

Index

- Abramovitz, M. 22
Abukhanfusa, K. 65
Adamik, M. 16
administrative advantages 147, 152
advantages of CFC 148–8, 152
Agerskov, U. 51, 52
Alasuutari, M. 54, 55
Andersson, E. 78, 81
Anttonen, A. 14, 28, 39, 47, 48, 52, 60, 92, 117, 123, 128, 133, 135, 144, 154
Australia, maternal employment rates 8
Austria
 CFC and work–welfare arrangements 33–4
 daycare and parental leave percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates 10
 maternal employment rates 8
Bacchi, C. 111
Bäck-Wiklund, M. 55
Baklien, B. 90, 96, 97, 98, 104, 106
Becker, G. 80
Belgium
 daycare and parental leave percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates 10
 maternal employment rates 8, 9
Bennett, F. 14, 19
Berg, K. 145
Bergqvist, C. 117, 136
Bergsten, B. 55
Bettio, F. 110, 111
Blau, F. 80
Borschini, A. 80
Brandth, B. 110, 114
Bruning, G. 110
Bulgaria
 employment and parental leave rates 10
 maternal employment rates 8
Canada
 employment and parental leave rates 10
 maternal employment rates 8
cash versus care policy issues 6–20
 cash benefits, arguments for and against 13
 cash benefits and care services definitions 11–13
 cash benefits or care services, distinction between 11–12, 15–16
 cash benefits, preference for 146–7
 daycare and parental leave percentage coverage 11
 early childhood education 6, 7–9, 12, 14, 17, 18
 employment and parental leave rates 10
 female labour force participation 7
 gender equity 9, 14
 policy and freedom of choice 17–18
 policy goals 12–13
 policy options 7–9
 politics of cash versus care 13–15
 see also individual countries; policy headings
cash-for-childcare, traditionalism versus contemporary necessity 21–45
 administrative advantages 147, 152
 advantages of schemes 143–8, 152
 benefit levels, economic considerations of 38
 cash benefits, preference for 146–7
 child allowances 22
 child protection issues 150
 children’s rights 150, 152, 155
 children’s social development 150, 155
 children’s viewpoint 38–40
 daycare system expansion 24
 disadvantages of schemes 148–51, 152

- early childhood education 39, 41
- education levels 149
- family life satisfaction 144–5, 152
- fertility rates, effect on 147–8
- future of schemes 154–5
- gender divisions 30, 33, 152, 153–4
- immigrant communities 149
- income-testing 28
- individualism and choice 145–6
- institutional support 26–7
- labour market, effects on 31–5
- labour market participation 33, 148, 153–4, 155
- maternity and paternal paid leave 22
- paradox and possible solutions 143–59
- parental care, benefits of 29–30
- parental choices 22–3, 25–30
- parental leave 144–5
- paternal rights 153
- polarization of benefits 149
- political advantages 147
- political frame and choice 35–8
- poverty 28, 30, 33, 38, 148–9, 155
- problems and suspicions of CFC 151–4
- professional childcare 149–50, 153
- public childcare, non-use of 35–6
- public economy considerations 36–7
- public economy, effects on 149, 152
- single-parent families 28
- social capital 150–51
- social policies and labour market flexibility 31–2
- social policy background 22–5
- state as moral authority 145
- tax relief 24
- unemployment and CFC schemes 32–3
- welfare state informalization, benefits of 23
- work–life balance 23–4, 33–4, 145, 152, 153
- childcare
 - and child as citizen debate 56–7
 - child development theory 55, 57
 - child protection issues 150
 - children’s rights 150, 152, 155
 - children’s social development 150, 155
 - children’s viewpoint 38–40
 - time to children and work–life balance 128–9
- Christensen, E. 27
- Chronholm, A. 14
- Côté, S. 150
- Cunha, F. 149
- Cyprus
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8
- Czech Republic
 - daycare and parental leave percentage coverage 11
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8
- Dahlberg, G. 151
- Daly, K. 29, 144
- Daly, M. 109
- Daugstad, G. 94, 132
- daycare
 - access, Nordic case 110
 - centres, demand for 52, 92–3, 94, 98, 100
 - centres, subsidized, Norway 91–2 and CFC, simultaneously 119–20, 122, 124, 126, 129, 132
 - sector, impact of CFC on, Norway 97
 - system expansion 24
 - see also private childcare; public childcare
- Denmark
 - CFC and work–welfare arrangements 33–4
 - children in daycare 52
 - daycare and parental leave percentage coverage 11
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - female labour force participation 7
 - maternal employment rates 8, 9
 - state-subsidized childcare and parental choice 27
- Deven, F. 25, 52, 110, 111, 123
- disadvantages of CFC 41, 148–52
- Duncan, S. 26, 28, 29

