

Index

- Abbot, AF 207
abuse of market dominance *see under*
market
abuse of regulatory procedure 190–91
Acheson, Dean 261–2
Africa 250
Common Market for Eastern and
Southern Africa *see* COMESA
West Africa Economic and
Monetary Union *see* WAEMU
Akerlof, Professor GA 17
ALCOA (1945) 244–5
Algeria 265
competition rules 268–9
American Antitrust Institute (AAI)
84, 279–94
AAI and international competition
NGOs 293–4
about the AAI 280–83
competition advocacy NGO
279–80, 290–91
foundations 280–81
funding 282, 284, 290–93
role and activities 281–3, 284,
288, 290–91, 293
structure 282–3, 289–90
need for similar NGOs *see* role of
NGOs in the development of
competition law
American Banana (1909) 243–4
American Bar Association 290
Antitrust Section 281, 288
Anti Monopoly Law *see under*
competition law control of SOEs
and government in China
antitrust
United States *see under* United
States (US)
see also competition
Antitrust Modernization Commission,
US 142, 195
and American Antitrust Institute
281–2
Ariely, Dan 17, 20
Armenia 265
competition rules 269–70
Asher, Alan 288
Asian Development Bank 292
Aspen (1985) 26
AstraZeneca (2010) 190–91, 227
Australia
cartels *see* Australia's
criminalization of cartels
DPP 155–8, 165–8, 170
government and state-owned
enterprises 143–4
Australian Competition and Consumer
Commission 150–52, 154–8, 162,
165–8, 170–71
Australian Law Reform Commission
155, 173
Australian Securities and Investments
Commission 165
Australia's criminalization of cartels
148–73
conclusion 168–73
criminalization of serious cartel
conduct 148–9
enforcement bifurcation and
excessive discretion 164–8
enforcement agency roles and
relationship 165–6
enforcement decision-making
167–8
legislative overreach and undue
complexity 159–64
legislative style 162–4
legislative substance 159–62
new cartel regime 150–53
civil prohibitions on cartel
conduct 150–51
dual civil/criminal regime 152

- enforcement by agencies 152–3
- exemptions or immunity 151–2, 160–61
- individuals and corporations, liability of 153
- introduction of cartel offences 151
- jurisdiction to hear cases 153
- Azerbaijan 265
- competition rules 270–71
- Barcelona Process 264, 269, 271, 272, 275, 276
- Bates v State Bar of Arizona* (1977) 27
- behavioural economics
 - approach of behavioural economics 6–7
 - behavioural experiments 7–10, 17–18, 19
 - wider implications of 19
 - individuals not always pursuing self-interest 7–9, 10
 - when financial rewards/penalties decrease motivation 17–18
 - social/ethical/moral norms promoting desirable conduct 20
- behavioural economics on competition policy, implications of 3–20
- and Chicago School 3–6, 15
- do people actually behave like self-interested rational agents? 6–10
- should self-interested behaviour be the desired norm? 10–15
- what are the risks if the government promotes self-interested behaviour? 15–20
- Belarus 265
 - competition rules 271
- Boletín Latinoamericano de Competencia* 279–80
- Bowen, Christopher 156–7
- Bowles, Samuel 8
- Bowman, Ward 71
- Brazil 287
- Breyer, Justice 64, 73, 79, 82
- Broadcast Music, Incorporated* (1979) 26
- bundling *see under* related markets under EU competition law
- Business Electronics* (1988) 62
- Canada 165
- Caribbean region
 - CARICOM 251, 253
 - Organisation of Eastern Caribbean States 253
- cartels 82, 91, 128
 - in Australia *see* Australia's criminalization of cartels
 - costs of proving existence of international cartels 241
 - criminal sanctions for serious conduct 148, 168
 - design and enforcement of criminal cartel regimes 171–3
 - effects of cartels 284–5
 - Egypt 272
 - in Europe, historically 261–2
 - extra-territorial application of competition law 243–4
 - joint enforcement against international cartels increasing welfare 249
 - and market power 71–3
 - sanctions disproportional to benefits 241–2
 - standard-setting 180
 - single and continuous infringement and complex cartels 191
 - unilateral enforcement creating under-deterrence of international cartels 249–50
 - vitamins cartel 240, 254
 - and WTO agreement 247–8
 - see also* international antitrust solutions
- Chicago School 3–6, 280–81
 - basis of theories 6
 - capture of antitrust 280–81
 - economic approach under attack 4–5
 - and resale price maintenance 62
 - self-interested rational agents 4, 6–10, 15
 - testing Chicago School assumptions 7–8

