

# Index

---

- Acorn Computers 37
- Acs, Z. 87, 244
- Adams, J. 97
- additionality concept 62–3, 115
  - public support for research and development (R&D) and 137–8, 139–42, 147–8, 162–3
  - results of survey 148–61
- Adkins, D. 53
- Aerts, K. 139
- Allen, J. 89
- Amin, A. 89
- Analysis 37
- Anselin, L. 87
- Antonelli, C. 12
- ARC 37
- Arenberg Science Park 44
- Armstrong, H. 243
- Arundel, A. 62
- Asheim, B. 234
- ASMI 45
- ASML 45
- Audretsch, D. 86, 244
- Austria 170
  
- Babson College 33
- BankBoston 35
- Barberis, P. 137, 214
- Barro, R. 243
- Belgium 11
  - centres of excellence 81
    - origins and development 63–4
    - recent policy changes 65–6
  - Leuven University 9
    - incubator facilities 53
      - as technostarter 43–5
    - research and development (R&D) study 143, 162–3
      - acceleration additionality 155
      - competence additionality 155–6
      - network additionality 154
      - output additionality 156–8
      - profile of companies 148–50
      - project additionality 150–52
      - scope and scale additionality 152–4
      - strategic (innovation) additionality 158–61
- benchmarking 18–19, 22
- Bergemann, D. 13
- Bernstein, A. 62
- best practice 21
- Bharti Group 33
- bibliographies 87
- Bond, R. 67
- bootstrapping model of finance 13
- BP Amoco 39
- Braczyk, H.-J. 18, 234
- Broers, Alec 38
- Brown, Gordon 39
- Buisseret, T.J. 63, 140
- business angels 52
  
- Cairncross, F. 89
- Cambridge Consultants 37
- Cambridge Enterprise 40
- Cambridge Instruments 36
- Cambridge Science Park 40
- Cambridge Technopole Group 41
- Cambridge University 9, 32
  - Institute of Manufacturing 96
    - as technostarter
      - active involvement of the university 38–41
      - emergence of cluster 36–8
      - incubator facilities 53
      - lessons from 41–3
- Campbell Soup 35
- Caniëls, M. 89
- Cantwell, J. 89
- centres of excellence 81
  - origins and development 63–4
  - recent policy changes 65–6
- Chesborough, H. 233

- Clarke, G.R.G. 13  
 Clarysse, B. 140, 178, 183  
 Co, C. 86  
 coaching technostarters 54–5  
 Cohendet, P. 89  
 cohesion policy of the EU 191  
   Cohesion Funds 167  
   consistency with innovation policy  
     183–4, 188–92  
   coordination 187, 191–2  
   development 185–6  
   financial instruments 186–7  
   Structural Funds 64, 131, 167, 171,  
     186  
 communication 89; *see also*  
   information and communication  
   technology (ICT)  
 competition, ICT policy and 131–2  
 Cooke, P. 2, 10, 18, 178, 233, 234, 244  
 coordination 22  
   cohesion policy of the EU 187,  
     191–2  
   Lisbon Strategy 169–70, 172–3  
   national innovation policies 183  
   national innovation systems (NIS)  
     212–19  
 creative destruction 12  
 Crescenzi, R. 180  
 crowding-out effects 139  
 Cuervo-Cazurra, A. 178  
 Cunningham, P. 62, 67  
 Czarnitzki, D. 139  
 Czystewski, A.B. 243
- Darwin, Horace 36  
 Debackere, C. 10, 44  
 Debackere, K. 67, 74  
 Delft University 54  
 Dell 31  
 demand 2  
   spatial distribution of knowledge  
     transfer activity and 96–7  
 Denmark 170  
 DG Enterprise 62  
 Digital Equipment Co. 35  
 Disney, Walt 31  
 distance, knowledge transfer and 21,  
   87–9, 100–102  
 Djarova, J. 134  
 DSM 33  
 DSP Valley 45  
 Dunnewijk, T. 180, 234, 236  
 Dunning, J.H. 243  
 Dunsford, M. 234
- Eales, R. 67  
 Edler, J. 182  
 EDM 64  
 educational institutions 2; *see also*  
   universities  
 employment in R&D 243  
 Engqvist, R. 62  
 entrepreneurship 12, 21  
   flow of entrepreneurs 47–8  
   link between start-ups and growth  
     33–4  
   technostarters 31–3, 56  
     Cambridge phenomenon 36–43  
     four flow model 45–54  
     Leuven 43–5  
   link between start-ups and growth  
     33–4  
   MIT example 34–6  
   support 53–6  
 Environmental Innovation Platform  
 (MIP; Belgium) 64  
 Ertl, H. 62  
 Estonia, ICT use in 121, 126, 127,  
   129–30, 131–2  
 Etzkowitz, H. 6, 8  
 European Union (EU)  
   cohesion policy 191  
     Cohesion Funds 167, 186  
     consistency with innovation policy  
       183–4, 188–92  
     coordination 187, 191–2  
     development 185–6  
     financial instruments 186–7  
     regulation 187  
     Structural Funds 64, 131, 167,  
       171, 186  
   innovation and 5, 15–16, 167–8,  
     190–91, 192–5, 202, 221  
   consistency with cohesion policy  
     183–4, 188–92  
   measuring innovation potential  
     238–9  
   policy 181–4  
   *see also* Lisbon Strategy; national  
   innovation systems (NIS)

