

Index

- Aarhus Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants 192
- Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute 168
- agriculture biotechnology (ag biotech)
- benefits 162–163
 - development of industry 167–169
 - environmental policy 165–167
 - gene transfer 164–165
 - improvement of regulatory
 - cooperation between California and EU 304–305
- Air Quality Management Plan 66
- American Chamber of Commerce to the EU (AmCham) 259–260
- American Insurance Association v. Garamendi* 10–11, 16, 18, 30, 31, 41
- American Physical Society 141
- American Public Health Association 107
- aquatic regions, as biodiversity
- ‘hotspots’ 83
- ARCO (firm) 68
- Army Corps of Engineers, US 88
- auto emissions standards *see* motor vehicle standards
- Automobile Manufacturers Association 71
- bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) 162, 203
- barriers to cooperation 16, 17
- constitutional 3, 4
 - implications for regulatory cooperation 14–18
 - limitations on EU ability to implement cooperative policies 11–14
 - limitations on policy implementation by American states 4–11
- Barroso, José Manuel 298
- Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal 119, 192
- Bathing Water Directive 85
- Bayh-Dole Act 1980 167
- ‘beneficial use’ doctrine 94
- benefit-cost analysis (BCA) 300
- Bennett, A.B. 172–173
- Berck, P. 307
- best practices, sharing 118, 155, 219
- BFRs (brominated flame retardants) 187, 195
- bilateral agreements 15
- biodiversity
- aquatic 83, 87, 90, 94
 - chemicals policy 105
- biofuels, sustainability criteria 306–307
- biomonitoring 117, 302–303
- Biotech* dispute (WTO) 200, 203, 207, 221, 222
- Biotechnology Consultative Forum (EU-US) 210–211, 218
- biotechnology regulations 280
- ag biotech 162–169, 304–305
 - conclusions 174–176
 - empirical analysis 171–174
 - regulatory framework 169–171
 - US vs. EU 161–176
- Brannlund, R. 307
- brominated flame retardants (BFRs) 187, 195
- Bruton, John 116
- Bt maize 214
- Bureau of Reclamation US, 85, 88, 92
- Bush, George W./Bush Administration
- climate change policy 70
 - environmental policy 21, 24, 26, 33–35
 - greenhouse gas emissions 61

- role in development of California's MOUs 33–35
- Butler, H.N. 71
- CALFED Bay-Delta Program 85, 92
- California
 - air standards, influence of Federal Law on 62–63
 - chemicals policy 104, 110–111
 - conditions for cooperative agreements 3
 - direct democracy tradition 89
 - and EU *see* California and European Union
 - history of emissions standards *see* emissions standards, history (California and federal level)
 - as leader on climate change/ environmental issues 21–22, 38, 69–70
 - see also* climate change policy, California; environmental regulation-making, transatlantic
 - motor vehicle standards and federalism *see* motor vehicle standards
 - MOUs *see under* Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs)
 - POP and EDC regulation in 185–188
 - precautionary principle, acceptance 297
 - as subnational entity 3, 22
 - as a super-regulator 22, 37, 50–51, 67–69, 84
 - and water regulation, evolution 89–95
- California Air Resources Board (CARB) 34, 128, 138, 139, 144, 146
 - creation 138
 - motor vehicle standards 50, 55, 57, 58, 62, 65, 66, 68
- Scoping Plan, GHG reduction
 - measures recommended in 126, 130, 133–134, 136
- sustainability criteria for biofuels 306–307
- California and European Union
 - environmental regulation-making cooperation *see* environmental regulation-making, transatlantic
 - improvement of regulatory cooperation between 295–312
 - framework for cooperation 309–310
 - general areas 296–301
 - specific areas 301–310
 - water regulation *see* water regulation (California and EU)
- California Assembly Bill (AB) 32, 34, 127, 128, 136
 - climate change policy prior to 129, 139
- California Assembly Bill (AB) 1493, 29, 30, 50, 61, 69, 128, 129, 132, 139
- California Assembly Bill (AB) 1879, 104, 116, 117
- California Assembly Bill (AB) 4420, 129
- California Climate Action Registry 129
- California Department of Agriculture 146
- California Department of Health and Human Services, Birth Defects Monitoring Registry 28
- California Energy Commission (CEC) 129, 130, 141, 142, 143, 144
- California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) 84, 85, 92, 96, 97–98
- California Public Utility Commission (CPUC) 129, 130, 143
- California Solar Initiative (2006) 131
- California Waste Management Board 146
- Canada-US Binational Toxics Strategy 184
- Canadian provinces, MOUs with California 22, 33, 34–35
- cap-and-trade programmes 15, 36, 38, 126, 134–135, 136, 148
- CARB *see* California Air Resources Board (CARB)
- carbon dioxide (CO₂) 50, 128, 136, 149, 150