- economic value of CFC 37, 118–19, 122, 154
- education, early childhood 6, 7–9, 12, 14, 17, 18, 39, 41, 51, 149
- education levels of parents 95, 103, 149
- Ellala, E. 28
- Ellingsæter, A. 25–30, 32, 53, 61, 89–92, 96–7, 106, 110–11, 114, 116–17, 124, 127–8, 133, 153
- employment *see* labour market
- Eräranta, K. 53
- Erler, D. 18
- Esping-Andersen, G. 39, 144, 148
- Estonia
 - daycare and parental leave
 - percentage coverage 11
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8
- EU
 - CFC and economic growth 37
 - early childhood education 39
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - European Employment Strategy 7
 - female labour force participation targets (Barcelona targets) 7–9, 11
 - labour market flexibility 32
 - see also individual countries*
- Eydal, G. 109, 135
- family life satisfaction 144–5, 152
- Ferrarini, T. 148
- fertility rates, effect on 147–8
- Finland
 - child home care allowance 46–64
 - alternatives to 46, 48
 - popularity of 50–51
 - use of 49–53
 - childcare and child as citizen debate 56–7
 - daily life perception interviews 53–60
 - pro home care 54–8
 - protest against working life 58–60
 - daycare, children in 52
 - daycare and parental leave
 - percentage coverage 11
 - early childhood education 51
 - economic value of CFC 119, 122
 - family respect and CFC 57, 125–7
 - family-centred thinking 57
 - gender divide 51–3, 56, 81–2
 - history of child home care allowance 47
 - home care, perceived importance of 145
 - immigrant population 149
 - labour market participation and CFC 10, 31, 56, 123
 - maternal employment rates 8
 - maternity leave 30, 114
 - means-tested elements of CFC 48
 - municipal supplements 49, 50, 51, 120, 154
 - parental choice 53
 - parental leave 10, 47–8, 114–15, 121
 - parenthood education and parent–child attachment 55–6
 - part-time working 59, 115
 - paternity leave 114, 115–16, 121–2
 - policy criticism, lack of 132
 - policy framing 14, 47, 122, 124, 149
 - politics of cash versus care 14, 149
 - poverty 28, 30, 149
 - private daycare allowance 47
 - public childcare provision 56, 116, 117
 - recipients and conditions of CFC 112–13, 118, 119, 120–22, 123
 - restricted choice rationale and CFC 129–30
 - simultaneous daycare and CFC 119, 120, 122, 126, 129, 132
 - taxable income, CFC as 118
 - unemployment and CFC 121, 129–30
 - universalism of CFC 48, 49–50
 - welfare policies, fairer and CFC 127
 - work–life balance 33–4, 58–60, 128
- Forbat, L. 57
- Forssén, K. 148
- France
 - Allocation Pour Jeune Enfant 24
 - daycare and parental leave
 - percentage coverage 11
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8, 9