- regional differences 234–6
- regulation 184, 187
- Single European Act 181, 185
- excellence, *see* centres of excellence
- Fagerberg, J. 233
- Falk, R. 142, 143, 147, 150
- Faraday Partnerships 94, 95, 99
- Feldmann, M 86
- finance, *see* investment
- financial sector, ICT use in 120
- Finland 6
  - innovation in 174, 209–10, 215, 221–2
- firms 5, 6
  - contacts with 93–4, 98
  - information and communication
    - technology (ICT) use and 111, 113
  - contribution of ICT utilisation to innovation 122–4
  - economic performance and ICT utilisation 117–19
  - facilitating environment for ICT utilisation 124–6
  - level of ICT usage 116–17
  - policy actions to enhance ICT use 126–32
  - sectoral and spatial differences 119–22
- innovation and 12–13, 18, 203, 243
  - contribution of ICT utilisation to innovation 122–4
- knowledge transfer and, *see* knowledge transfer
- profits 244
- research and development (R&D) 6, 7, 20, 65
  - cooperation with research institutions 243–4
  - financing 244
  - public support 14, 137–63
- size of 97–8
- technostarters 31–3, 56
  - Cambridge phenomenon 36–43
  - four flow model 45–54
  - Leuven 43–5
  - link between start-ups and growth 33–4
  - MIT example 34–6
  - support 53–6
- fiscal impact of innovation policies 69–71, 78
- Flanders District of Creativity 64
- Flanders Drive 64
- Flanders FOOD 64
- Flanders Material Centre (Flamac) 64
- Flanders Mechatronics Technology Centre (FMTC) 64
- Flemish Institute for Logistics (VIL) 64
- Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO) 63, 75, 76–8
- Flemish Interdisciplinary Institute for Biotechnology (VIB) 63–4, 75, 76, 78–9
- four flow model of technostarters 45–6
  - flow of entrepreneurs 47–8
  - flow of finance 48–53
  - flow of technology 46–7
- France 195
- Freel, M.S. 86
- Freeman, C. 1
- Frosini, P. 9
- GameChanger 33
- Geens, Gaston 63
- gender 34
- Georgiou, L. 63, 67, 143
- Germany 34, 35–6
- Gillette 35
- Glaxo 39
- Global Entrepreneurship Monitoring (GEM) 33, 34
- globalisation, innovation and 5
- Google 31
- government and the state
  - information and communication technology (ICT) policy 111, 126
  - developments in skills and labour market 132
  - encouraging greater adoption of ICT in public sector 128–9
  - need for sector-specific policies 126–8
  - promoting Internet security and trust 129–30
  - stimulation of fair competition 131–2
  - supporting research and innovation 130–31