- carbon monoxide (CO) 53, 54, 55, 57, 62
- Carbone (C & A) v. Town of Clarkstown* 6–7
- Carter, Jimmy 142
- ceiling pre-emption 49
- Central Valley Chrysler-Jeep, Inc. v. Goldstene* 29–30, 31, 41
- Central Valley Project 92
- centralization 51, 52, 93
- Chamber of Commerce US 273
- Chemical Right to Know Program (EPA) 185
- chemicals policy 102–120, 276, 277–278
 - access to chemical hazard information 117
 - best practices, sharing 118
 - biodiversity 105
 - cause-and-effect relationships 106, 107
 - chemicals in mixtures and articles, data requirements 113, 117
 - collaboration with NGOs 117–118
 - contributing to international efforts 118–119
 - data requirements 113, 114, 117
 - dossier review and validation 114
 - ecosystems 105
 - endocrine disrupting chemicals *see* endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs)
 - environmental concerns 106
 - in European Union 111–115
 - green chemistry/Green Chemistry Initiative 104, 107–108, 111, 116, 119, 186
 - habitat degradation 105
 - health concerns 105, 106
 - improvement of regulatory cooperation between California and EU 302–303
 - information exchange 117–118
 - persistent organic pollutants *see* persistent organic pollutants (POPs)
 - priority setting 185
 - regulation of substances in articles 114–115
 - research collaboration 117
 - role of chemical enterprise 105–106
 - role of precaution 106–107
 - substitution requirements 115
 - transatlantic learning 116–119
 - US policy, gaps in 104, 108–111
 - wildlife, threats to 106
- ChemSec 118
- Chrysler-Jeep case see Central Valley Chrysler-Jeep, Inc. v. Goldstene*
- Cipollone v. Liggett Group, Inc* 18
- circuits of regulation 181–183, 187, 281
- Clean Air Act, US 30, 37, 50, 51, 59, 130, 138, 139, 276
 - 1970–74 and 1970 amendments to 53–56
 - 1990 amendments to (Tier 1) 56–61, 147
 - 2004–09 amendments (Tier 2) 60–61
 - non-attainment stipulations 66
 - pre-emption provision 63
- Clean Development Mechanism 147, 151
- Clean Water Act (CWA) 85, 91–92, 183–184
- climate change
 - adaptation to 307
 - Californian policy *see* climate change policy, California
 - improvement of regulatory cooperation between California and EU 306
 - Western Climate Initiative 16, 126, 135–136
- climate change policy, California 125–156, 278–279
 - coordination problem 153
 - design for 150–154
 - electricity 131–132
 - emissions trading, role in 146–150
 - energy forecasting and planning 140
 - externality 126, 144–145
 - facility siting and environmental protection 140
 - greenhouse gas reduction measures (CARB Scoping Plan) 126, 130, 133–134, 136
 - impact research 131
 - institutional challenges 145–146
 - international equity component 125–126

