Preface

Even if intellectual property licensing agreements have been standard instruments in the business world for some time and have even in the last years become part of our daily life as consumers (particularly in the online environment), the nature and features of licensing law remain quite unclear and are sometimes misunderstood specifically in cross-border intellectual property transactions.¹

One potential explanation for this is that licensing law, as an element of contract law, has remained governed by diverging national rules and principles and has (unfortunately) not been affected by the global movement of harmonization which has drastically changed the international intellectual property landscape in recent years. It is sufficient to note in this respect that intellectual property licensing agreements are merely evoked but are not regulated in the TRIPS agreement.² It can thus be regretted that licensing law has not been included in the major harmonization projects which have been conducted in the field of intellectual property, in view of the fact that licensing is quite certainly the most usual vehicle by which intellectual property rights are commercialized and put to use.

Starting from this observation, the goal of this Research Handbook on Intellectual Property Licensing was to explore certain aspects of intellectual property licensing law from a comparative perspective by offering a compilation of opinions from leading experts coming from various jurisdictions and legal systems. The choice was made to focus essentially on the contractual and private law aspects of intellectual property licensing and thus to leave aside certain topics, particularly compulsory licensing as well as the interaction between licensing and competition law which, as far as

¹ For a recent illustration, see Sunstar, Inc. v. Alberto-Culver Co., 586 F.3d 487 (7th Cir. 2009) (addressing the question whether under an international trademark license agreement governed by the law of Illinois a Japanese licensee [Sunstar] is authorized to use variants of the licensed trademark under a “Senyoshiyoken license” as defined under Japanese law).
² See Art. 21 (for trademark licensing) and Art. 28 para. 2 (for patent licensing).
this last topic is concerned, would constitute a book subject by itself\textsuperscript{3} and obviously is a complex and debated issue.\textsuperscript{4}

Given that the goal of the book and more generally of the series in which it is integrated is to offer some policy perspectives on the topic at issue, the decision was made to go beyond a parallel presentation of intellectual property licensing law on a country by country basis in order to be in a position to address other issues of relevance. The book thus not only analyzes the features of specific types of intellectual property licensing agreements (such as trademark or patent licensing agreements) but also covers issues which affect licensing law as such (such as the treatment of licensing in bankruptcy) in an interdisciplinary approach.

The book is divided into three parts. In the first part (Specific Intellectual Property Licensing Policies), individual chapters are devoted to a presentation of specific licensing policies tailored to certain types of licensed intellectual assets / intellectual property rights (i.e. copyrights, patents, trademarks, known how and trade secrets, software, etc.). The second part (Common Intellectual Property Licensing Policies) contains chapters addressing various aspects of intellectual property licensing law which do not depend on the type of intellectual assets at issue but which are rather supposed to cover all types of intellectual property licensing agreements (such as the treatment of licensing in bankruptcy or the determination of the law governing international licensing agreements). Given the diversity of local solutions, the third part of the book (Local Intellectual Property Licensing Policies) adopts a geographic approach and presents selected national and regional intellectual property licensing policies, by focusing on countries and regions which appear of key importance on the global intellectual property scene (i.e. China, Europe, India and Japan).

This \textit{Handbook} ultimately aims at offering a scientific contribution to the identification of what could constitute global features of intellectual property licensing agreements. From a broader perspective, it is designed to contribute to the discussion about the adoption of a global regulatory framework on intellectual property contract law (or intellectual property commercial law), which shall regulate the relationship between intellectual property rights and contract.\textsuperscript{5} On this basis, the book is not conceived as an

\textsuperscript{3} As confirmed by the publication of the remarkable Research Handbook on Intellectual Property and Competition Law (Josef Drexl (ed.), Edward Elgar 2008).
\textsuperscript{4} As reflected in art. 40 of TRIPS.
\textsuperscript{5} See Michael Anthony C. Dizon, “The symbiotic relationship between global contracts and the international IP regime”, \textit{Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice} 2009 4(8): 559–565, at 564 (“While the prospects of negotiating, adopting, and the coming into force of an international convention on IP and contracts in the near term are unlikely, a more expedient and plausible alternative to jump-start the
end in and by itself but is rather viewed a step in an on-going scientific process that will hopefully continue after its publication and stimulate the policy debates on this most challenging issue.\(^6\)

Irrespective of its potential relevance for future scientific and policy projects, what remains is that this book is a collective work which means that it would not have been publishable if all the authors had not contributed to it. I thus would like to express my deepest gratitude to all the authors of the excellent chapters which compose this book, for there would be no book without them.

But this book is not only a collective work. It is also the result of a collective preparation process. I thus would like to express my gratitude to all the persons who have contributed to the publication of the book at the Department of Commercial Law of the Law School of the University of Geneva, and particularly to Anastasia Bondarenko, Pierre Heuzé, Francine Pinget, Esther Pralong-Wild and Vera Belarbi-Kloser.

---

\(^6\) For the purpose of which an on-line platform has been activated, see www.ip-licensing.info (last accessed July 23, 2012).