- Fraser, N. 146
 freedom of choice 17–18, 27–8
 and gender 52–3
 and alternatives 123
 and different families 125, 146
- Gatenio Gabel, Shirley 6–20
- Geissler, B. 154
 gender division 30, 33, 51–3, 81–2,
 131–2, 148, 152, 153–4
 gender equality 9, 14, 68, 69–70, 110,
 127, 152–4, 155
- Germany
 CFC and work–welfare
 arrangements 33–4
 child-rearing leave policy 17–18
 daycare and parental leave
 percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 male breadwinner family model 18
 maternal employment rates 8
- Glendinning, C. 137
- Gordon, L. 22
- Greece
 daycare and parental leave
 percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 maternal employment rates 8
- Gulbrandsen, L. 91, 92, 97, 111, 133,
 136
- Haas, L. 114
- Haataja, A. 49, 52, 53, 117, 129, 136,
 137, 146
- Hakim, C. 52
- Håkonsen, L. 90, 96, 98, 99, 100
- Hakovirta, M. 28, 33, 136
- Håland, K. 124, 125
- Hämäläinen, U. 33, 51, 146
- Hantrais, L. 14
- Hatje, A.-K. 65
- Hays, S. 54
- Hiilamo, H. 27, 33, 56, 109, 111, 117,
 122, 124–5, 127–9, 131–5, 137,
 145, 149
- Himmelweit, S. 23
- Hinnfors, J. 66, 67, 71
- Hjulgaard, L. 51, 52
- Hungary
 childcare/rearing allowance 16–17
 daycare and parental leave
 percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 female labor market participation
 and labor market needs 16–17
 maternal employment rates 8
- Iceland
 CFC and immigrant population 149
 children in daycare 52
 maternal employment rates 8
 immigrant population 72, 78, 82, 94–5,
 97–8, 106, 132, 149
 income-testing 28
 individualism and choice 145–6
 institutional support 26–7
- Ireland
 daycare and parental leave
 percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 maternal employment rates 8
- Italy
 daycare and parental leave
 percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 female labour force participation 7
 maternal employment rates 8
- Jallinoja, R. 27, 29, 30, 56, 57, 137, 144
- Jansson, F. 82
- Japan
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 maternal employment rates 8
- Julkunen, R. 59, 123
- Kahn, A. 7, 9, 13, 18
- Kamerman, Sheila B. 6–20
- Kangas, O. 25, 27–8, 32–3, 52–3,
 56, 109, 111, 117, 124–5, 127–9,
 131–3, 135, 137, 145, 149
- Karila, K. 48
- Kavli, H. 98
- Kemp, P. 137
- Kilpeläinen, R. 29, 145

- Kitterød, Ragni Hege 89–108
 Kjørholt, A. 150
 Klammer, U. 39, 40
 Klinth, R. 66
 Knijn, T. 60
 Knudsen, C. 33, 98, 100, 103
 Knudsen, K. 92
 Koistinen, P. 31
 Kontula, O. 50, 134
 Korintus, M. 16
 Kornstad, T. 107
 Korpinen, J. 14, 17, 151
 Korsvik, T. 127, 131, 132, 133, 137
 Korsvold, T. 117
 Kremer, M. 27, 60, 145
 Kröger, T. 47, 49, 147
 Krok, S. 30
 Kvande, E. 110, 115
- labour market
 effect 31–5, 90, 98–102, 104, 105–6,
 123
 part-time work 59, 93–4, 98–102,
 114, 115
 participation 7, 10, 31, 33, 56, 123,
 148, 153–5
 specialization 80, 82
- Lammi-Taskula, J. 52, 58, 115, 116
- Latvia
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 maternal employment rates 8
- Lauritzen, T. 137
- Lehto, A.-M. 58, 59
- Leira, A. 25, 27, 28, 53, 89, 92, 110,
 114, 135, 145
- Leitner, A. 32, 33, 34
- Leitner, S. 110
- Lewis, J. 109
- Lister, R. 29, 145
- Lithuania
 daycare and parental leave
 percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 maternal employment rates 8
- Lundqvist, A. 65, 66
- Luxembourg
 daycare and parental leave
 percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 maternal employment rates 8
 maternity leave 22, 114
- Magnussen, M.-L. 94
- Mahon, R. 23, 29, 39, 52, 109, 110,
 147
- Malta
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 maternal employment rates 8, 9
- Mattila-Wiro, P. 144
- Michel, S. 147
- Miettunen, L. 41, 49, 120, 136
- Mikkola, M. 14, 17
- Moberg, Y. 78, 81
- Moffit, R. 100
- Moisio, P. 28
- Morgan, K. 14, 23, 52, 125, 146, 151
- Moss, P. 14, 25, 52, 110, 111, 123
- municipal supplements, Finland 49,
 50, 51, 69, 70–76, 120, 154
- Nätti, J. 123
- Naz, G. 98, 100
- Neale, B. 150
- Netherlands
 daycare and parental leave
 percentage coverage 11
 employment and parental leave rates
 10
 maternal employment rates 8, 9
- Neuman, M. 9
- New Zealand, maternal employment
 rates 8
- Nordic case, rationalities for cash-for-
 childcare 109–42
 childcare access and increased
 equality 127
 children time and work–life balance
 128–9
 common architecture 133–5
 common features 110
 comparative studies 110
 daycare access 110
 economic value of CFC 118–19
 entitlements 117–23
 gender equality 110, 127