- land use 132
- leadership role of California 69–70
- major actions 131–132
- mid-term standards 128
- and motor vehicle standards 69–70
- near-term standards 128
- prior to AB 32, 129
- Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, contrast with 136–137
- regulatory antecedents of climate policy 137–144
- transportation 132
- Clinton, Bill 210, 300
- Coase, R.H. 145
- Codex Alimentarius Commission 206, 208, 220, 240, 242–243, 251
- Colorado 90
- commerce clause, dormant 4–8, 14, 17, 274
 - balancing test 6, 8
 - market participant exemption 7, 15
 - proprietary activities of states 6, 7
- Common Agricultural Policy 88
- Constitution US
 - barriers to cooperation in 3
 - commerce clause 6
 - on foreign policy pre-emption 9–10
 - and limitations to regulatory authority of state governments 4
 - supremacy clause 5, 8
 - treaty-making authority 29
 - see also* California; California and European Union; United States
- Convention on Biological Diversity 119
- corn production, irrigated 88
- Cosmetics Directive 111, 113
- cost-benefit analysis 165, 262, 298–301
- costs 13, 239–240
- Council of Ministers 169, 304
- Crandall, Robert 71
- Crick, Francis 161
- Crocker, T.D. 145, 147
- Crosby v. National Foreign Trade Council* 10, 18
- Crosby v. National Forest Trade Council* 30, 31
- Cuyahoga River (Ohio), fires 87
- Cyprus, Turkish occupation (1974) 24, 39
- Dales, J.H. 145, 147
- data sharing 260–261
- Daub, T. 187
- decentralization 52
- Delta Vision programme 92
- Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) 186
- Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) 118, 187
- Department of Water Resources, California 91
- Diamond v. Chakrabarty* 167
- differential treatment, avoidance of 6, 7
- diffusion 152
- Dimas, Stavros 215
- Dingell, John 55
- dirty air 52
- Dispute Settlement Body 215
 - Biotech* dispute 200, 203, 207, 221, 222
- DNA structure 161
- Doha round of international trade negotiations 166, 213
- dormant commerce clause *see* commerce clause, dormant
- Drought Water Bank, California 91
- DTSC (Department of Toxic Substances Control) 118
- Easthope, T. 187
- ecosystems 91, 105, 106
 - ecosystem services 105
- EDCs *see* endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs)
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) 202, 218, 223, 304
- Elliott, D.E. 55–56, 63
- emerging economies 216
- emissions standards, history (California and federal level) 52–62
 - first emissions standards (Iterations 1 and 2) 52–53
 - 1970–74 and 1970 amendments to Clean Air Act 53–56

- 1990 amendments to Clean Air Act,
Tier 1 (Iteration 3) 56–61, 147
greenhouse gas emissions standards
(Iteration 4) 61–62
low emissions vehicles 57–61
tailpipe standards 53
emissions trading 38
 history of emissions standards *see*
 emissions standards, history
 (California and federal level)
 low emissions vehicles (California)
 57–61
 role in climate policy 146–150
 see also climate change; global
 warming; greenhouse gases
Emissions Trading System, EU 147,
151
Endangered Species Act (ESA) 96, 97,
170
endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs)
180–181, 281–282
 circuits of regulation 181–183,
 187
 international regulation 191, 193
 regulation in EU 190–191
 regulation in US 183–188
 transatlantic policy cooperation
 193–196
Endocrine Disruptor Screening
Program (EDSP) 185
Energy Action Plan, California 129,
143
Energy and Resources Group 141
energy crisis (1974) 54
energy forecasting and planning 140
Energy Performance of Buildings
Directive 308
Energy Policy and Conservation Act
1975 59, 129
enforcement proceedings, against
 Member States 12, 13, 14, 17, 18,
 85
England, common law 90
Environmental Defense and DuPont
Nano Partnership 302
environmental federalism *see*
 federalism, American
Environmental Protection Agency
(EPA) 30, 129, 130, 184, 186
 biotechnology regulations 169–170
 Chemical Right to Know Program
 185
 and chemicals policy 104, 107, 109,
 302, 303
 and GMOs 203, 204
 and motor vehicle standards 53, 54,
 55, 59–60, 64–65
 and water regulation 91
environmental regulation-making,
 transatlantic 20–48, 276–280
informal legal agreements, value
23–29
MOUs *see* Memoranda of
 Understanding (MOUs)
Obama Administration policy aims
35–38
 precommitting to environmental
 regulations 26–27
 product-related legislation
 308–309
 see also food labels and
 environment; motor vehicle
 standards; water regulation
 (California and EU)
EU *see* European Union (EU)
EuropaBio 304
European Chemicals Agency (ECHA)
112, 114, 118, 302
European Commission 12, 18, 32, 169,
189, 239, 256
 directorates-general 254
 Secretariat-General 250, 254
 Sustainable Consumption and
 Production initiative 299
European Convention on Human
Rights and Fundamental
Freedoms (ECHR), 1950 39
 signing by Turkey 24
European Court of Justice (ECJ) 11,
13, 32, 85, 210, 255, 296–297
European Environmental Agency 13,
96
European Food Safety Authority
(EFSA) 202, 218, 223, 304
European Union (EU)
 and California *see* California and
 European Union
 chemicals policy 111–115
 POP and EDC regulation in
 188

