

# Index

---

- Aa, A. van der 99
- academic advisers  
advice, views on *see* advice,  
consultants' and academics'  
views on
- Amsterdam Airport *see* Amsterdam  
Airport, advice on further  
growth of
- and consultants, rhetorical  
differences between *see*  
consultants and academics,  
rhetorical differences between
- electricity liberalization *see*  
electricity market liberalization,  
advice on
- as fact-finders 40–41
- as imitators of consultants 211, 242,  
245, 246
- advice  
academic conceptualization of 211
- approaches, comparisons of 243–47
- assignments, and clients 250–1
- explicit advice, economic advisers'  
need for 258–59, 260
- 'if-then' advice 80, 81, 82, 94–5, 111,  
122, 142, 187, 217, 219, 230, 245
- lack of neutral, in academic  
conclusions, electricity market  
liberalization 186–91, 217–18,  
227–9
- more action oriented, consultants  
and Amsterdam Airport 143–6
- more balanced, consultants, and  
Amsterdam Airport 142–50
- related to discipline, electricity  
market liberalization 234–36
- strategies to prevent flawed 119
- to academic advisers 251–59  
*see also* clients; reports
- advice analysis and rhetoric 43–76
- advice argumentation analysis 58–66
- advice and communicative action  
53–6, 211
- advice as field of argumentation  
50–52, 55
- advice as rhetorical genre 49–50
- advice and speech act theory 56–8,  
73
- aesthetic criticism 54, 55–6, 57–8, 61,  
243, 246, 261–2
- communicative action and  
consensus 75
- consensus theory of truth 53–4, 55,  
61–2
- and construction metaphor for  
consulting 72–3
- consulting as dialectical practice  
44–58
- consulting as dialectical practice,  
history of 44–6
- critical theory and emancipation  
75–6
- deliberative rhetorical genre 49, 50
- demonstrative rhetorical genre 49,  
50
- dialectic and relativism 62–4, 65
- enriched Toulmin analysis 58–62,  
63, 65, 66
- epistemological requirements of  
advice analysis 66–76
- and epistemology of critical theory  
73–6
- and ethnographic approach 68–9
- explicative discourse 53
- grounds, backing of 59–62
- grounds and claim of argument  
51–2, 58–62
- illocution as speech act 57–8
- judicial rhetorical genre 50, 51
- micro-argumentation 58–9, 61–2
- and mirror metaphor 67, 68–9,  
70

- organization theory and discourse–analytical approach 65
- performative speech act 56–7
- perlocution as speech act 57
- philosophical dialectic 48
- philosophical rhetoric 47–8, 49
- policy adviser as ‘supplier of arguments’ 65–6
- policy and management sciences, rhetorical turn in 64–6
- and positivist/modernist approach 67–9, 75, 244, 246, 254
- and postmodernism, and neutrality of narrative 69–73, 75, 234, 245
- postmodernism and social construction of reality 70–71, 72
- practical discourse 53, 56
- rebuttals and exceptions 52, 217
- rhetoric and classical means of persuasion 46–7
- Road Traffic Acts offence example 60
- and scientific knowledge 44, 66, 70, 71, 254
- and social construction of reality 72, 74–5
- sophistic rhetoric 48
- theoretical discourse 53, 54, 55
- therapeutic criticism 54, 56, 61, 243
- and value assessment 67–9, 74–5, 246
- warrant backing 51, 52, 59–60, 61–2
- warrant of economic argument 51, 52
- advice, consultants’ and academics’ views on 24–42
- academic advice, dialogue to legitimize positivist approach 38–9, 244
- academic advice in economic theory 25–32
- academic advice and lack of logical gulf between means and ends 36–8
- academics as fact-finders 40–41
- alternative policies, discussion of, and value judgments 33–6, 37, 49, 246
- bounded rationality and ill-structured problems 34–6
- classical economics 28–30, 34–5, 36–8
- and clients articulating preferences 32–4, 35–6, 37, 249–50
- concept application 32–9
- and decision making influence 40–41, 65
- normative economics as a different ‘science’ 30–32
- and political differences 31–2, 49
- positivist view in economics 25–8, 31, 32–5, 36–8, 65–6, 81, 82, 234, 244
- and process consultants 39–40, 41, 86–7
- roles that fit academic adviser and consultant 39–42
- and social scientists 36
- advocative claims 81, 82, 103, 104, 105, 138, 143, 187, 259
- aesthetic criticism 54, 55–6, 57–8, 61, 243, 246, 261–2
- Aken, J. van 2, 14, 24, 41, 119, 216
- Allen, W. 14, 90, 254
- alternative policies, and value judgments 33–6, 37, 49, 246
- Alvesson, M. 2, 3, 17, 40, 41, 53, 65, 75, 90, 116, 119, 121, 213, 215, 219
- Alvey, J. 25, 29, 32–3, 38, 245, 252, 257, 258
- Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of 134–75, 211
- advocative claims 138, 143
- background 134–5
- and backing 165–7, 224–27
- claims 138, 144–5
- cost-benefit analyses of airport investments 143–8, 149, 151–5, 156, 157–64, 168, 171, 222, 249
- debate overview 135–43
- environmental effects 134–5, 136, 137, 140, 148, 150, 156–7, 158–59, 160–1, 167–8, 221, 226
- evaluative claims 138, 143, 156–7, 219, 220
- grounds 138, 150–7, 220
- grounds, evaluation of use of 156–7, 220