- China *see* competition law control of SOEs and government in China
- coercion *see under* related markets under EU competition law
- Colgate* (1919) 62, 87–8
- Comanor, William 79
- Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA) 251
- companies
- drug companies and settlement agreements *see* three statutory regimes at impasse
 - high performing 19–20
 - competition 51–2
 - in China *see* competition law control of SOEs and government in China
 - competition law
 - and choice *see* consumer choice as the best way to recenter competition law
 - and consumer law *see* competition and consumer protection law together
 - enforcement *see* enforcement
 - EU law *see* related markets under EU competition law
 - harmonization *see* harmonization
 - innovation *see* innovation
 - markets *see* markets
 - NGOs' role in *see* role of NGOs in the development of competition law
 - as part of consumer law *see* competition law as part of consumer law
 - patent ambushes *see under* patent ambush strategies and Article 102 TFEU
 - prices *see* prices
 - technology pools *see under* industrial standards and technology pools
 - competition law purposes 21–2, 34–5, 39
 - economic efficiency 21, 34
 - promoting small businesses 21
 - protecting consumers' property from market power theft 22
 - competition policy
 - concept of competition *see under* competition law as part of consumer law
 - drivers of behaviour 20
 - empirical failings 20
 - goal to eliminate practices that artificially restrict choices 24
 - and the public 285
 - intellectual property in competition *see* intellectual property in competition
 - and internationalization of trade *see* international antitrust solutions
 - related markets in the EU *see* related markets under EU competition law
 - state action doctrine 42
 - competition and consumer protection law together 36–45
 - other administrative benefits of the choice model 44–5
 - choice underlying anti-trust and consumer protection law 36
 - how does consumer protection law relate to competition law? 39–40
 - what is consumer protection law? 37–9
 - aim of consumer protection enforcement 37, 38–9
 - unified theory of choice will help to bring better cases 40–42
 - unified theory of choice will help to design better remedies 43–4
 - competition law control of SOEs and government in China 122–47
 - abuse of administrative powers: 'administrative monopoly' 136–8
 - and enforcement 139–40, 147
 - application of the Anti Monopoly Law 128–9
 - cases under the Anti Monopoly Law involving SOEs and government 145–6
 - settlement outside the courtroom 146

- enforcement 138–40, 146–7
 - Anti Monopoly Enforcement Authority 138–9
 - appeals 139
 - enforcement bodies 138–40
 - problems of administrative monopoly 139–40, 147
 - no tradition of negotiated legal outcomes 139
- lifeline and other regulated industries carve-out 130–35
- industries important to national economy or national security 130–31
- mergers and acquisitions 133–5
- state granted monopolies 132–3
- objects of the Anti Monopoly Law 127–8
 - orderly markets 128
- other jurisdictions, comparison with 140–44
 - Australia 143–4
 - European Union 141–2
 - Singapore and Hong Kong 144
 - United States 142–3
- other relevant provisions 135–6
- sanctions 140, 147
- the state and business in China 123–7
 - administrative monopoly 125
 - competition – an unknown concept 126
 - economic reform 123–4
 - ‘excessive’ competition 126–7, 128
 - importance of personal relationships 126
 - systemic legal problems 125
- competition law as part of consumer law 46–55
 - concept of choice 54–5
 - concept of competition 46–9
 - both ways – upwards and downwards 48–9
 - competition as a process 49
 - economic chain 47–8
- concept of consumer 50–54
 - competition law 51–2, 53, 54
 - consumer law 50–51, 53
 - political stake 53–4
- consumer choice as the best way to recenter competition law 21–35
 - advantages of incorporating choice consideration 33
 - implementation issues 30–32
 - Merger Guidelines 30–32
 - purposes of competition law 21–2
 - what is the ‘consumer choice’ approach to competition law? 23–4
 - violations restricting consumer choice 23, 24
- when would consumer choice formulation make a difference? 25–30
 - choice approach compared to price approach 25
 - conduct increasing search costs/impairing decision-making ability 27–8
 - markets competing with independent product development/creativity 28–30
 - markets lacking serious price competition 25–6
- see also* competition and consumer protection law together
- Consumer Unity and Trust Society 287, 288
- consumers
 - businesses/companies as 41, 50–52
 - choice and the competitive process 46–7, 48
 - see also* competition law as part of consumer law
 - and competition law *see* consumer choice as the best way to recenter competition law
 - concept of consumer 50–54
 - competition law 51–2, 53, 54
 - consumer law 50–51, 53
 - consumer organizations 287–8, 293
 - consumer protection
 - protecting choice *see* competition and consumer protection law together
 - purposes 39
 - remedies 43–4