- regulation of harmful chemicals (POPs and EDs) 190–191
 - compliance by Member States 12–14
 - and emissions trading 16, 147, 151
 - endocrine disrupting chemicals, strategy on 190–191
 - environmental policy 85
 - federalism compared 17
 - food labels, standardization 231–233
 - genetically modified organisms
 - ‘de facto moratorium’ of GM authorization process (1999) 202
 - increasing unsustainability of EU framework 214–216
 - initiatives 28
 - regulatory framework for 201–203
 - horizontal regulatory cooperation
 - with US *see* horizontal regulatory cooperation (EU-US)
 - and iterative federalism 72–73
 - limitations on ability to implement cooperative policies 11–14
 - mandates compared to US dormant Commerce Clause 5
 - motor vehicle standards 49
 - persistent organic pollutants, strategy on 189–190
 - REACH Regulation *see* REACH Regulation (chemicals regulation)
 - scope of regulatory jurisdiction 11–12
 - soft law instruments *see* soft law instruments
 - subsidiarity principle 5
 - as supranational entity 3, 22
 - water regulation, evolution 93–95 *see also* Member States, EU
 - Euro-scepticism 232
 - EU-US Joint Action Plan (1995) 25
 - EU-US London summit (1998) 254
 - EU-US Slovenia summit (2008) 257
 - EU-US Washington summit (2005) 256
- facility siting and environmental protection 140
 - factory farms 239
 - Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act 183, 204
 - Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act *see* FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act)
 - federalism, American
 - and centralization 51, 52
 - cooperation at national and international levels 274–276
 - EU compared 17
 - federal law as a lever and prod 63–66
 - framing of 51–52
 - horizontal, and LEV Program 59–60
 - influence of Federal Law on air standards 62–63
 - iterative 22, 50, 51, 52, 70–73, 275
 - lessons for 62–72
 - and limitations on policy implementation by states 4
 - and motor vehicle standards (California) 49–78
 - and national product markets 70–72
 - field pre-emption 9
 - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act) 183, 185, 186
 - fires 87
 - ‘first in place, first in rule’ doctrine 93
 - fish, endangered 87, 88
 - Fish and Game Code, California 93
 - Fish and Wildlife Service, US 85, 92
 - floor pre-emption 49
 - flow controls, case law 6–7
 - Food and Agriculture Organization 241
 - Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 170, 203, 300
 - food irradiation 234
 - food labels and environment 229–245, 280–283
 - divergence and convergence 234–236
 - farm unit 237–238
 - harmonization, towards 236–241
 - list of approved and prohibited substances 238
 - livestock standards 238
 - organic social movement as convergence factor 235–236

