surface Mining 467
Oliver, E. 216–17
Olson, M. 22–3
Olson, M./Model/theory 22–3, 50–58, 61–2, 373–6, 451, 456, 458
one person, one vote doctrine 173–4, 191
opinion assignment process 251
opinion writing 126, 236
optimal choice 372–3
optimal stopping problem 405, 407
Order No. 888 434
ordinal sequencing 94
Organization for Petroleum Exporting Countries: Oil Embargo 428
organizational reputation 401–5
organizational slack 33
outcome model 243
outcome voting 101, 119–20, 121, 128
outcomes 247
outside strategy 217
overlapping authority 351–7
pairwise voting 261
panel effects 307–8
Paperwork Reduction Act (1980) 364
parental consent for abortion laws 143
Pareto improvement 301
Pareto optimization, weak 247
Pareto principle 19, 124
Pareto superiority 123, 128
parliamentarism 270–72
partisan balance requirements 298–9, 300
partisan competition 198
partisan redistricting 194
party
activists 180–81, 197
autonomy 195–7
bias 192
cues 180
primary 196
patent law 292
path dependence 91–2, 248
path independence 249
peaks 94–7, 179
performance standards, fixed (non-transferable) 366
Permian Basin Rate Cases (1968) 425–6
petition drives 137, 148–9
pharmaceutical regulation 399–416
agendas for future research 413–15
approval regulation game 408–10
consistency with empirical and historical observations 410–11
drug review as stochastic optimal control problem 405–8
modern research 400–401
normative questions 411–13
organizational reputation 401–5
philosophical motivations 67
Pierce, R. 426
Pigou, A.C./Pigouvian taxes 454, 480
pluralism 56–7, 104–5
plurality rule 105
plurality voting 28, 121, 181–2, 198
policy
conflict see ally principle
design see mechanism choice
domains 65
entrepreneurs (political entrepreneurs) 377–8, 381
insulation hypothesis 289, 293, 296–7
outcome 303
preferences, judicial 306–12
uncertainty 337–8
political activism 62
political alignment hypothesis 253, 311–12
political competition approach 195
political control hypothesis 41
political economy of unitary states, necessity for 210–11
political entrepreneurs 54, 377–8, 381
political identity 223
political institutions and instruments 390
political insulation hypothesis 286, 293
political lock-outs 186
political lock-ups 186
political mobilization 22–3
political parties and Duverger’s Law 179–82
political process theories 224–8
political R&D 62–3, 79
Political Reform Act (1974) 164, 166
politics as markets approach 182–7, 196
populism 28–9
power
appropriations 295
distributions, unequal 126–7
judicial 252, 253
separation of 239–42, 252–3, 388
predatory majorities 212–13
predatory unitary states 211–13
preference(s)
aggregation 251
asymmetrical 97
divergence 337, 338
environmental policy 452, 461–7
for local public goods 208–11
multidimensional and symmetrical 98
outlier 304
single-peaked 28
symmetrical 98
well-tamed, group with 93–4
see also revealed preferences
preferential system 247
Prescription Drug User Fee Act (1992) 404
Presidential Administration school of agency 348
Presidential influence
administrative agencies design 336–7
agency insulation and centralization 347, 348, 349–50
on agency statutory interpretation 293, 297–306, 307, 312, 314, 319
extent of influence 298–300
optimal influence 300–306
environmental policy 466, 467
nomination power 237–9
rational choice 241
veto provisions 314–15
Presidentialism 270–72
presumptive validity 99
price mechanisms 453
see also in particular taxes
price/liability rules 367–8
primary nominating system 181
principal-agent theory 337, 479
principled voting 125
Prisoners’ Dilemma 2
private governance 386
private law rules and cycling amelioration 127
private markets 123–4
exchange 116
private ordering 368–9
privileged groups 51
problematic group decisions 2
procedural formality and deference 317–18
process visibility 264
professional organizations 65
Professional Reactor Operator Society v NRC (1991) 354
‘Project 88’ 381
property rights 366, 376
see also equal division rule
proportional voting 28, 181
Proposition 71 166
Proposition 187 159, 161
protectionism 213
Proxmire, W./Proxmire Amendment (1976) 403–4
public attitudes 381–4
public interest groups 67
public trust 414
Public Utility Regulatory Polices Act (1978) 428, 431, 434
punishments 294
purposeful districting 192
quantity instruments see in particular tradable allowances
quantity use limits 366
quantity/property rules 366–7
race to the bottom thesis 209–10, 468–70, 472–3
racial bloc voting 174
racial-redistricting cases 191
radical malapportionment 187
range (Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem) 118, 120–24, 128
ratemaking 424–6
rational apathy 33
rational choice 247, 251, 252–3, 256
applications 237–46
judicial appointments 237–9
judicial decision-making 239–45
lower courts, control over 245–6
assumptions 235–7
judiciary 234
rational (transitive) preference orderings 89
rational voter apathy 21
rationality 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 19, 41–2
collective choice 107
individual 89
institutional reform 106
see also Arrow's Impossibility Theorem
re-election 23
Reagan, R. 299, 364, 380, 452, 466, 467, 473
realism 235
reapportionment cases 173–4
reapportionment revolution 191, 194
recall 137
redistricting 191–4
bipartisan agreements on 198
incumbent-protecting 192–3
partisan 194
racial 191
redundancy 351–7
referendum 137
campaigns 147
legislative 137–8
popular 137–8
two-stage 157
regime theory 219, 221
regulation
direct 474–7, 480
efficacy 402
environmental 384–7
of parties 195–8
see also energy regulation and deregulation
regulatory agenda 411
regulatory error 411
regulatory familiarity, advantage of 408
Regulatory Impact Assessments 368
regulatory surprises 411
removal of agency officials 298–9
rent seeking 4
constitutional design 262, 267, 268, 271, 272, 273, 277
energy regulation and deregulation 420–23, 444
interest groups 65
normative mechanism choice 364
positive mechanism choice 376, 384–6
representative democracy 174–82
Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem 175–7
median voter, rescue by 177–9
political parties and Duverger’s Law 179–82
‘Republican moments’ 338, 381–2
Republicans 307–8