- consumer welfare 33, 53–4
 and monopolies 285
 and resale price maintenance 73,
 78–9, 91–4
 and standard-setting 208
 decision-making 37–8
 comparison shopping 43–4
 conduct impairing decision-
 making ability 27–8, 38
 as market failures 39, 42
 deception 38, 39, 43, 50–51
 increasing search costs 27–8
 information 50–51, 54–5
 tied products and consumer
 perception and preferences
 102–4, 120–21
 withholding information 38
 NGOs providing a voice for 286
 protection from exploitation 192
 Consumers Association, UK 287
 Cooper, J 83–4
 Court of First Instance (General
 Court) 88, 111, 118, 222
 CUTS 287, 288
- Dawson Committee 154, 173
 Deneckere, R 78
 Department of Justice, US 5, 63
 Antitrust Division 165, 195
 Section 2 Report 5
 Deringer, A 262–3
Detroit Auto Dealers (1989) 27
 developing countries
 costs of regional agreements 256
 funding 293
 increased cooperation/enforcement
 increasing domestic welfare
 249
 joint enforcement agreements
 assisting 254–5
 prohibiting cartels
 global anti-cartel policy
 interfering with industrial
 policy 259
 problem of limited enforcement
 capacity 248, 259
 and WTO rules 247–8, 259
 regulatory capture 286
 Dictator Game 9
 Douglas, Justice 70
- Dr Miles* (1911) 59, 60, 62–4, 71, 80,
 86–8
 drug companies and settlement
 agreements *see* three statutory
 regimes at impasse
- eBay* (2006) 201, 228
 effects doctrine 241, 245, 264
 EFTA 264, 268–9
 Egypt 265
 competition rules 271–2
 EnergyWatch 288
 enforcement
 in Australia *see under* Australia's
 criminalization of cartels
 in China *see under* competition law
 control of SOEs and
 government in China
 coordination between agencies
 enforcing antitrust and
 consumer protection law 44
 cost of legal action 51
 and internationalization of trade *see*
 international antitrust
 solutions
 monopoly of government 285
 private enforcement of competition
 law 286
 resale price maintenance cases 63
 resale price maintenance as contract
 enforcement mechanism 77
 unified theory of choice will help to
 bring better cases 40–42
 business corporations as
 consumers 41
 coerced purchase of a license
 41–2
 deception of standard-setting
 organisations 40–41
 unified theory of choice will help to
 design better remedies 43–4
 essential facility doctrine *see under*
 related markets under EU
 competition law
 European Coal and Steel Community
 261–2, 278
 European Commission 51–2, 88–9
 ENP 266–7
 Horizontal Cooperation Guidelines
 188

- patent ambush
 - cases 185–7, 218–23, 230
 - market dominance 188
- pay-for-delay 225–8
 - Pharma Sector Inquiry Report 225, 227
- price misuses 192
- reasonable royalty, meaning of 193
- role of competition law 263
- single and continuous infringement and complex cartels 191
- see also* patent ambush strategies and Article 102 TFEU
- tying 104, 106, 110–11, 112–13, 114–15, 117–18
- European Court of Justice (ECJ) 111, 118, 191, 193, 225–6
 - barriers to free trade 263
 - encouraging innovation 225
 - excessive prices, meaning of 193
 - meaning of dominant position 187
 - restrictive agreements 225–6
 - single and continuous infringement and complex cartels 191
- European Economic Area Agreement 264
- European Neighbourhood Policy *see under* penumbras of European Union competition law
- European Telecommunications Standards Institute 185, 230, 234, 235
- European Union (EU) 292
 - association agreements 266, 268–76
 - competition 53–4
 - abuse of dominance 44, 99, 181
 - assessing *Rambus* under EU law 218–21
 - consumer choice approach to competition law 22, 34, 99
 - consumer interests, representation of 287, 288
 - enforcement of competition law 250, 251, 253, 257
 - exploitation of licensees 179
 - external governance *see* penumbras of European Union competition law
 - extraterritoriality *see* penumbras of European Union competition law
 - interventions 53
 - patent ambush *see* patent ambush strategies and Article 102 TFEU
 - public monopolies 53
 - related markets in the EU *see* related markets under EU competition law
 - role of competition policy in EU 261–5
- consumers
 - concept of 50, 51–2
 - unfair conduct towards 181
- convergence of European and US approaches to trade regulation 44–5
- ECJ *see* European Court of Justice (ECJ)
- enlargement 264, 265
- ENP *see under* penumbras of European Union competition law
- Euro-Med Association Agreement 268–9, 271, 272, 273, 275, 276
- European Coal and Steel Community 261–2, 278
- European Commission *see* European Commission
- European Economic Area Agreement 264
- External Action Service 266
- free trade agreements 263, 265, 266
 - deep and comprehensive 270, 276–7, 277–8
- Horizontal Guidelines 231, 232
- Lisbon Treaty 266
- partnership and cooperation agreements 266, 269–70, 272
- R & D Block Exemption Regulation 232
- R & D Joint Venture Block Exemption 231
- resale price maintenance 61, 85, 88–90, 93–5
 - burden of proof 89

- de minimis* notice 93
- Guidelines on vertical restraints
 - 102, 112–13, 114–15
 - minimum and maximum (recommended) RPM 89–90
- role of competition policy in EU
 - 261–5
 - consumer choice approach to competition law 22, 34, 99
 - European Economic Area Agreement 264
 - extraterritoriality rules, adoption of 264
 - promotion of effective competition 263–4
 - single market integration 262–3, 264
 - restricting access to markets, preventing 262
- Rome Treaty 261, 263
- single market 261–3, 266
- and state-owned enterprises and Member States 141–2
- Technology Transfer Block Exemption 231
- Technology Transfer Guidelines 210–11, 227, 231, 235
- TEU 266
- TFEU *see* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
- unfair trade practices 196
- Vertical Agreements Block Exemption Regulation 90, 94, 112, 115

- Federal Trade Commission, US 4, 33
- enforcement cases 40–41, 63, 82, 281
- Franchise rule 44
- patent ambush cases 182–5
- price fixing 195
- pay-for-delay agreements 203, 206
- R-Value rule 44
- Federal Trade Commission Act (US) 38
- patent ambush 181, 182–5, 196, 218–19,
- pay-for-delay agreements 203