- policy recommendations 241–243
- standardization in EU and US
 - 231–233
- Food Quality Protection Act 1996 185
- food wars 230
- foreign affairs doctrine, US law 29–31
- foreign certification agencies, direct
 - accreditation 239–240
- foreign policy pre-emption 5, 9–11, 17
- formaldehyde 57
- France 93, 94
- Franklin, Rosalind 161
- free movement of goods, EU mandates
 - 5
- freedom of expression 24
- Garamendi* decision *see American Insurance Association v. Garamendi*
- Gelbke, H.P. 194–195
- gene transfer 164–165
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) regime 205, 206, 209
- General Motors 58
- genetically modified organisms (GMOs) 45, 161, 162, 200–224, 229, 234, 282
 - best practices, sharing 219
 - dispute cases 200, 203, 206, 207
 - emerging economies entering GM market 216
- European Union
 - ‘de facto moratorium’ of GM authorization process (1999) 202
 - increasing unsustainability of framework 214–216
 - initiatives 28
 - regulatory framework 201–203
- EU-US Biotechnology Consultative Forum 210–211, 218
- identification of common principles on transatlantic risk analysis 220
- information exchange 219
- interaction between risk assessors and risk managers 219–220
- international framework for
 - 204–205
 - international trade regime for 205–208
 - mutual understanding on 217
 - regulatory cooperation 208–212, 213
 - regulatory deadlock 204–208
 - getting out of 213–220
 - regulatory framework for 201–204
 - structured dialogue and risk assessment 217–218
 - transatlantic dialogue as privileged institutional tool 218
 - types of regulatory cooperation 217–220
 - WTO/SPS regime 206, 207
 - shortcomings 213–214
- Germany, lead-content standards 51
- global warming 4
 - California’s MOU on, precedent of 33–37
 - international agreements, power to make 20–21
 - Obama policy 36
 - see also* emissions trading; greenhouse gases
- Global Warming Solutions Act (2006) 28, 126, 127
- GMOs *see* genetically modified organisms (GMOs)
- gold rush, 19th century 90
- Goldstein, David 141–142
- Gore, Al 34
- Graff, G. 172–173
- green building 306, 308
- green chemistry 107–108, 186
- Green Chemistry Initiative, California 104, 111, 116, 119
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions Performance Standard 127
- greenhouse gases (GHGs) 125
 - cap-and-trade systems for reducing 15, 36, 38, 126, 134–135, 136, 148
- CARB Scoping Plan, GHG reduction measures in 126, 130, 133–134
- emission standards, US 61–62
- passenger car limits 50
- Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative 136–137

- worldwide reductions, EU interest 16
- see also* climate change policy, California; emissions standards, history (California and federal level); motor vehicle standards
- Grimaldi* case, soft law instruments 32
- groundwater 90
- Guidelines on Regulatory Cooperation and Transparency* (2002) 210, 211, 254–255, 257
- Haagen-Smit, A.J. 138
- hard law, transformation of soft law into 31–32
- harmonization
 - chemicals policy 118
 - definitions 244
 - food labelling 229–245
 - current complexity/costs 239–240
 - international processes/institutions 240–241
 - key differences 237–239
- hazardous substances, prioritizing 113–114
 - see also* Restriction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) Directive
- Henry IV, King 93–94
- High Production Volume Chemical Challenge Program 109
- High-Level Regulatory Cooperation Forum 256, 257, 259
- Hills, R.M., Jr. 71
- Hochman, Gal 200
- Holocaust Victim Insurance Relief Act 1999 30, 41
- horizontal regulatory cooperation (EU-US) 249–267, 284
 - brief history 253–257
 - divergence and convergence 252–253
 - impact assessment *see* impact assessment, horizontal regulatory cooperation
 - policy implications 263–264
 - regulatory cooperation and horizontal regulatory policy 250–253
- House Energy and Commerce Committee, United States 36
- Huls v. Commission* 32
- Hurricane Katrina 34
- hybridization 182
- hydrocarbons (HCs) 53, 54
- I&M (inspection and maintenance) programme 64, 65
- IFOAM (International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements) 231, 232, 236, 242
 - Accreditation Program 241
 - Basic Standards 235, 238, 241, 244
- impact assessment
 - horizontal regulatory cooperation *see* impact assessment, horizontal regulatory cooperation
 - improvement of regulatory cooperation between California and EU 298–301
- impact assessment, horizontal regulatory cooperation 257–262
 - data sharing 260–261
 - extra-territorial impacts, requirements to assess 258–259
 - mutual access to regulatory processes 261–262
 - procedural horizontal norms 260–262
 - substantive horizontal norms 258–260
 - whether a common methodology 259–260
- informal legal agreements, value in environmental regulation making 23–29
 - binding others/promoting international cooperation 27–28
 - exchanging information 28–29
 - precommitting to environmental regulations 26–27
 - public relations 24
 - reputation building 25–26
 - shifting behaviour 26
 - signalling to other states and federal government 24–25
- information exchange 4, 16, 274–275
 - chemicals policy 117–118
 - environmental regulation making 28–29