- hierarchy of arguments 137–41
- intermediate evaluation 174–5
- negative effects as rebuttal 149–50
- rebuttals 137–43, 144–5, 148, 149–50, 217, 219, 236–37
- reports and expressive writing 172–4
- reports selection, criteria for 136–7
- specialization degree 142–3, 216
- uncertainty effects 148–49, 217, 235
- warrants 157–64, 222–6
- welfare effects as argument 151–5, 156, 158–59, 162–4, 165–6, 167, 168, 171, 172, 226
- Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of, academic advisers
  - advice related to discipline 234–36
  - and causal warrants and theory 165, 219, 223, 253
  - conclusions more tentative 147–8, 219, 227–9
  - and consultants, and similar degree of specialization 142–3
  - and estimation of economic effects 154–5
  - focus on positive effects 142, 147, 217, 221
  - and lack of recommendations 146, 250–1
  - and methodological structure 170, 171–2, 224, 236–37, 254
  - and negative effects, lack of attention to 149–50, 221
  - and precision, importance of 162–3, 165, 167, 225–6
  - reports and expressive writing 172–3, 174
  - scientific method and citation
    - precision 165, 167, 225–26
    - and uncertainty, effects of 149, 217
    - and use of motivational arguments 161, 223
- Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of, consultants
  - and academics, and similar degree of specialization 142–3
  - advice more action oriented 143–6
  - advice, more balanced nature of 142–50
  - backing of value statements 167–8, 225
  - balanced presentation of positive and negative effects 154–5, 220
  - causal warrants and common sense 163–4, 220, 253
  - and completeness, importance of 161–2
  - conclusions more concrete 146–8, 219, 227–9
  - critical use of motivational arguments 160–1
  - and estimation of economic effects 151–4
  - and experience as backing 165–7, 225–26
  - focus on negative effects 142, 146–7
  - making reality by quantifying grounds 150–7
  - methodological structure, dislike of 169–71, 172, 223, 224, 236–37
  - and practical rebuttals 149, 217, 219, 236–7
  - preference for common sense warrants 157–64, 222
  - ‘quick and dirty’ backing 162–3, 165–8, 225–6
  - recommendations, emphasis of 169
  - report highlights, stressing of 169–74
  - and uncertainty, effects of 148
  - use of headings 172–3
  - use of tables, textboxes and bold texts 173–4
- Anderson, P. 34, 36, 37, 64, 65, 111
- Angner, E. 88, 91
- Ardon, A. 2
- argumentation, emphasis on, and professional ethos 125–32
- Argyris, C. 2, 3, 8, 12–13, 14, 77, 80, 91, 92, 98, 99, 106, 119, 123, 215, 243, 245, 255, 258
- Aristotle 11, 43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49–50, 64, 77, 95, 97, 119, 263
- Armbrüster, T. 2, 3, 24, 41, 216
- Ashford, M. 2, 22, 41, 80, 85–6
- Auerbach, A. 14
- Augier, M. 10
- Austin, J. 56, 57
- Baalen, P. van 2, 3, 9, 10, 45, 64, 83, 90, 215

- backing  
 and Amsterdam Airport 162–3, 165–8, 224–7  
 by scientific method 114–17, 233, 253–4  
 common sense, of positive statements, and consultants 118–21, 255–6  
 and electricity market liberalization 203–7, 224–7  
 of grounds and warrants, and professional ethos 79, 112–25, 233  
 and negotiated knowledge 117  
 neutral scientific, insufficiency of, and academic advisers 224–7, 234, 257  
 normative arguments and valuations 121–5, 217  
 normative statements by inter-subjective criticism and integrity 122–5  
 poor, electricity market liberalization, and academic advisers 206, 225, 246  
 ‘quick and dirty’ 161–2, 165–8, 225–6, 244–5, 249  
 and research manipulation 117–18  
 value judgments, Amsterdam Airport, and consultants 168–9, 225  
 warrants 51, 52, 59–60, 61–2  
*see also* claims; warrants
- Baldrige, D. 44, 245
- Barry, D. 65, 131
- Batelaan, M. 85
- BCG (Boston Consulting Group)  
 theory model 108, 109
- Bekman, A. 124
- Benson, G. 59, 105
- Berenschot theory model 108
- Bergeijk, P. 11, 80, 82, 97
- Berglund, J. 3, 4, 93, 120, 123, 215, 249
- Blaug, M. 28, 34, 35, 36, 78, 101, 110, 121, 195, 224, 238, 245, 255, 256, 257, 260
- Blinder, A. 27, 31
- Block, P. 80, 85, 86, 87, 98, 119, 124, 131
- Bloomfield, B. 1–2, 24, 41, 112, 216
- Boal, K. 71
- Boje, D. 65, 116
- Bolweg, J. 7, 85, 120, 123
- Boonstra, J. 86, 109, 116, 123
- Bos, R. ten 3, 77, 80, 88, 89, 106, 114, 115, 119, 120, 122, 215, 240
- bounded rationality and ill-structured problems 34–6
- Bourdieu, P. 12, 120, 255
- Braet, A. 45
- Brockriede, W. 52, 81, 94, 102, 103, 105
- Bubner, R. 45
- Buchanan, J. 36, 74
- Bullis, C. 65
- Cairncross, S. 31
- Caluwé, L. de 80, 87, 98, 102, 108, 116
- Case, P. 120
- causality  
 arguments embedded in theory, electricity market liberalization 195–6, 202–3  
 in instrumental means-end advice, and professional ethos 94, 103–4, 105, 122  
 warrants, and common sense, Amsterdam Airport 163–4, 220, 253  
 warrants and theory, Amsterdam Airport 164, 219, 223, 253
- certainty  
 importance of, and academic advisers 89–90, 91, 105, 111, 115, 117, 118, 120, 128, 252–56  
 timeliness over, value of 90–91, 99, 118–19  
*see also* uncertainty
- Cheney, G. 65
- Chia, R. 70
- Churchman, C. 36
- Cicero 46, 47
- citations  
 precision, and scientific method 165, 167, 225–6  
 use of, electricity market liberalization 204–5
- claims  
 advice or conclusions, decisions on, and professional ethos 82–8, 227–9