- innovation and 1, 15, 16–17, 60–63
  - impact assessment of innovation policies 66–75
  - see also* centres of excellence; national innovation systems (NIS)
  - support for research and development (R&D) 14, 130–31, 137–8, 162–3
  - acceleration additionality 155
  - behavioural additionality 137–8, 139–42, 162–3
  - competence additionality 155–6
  - network additionality 154
  - output additionality 156–8
  - profile of companies 148–50
  - project additionality 150–52
  - research design 142–8
  - scope and scale additionality 152–4
  - strategic (innovation) additionality 158–61
  - see also* public sector
- Greiner, L.E. 47
- Grossman, G. 12
- Guerrero, C.G. 233
- Haasrode Science Park 44
- Hall, B. 13, 14
- Hall, R. 189
- Harhoff, D. 86
- Harvard Business School 32
- Hege, U. 13
- Helpman, E. 12
- Heshmati, A. 12
- Hewlett-Packard 35
- Higher Education Innovation Fund (HEIF) 39
- higher education institutions (HEIs), *see* universities
- Higher Education Reach-Out to Business and the Community Fund (HEROBC) 39
- Hitachi 39
- Hoechst 39
- Hollanders, H. 238
- Hollenstein, H. 13
- Horst, A. 15
- Hume, David 229
- Huntingford, J. 9
- IDEA Consult 11, 14
- impact assessment of innovation policies 66–7
  - economic impact 68–9, 76–8
  - fiscal impact 69–71, 78
  - scientific impact 71–3, 78–9
  - technological impact 73–5
- Incubation point Geo-information (IncGeo) 64
- incubator facilities 53–4
- India 33
- informal investors 52
- information and communication technology (ICT) 12–13, 45, 111–12, 132–4
  - additionality concept 115
  - contribution of ICT utilisation to innovation 122–4
  - contribution to economic performance of firms
  - economic performance and ICT utilisation 117–19
  - level of ICT usage 116–17
  - sectoral and spatial differences 119–22
  - coverage of study 115–16
  - facilitating environment for ICT utilisation 124–6
  - government policy on 111, 126
  - developments in skills and labour market 132
  - encouraging greater adoption of ICT in public sector 128–9
  - need for sector-specific policies 126–8
  - promoting Internet security and trust 129–30
  - stimulation of fair competition 131–2
  - supporting research and innovation 130–31
  - methodology of study 113–15
  - policy actions to enhance ICT use 126
- innovation
  - centres of excellence 81
  - origins and development 63–4
  - recent policy changes 65–6
  - definition 4–5
  - drivers of firm innovation 12–13

- European Union (EU) and 5, 15–16, 167–8, 190–91, 192–5, 202, 221  
 consistency with cohesion policy 183–4, 188–92  
 measuring innovation potential 238–9  
 policy 181–4  
*see also* Lisbon Strategy; national innovation systems (NIS)
- finance 13–14
- firms and 12–13, 18, 203, 243  
 contribution of ICT utilisation to innovation 122–4
- government and 1, 15, 16–17, 60–63  
 impact assessment of innovation policies 66–75  
*see also* centres of excellence
- information and communication technology (ICT) and 122–4, 130–31
- national systems, *see* national innovation systems (NIS)
- public support for research and development (R&D) and 158–61
- regional systems, *see* regional innovation systems
- research and development (R&D) and 87
- systems 1–3, 5–6, 19–20, 22  
 national, *see* national innovation systems (NIS)  
 open 65  
 regional, *see* regional innovation systems
- INSEAD 32
- Institute for the Promotion of Innovation through Science and Technology (IWT; Belgium) 143, 147–8, 150–63
- Intel 31, 35, 45
- Interdisciplinary Institute for Broadband Technology (IBBT; Belgium) 64
- Inter-University MicroElectronics Centre (IMEC; Belgium) 45, 63, 75, 76, 80–81
- inventions 242
- INVEST 103
- investment  
 finance in four flow model of technostarters 48–53  
 finance of innovation 13–14  
 R&D 14, 244, 245
- Italy 170
- Jaffe, A.B. 87
- Japan 34
- Jordan, A. 15
- Judge Management School 41
- Jumpstart 103
- Kirkpatrick, C. 67
- Klette, T.J.J. 14
- knowledge capital 12
- knowledge creation 5, 60
- knowledge transfer 10–12, 85–6  
 effect of distance 21, 87–9, 100–102  
 public sector and 102–4  
 routes 86–7  
 spatial distribution of knowledge transfer activity 93–6  
 capacity to develop linkages with firms 98  
 initial contacts 98  
 pattern of demand 96–7  
 personal and professional networks 99  
 requests for support from business 99  
 size of firm and 97–8  
 strategy adopted by universities 99–100
- universities and 86, 87, 89–91, 104–5  
 contacts with firms 93–4  
 specific knowledge transfer programmes 94  
 types of institution 94–6
- Konno, N. 89
- Kor, Y.Y. 47
- Krugman, P. 86
- KTPs 94, 95, 96, 99, 102
- Kuemmerle, W. 31
- labour market  
 employment in R&D 243  
 information and communication technology (ICT) policy and 132