- genetically modified organisms 219
- information-sharing agreements 15
- infringement proceedings, against Member States 12, 13
- institutionalization 182
- insurance companies, disclosure requirements 30
- integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) 149
- Integrated Pollution and Prevention Control Directive 18, 94
- integration principle, Treaty of Amsterdam 11
- interest groups, environmental 68–69, 88
- Intergovernmental Forum on Chemical Safety 191, 192, 193
- International Carbon Action Partnership 306
- International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements *see* IFOAM (International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements)
- International Labour Organization (ILO) 191
- International Office of Epizootics (OIE) 206
- International Organization for Standardization 251
- International Plant Protection Commission (IPPC) 206, 218
- International Programme on Chemical Safety 191, 193
- International Task Force on Harmonization (ITF) 241, 242
- Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals 191
- interstate commerce, discrimination against 6
- IPPC (International Plant Protection Commission) 206, 218
- Iraq war 34
- irrigation 88
- iterative federalism 22, 50, 51, 52, 275 and EU 72–73 and national product markets 70–72
- Joint Statement on Regulatory Cooperation (1997) 254
- Kagan, Robert 200
- Kannan, P.M. 297
- Kelemen, D.R. 191
- Kellow, A. 191
- Krier, J.E. 54, 64
- Kurdish minority rights, violation by Turkey 24, 40
- Kyoto Protocol (2005) 31, 34 Clean Development Mechanism 147, 151
- Kyoto Treaty (1997) 33
- Lanjouw, J.O. 153
- Leahy, Patrick 233
- LEED rating system 308
- legal guidelines for cooperation 3–19, 274
 - implications 14–18
 - limitations on EU ability to implement cooperative policies 11–14
 - limitations on policy implementation by American states 4–11
 - commerce clause, dormant 4–8, 14, 15, 17, 274
 - pre-emption issues 5, 8–11, 274
- light-duty vehicles 54, 56, 128
- Lighthouse Priority Projects 212
- livestock standards 238
- Lloyd, Alan 58
- Long-term Energy Efficiency Strategic Plan 132, 143
- Los Angeles
 - air quality problems/measures 52–53, 54, 64, 137
 - pueblo rights 90
 - water conservation programmes 85
- Los Angeles Air Pollution Control District (LAAPCD) 138
- low emissions vehicles (LEV), California 62, 110–111
 - LEV Program 1990–2007 57–59, 139
 - LEV Program and horizontal federalism (1993–98) 59–60