restraining rules 187
revealed preferences 125, 247, 248–9, 462
rewards 52–3, 294
Reynolds v Sims (1964) 173–4
rights and constitutional design 273–4
rights-based approach 183
Riker, W. 28–9
risk-risk tradeoffs 364
Roe v Wade (1973) 101, 249, 264
Roosevelt Administration 300
Rule of Four 127, 251
rulemaking 403
rules and rational choice 246
run-off elections 27
safety information 414
safety-versus-access tradeoff 400
Sager, L. 248–9
sanctions 52–3
Sarbanes-Oxley legislation 383
scale of polities 388
scarcity of quick approval 408
Schlozman, K. 68–9
Schwarzennegger, A. 160
secession 272–3
second-generation claims (voting claims) 173
Secretary of Health and Human Services 356
Secretary of Interior 354
Secretary of Labor 355
Securities and Exchange Commission 300
securities laws 383
selective inducements 52–3
self-interest 2, 4, 5, 33, 41–2
administrative agencies design 335–6
constitutional design 275, 276
energy regulation and deregulation 430–31
see also rational choice
Senate 464
agency statutory interpretation 298, 299, 304, 314–15
rational choice 238, 239
Senate v Jones (1999) 163
separation of powers 239–42, 252–3, 388
sequential elimination agendas 156, 160–61
service continuation obligations 424
Sherman Act 292, 445
single citizens commission 166–7
single dimension judicial utility 248–50
single dimensional scale 101
single issue balloting 160
single member first-past-the-post system 197
single member plurality voting rules 186, 196
single peakedness 96, 179
single slate requirements 174
single subject rule 155–6, 157, 163–4, 269, 277
single transferrable voting see Hare voting
Skidmore v Swift and Co. (1944) 317
social choice 19, 88–132, 234, 252–3, 256
assumptions 246–8
baselines 116–17
collective choice, implications for 107
composition fallacy 106–7
cyclical preferences, Condorcet criterion and agenda setting 89–92
empty core among private actors 107–11
institutional reform, implications for 104–6
legislatures and appellate courts, comparison between 114–16
logrolling and structure-induced equilibria 113–14
multiple-dimension judicial utility 250–51
preferences, well-tamed, group with 93–4
single-dimension judicial utility 248–50
symmetry and asymmetry 97–9
toxic waste disposal facility location (hypothetical) 111–13
see also Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem; votes/voting protocols, formal
social rights 274
solidarity 54
South Africa 274
Spanish Constitution 275
spatial voting 181
specific causes 65
stable resolution with multidimensional and symmetrical preferences 98
staggered terms 174
standards and rational choice 246
standing rules 122, 128
stare decisis doctrine 122, 128
state action immunity 444–5
state courts 234
statutory interpretation by agencies 285–325
see also Congressional influence; judicial influence; Presidential influence
statutory override 294
Stavins, R. 381
Stearns, M.L. 249–50
Stephenson, M. 44–5
Stevens, J.P. 442
Stigler, G. 55–8, 61, 62–3, 64, 72, 451, 455–7
stochastic optimal control problem 405–8
stochastic process 405
stock price movements 410–11
strategic action 41
strategic behavior and rational choice 235–6
strategic, credible commitment to defect strategy 255
strategic instruments hypothesis 310
strategic model 38–9
strategic substitution effect 5, 318
strategic voting 102, 125–6, 128
structural approach 183–4, 187–8, 190
structure and process hypothesis 294, 296–7, 339–42
administrative agency structure and decisionmaking procedures 343–4, 346
structure-induced equilibria 113–14, 122, 179, 182–3
subnational electoral districts, accessibility of politics in 216–18
subnational government, salience of politics in 218–21
subnational populations’ interests, homogeneity of 214–15
suboptimal choice 373–81
complex models 376–81
Olson Model 373–4
theory meets evidence 374–6
Subpart E regulations (1988) 404
subsidiarity principle 472
subsidies 368
substantive legally binding effects test 344
substitution effect 338
succession voting 94
sulfanilamide tragedy 402–3
sunset requirement 161
Superfund amendments (1985) 477
Superfund legislation and environmental policy 464–5, 479
supremacy rule 272, 388
supervisory and directive authority over administration 299
supervisory oversight 364–5
Supreme Court 7, 252
administrative agencies design 335
administrative agency structure and decisionmaking procedures 345
agency insulation and centralization 346, 349
agency redundancy and overlapping authority 354, 355
agency statutory interpretation 291, 293, 307, 309, 317, 320–21
Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem 119–20, 121–2, 124–5, 126, 128
Canada 226
collective choice 107
deference doctrine 310–12
democracy and electoral processes 173, 192, 195, 197
department of energy regulation and deregulation 425, 427, 442, 443, 444
environmental policy 460–61
exit-based theories of federalism 209
formal voting protocols 99, 100–101
hybrid democracy 163, 164
institutional reform 105
interest groups and role of money 147
judiciary 234, 252, 253, 255
legislatures and appellate courts, comparison between 115
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>logrolling and structure-induced equilibria</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pharmaceutical regulation</td>
<td>404, 412–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive mechanism choice</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rational choice</td>
<td>236, 238, 239–40, 241, 244, 245–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social choice</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act</td>
<td>431, 464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton v United Airlines Inc (1999)</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>symmetrical preferences</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>symmetry</td>
<td>97–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>take-it-or-leave-it offers</td>
<td>140, 142, 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tariffs (energy)</td>
<td>439–41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taxes</td>
<td>370–71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>environmental policy</td>