- advocative 81, 82, 103, 104, 105,  
 138, 143, 187, 259  
 Amsterdam Airport 138, 143, 144–5,  
 155–6, 219, 220  
 central claims in advice, economic  
 advisers 220–23  
 designative, and professional ethos  
 81, 82, 103, 105, 107  
 electricity market liberalization 165,  
 186–91, 196  
 evaluative 81, 103, 104, 105, 109,  
 110, 111, 112, 138, 143, 155–6,  
 181, 190, 219, 220  
 modality of, and professional ethos  
 82, 88–91, 217  
 positive, and professional ethos  
 115–21, 244  
 positivist, academic advice and  
 dialogue to legitimize 38–9, 244  
*see also* backing; warrants  
 Clark, T. 2, 3, 4, 17, 24, 41, 80, 85, 119,  
 263  
 Clark, W. 2, 37, 66, 111, 234  
 classical economics, advice,  
 consultants' and academics' views  
 on 28–30, 34–5, 36–8  
 Clegg, S. 4, 17  
 clients  
 and advice assignments 250–1  
 advice to potential 247–51  
 articulating preferences 32–4, 35–6,  
 37, 249–50  
 and co-production advice 249  
 consultants, and creative  
 participation with 87, 124  
 consultants, and critical interaction  
 with 87  
 and evaluative assignments 253–4  
 manipulation by, and vulnerability  
 of academic advisers 111  
 preferences 32–4, 35–6, 37, 249–50  
 and problem definition help 260  
 and research assignments 248–9,  
 250, 252  
 responsibility for advice taken,  
 electricity market liberalization  
 190  
 trust, and consultants 86–7, 90–91,  
 124–5  
*see also* advice; reports  
 clients relationship 10  
 Colander, J. 96  
 communicative action 53–6, 75, 216  
 competitive  
 disadvantage and contract research  
 5–8  
 position, and professional ethos  
 122–3  
 conclusions  
 emphasis on, academic advisers and  
 electricity market liberalization  
 204–6, 227–9  
 less emphasis on, consultants and  
 electricity market liberalization  
 204–5, 227–9  
 more concrete, consultants, and  
 Amsterdam Airport 146–8, 219,  
 227–9  
 tentative, academic advisers,  
 Amsterdam Airport 147–8, 219,  
 227–9  
*see also* reports  
 consensus theory of truth 53–4, 55,  
 61–2  
 consultants  
 academic advisers as imitators of  
 211, 242, 245, 246  
 advice, views on *see* advice,  
 consultants' and academics'  
 views on  
 'creative' 7  
 expert 86, 108, 123  
 imitated by academic advisers 211,  
 242, 245, 246  
 process consultants 39–40, 41, 86,  
 123  
 consultants and academics, rhetorical  
 differences between 1–23, 210–11  
 advice approaches, comparisons of  
 243–47  
 backings, neutral scientific,  
 insufficiency of 228–31, 234,  
 257  
 central claims in advice are  
 normative 216–9  
 claim treatment 218  
 clients *see* clients  
 and contract research 3, 5–6, 7–8,  
 11, 243–4, 260  
 'creative' consultants 7

- economic advice, disciplines related to 8–11
- economics definition 10
- and economics subdisciplines 10–11
- ethos and practice differences 213, 214–15, 229–33, 243–47, 252, 254–5
- and experience-based knowledge 18
- external validity 233–7
- findings, rigor and relevance of 13–15, 243
- grounds, normative, and economic advice 219–21
- oral communication, importance of 212
- performance comparisons 216, 219
- professional practice, preliminary expectations of 15–16
- pure academics 7, 9, 24
- research argument outline 21–3
- research design 18–21
- research design, strengths and limitations 212–13
- research design and triangulation 19, 210, 254–5
- research results overview 214–15
- rhetorical analysis, benefits of 16–18
- shared market 6–7
- specialization, similar degrees of 215–16
- theoretical and practical knowledge 11–13
- timeliness of findings 14, 17
- consultants and academics, rhetorical differences between, academic advisers
  - academic adaptations 215
  - academic advice as deliberative practice 252
  - advice, academic conceptualization of 211
  - advice to 255–63
  - competitive disadvantage and contract research 5–8
  - criticism of 2–3, 14, 33, 38–9, 41–2, 64, 107, 109
  - and explicit advice, need for 262–3, 260
  - hypocrisy 238–9, 256–9
  - as imitators of consultants 211, 242, 245, 246
  - lower market value of 242–3
  - and normative arguments 246, 256–8
  - positive claims and margin of error 252–3
  - qualitative research, more use of 254–5
  - and theoretical knowledge 1–2, 3, 15–16
- consultants and academics, rhetorical differences between, consultants and academic ‘hybrids’ 7–8, 54
- consulting process, actors involved in 9
- criticism of 1–2, 3–4, 14, 41–2, 74–5, 107, 109, 117, 122, 219, 245, 255–6
- market success of 7, 216–17, 216, 237–41
- ‘quick and dirty’ approach 244–5, 249
- consulting, as dialectical practice 44–58
- contract research 3, 5–6, 7–8, 11, 239–40, 260
- Cordes, J. 3, 4, 36, 65
- cost-benefit analyses
  - Amsterdam Airport 143–8, 149, 151–5, 156, 157–64, 168, 171, 222, 249
  - electricity market liberalization 187, 193–4, 199–200, 224, 249, 261
- cost-cutting, and professional ethos 81, 94, 95, 105
- Coughlin, P. 36
- critical theory, epistemology of 73–6
- criticism
  - of academic advisers 2–3, 14, 33, 38–9, 41–2, 64, 107, 109
  - of consultants 1–2, 3–4, 14, 41–2, 74–5, 107, 109, 117, 122, 219, 245, 255–6
- Cuban missile crisis and decision making 37
- Cullis, J. 36, 234
- Cummings, T. 87, 102
- Czarniawska, B. 2, 65, 70, 72–3, 74, 100–101, 210, 240