- Fels, Allan 154
- financial crises
 - re-examination of assumptions underlying economic policies 20
 - response to bankers' behaviour 20
 - and self-interested behaviour 16–17
 - notion that self-interested behaviour was virtuous 20
- FIPRA Group 288
- Food and Drug Administration, US 199–202
- Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (US) 199–200, 202, 206
- amended *see* Hatch-Waxman Act (US)
- France 276
- FRAND/RAND terms
 - patent ambush 178, 185, 190, 194–5, 197, 209, 217, 219, 230
 - technology pools 232–4, 235–6
- free-riding
 - punishing 7–8
 - and RPM *see under* resale price maintenance: competitive harms and benefits
- Friedman, Milton 10
- Fuchs, Andreas 221–2, 224

- General Court 88, 111, 118, 222
- Georgia 265
- competition rules 272
- and Russia 278
- Germany
 - cartels historically 261
 - German Act against Unfair Competition 196
- GlaxoSmithKline* (2009) 225
- global commerce
 - AAI's role 284
 - see also* American Antitrust Institute (AAI)
 - broadening social relationships and interdependence 19
 - competition problems *see* international antitrust solutions
- Greece 287
- Grimes, Warren 75, 88

- happiness
 - and money 11–14
 - focusing illusion 13
 - increased by giving 12
 - relative wealth 13–14, 49
 - resale price maintenance and consumer happiness 92
 - and self-interested behaviour 10–14, 17
 - sources of happiness 14–15
- Harding, C 261
- harmonization
 - ICN 247, 287
 - international cooperation and harmonization efforts 247–8, 250
 - proposals for harmonized antitrust rules 247
- Hatch-Waxman Act (US) 199–200, 201–2
- Hong Kong 144
- Howard, John 156

- IBRAC 287
- Iceland 264
- India 287
- industrial standards and technology pools 213, 230–36
 - FRAND commitments 235–6
 - innovation and technology pools 230–31
 - role of competition law, interventionist and non-interventionist 231
 - stage one: preliminary meeting 232
 - stage two: creation of the standard and selection of essential patents 233–4
 - obligation to license on FRAND terms/disclose patents 233
 - SSO standard-setting 233
 - stage three: licensing out 234–5
 - degree of market power of the standard 234
- Info-Lab/Ricoh* (1999) 106
- innovation 33
 - bringing long term consumer choices 24, 30, 32
 - competing through product development 28, 30, 199, 210
 - incentives balance approach 222–3
 - restrictive agreements encouraging innovation 225–6
 - and supra-competitive pricing 220–21, 223
 - evaluation of mergers in markets needing innovation 30–32
 - inquiry into innovation effects of mergers 31–2
 - and monopolies 285
 - protecting
 - patent law promoting competition by innovation 199, 222–3
 - protecting innovation by IPR 210
 - see also* intellectual property in competition
 - SSO discussions producing innovation gains 216–17
 - and technology pools 230–31
 - intellectual property in competition 210–29
 - ‘ideal world’ of Schumpeterian competition 210–14
 - one patent = one product assumption not true 211
 - patents as pro-competitive 210, 213
 - standardized technology 211–13
 - theory of complementarity 210–11, 213
 - tragedy of the anti-commons 213
 - patent ambush 214–24
 - assessing *Rambus* under US and EU law 218–21
 - in favour of an ‘incentives-balancing’ approach 221–3
 - looking beyond competition law 223–4
 - why does patent ambush occur? 217–18
 - why SSO standard-setting should be preferred to de facto standards 214–17
 - reverse payments for settling patent infringement cases 224–8
 - settlements among originator companies 227–8

- settlements between originator companies and generics producers 224–6
- see also* patent ambush
- Inter American Bank 292–3
- international antitrust solutions 239–60
 - enforcement issues 239
 - five international antitrust regulatory tools 243–50
 - bilateral or multilateral agreements 245–6, 250
 - cooperation, increasing 248, 249
 - domestic welfare, increasing 249–50
 - five international antitrust regulatory tools 243–50
 - global welfare, increasing 248–9, 250
 - international cooperation and harmonization efforts 247–8, 250
 - interrelation between the regulatory tools 250–51
 - problems with extra-territorial application of laws 245
 - regional agreements 246–7
 - supra-national antitrust authority, establishing 248, 249–50
 - unilateral application of domestic competition law 243–5
 - unilateral enforcement coupled with extra-territoriality 244–5, 250
- joint enforcement agreements,
 - potential benefits of 253–6
 - certainty and compatibility 255
 - common market 255
 - costs reduced 255
 - credible commitments 255–6
 - educational efforts 255
 - empowerment 254–5
 - enforcement 254
 - evidence 254
 - expertise 253–4
 - externalities 255
 - scale economies in enforcement 253–5
- levels of antitrust cooperation 240–51
 - international antitrust solutions 243–51
 - setting the stage: international antitrust challenges 240–43
 - unilateral enforcement leading to antitrust problems 240–42
 - unilateral enforcement leading to clashing remedies 242–3, 245
- regional antitrust agreements/ regional competition law agreements 247, 250, 251–7
 - costs and limitations 256–7
 - new wave of regionalism 251–2
 - potential benefits of joint enforcement agreements 253–6
 - potential to increase regulatory measures 249
 - types of regional competition law agreements 252–3
- regional competition law
 - agreements: catalysts for supra-national authority 257–9
 - aggregate bargaining power 258–9
 - agreement can be carried over to larger scale of cooperation 257–8
 - geographic proximity/similarity of trade patterns 258
 - joint authority must increase welfare of members 257
- see also* role of NGOs in the development of competition law
- International Competition Network (ICN) 251
 - harmonization work 247, 287
- International Network of Civil Society Organisations on Competition (INSOC) 288–9
- Internet 54–5
 - broadening social relationships and interdependence 19
 - and NGOs 287, 292