<td>474–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normative mechanism choice</td>
<td>367–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>policies</td>
<td>142–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive mechanism choice</td>
<td>375, 382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technology</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenth Amendment</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Railroad Commission</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>textual plausibility</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thalidomide tragedy</td>
<td>402–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>third parties</td>
<td>197–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson Medical Co v FTC (1986)</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three-strikes law</td>
<td>159, 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tierney, J.T.</td>
<td>68–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ting, M.M.</td>
<td>409–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tobacco products</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topical domains</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tort system</td>
<td>412–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic Release Inventory (1986)</td>
<td>368, 383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toxic waste disposal facility location (hypothetical)</td>
<td>111–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tradable allowances/permits (cap-and-trade)</td>
<td>366, 370–71, 477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>environmental policy</td>
<td>474–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normative mechanism choice</td>
<td>367–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive mechanism choice</td>
<td>375, 380–81, 382, 383–4, 386, 387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trade associations</td>
<td>65, 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tradeoffs</td>
<td>156–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>traditional rights approach</td>
<td>183, 188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trajectory of public choice theory</td>
<td>4–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transactional model</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transitivity</td>
<td>90, 117, 176, 249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transportation</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treaty accession</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy, D.</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trust</td>
<td>152, 414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two-party system</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I errors</td>
<td>400, 411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II errors</td>
<td>400, 411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unanimity</td>
<td>92, 118, 123, 125–6, 128, 176, 262, 388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also Pareto optimality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncertainty principle</td>
<td>288, 399, 400–401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘unholy alliances’ see bootlegger and Baptist coalitions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unidimensional issue continuum</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unidimensional policy</td>
<td>178–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uniformity, legal value of</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unitary executive</td>
<td>349–51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unitary states, incompetent or predatory</td>
<td>211–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nations: Global Environmental System</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States v Carolene Prods. Co. (1938)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States v Mead Corporation (2001)</td>
<td>311, 317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States v Rutherford (1979)</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unitization</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>universal admissibility see unrestricted domain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>universal service</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>universalistic coalitions</td>
<td>211–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unrestricted domain</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>use or extraction licenses</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user-fee program</td>
<td>404–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vetoes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concurrent</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>executive</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>line-item</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>points</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>threats</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vetogates</td>
<td>144, 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voice-based justifications for federalism</td>
<td>196, 207, 213, 214–24, 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>costs of information acquisition about policy outcomes</td>
<td>221–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>homogeneity of subnational populations’ interests</td>
<td>214–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national subgroups with distinct political identities, cooperation among</td>
<td>222–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subnational electoral districts, accessibility of politics</td>
<td>216–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subnational government, salience of politics</td>
<td>218–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>votes/voting</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competence</td>
<td>151–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
confusion 155