- Daimler Benz, strategic decision making 65
- Dalen, H. van 2, 65, 83, 84, 95, 96, 251
- Danieli, A. 2, 24, 41, 112, 216
- Deetz, S. 75
- dialectic, and relativism 62–4, 65
- DiSanza, J. 65
- Donaldson, L. 70
- Dryzek, J. 68, 76
- Duberley, J. 67, 68, 105
- Dunn, W. 52, 66
- economic
- effects, estimation of, Amsterdam Airport 151–5
  - models, technical sources as, electricity market liberalization 202, 225–6, 236–7
  - models for estimation, electricity market liberalization 195–7
  - research, differing views on 115–17
  - values, motives and criteria, use of, electricity market liberalization 195–6
- economic advice theory
- and backing of local claims 262–3
  - development of 260–3
  - disciplines related to 8–11
  - discursive and deliberative qualities of advice 263
  - and local normative considerations 261–2
  - and positive statements, character of 262
  - social character of advice 261
  - and theoretical knowledge 262–3
- economist, traditional, and consultant, differences between 81–2, 84
- Eekelen, I. van 98
- Eemeren, F. van 16, 48, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 78, 102, 104
- Eeten, M. van 66
- Ehninger, D. 52, 81, 94, 102, 103, 105
- Eichenberger, R. 2, 10, 11, 24, 28, 82, 83, 97, 107, 116, 239, 247
- Eisenhardt, K. 19, 116, 254
- Eizenstat, S. 27
- electricity market liberalization, advice on 51, 52, 174–209, 215
- advocative claims 187
  - arguments' overview 178–86, 216
  - background 177–8
  - backing 200–4, 224–7
  - claims 181, 186–91, 196
  - cost-benefit analyses 187, 193–4, 199–200, 224, 249, 261
  - employment effects 192–4
  - environmental effects 188–189, 192, 195, 197
  - and ethos and practice gap 229–3
  - evaluative claims 181, 190
  - grounds 180–81, 186, 192–5, 196, 220
  - intermediate evaluation 209–10
  - positive and negative effects of liberalization 181–6, 194
  - quantification levels 192–5
  - rebuttals 180–6, 186, 187, 188–89, 191, 196, 233–4
  - reports selection, criteria for 178–79
  - reports, structure and presentation of 204–6
  - specialization degree 181–6, 216
  - statistics, calculation and economic models as sources 202
  - tables, textboxes and bold texts, use of 208
  - theory use 204–9
  - uncertainties, dealing with 187, 189, 190, 217, 251
  - warrants 195–200, 226–8
  - and welfare effects 180, 181, 187, 189, 190, 192–4, 197, 198, 203
- electricity market liberalization, advice on, academic advisers
- academic conclusions, lack of neutrality in 186–91, 217–18, 227–9
  - advice related to discipline 234–6
  - causal arguments embedded in theory 195–6, 199–200
  - and citations, use of 201–3
  - conclusions, emphasis on 204–6, 227–9
  - details and main points, lack of differentiation between 207
  - economic models for estimation 192–4
  - headings, use of 207–8
  - if-then advice, lack of 187, 217, 219