- gendered practices in childcare,
 - accusations of 131–2
- immigrant population 132
- and labour market participation 123
- parental leave 91, 93, 110, 114–16,
 - 121–2, 124, 125, 153
- and paternal role 127, 153
- policies, what they offer 118–20
- policy criticisms 123, 126, 131–2
- policy entitlements 112–13
- policy rationales 118–20, 122–3
- political framing, arguments for and
 - against 124–32
- public daycare 116–17
- recipients and conditions 120–22,
 - 123
- respect for family and CFC choice
 - 125–7
- restricted choice rationale 129–31
- and simultaneous daycare 119, 120,
 - 122
- universalism in 120
- see also individual countries*
- Norway
 - cash-for-care scheme 17, 24, 89–108
 - concerns over 89–90
 - impact of 96–103
 - introduction and purpose of
 - 89–90
 - children in daycare 52
 - daycare centres
 - demand for 92–3, 94, 98, 100
 - subsidized 91–2
 - daycare sector, impact of CFC on 97
 - demand decline for CFC 93–4
 - dualistic family policy 92
 - economic value of CFC 118–19
 - education levels of mothers 95, 103
 - gender divide and CFC 82
 - immigrant population 72, 94–5,
 - 97–8, 106, 149
 - labour market effect 90, 98–102, 104,
 - 105–6, 123
 - labour market participation and
 - CFC 123
 - maternity leave 114
 - parental choice 89, 92
 - parental leave 91, 93, 114, 121
 - part-time work 93–4, 98, 99–100,
 - 101–2, 114
 - paternity leave 114, 115
 - policy criticism 132
 - policy entitlements 112–13
 - policy framing 124
 - policy rationale 122
 - public daycare centres 89, 92–3,
 - 116–17
 - recipients and conditions 94–5, 121,
 - 122
 - respect for family and CFC 125–7
 - restricted choice rationale and CFC
 - 131
 - simultaneous daycare and CFC 119,
 - 122, 124, 126, 129
 - take-up levels of CFC 91–4, 95,
 - 102–3, 112–13, 118, 119
 - unemployment levels 93–4
 - welfare policies, fairer 127
 - work–life balance 128
- Nyberg, Anita 53, 65–88, 117, 136
- OECD 8, 9, 10, 23, 24, 31, 32, 33, 37,
 - 39, 41, 51, 82, 105–6, 150, 154
- Österbacka, E. 144
- Osterbusch, S. 37
- Östros, T. 132
- Paajanen, P. 56
- parental care, benefits of 29–30
- parental choice 25–30, 53, 89, 92
- parental leave 10, 22, 144–5
 - Finland 47–8, 114–15, 121
 - Nordic case 91, 93, 110, 114–16,
 - 121–2, 124, 125, 153
 - Norway 91, 93, 114, 121
 - Sweden 67–8, 77, 79, 81, 82, 114
- parenthood
 - education and parent–child
 - attachment, Finland 55–6
 - family time and new familism 29–30
 - responsibility and traditional family
 - values 22–3
- part-time work 59, 93–4, 98–102, 114,
 - 115
 - see also labour market*
- paternal role 127
- paternity leave 114, 115–16, 121–2
- Pettersen, S. 91, 93, 94, 97, 106
- Pfau-Effinger, B. 25, 56, 154
- Pietilä, V. 154