cues 151–5, 156, 160

cumulative 175

cycle 90–91

dilution 173, 174, 175, 191

incremental 94

limited 175

logical order 94

majority 106, 175, 176, 179

minority 174–5, 198

motion and amendment 122

one person, one vote doctrine 173–4, 191

outcome 101, 119–20, 121, 128

pairwise 261

paradox 22, 89, 93, 175, 176–7 see also

Arrow’s Impossibility Theorem

plurality 28, 121, 181–2, 198

principled 125

proportional 28

protocols, voting 99–104

approval voting 101–2

Borda counting 102

Coombs voting 102

Copeland voting (round robin) 103–4

Hare voting (single transferable voting) 103, 105, 175

majority, plurality rule 99–101

narrowest grounds rule 99–101

racial bloc 174

rules 388

share 189

single-member plurality 196

spatial 181

strategic 102, 125–6, 128

succession 94

theory 26–30

trading 115, 125–6, 128, 431

see also median voter

Voting Rights Act 173–4

Washington Legal Foundation case 404

welfare-maximization 471–2

West Coast Hotel v Parrish (1937) 123–4

West Lynn Creamery, Inc. v Healy (1994) 442

whistleblower effect 308

White House 364, 379

White, M.W. 435

White Primary Cases 186

Whitman v American Trucking Association (2001) 345–6

Wiener process 405

willingness to pay 453, 470–71

winner-take-all system 27, 181, 186

Wirth, T. 381

World Trade Organization 365, 463

wrongful districting 192

Wyeth v Levine 412–13

yardstick competition 222

Zapfel, P. 387

zone of discretion 306, 313