- laws and policy criteria, use of 199
- motivational arguments as non-controversial 196–199, 223
- motivational warrants, use of 195–200
- positive effects of liberalization, argument for 181–6, 187, 194–5, 217
- and practical rebuttals 191, 233–4
- process structure 209
- specialized arguments, no use of more 181–9
- technical sources as economic models 202, 225–6, 236–7
- and theory use 204–9, 221
- uncertainties and limitations, focus on 187, 189, 190, 217, 251
- value statements, poor backing for 203, 229, 246
- electricity market liberalization, advice on, consultants
- causal arguments and pragmatism 200
- and citations, use of 201–2
- and clients' responsibility for advice taken 190
- conclusions, less emphasis on 204–5, 227–9
- economic values, motives and criteria, use of 195–6
- and estimation use 192
- experience-based sources, use of 202–3, 225–6
- headings, use of 207
- lack of theory detail 207
- laws and policy criteria, use of 199
- and methodological issues 187, 236–7
- and mistakes, acceptance of making 190
- motivational arguments, use of fewer 196, 197–198, 199–200
- negative effects of liberalization, argument for 181–6, 188
- and practical rebuttals 191, 236–7
- uncertainties, dealing with 190
- Elmes, M. 65, 130
- Engwall, L. 3, 4, 8
- environmental effects
- Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of 134–5, 136, 137, 139, 140, 147, 149, 155–6, 158–59, 160–1, 167–8, 221, 226
- electricity market liberalization 188–89, 192, 195, 197
- Eppink, D. 108
- Ernst, B. 4
- estimation, use of, electricity market liberalization 192
- ethos
- and practice differences 213, 214–15, 229–33, 243–47, 252, 254–5
- professional *see* professional ethos
- and espoused theory of advice argumentation
- evaluative claims 81, 103, 111, 138, 143, 156–7, 181, 190, 219, 220
- experience-based sources, use of 165–7, 202–3, 225–26
- Failhurst, G. 48, 65
- Faust, M. 3
- Feltmann, E. 7, 86, 87, 88, 108, 120
- Ferraro, F. 38
- Feyerabend, P. 69
- Fincham, R. 1, 3, 4, 80, 89, 123, 210
- findings, timeliness of 14, 17
- Fisher, F. 52, 66, 76, 260
- Frey, B. 2, 10, 11, 20, 24, 28, 82, 83, 97, 107, 116, 239, 247
- Friedman, M. 3, 25, 30–31, 32, 36, 115, 116, 117, 254
- Friga, P. 87, 90, 98, 99, 108, 109, 112, 118, 119, 128, 130, 243
- Frissen, P. 111, 117–18, 238, 239
- Fuchs, V. 36
- future research
- academic advisers and ethos and practice clashes 217, 218–19, 229–33
- academic advisers' hypocrisy 228–9
- oral communication by consultants 212–13
- Germany, Daimler Benz strategic decision making 65
- Gill, A. 48
- Goodwin, C. 2
- Greatbatch, D. 119
- Greiner, L. 40, 80, 98, 99, 123, 131



- Grootendorst, R. 16, 78, 103, 104  
 grounds  
   Amsterdam Airport 138, 150–7,  
   220  
   backing of, and advice analysis and  
   rhetoric 59–62  
   and claim of argument 51–2, 58–62  
   electricity market liberalization  
   180–1, 186, 192–5, 196, 220  
   evaluation of use of, Amsterdam  
   Airport 155–6, 220  
   making reality by quantifying,  
   Amsterdam Airport 149–56  
   normative, and economic advice  
   219–21  
   supporting, and positive claims  
   95–100, 244  
   supporting, and professional ethos  
   79, 94–102
- Gulati, R. 14
- Haas, P. 27, 77
- Habermas, J. 12, 43, 52, 53–5, 57, 61,  
 62, 69, 74, 75, 76, 78, 102, 113,  
 119, 120, 123, 124, 125, 240, 243,  
 246, 256
- Hajer, M. 66
- Hamilton, L. 33, 36
- Hattersley, M. 64
- Hausman, D. 36, 257
- Hegel, G. 45, 48, 63
- Heilbronner, R. 116
- Heine, K. 27
- Hennipman, P. 22, 30, 110, 252, 258
- Hoebeke, L. 87, 120
- Hoesel, P. van 6, 82, 84
- Hoppe, R. 59, 66
- Houtlosser, P. 48
- Houwelingen, G. van 38
- Huczynski, A. 131
- Huff, A. 65
- ‘hybrids’, consultant and academic  
 7–8, 54
- hypocrisy, of academic advisers 242–3,  
 256–9
- ‘if-then’ advice 80, 81, 82, 94–5, 111,  
 122, 187, 217, 219, 233, 248, 250
- interviews 18, 59, 99, 115, 118, 120,  
 166, 167, 201, 204, 253–8
- Jackall, R. 64, 85
- Jacquemin, A. 106, 107
- Jenkins-Smith, H. 66
- Johansson, A. 2, 3, 40, 41, 89, 116, 121,  
 215, 219
- Johnson, G. 108, 111
- Johnson, P. 67, 68, 105
- Jones, P. 36, 234
- Kärreman, D. 65, 213
- Keizer, J. 116
- Kennedy, G. 47, 48, 50, 263
- Keuning, D. 108
- Keynes, J. N. 29
- Khurana, R. 8, 14, 15
- Kieser, A. 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 64, 83, 90, 106,  
 114, 131, 211, 215
- Kipping, M. 3, 6
- Kirzner, I. 28, 37, 111
- Klamer, A. 2, 4, 18, 24, 28, 33, 36, 47,  
 64–5, 83, 95, 96, 122, 243, 251,  
 260
- Kloos, P. 68
- knowledge  
   appreciation, and consultants 119,  
   120, 128–9  
   eclectic 100  
   experience-based 18  
   negotiated, and backing 117  
   theoretical, and academic advisers  
   1–2, 3, 15–16  
   theoretical and practical 11–13  
   using useful, and independence,  
   academic advisers 82–5, 88,  
   101–2, 110–11, 234, 245
- Köbben, A. 81, 84, 85, 111, 250
- Kolb, D. 65
- Koning, J. de 6
- Krugman, P. 15
- Kubr, M. 39, 41, 80, 83, 89, 97, 98,  
 101, 119, 123, 127, 128, 131, 245
- Kuhn, T. 70–71, 72
- Lane, R. 34
- Latour, B. 70, 71, 72, 96
- laws and policy criteria, use of,  
   electricity market liberalization  
   199
- Leeman, A. 45
- Leeuw, F. 83, 84