- Latvia, ICT use in 127
- Lee, N. 67
- Leuven Security Excellence Consortium 45
- Leuven University 9  
incubator facilities 53  
as technostarter 43–5
- Leydesdorff, L. 6, 233
- LINK programme 94, 95
- Lisbon Strategy 1, 137, 194  
coordination 169–70, 172–3  
objectives 168–9  
use of Structural Funds for 171–2  
reasons for lack of results 170–71
- Lissoni, F. 86
- Lithuania, ICT use in 127, 131
- LiveWire 33
- London Business School 33
- London Technology Network 99, 103
- Lööf, H. 12
- Looy, B. 44
- Lopez, A.J. 12
- Lundvall, B.A. 2, 233, 244
- McDonnell Douglas 35
- Macedonia 54
- Mahoney, J.T. 47
- management, innovation and 12
- Manufacturing Advisory Service 104
- manufacturing sector, ICT use in 121
- Marburger, J. 62
- market imperfections 14
- Marshall, A. 86
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) 32, 34–6
- Microsoft 31, 38, 39
- Miles, I. 62, 67
- Mittal, Sunil 33
- Molle, W. 15, 174, 189, 193
- Morgan, K. 2, 18, 89, 105, 233, 244
- Motorola 38
- Muldur, U. 178, 183
- Muller, E. 180
- multidimensional innovation impact  
assessment method (MI<sup>2</sup>A) 11,  
67–8, 81–2  
case studies 75–6  
Flemish Institute for  
Technological Research  
(VITO) 75, 76–8
- Flemish Interdisciplinary Institute  
for Biotechnology (VIB) 75,  
76, 78–9
- Inter-University MicroElectronics  
Centre (IMEC; Belgium) 75,  
76, 80–81
- impact assessment of innovation  
policies 66–7  
economic impact 68–9, 76–8  
fiscal impact 69–71, 78  
scientific impact 71–3, 78–9  
technological impact 73–5
- multi-national enterprises (MNEs),  
knowledge transfer and 97
- Mytelka, L.K. 181
- Närfelt, K.-H. 141
- National Composites Network (UK)  
99
- National Endowment for Science,  
Technology and the Arts  
(NESTA; UK) 4
- national innovation systems (NIS) 1,  
16–17, 174–7, 201–2, 225–6  
budget allocations 205  
coordination mechanisms 212–19  
GoodNIP classification 206–8  
governance of innovation 202–4,  
208–12, 215–19  
innovation trend chart 205–6  
policy mix 204, 208–12  
definition 219–20  
illustration 220–25
- National Semiconductor 35
- Nauwelaers, C. 15, 18
- Nelson, R.R. 2
- Netherlands 195, 215
- networks  
network additionality 154  
personal and professional 99
- nodal knowledge 89
- Nokia 38
- Nonaka, I. 89
- Norway 219
- Novartis 38
- Office of Science and Technology  
Policy (USA) 62
- open innovation systems 65
- opportunity entrepreneurs 32–3

- Organisation for Economic  
   Co-operation and Development  
   (OECD) 112  
   E-commerce Business Impacts  
     Project (EBIP) 112  
   Working Party on Innovation and  
     Technology Policy (TIP) 138  
 O'Shea, R. 8  
 Osnabrugge, M. van 48  
 Oughton, C. 232  
 Overstraeten, R. van 45  
  