- retailers and resale price maintenance 68
- sources of information about quality 74–5
- Ippolito, Pauline 82–3
- Israel 265, 275
 - competition rules 272–3
- Jacobs, Advocate General 226
- Japan 126, 292
- Jordan 265
 - competition rules 273
- Joshua, J 261
- Kahneman, Daniel 7
- Khan* (1997) 62
- Klein, B 77, 79–80, 81
- Kodak* (1992) 104–5, 106
- Lafontaine, F 84
- Lebanon 265
 - competition rules 273–4
- Leegin Creative Products Inc* (2007) 59–64, 67–8, 71–5, 79, 82–4
 - Leegin* case: chief event versus a storm in a teacup 86–99
 - comments on anticompetitive arguments against minimum RPM 92–4
 - comments on procompetitive arguments on favour of minimum RPM 90–91
 - hypocrisy of the Colgate policy as hidden RPM 87–8
 - minimum and maximum (recommended) RPM 89–90
 - per se illegality versus hardcore ban 88–9
 - see also* resale price maintenance: competitive harms and benefits
- Libya 265
 - competition rules 275
- licensing fees, control of excessive 192–4
- Liechtenstein 264
- Lisbon treaty 266
- lock-in effect *see* patent ambush strategies and Article 102 TFEU
- Malaysia 246
- markets
 - abuse of market dominance 189–90
 - exclusionary abuse 190–92
 - exploitative abuse 192–4
 - superdominance 193
 - see also* patent ambush strategies and Article 102 TFEU
 - barriers to entry 193, 221
 - effective competition on markets as choice for consumers 46–7
 - financial markets *see* financial crisis
 - firms competing through independent product development and creativity 28–30
 - media businesses 28–9
 - free markets not presumed to reach satisfactory social results 53–4
 - market competition norm in advanced industrialized economies 85
 - market dominance 187–9
 - superdominance 193
 - market economy requirements 39
 - market failures 39
 - market power and cartels 71–3
 - network effects 214–15, 221, 224
 - new market entry 75–6
 - orderly markets in China 128
 - prices
 - excessive pricing 192–4, 219
 - intervention in pricing mechanism of markets 219
 - lacking serious price competition 25–6
 - market power of standards 234–5
 - related markets in the EU *see* related markets under EU competition law
- Mass Board of Optometry* (1988) 27
- McKinsey & Co 19–20
- media businesses and competition 28–9
- perspective competition 29, 31, 33
 - evaluation of mergers in markets needing perspective competition, 30–31

- Mehta, Pradeep 288
- Mencken, HL 14
- Merger Guidelines, US *see under* mergers
- mergers 249, 258
- burdensome regulatory costs of international mergers 241
 - in China 133–5
 - Merger Guidelines, US 30–32
 - choice analysis as separate explicit factor 31
 - choice considerations as implicit factor 31
 - choice considerations as tie-breaker 31
 - price competition and non-price competition 30–31
 - Merger Regulation 269
 - veto power of jurisdictions, effects of 242, 248
- Michel, ST 207
- Microsoft* (1998) 24, 240, 242
- Microsoft v Commission* (2007) 118, 193, 222–3, 242, 281
- Miller-Tydings Act (US) 62, 63, 67, 82
- Mills, John 17
- Moldava 265
- competition rules 274
- money
- inefficient mechanism to motivate 20
 - and happiness *see under* happiness
- monopolies
- charging of monopoly prices not unlawful in itself 192
 - effects of monopoly 285
 - in China *see* competition law control of SOEs and government in China
 - monopoly power and deception 181
 - natural monopoly 215
 - private monopolies 285 and privatization 285
- Monsanto* (1984) 62
- Morocco 265
- competition rules 275
- Murphy, KM 77, 81
- National Society of Professional Engineers* (1978) 27
- neo-classical economic theories *see* Chicago School
- New Zealand 170
- Policy to the 44th President, The (AAI) 282
- non-governmental advisers 287
- non-governmental organisations *see* role of NGOs in the development of competition law
- non-price competition 36, 37
- competition through quality, service and variety 25–6, 28–30, 33
- innovation *see* innovation markets competing through independent product development and creativity 28–30
- media businesses 28–9
- US Merger Guidelines *see under* mergers
- see also* consumer choice as the best way to recenter competition law
- Norway 264
- Nudge* (Sunstein and Thaler) 32
- Obama administration 5, 22, 198, 281
- Occupied Palestinian territory 265
- competition rules 275
- OECD 151, 247, 292
- cartels 241–2
 - proposals for harmonized antitrust rules 247
 - Recommendation of the Council concerning Effective Action against Hard-Core Cartels* 151
- Overstreet, Thomas 82–3
- Palestinian Authority 265, 275
- Patent Act (US) 199, 201
- patent ambush 214–24, 233
- assessing *Rambus* under US and EU law 218–21
 - avoided by de facto standardization 215
 - in favour of an ‘incentives-balancing’ approach 221–3
 - looking beyond competition law 223–4