- Lippitt, G. and R. 40, 41  
 literature review, and professional  
 ethos 79–80, 127, 233–7
- Luijk, H. van 85, 86, 87, 90, 93, 124,  
 220, 256
- Lytard, J. 43, 53, 69, 70, 245
- McCloskey, D. 16–17, 64, 65, 66, 67–8,  
 84, 115–16, 261
- McKenna, C. 3, 15
- McKinsey 40, 90, 98, 99, 108, 109, 112,  
 129, 131, 167  
 7S theory model 108
- McPherson, M. 36, 257
- MacRae, D. 58, 62–3
- Maister, D. 80, 86
- Majone, G. 2, 25, 33, 37, 65–6, 100,  
 106, 108, 111, 234, 253, 256, 260
- Mandel, M. 28, 36, 234
- March, J. 34, 35, 36, 260
- market  
 commercial attitude of consultants  
 84  
 success of consultants 7, 212–13,  
 216, 237–41  
 value, lower, of academic advisers  
 242–3
- Marshall, A. 28, 29, 258
- Mason, R. 34, 36, 61, 63, 64, 65, 87,  
 100, 260, 261
- Mause, K. 27
- Mazza, C. 2, 210
- means-end advice 36–8, 94, 103–4, 105,  
 122
- methodology  
 issues, electricity market  
 liberalization, and consultants  
 187, 236–7  
 structure, consultants' dislike of,  
 Amsterdam Airport 169–71,  
 172, 223, 224, 236–7  
 structure, economic advisers,  
 Amsterdam Airport 170, 171–2,  
 224, 236–7, 254
- Metzger, R. 98, 123, 131
- Mill, J.S. 28, 29
- Minto, B. 64, 102, 111, 112, 127, 128,  
 129–31, 169
- mirror metaphor, and advice analysis  
 and rhetoric 67, 68–9, 70
- mistakes, acceptance of making, and  
 electricity market liberalization,  
 and consultants 190
- Mitroff, I. 34, 61, 63, 64, 65, 260
- Morgan, G. 65, 70, 107, 116, 120
- motivational arguments  
 Amsterdam Airport 160–61, 223  
 as non-controversial, academic  
 advisers and electricity market  
 liberalization 196–199, 223  
 use of fewer, consultants and  
 electricity market liberalization  
 196, 197–198, 199–200
- motivational warrants  
 avoidance of, by academic advisers  
 110–11  
 and professional ethos 105, 110–12
- Nees, D. 40, 99
- negative effects  
 balanced presentation of,  
 consultants, and Amsterdam  
 Airport 156–7, 220  
 of electricity market liberalization,  
 consultants' argument for  
 181–9, 188, 194  
 lack of attention to, economic  
 advisers, and Amsterdam  
 Airport 150–51, 221
- Nelson, R. 25, 27, 28, 36, 64
- Netherlands  
 academic and consultant 'hybrids'  
 8  
 Amsterdam Airport *see* Amsterdam  
 Airport, advice on further  
 growth of  
 contract research 5, 6  
 economic assignment consultants  
 6  
 electricity market liberalization *see*  
 electricity market liberalization,  
 advice on  
 ivory tower research, criticism of  
 84, 106
- Neutelings, R. 59, 105
- Nordhaus, W. 34
- normative arguments  
 and academic advisers 246, 256–8  
 and valuations, backing of 121–5,  
 217

- Obrechts-Tyteca, L. 46, 64
- oral communication, importance of 212
- oral sources *see* interviews
- organization theory and discourse-analytical approach 65
- Otto, M. 54, 55
- parallel cases, and professional ethos 104, 105, 108
- Peacock, A. 2, 28, 32–3, 36, 83, 101, 122, 131, 238, 239, 252, 256, 260
- Pellegrinelli, S. 40, 41, 110, 248
- Perelman, C. 46, 63, 64, 105
- personal reputation, and academic advisers 84, 96
- Peters, T. 108
- Peterse, A. 59, 66
- Petrey, S. 57
- Pinault, L. 2, 85
- Plato 44–5, 48
- Ploeg, R. van der 33, 97
- political differences 31–2, 49
- Polyani, M. 12, 13
- Popper, K. 16, 67, 68
- Porter, R. 27, 109
- Portes, R. 106, 107
- positive claims
  - and margin of error, academic advisers 252–3
  - and professional ethos 115–21, 244
  - and supporting grounds 95–100, 244
- positive effects
  - balanced presentation of, consultants, and Amsterdam Airport 155–6, 220
  - focus on, Amsterdam Airport 143, 148, 217, 221
  - of liberalization, electricity market liberalization and academic advisers 181–6, 187, 194–5, 217
- positivist claims
  - academic advice and dialogue to legitimize 38–9, 244
  - see also* ‘if-then’ advice
- positivist/modernist approach, and advice analysis and rhetoric 67–9, 75, 244, 246, 254
- postmodernism
  - and neutrality of narrative 69–73, 75, 234, 245
  - and social construction of reality 70–71, 72
- precision, importance of, academic advisers, and Amsterdam Airport 162–3, 165, 167, 225–6
- professional ethos and espoused theory of advice argumentation 77–133, 229–33
- action research and academic advice 83
- advocative claim 81, 82, 103, 104, 105, 259
- authoritative warrants 104
- backings of grounds and warrants 79, 113–25, 233
- causality in instrumental means–end advice 94, 103–4, 105, 122
- central claim 78, 81–94
- claims advice or conclusions, decisions on 82–8, 227–9
- and commercial attitude of consultants 84
- and competitive position 122–3
- conclusions, emphasis on 231–3
- and cost-cutting 81, 94, 95, 105
- designative claim 81, 82, 103, 106, 107–8
- economic research, differing views on 115–17
- emphasis in argumentation 125–32
- evaluative claim 81, 103, 104, 105, 110, 112, 223
- expert consultants 86, 109, 123–4
- grounds, supporting 79, 94–102
- literature review 80–81, 127, 233–7
- and modality of claims 82, 88–91, 217
- motivational warrants 105, 110–12
- negotiated knowledge and backing 117
- normative arguments and valuations, backings of 121–5
- normative statements and personal valuations and supporting grounds 101–2
- parallel cases 104, 106, 108–9
- positive arguments in economic advice 115–21, 244