 PA Technology 37  
 Papaconstantinou, G. 67, 75  
 patents 87, 242  
 Peeters, L. 75  
 Penrose, E.T. 47  
 Pereira, T.S. 183, 184  
 Peru 34  
 Peterson, J. 15, 181  
 Philips Electronics 33, 38, 45  
 Pinchot, G. 32  
 Piontek, R. 243  
 Piscitello, L. 89  
 Platform 52–3  
 Poland 19, 116  
   information and communication  
     technology (ICT) use 121, 127,  
     129  
   innovation in 211, 213, 231  
   regional heterogeneity 231–2  
   regional innovation systems case  
     study 246, 249  
     business R&D financing 244  
     data 240–41  
     dynamics of total R&D  
       expenditure 245  
     employment in R&D 243  
     enterprises that conduct  
       innovative activity 243  
   higher education institutions  
     242–3  
   patents and inventions 242  
   profits of enterprises 244  
   reasons for selection of country  
     230–31  
   regional innovation potential  
     index 245–6  
   share of R&D expenditure in  
     GDP 241–2  
   poles of competence 65  
 Polt, W. 67, 75  
 Porter, M. 233233  
 productivity 4  
   ICT use and 119–20, 121  
 profitability  
   ICT use and 119–20  
   regional innovation systems and  
     244  
 proximity, knowledge transfer and 21,  
   87–9, 100–102  
 public sector 15  
   encouraging greater adoption of  
     ICT in 128–9  
   innovation and 2  
   knowledge transfer and 102–4  
   research and development (R&D) 6,  
     7, 20, 85  
   public support 14, 137–63  
   *see also* universities  
   publicity for technostarters 56  
 Pye Radio 36, 37  
  
 Radosevic, S. 177  
 Raytheon Co. 35  
 Read, A. 12  
 Reenen, J. van 14  
 regional innovation systems 2, 6,  
   17–19, 177–9, 229–30, 249  
   changing regions 236–7  
   measuring regional innovation  
     potential 237–40  
 Poland case study 246, 249  
   business R&D financing 244  
   data 240–41  
   dynamics of total R&D  
     expenditure 245  
   employment in R&D 243  
   enterprises that conduct  
     innovative activity 243  
   higher education institutions  
     242–3  
   patents and inventions 242  
   profits of enterprises 244  
   reasons for selection of country  
     230–31  
   regional innovation potential  
     index 245–6  
   share of R&D expenditure in  
     GDP 241–2

- recognising regional diversity 234–5
- role of the region 233–4
- spatial hierarchy 234
- typology of successful regions 235–6
- regulation
  - European Union (EU) 184, 187
  - innovation and 202–3
- research and development (R&D) 12, 86–7, 137–9
  - budget allocations 205
  - employment in 243
  - exchange of results 182
  - firms 6, 7, 20, 65
    - cooperation with research institutions 243–4
    - financing 244
  - investment in 14, 244, 245
  - public sector 6, 7, 14, 20, 85
  - public support for 14, 130–31, 137–8, 162–3
    - acceleration additionality 155
    - behavioural additionality 137–8, 139–42, 162–3
    - competence additionality 155–6
    - network additionality 154
    - output additionality 156–8
    - profile of companies 148–50
    - project additionality 150–52
    - research design 142–8
    - scope and scale additionality 152–4
    - strategic (innovation) additionality 158–61
- regional innovation systems and 241–2
- spin-offs 8–10
- universities and
  - public funding 91
  - research funds from business 92
  - spin-offs 8–10
  - student exchange 92–3
- research institutions 2, 6, 7–8, 20, 243–4
- Richard, D. 32
- Richardson, H.W. 233
- Ridding, John 33
- Robinson, R.J. 48
- Rockwell International 35
- Rodriguez-Pose, A. 180
- Rolls Royce 39
- Romanainen, J 222
- Room, G. 119
- Roper, S. 204
- Rosenfeld, S. 233
- Rousseau, Jean-Jacques 229
- Royal Dutch Shell 33
- Rubalcaba, L. 4
- Russia 116
  - ICT use in 121, 126, 127
- St John's Innovation Centre 40
- Sala-i-Martin, X. 243
- Samsung 45
- Sanz-Menéndez, L. 213
- scale economies 15
- Schoenmakers, W. 89
- Schout, A. 15
- Schumpeter, J. 4, 12
- science parks 40, 44–5
- Scientific Generics 37
- Seiko 39
- Seró, A.S. 233
- service sector, ICT use in 120–21
- Sharp, M. 15, 181, 183, 184
- Silicon Valley 48, 52
- Sinclair 37
- Sinclair, Clive 38
- size of firms, knowledge transfer and 97–8
- skills development, ICT policy and 132
- Skype 31
- small and medium size enterprises (SMEs) 220–21
  - knowledge transfer and 11, 97–8
- Small Business Service 103
- Smith, D. 97
- Smith, K. 181
- SmithKline Beecham 39
- Spain 208–9
- spillovers 74, 86, 87, 89, 100, 104
- spin-offs from research and development (R&D) 8–10
- Spithoven, A. 16
- Stanford University 48
- state, *see* government and the state; public sector
- Stel, A. van 34
- Storey, D.J. 10
- strategic research centres 65