- further reaching legislative options 224
 - protecting dynamic competition 223
- non-disclosure of IP rights in
 - standard-setting 177, 213
 - leading to high royalty claims 177–8
 - payment of high royalties to avoid severe losses/lock-in effect 178, 196
- pooling facilitated in standard-setting 213
- and reverse payments *see* patent ambush and reverse payments
- standard-setting creating 213, 214–15
- strategies *see* patent ambush strategies and Article 102 TFEU
- why does patent ambush occur? 217–18
- why standard-setting through SSOs should be preferred to de facto standards 214–17
- see also* three statutory regimes at impasse
- patent ambush strategies and Article 102 TFEU 40–41, 177–97, 209, 213
- abuse of market power 189–94
 - control of excessive licensing fees (exploitative abuse) 192–4
 - laying a patent ambush as an exclusionary abuse 190–92
 - single and continuous infringement 191
- additional means to discourage patent ambush strategies 194–6
- disclosure *ex ante* of patent owner's maximum royalty 195, 197
- shifting burden of proving royalties are reasonable to patent holder 194, 196
- strengthening enforceability of IPR policy of SSOs 194–5
- assessment of patent ambush strategies under Article 102 TFEU 187–94
 - abuse of market power 189–94
 - market dominance 187–9
 - competition law tools for coping with a patent ambush scenario 181–2
 - causal link between dominance/abusive conduct not required 182, 190, 196
 - competitive concerns in a patent ambush scenario 178–81
 - abuse of market power 179–81
 - manipulation of standard-setting process 178–9
 - use of lock-in effect to acquire/maintain market dominance 180–81
 - in favour of an 'incentives-balancing' approach 221–3
- patent ambush and reverse payments *see* patent ambush and reverse payments
- relevant patent ambush cases – an overview 182–7
 - European Union 185–7
 - United States 182–5
- patents
 - and innovation *see under* innovation
 - intellectual property in competition *see* intellectual property in competition
 - patent ambush *see* patent ambush
 - pay-for-delay agreements
 - as horizontal agreement in restraint of competition 207
 - challenges to 203–5
 - and common interest of branded/generic producers 202
 - harming dynamic competition among originator companies 214, 224–8
 - settlements among originator companies 227–8
 - settlements between originator companies and generics producers 224–6
 - need for legislative amendment 202

- patent ambush and reverse
 - payments 207–9
 - deception harming competition by
 - distorting standard-setting 208
 - pay-for-delay agreement as
 - horizontal agreement
 - restraining competition 207
 - suppression of information about competition 209
- and price competition 214, 224
- rule of reason analysis amounting to
 - de facto legality 205–6
- Pelikan/Kyocera* (1995) 106
- penumbras of European Union
 - competition law 261–78
- competition rules of ENP
 - participant states 268–77
 - Algeria 268–9
 - Armenia 269–70
 - Azerbaijan 270–71
 - Belarus 271
 - Egypt 271–2
 - Georgia 272
 - Israel 272–3
 - Jordan 273
 - Lebanon 273–4
 - Libya 274
 - Moldova 274
 - Morocco 275
 - Occupied Palestinian Territory 275
 - Syria 275–6
 - Tunisia 276
 - Ukraine 276–7
- European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP) 264–5
 - deeper economic integration 277–8
 - overview of competition policy in ENP 265–7
 - proximity policy 265–6
 - as ‘shadow of enlargement’ 265
- overview of competition policy in ENP 265–7
 - Action plans 266–7
 - approximation of legislation 266
 - and Lisbon Treaty 266
 - TFEU competition rules 267
- role of competition policy in EU
 - 261–5
 - consumer choice approach to
 - competition law 22, 34, 99
 - European Economic Area Agreement 264
 - ENP 264–5
 - extraterritoriality rules, adoption of 264
 - promotion of effective
 - competition 263–4
 - single market integration 262–3, 264
 - restricting access to markets,
 - preventing 262
- Posner, Judge R A 10–11, 16
- Pratt, Richard, 156
- prices 23, 24, 25, 37
 - control of excessive licensing fees
 - 192–4, 220–21, 224
 - charging of monopoly prices not
 - unlawful in itself 192
 - limited control of excessive prices
 - in exceptional situations 192–3
- excessive pricing as competition law
 - violation 219, 221
- and industry-wide joint ventures 26
- and innovation *see under*
 - innovation
- intervention in pricing mechanism of
 - markets 219
- market lacking price competition
 - 25–6
- and monopolies 285
- patent ambush 192–4, 218
 - patents restricting price 210, 218
 - price fixing and disclosure *ex ante*
 - of patent owner’s maximum royalty 195–6
- pay-for-delay agreements 214, 224
- price as important dimension of
 - competition 85, 91
- regulated prices, effect of 25–6
- resale price maintenance *see* resale
 - price maintenance: competitive
 - harms and benefits