- Plantenga, J. 7, 9, 110, 111, 135
- Poland
- daycare and parental leave
 - percentage coverage 11
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8
- policy
- criticism 123, 126, 131–2
 - framing 14, 35–8, 47, 122, 124–32, 149
 - goals 12–13
 - political advantages 147
 - politics of cash versus care 13–15, 22, 65–7, 68, 149
 - rationale 122–3
 - social policy 22–5, 31–2, 150–51
 - see also* cash versus care policy issues
- Portugal
- daycare and parental leave
 - percentage coverage 11
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8
- poverty 28, 30, 33, 38, 148–9, 155
- private childcare 47, 77, 79–80
- see also* daycare
- professional childcare as investment in children 149–50, 153
- public childcare 35–6, 56, 83, 89, 92–3, 116–17
- see also* daycare
- Ramkvist, K. 145
- Randall, V. 151
- Rantalaiho, Minna 27, 30, 109–42
- Remery, C. 135
- Repo, Katja 1–5, 21–64, 143–59
- Reppen, H. 91, 93, 94, 106
- respect for family and CFC choice 57, 125–7
- Rissanen, Tapio 1–5, 21–45, 143–59
- Rognerud, L. 113
- Romania
- employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8
- Rönkä, A. 40, 58, 59
- Rønning, E. 91, 93, 94, 106
- Rønsen, Marit 89–108
- Rostgaard, T. 25, 27, 28, 53
- Sahlin, M. 132
- Salin, M. 28
- Salmi, M. 25, 28, 51, 58, 59–60, 123, 134, 149
- Schiersmann, C. 18
- Schmid, G. 31–2
- Schöne, P. 98, 101, 105
- Siegel, M. 7, 9
- Simon, B. 36
- simultaneous daycare and CFC 119–20, 122, 124, 126, 129, 132
- see also* daycare
- Sipilä, Jorma 1–5, 14, 17, 21–45, 92, 143–59
- Sjöberg, O. 26, 27, 50, 53, 61
- Skocpol, T. 22
- Slovak Republic
- employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates by age of youngest child 8
- Slovenia
- employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8
- Sointu, L. 28, 39, 52, 123, 133, 154
- Spain
- daycare and parental leave
 - percentage coverage 11
 - employment and parental leave rates 10
 - maternal employment rates 8, 9
- state as moral authority 145
- Sutela, H. 58, 59
- Sweden
- cash-for-childcare schemes 25, 65–88
 - differences between 1994 and 2008 systems 68–70
 - restrictions 78–80
 - two different schemes 67–8
 - children in daycare 52
 - children's days, proposal of 68
 - daycare and parental leave
 - percentage coverage 11
 - dual-earner–dual-carer model 65, 66
 - early childhood education 41

- economic value of CFC 37, 118, 149, 152
- gender equality bonus 68, 69–70
- gendered use of CFC 81–2
- history of CFC 65–7
- immigrant integration 72, 78, 82, 149
- labour market participation 10, 123
- labour market specialization 80, 82
- maternal employment rates 8, 9
- maternity leave 114
- and municipalities 69, 70–76
- parental leave 67–8, 77, 79, 81, 82, 114
- paternity leave 114, 115
- policy criticism 68, 71–2, 132
- policy framing 124
- policy rationale 122
- political decisions over CFC or services 65–7, 68
- politics of cash versus care 14–15, 22, 149
- private childcare 77, 79–80
- publicly-financed childcare 83, 116, 117
- recipients and conditions 112–13, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123
- recipients, potential, of CFC 76–80
- respect for family and CFC choice 125–7
- restricted choice rationale 130–31
- simultaneous daycare and CFC 119–20, 122, 126, 129
- summer months, opportunity to prolong 83
- take-up and consequences of CFC 80–84
- taxation and CFC 68–9
- unemployment and sickness benefits 78, 83–4, 121, 130–31
- windfall gains of CFC 79–80
- work–life balance 128
- Switzerland, maternal employment rates 8
- Tähtinen, J. 40, 150
- Takala, P. 29, 51, 54, 116
- taxation and CFC 24, 68–9, 118
- Taylor, C. 55
- Taylor, S. 109
- Thoresen, T. 107
- Tingstad, V. 150
- Tronto, J. 146
- Turkey, maternal employment rates 8
- Tyyskää, V. 137
- UK
- daycare and parental leave percentage coverage 11
- employment and parental leave rates 10
- institutional support for CFC, lack of 26–7
- maternal employment rates 8
- UN Convention on the Rights of the Child 39
- unemployment and CFC 78, 83–4, 93–4, 121, 129–31
- Ungerson, C. 27, 36, 49, 145, 156
- universalism and CFC 48, 49–50, 120
- US
- Aid for Dependent Children 24
- maternal employment rates 8
- Uttal, L. 143
- Vandell, D. 149
- Viitasalo, Niina 21–45, 113, 143–59
- Vuori, J. 53
- Wærness, K. 92
- Waldfoegel, J. 9, 13, 18
- Wennemo, I. 22
- Widman, M. 69
- Wolfe, B. 149
- work–life balance 23–4, 33–4, 58–60, 128, 145, 152, 153
- Wroblewski, A. 32, 33, 34
- Yeandle, S. 27, 36, 49, 145
- Ziefle, A. 148
- Zippel, K. 14, 23, 52, 151