- subsidies 14, 139
- support
  - EU support for innovation 181–2
  - public support for research and development (R&D) 14, 130–31, 137–8
  - behavioural additionality 137–8, 139–42, 162–3
  - profile of companies 148–50
  - research design 142–8
  - technostarters 53–4
- Sweden 6, 174
- tacit knowledge 87
- Tallinn Technical University 130
- taxation, fiscal impact of innovation
  - policies 69–71, 78
- Taylor, J. 243
- technology
  - four flow model of technostarters 46–7
  - ICT, *see* information and communication technology (ICT)
  - technological impact of innovation
    - policies 73–5
  - transfer 21
- technostarters 31–3, 56
  - Cambridge phenomenon
    - active involvement of the university 38–41
    - emergence of cluster 36–8
    - lessons from 41–3
  - four flow model 45–6
    - flow of entrepreneurs 47–8
    - flow of finance 48–53
    - flow of support 53–4
    - flow of technology 46–7
  - Leuven 43–5
  - link between start-ups and growth 33–4
  - MIT example 34–6
    - support 53–6
- Teirlinck, P. 16
- telecommunications sector, ICT use 131–2
- Termunck Science Park 44
- Tether, B.S. 10
- Texas Instruments 35
- Thrift, N. 89
- Toshiba 39
- training 87
- transfer of knowledge, *see* knowledge transfer
- TTP 37
- Twaalfhoven, B. 35
- Un, C.A. 178
- Unilever 39
- United Kingdom 34, 103–4
  - knowledge transfer 85
  - universities 11, 89–93
    - factors influencing spatial distribution of knowledge transfer activity 96–100
    - spatial distribution of knowledge transfer activity 93–6
  - see also* Cambridge University
- United States of America 34
  - Office of Science and Technology Policy 62
  - Silicon Valley 48, 52
- universities and higher education
  - institutions (HEIs) 6, 17–18, 20–21
  - information and communication technology (ICT) use 130
  - knowledge transfer and 10–12, 86, 87, 89–91, 104–5
    - contacts with firms 93–4, 98
    - initial contacts 98
    - personal and professional networks 99
  - requests for support from business 99
  - specific knowledge transfer programmes 94
  - strategy adopted by universities 99–100
  - types of institution 94–6
  - public funding 91
  - regional innovation systems and 242–3
  - research and development (R&D)
    - public funding 91
    - research funds from business 92
    - spin-offs 8–10
    - student exchange 92–3
  - research funds from business 92
  - student exchange 92–3

- technostarters 31–3, 56
  - Cambridge phenomenon 36–43
  - four flow model 45–54
  - Leuven 43–5
  - link between start-ups and growth 33–4
  - MIT example 34–6
  - support 53–6
- Uyarra, E. 234
- Valley of Death 51–2
- Verbeek, A. 67, 71, 79
- Verhoest, P. 122
- Verspagen, B. 89
- Veugelers, R. 10, 62
- Vicente, M.R. 12
- VIGC 64
- Voyer, R. 244
- Vyakarnam, S. 37
- Wartenberg, Ludolf von 36
- Wildeberger, A. 141
- Wintjes, R. 15, 204, 214
- Wissema, J.G. 44, 55
- Wright, M. 8