- third party payers 26
- US Merger Guidelines *see under* mergers
- Prodi, Romano 265
- Qualcomm* (2009) 230
- Rambus* (2010) 185–6, 230, 234
 - assessing under EU law 218–21, 221–3
- Rambus v FTC* (2008) 41, 181, 182–4, 196, 208, 233
 - assessing under US law 218–21
- RAND terms *see* FRAND/RAND terms
- regional competition law agreements *see under* international antitrust solutions
- related markets under EU competition law 97–121
 - abusive ‘system competition’ 118–20
 - aftermarkets and the essential facility doctrine 100, 115–18
 - application of the essential facility doctrine 115–16
 - assessment under Article 102 TFEU 116–18
 - basic legal framework 99–100
 - coercion 107–10
 - bundling 108–9, 120
 - contractual tying 107–8
 - technical tying 109–10
 - contractual/other ties by firms not dominating tying product’s market 112–15
 - applicability of Article 101 TFEU 113–15
 - applicability of Article 102 TFEU 112–13
 - main conclusions 120–21
 - secondary products/compatibility requirements and interface control 97–9, 116–17
 - separate product requirement 102–7
 - is tied product a separate product or a constitutive component? 102–4
 - do the two products belong to two different relevant markets? 104–5
 - tying prohibition in Art 102(2) lit d) TFEU 100–115
 - abuse of a dominant position and tying 100
 - coercion 107–10
 - contractual/other ties by firms not dominating tying product’s market 112–15
 - defences 110–11
 - first conclusion 111–12
 - separate product requirement 102–7
- resale price maintenance: competitive harms and benefits 43, 59–85
- anticompetitive theories and their effects 61, 67–73
 - comments on 92–5
 - effects on retail sector 61, 70–71
 - facilitating dealer/manufacturer cartel; market power 71–3
 - price effects/higher prices 67–70, 85
- background 62–6
 - history of RPM leading to *Leegin* 62–3
 - post *Leegin* developments 65–6
 - synopsis of *Leegin* 63–4
- competitive assessment 66–84
 - anticompetitive theories and their effects 61, 67–73, 92–4
 - lack of strong empirical evidence 82–4, 85
 - procompetitive theories 61, 73–84, 90–91, 94
- critique of non free-rider theories 78–82
 - less restrictive alternatives 81–2
 - private efficiency versus social efficiency 78–8
 - whether retailer competition provides appropriate price/service mix 79–81
- free rider explanation 73–6, 90
 - classic theory 73–4
 - new market entry 75–6, 90–91
 - ‘quality-certification’ free-riding 74–5, 91

- non free-rider explanations 76–82
 critique of non free-rider theories 78–82
 RPM as contract enforcement mechanism 77
 RPM for correcting retailer bias towards price competition 77–8
 RPM as incentive to increase inventory when demand is uncertain 77, 90
- procompetitive theories 61, 73–84
 comments on 90–91, 94
 free rider explanation 73–6, 90
 non free-rider explanations 76–82
- proposal and conclusion 84–5
 rebuttable presumption of illegality 85
 rule of reason operating as de facto legality rule 65, 84
 rule of reason 59, 62, 64–6, 70, 84, 87, 89, 94–6
 support for *per se* illegality rule 59–61
see also *Leegin Creative Products Inc* (2007)
- reverse payments *see* pay-for-delay agreements
- role of NGOs in the development of competition law 279–94
 AAI and international competition NGOs 293–4
 about the AAI 280–83
 belief in more activist role for antitrust 281
 and Chicago School capture of antitrust 28–81
 competition advocacy NGO 279–80, 290–91
 foundations 280–81
 funding 282, 284, 290–93
 role and activities 281–3, 284, 288, 290–91, 293
 structure 282–3, 289–90
 conclusion 294
 foreseeable questions and responses 289–93
- how much advocacy should the organization undertake? 290–91
 how can experts be enticed to participate? 289–90
 how can a new foreign NGO raise money? 291–3
 how large an office and staff are needed? 289
 should there be an ideological test for members/supporters? 291
 what qualifications are needed for staffing? 289
- need for similar NGOs worldwide 283–9
 identifying and bringing together an antitrust community 285–6
 international developments, driving 286–7
 strengthening local antitrust enterprise 286
see also international antitrust solutions
- Rosch, Commissioner J Thomas 4–5
 Russia 264, 278
- Scherer, Frederic M 83–4
 Schuman Plan 261–2
 Schumpeter, Joseph 210
 search costs 27–8
 self-interested behaviour
 and competition policies 20
 empathy 18
 not inherently virtuous 17, 20
 regulation of financial institutions 16–17
 risks if the government promotes self-interested behaviour 15–20
 self-interest as a private virtue and public vice 16–17
 and ‘Middle or Revival Period’ and ‘Speculative Period’ 17
 selfish behaviour promoting selfish behaviour 18–19
 societies internalizing moral norms 18