- positive or theoretical statements and supporting grounds 95–100, 244
- presentation 79
- process consultants 86–7, 123
- pyramid principle of report writing 129, 131
- questionnaires, perceived failings of 115
- rebuttals, dealing with 91–5, 221, 222–3, 258
- research manipulation 117–18 and specialization, degree of 83, 96–8
- substantive warrants 103–4
- supporting warrants 79, 103–12
- traditional economist and consultant, differences between 81–2, 84
- warrants 79, 103–12, 233
- warrants, normative, and economic advice 222–4
- warrants supporting positive claims in advice 106–10, 244
- professional ethos and espoused theory of advice argumentation, academic advisers and avoidance of motivational warrants 110–11
- backing by scientific method 115–18, 233, 253–4
- emphasis of analysis 127–8
- and ‘if-then’ advice 80, 81, 82, 94–5, 111, 122, 187, 217, 219, 230, 245
- and importance of certainty 89–90, 91, 105, 111, 115, 117, 118, 120, 128, 252–6
- lack of explicit valuation 101–2, 111 and lack of recommendations 127
- and normative statements, lack of method of backing 122–3, 217
- and personal reputation 84, 96
- positive grounds in 96–8, 244
- and theoretical rebuttals 91–2, 94, 101–2, 218–9
- and theoretical rigor, problems with 92, 97, 111, 243
- theory-based warrants of 106–8, 233
- using useful knowledge and independence 82–5, 88, 101–2, 110–11, 234, 245
- vulnerability of, and manipulation by clients 111
- professional ethos and espoused theory of advice argumentation, consultants and advice reports 126–7, 128–32
- backing normative statements by inter-subjective criticism and integrity 123–5
- and client trust 86–7, 90–91, 124–5
- common sense backing of positive statements 118–21, 255–6
- and creative participation with client 87, 124
- and critical interaction with client 87–8
- and eclectic knowledge 100
- eye for particular and dealing with rebuttals 92–4, 110, 218–9
- and fact generation 99–100
- involved and explicit approach 85–8
- and knowledge appreciation 119, 120, 128–9
- as minimal users of theory 108, 109–10
- positive grounds 98–100
- recommendations presented first in report 128–32
- and strategies to prevent flawed advice 119
- theory models 108, 109
- use of common sense 120–21
- and use of norms, values and motives as warrants 112
- and use of positive warrants 108–10
- valuation examples 102
- and value of timeliness over certainty 90–91, 99, 118–19
- and writing skills 131–2
- Pröpper, I. 96–7
- Putnam, L. 48, 65
- ‘quick and dirty’ backing 161, 164–8, 225–6, 244–5, 249
- Quintilianus 45, 46

- Rasiel, E. 87, 90, 98, 99, 108, 109, 112, 118, 119, 128, 130, 243
- rebuttals  
 Amsterdam Airport 137–43, 145–6, 149, 150–51, 217, 219, 236–7  
 dealing with, and professional ethos 91–5, 217, 218–19, 258  
 electricity market liberalization 181–7, 181, 186, 187, 188–89, 191, 196, 236–7  
 and exceptions 52, 217  
 and eye for particular, consultants 92–4, 110, 218–19  
 negative effects as, Amsterdam Airport 150–51  
 practical, consultants, and Amsterdam Airport 150, 217, 219, 236–7  
 practical, electricity market liberalization 191, 236–7  
 and professional ethos 91–5, 217, 218–19, 258  
 theoretical, and academic advisers 91–2, 94, 101–2, 218–19
- recommendations  
 emphasis of, consultants 170  
 lack of, and academic advisers 127, 147, 250–51  
 presented first, consultants 128–32
- reports  
 conclusions *see* conclusions  
 and expressive writing 172–4  
 headings, use of 172–3, 210–11  
 highlights, stressing 169–74  
 pyramid principle of writing 129, 130, 131  
 recommendations presented first, consultants 128–32  
 selection, criteria for, Amsterdam Airport 136–7  
 statistics, calculation and economic models as sources 202  
 tables, textboxes and bold texts 173–4  
 tables, textboxes and bold texts, use of 208  
 writing skills 131–2, 172–4  
*see also* advice; clients
- research manipulation and backing 117–18
- rhetoric  
 and advice analysis *see* advice analysis and rhetoric  
 advice as rhetorical genre 49–50  
 and classical means of persuasion 46–7  
 consultants and academics, differences between *see* consultants and academics, rhetorical differences between genres 49, 50, 51  
 philosophical 47–8, 49  
 and policy and management sciences 64–6  
 rhetorical analysis, benefits of 16–18
- Rieke, R. 59, 103–4, 105
- Rivlin, A. 28, 36, 234, 246
- Road Traffic Acts offence example 60
- Robbins, L. 22, 25–6, 27–8, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 41, 65, 68, 110, 144, 187, 252, 258, 260
- Roe, E. 66
- Rorty, R. 67, 68–9
- Rude, C. 112
- Rynes, S. 14, 15
- Sabatier, P. 66
- Sahlin-Andersson, K. 4
- Salaman, G. 2, 3–4, 13, 21, 24, 41, 210, 211, 215, 263
- Samuelson, P. 34
- Schein, E. 33, 39, 40, 41, 46, 80, 85, 86, 87, 98, 122–3, 249, 260
- Schellens, P. 59, 105
- Schischkoff, G. 45
- Schmidt, H. 45
- Scholes, K. 108, 112
- Schön, D. 12, 13, 80, 89, 92, 93, 95, 97, 99, 118, 119, 123, 245, 255, 258
- scientific  
 knowledge, and advice analysis and rhetoric 44, 66, 70, 71, 254  
 method, and citation precision 165, 167, 225–6
- Scott-Morgan, P. 122
- Searle, J. 56, 57, 58
- Shapiro, D. 14
- Sillars, M. 59, 103–4, 106
- Simon, H. 34, 35, 36, 260
- Sivula, P. 259