- survival dependent upon
 - cooperation and beyond self-interest 19–20
 - whether people actually behave like self-interested rational agents 6–10
 - whether self-interested behaviour should be the desired norm 10–15
- Sherman Act (US) 86, 100, 180, 199, 203, 262
 - section 2, 181, 184–5, 196, 218–19, 221, 228, 233
- Shiller, Professor RJ 17
- Singapore
 - administrative monopoly 144
 - adoption of competition laws as result of US trade pressure 246
- Slade, M 84
- standard-setting *see* patent ambush *and* cartels
- state action doctrine 42, 142–3
- state-owned enterprises *see* competition law control of SOEs *and* government in China
- Steiner, Robert 69
- StopCartel 287
- Sunstein, C 32
- Syfait* (2005) 226
- Sylvania* (1977) 62, 65
- Syria 265
 - competition rules 275–6
- Switzerland 93, 264
- technology pools *see* industrial standards *and* technology pools
- Thaler, R 32
- three statutory regimes at impasse 198–206, 224–5
 - adoption of FTC approach of presumptive illegality 206
 - patent ambush *and* reverse payments 207–9
 - deception harming competition by distorting standard-setting 208
 - pay-for-delay agreement as horizontal agreement restraining competition 207
 - suppression of information about competition 209
 - patent law promoting competition by innovation 199
 - pay-for-delay agreements challenges to 203–5
 - and* common interest of branded/generic producers 202
 - harming dynamic competition among originator companies 214, 224–8
 - need for legislative amendment 202
 - and* price competition 214
 - rule of reason analysis amounting to *de facto* legality 205–6
 - unlicensed experimental use of patented inventions prohibited 199–202
 - delaying market entry by generic producers 200
 - passing of Hatch-Waxman Act 200
 - responsive infringement suits 201–2
- Trade Practices Act (Aus) *see* Australia's criminalization of cartels
- Treaty on European Union (TEU) Art 8 266
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) 141–2, 267, 273
 - Art 101 99, 100, 114–15, 142, 180, 185, 195, 268–9
 - Art 101(1) 90, 95, 114, 191, 227
 - Art 101(1) lit e) 113–14
 - Art 101(3) 52, 89, 92, 94, 95, 114, 195, 225–6
 - Art 102 99–101, 104, 106, 110, 112–13, 116–19, 121, 142, 218, 233, 268–9
 - and* patents *see* patent ambush strategies *and* Article 102 TFEU
 - Art 102(a) 219, 221–2, 234
 - Art 102(1) 100, 101, 111, 112
 - Art 102(2) lit a) 192–3
 - Art 102(2) lit d) 99–115, 120
- Treaty of Rome 261, 263

- Trinko* (2004) 192
Tunisia 265
 competition rules 276
Tversky, Amos 7
Twombly (2007) 65
tying practices *see* related markets
 under EU competition law
- Ukraine 265, 278
 competition rules 276–7
 deep and comprehensive free trade
 agreement 278
Ultimatum Game 8–9, 19
UNCTAD 292
unified theory of choice 40–42
Union for the Mediterranean 264–5,
269
United Kingdom 272–3, 292
 Consumers Association 287
 personal control as predictor of
 happiness in life satisfaction
 survey 11
United States 273
 advertising restrictions cases 27,
 33–4
 airline competition 25–6
 antitrust laws
 consumer choice approach 24,
 35, 44–5, 99
 effects doctrine 241, 245, 264
 extra-territorial application of
 competition law 243–4
 pay-for-delay agreements *see*
 three statutory regimes at
 impasse
 private enforcement of
 competition law 286
 purposes of 21–2, 44–5, 99, 263
 state action doctrine 42, 142–3
 unilateral enforcement coupled
 with extra-territoriality
 244–5
Antitrust Modernization
 Commission 142, 195, 281–2
Bush administration 5, 21, 22, 34,
63, 281–2
cartels 148, 157, 180
Clinton administration 21–2, 63,
281
consumer associations 287
consumer protection laws 38
 deception of standard-setting
 organisations 40–41
convergence of European and US
approaches to trade regulation
44–5
exploitation of licensees 179
Federal Trade Commission *see*
 Federal Trade Commission, US
Food and Drug Administration
199–202
Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act
199–200, 202, 206
government and state-owned
enterprises 142–3
happiness of individuals and
money 11–12
industries of national importance
132
Hatch-Waxman Act 199–200,
201–2
mergers
 media businesses 29
 Merger Guidelines *see under*
 mergers
 and non-price competition 26,
 30–31
monopolies 221
 charging of monopoly prices not
 unlawful in itself 192, 219
 monopoly power and deception
 181
Patent Act 199, 201
patent ambush 181, 182, 209, 228
 assessing *Rambus* under law
 218–21
 cases on patent ambush 182–5
 causal link between standard's
 adoption and deceptive
 conduct 181, 185, 196
 fair trading 233
patents *see* three statutory regimes
 at impasse
pay-for-delay agreements *see* three
statutory regimes at impasse
prices as important element of free
market system 192
price fixing and disclosure *ex ante* of
patent owner's maximum
royalty 195

- Reagan administration 21, 63, 281
- resale price maintenance *see* resale price maintenance: competitive harms and benefits
- Sherman Act *see* Sherman Act (US)
- and Singapore 246
- tying 100–101
- unfair competition and practices 181, 196
- US AID 292
- universities' antitrust centers 287–8, 293
- US AID 292
- Visy Limited 156, 169
- Vizard, Steve 166
- WAEMU 251, 253
- Wall Street Journal* 65
- Which?* 287
- Winter, Ralph 77–80
- World Bank 292
- World Trade Organization (WTO) 247, 263
 - discussions on prohibition of cartels 247–8, 259
 - regional competition law agreements and competition law provisions 259