- Sköldberg, K. 53  
 Skovgaard-Smith, I. 98, 125, 212  
 Smith, A. 28, 31, 32, 46  
 social construction of reality 72, 74–5  
 Socrates 44, 45, 104  
 Sonnaville, H. de 2  
 Sophists 44–5, 48  
 specialization  
   and professional ethos 83, 96–8  
   degree of, Amsterdam Airport 142–3, 216  
   degree of, electricity market liberalization 181–9, 216  
   and professional ethos 83, 96–8  
   similar degrees of 215–16  
 speech act theory 56–8, 73  
 Stiglitz, J. 27, 34, 41, 77, 82, 88, 91, 190, 221, 260  
 Sturdy, A. 4, 89–90  
 Sweden, academic and consultant ‘hybrids’ 8  
  
 Taket, A. 72, 73  
 ten Have, S. 108  
 Theeuwes, J. 65, 116, 262  
 theory use  
   electricity market liberalization, and academic advisers 204–9, 221  
   theoretical rigor, problems with, and academic advisers 91–2, 97, 111, 243  
 therapeutic criticism 54, 56, 61, 243  
 Thomas, K. 13–14, 31, 90, 110  
 Thompson, J. 34, 35, 36, 260  
 Thornton, R. 2  
 timeliness over certainty, value of 89–91, 99, 118–19  
 Tinbergen, J. 25, 26–7, 31, 32, 34, 36, 41, 65, 68, 80, 94, 110, 144, 187, 260  
 Toulmin, S. 12, 17, 43, 46, 50–52, 53, 55, 64, 66, 69, 71–2, 75, 76, 78–9, 112, 138, 142, 151, 157, 177, 186, 244, 261  
   enriched analysis 58–62, 63, 65, 66  
 Tromp, H. 80, 84, 111, 250  
 Tsang, E. 14  
 Twijnstra, A. 5, 89, 98, 107, 108, 111, 127, 128  
 Tymon, W. 13–14, 31, 89, 109  
  
 uncertainty  
   dealing with, electricity market liberalization 187, 189, 190, 217, 251  
   effects, Amsterdam Airport 149–50, 217, 235  
   *see also* certainty  
 US  
   academic and consultant ‘hybrids’ 8  
   management training and consultants 14  
  
 value judgments  
   and advice analysis and rhetoric 67–9, 74–5, 246  
   and alternative policies, discussion of 33–6, 37, 49, 246  
   backing of, consultants, and Amsterdam Airport 167–8, 225  
   poor backing for, electricity market liberalization, and academic advisers 203, 225, 246  
 Veld, R. in ‘t 80, 81, 83, 84, 96, 99, 110, 111, 117, 122, 238, 245  
 Verhey, A. 83, 84, 97, 100, 111, 117, 122, 238, 245  
 Vermaak, H. 124  
 Vico, G. 45  
 Visscher, K. 7  
  
 Wagenaar, H. 66  
 Ward, J. 2  
 warrants  
   Amsterdam Airport 156–64, 219, 220, 222–4, 253  
   authoritative, and professional ethos 104  
   backing 51, 52, 59–60, 61–2  
   causal 163–4, 219, 220, 223, 253  
   common sense, preference for, consultants and Amsterdam Airport 156–64, 222  
   electricity market liberalization 195–200, 222–4  
   motivational 105, 109–11, 195–200  
   normative, and economic advice 222–4  
   and professional ethos 79, 103–12, 233

- substantive, and professional ethos 103–4
- supporting 79, 103–12, 106–10, 244
- theory-based, and academic advisers 106–8, 233
- use of norms, values and motives as 112
- use of positive 108–10
- see also* backing; claims
- Waterman, R. 108
- Weggeman, M. 3, 8, 9, 10, 64, 89, 91, 96, 99, 106, 109, 114, 116, 123, 131–2, 215, 239
- Weinberg, A. 12, 25, 27, 37, 111, 253, 260, 261
- Weinstein, M. 28, 36, 234
- welfare effects as argument, Amsterdam Airport 152–6, 157, 158–59, 162–4, 165–6, 167, 168, 171, 172, 226
- Werder, A. 58, 60, 64, 65, 138, 180, 261
- Werr, A. 3, 4, 94, 120, 124, 219, 249
- Whedbee, K. 48
- White, L. 72, 73
- Witteveen, A. 80, 87, 102, 107–8
- Woolgar, S. 70, 71, 72, 96
- Worley, C. 87, 102
- Yin, R. 19, 255