- Federal Tier 2 standards, model years (2004–09) 60–61
- low-probability catastrophic events 166
- Macey, J.R. 71
- maize 214, 221
- market participant exemption, dormant commerce clause 7, 15
- Massachusetts v. EPA* 70
- mature and emergent regulatory frameworks 182
- McDermott v. Wisconsin* 18
- Member States, EU
 - compliance by 12–14
 - consensus views of 4
 - enforcement proceedings against, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 85
- Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs)
 - California
 - Bush Administration's role in development of 33–35
 - global warming, precedent of MOU on 33–37
 - MOUs already used by 22, 27, 31, 33, 34–35, 40, 275
 - requirement for MOU with EU 35–38
 - and EU law 31–33
 - foreign affairs doctrine, US law 29–31
 - legality 29–33
 - motivations for signing 24, 25, 35
 - suggested draft 44–48
 - treaty-making authority, US law 29–31
- Mexico, MOU with California 22, 27, 31, 40
- Mody, A. 153
- MON810 maize 214
- Mono Basin 85, 92, 93
- Mono Lake litigation (1983) 85
- Monsanto (firm) 171–172, 203, 280, 304
- Montreal Protocol 297
- Motor Vehicle Pollution Control Board 138
- Motor Vehicle Pollution Control Laboratory 138
- motor vehicle standards 275–276
 - Assembly Bill 1493 *see* California Assembly Bill (AB) 1493
 - California 49–73, 110
 - and Clean Air Act, US *see* Clean Air Act, US
 - and federalism 49–78
 - see also* federalism, American
 - history of emissions standards *see* emissions standards, history (California and federal level)
 - national product markets 70–72
 - singling California out as a super-regulator 50–51, 67–69
 - see also* climate change policy, California
- Motor Vehicles Air Pollution Control Act 1965 138
- MOUs *see* Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs)
- Muskie, Edmund 71
- Mutual Recognition Agreements (MRAs) 223, 251
- mutual understanding
 - on GMOs 217
 - on precautionary principle 296–298
 - on science-based policy-making 296–298
- Myanmar, regulation of business contracts with 10, 18, 30
- nanotechnology 301–302
- Napoleon Bonaparte, civil code of 1802 94
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) 54, 63, 64, 65
- National Appliance Energy Conservation Act (NAECA) 1987 142
- National Audubon Society v. Superior Court* 85, 90, 93
- National Energy Conservation and Policy Act 1978 142
- National LEV Program 60
- National Nanotechnology Initiative 301
- National Organic Program (NOP), US 232–233
- Proposed Rule 236

- National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) 236, 238
- National Resources Defense Council 186
- New Transatlantic Agenda (1995) 25, 209, 253
- New York, emissions standards 53
- New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Arbitral Awards 27
- 9th Circuit Court 65
- Nitrates Directive 86, 94
- nitrogen oxide (NO_x) 53–57, 61, 139, 148, 149, 279
- nitrous oxide (N₂O) 145
- nondiscriminatory state legislation 7–8
- non-governmental organizations (NGOs) 89, 93, 112, 191
collaboration with 117–118
- non-methane organic gases (NMOGs) 57, 58
- NOSB (National Organic Standards Board) 236, 238
- Nuclear Non-proliferation Treaty (1968) 26, 40
- Nunez, Fabian 34
- Obama, Barack/Obama Administration
environmental policy 21, 22, 24, 35–38
greenhouse gas emissions 61
and regulatory cooperation 14
- Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OMB) 256
- Office of Management and Budget (OMB), US 250, 254, 256, 263
Circular A-4 on Regulatory Analysis 258
- Oneida case see United Haulers Association, Inc. v Oneida-Herkimer Solid Waste Management Authority* test
- Organic Foods Production Act 1990 233, 236, 244
- organic social movement, food labels 235–236
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) 181, 193, 194–195, 250
- BioTrack Online website 172
- Task Force on Endocrine Disrupter Testing and Assessment 190, 195
- OSPAR Convention (Convention on the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic and the EU) 181, 192, 193
- out-of-state trade, limiting 7, 17
- Owens Valley 85, 92
- Ozone Transport Commission (OTC) 59
- Paarlberg, R.L. 174
- Packaging Directive (1994) 49
- passenger car limits 50, 53
- PBTs (priority persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic pollutants) 184, 185
- persistent organic pollutants (POPs) 180–181, 281–282
circuits of regulation 181–183, 187
international regulation 191, 192–193
regulation in EU 189–190
regulation in US 183–188
transatlantic policy cooperation 193–196
- pesticides 303–304
- Philadelphia v. New Jersey* 6, 7
- Pigou, A.C. 144–145
- Pike v. Bruce Church, Inc.*, interstate commerce 8
- political cost-externalization 56
- polluter pays principle 11
- pollution 21, 51, 64, 72, 87, 94
see also climate change policy, California; greenhouse gases (GHGs); motor vehicle standards; PBTs (priority persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic pollutants); water regulation (California and EU)
- POPs *see* persistent organic pollutants (POPs)
- Powell, Colin 262
- precaution, chemicals policy 106–107

- precautionary principle
 - mutual understanding 296–298
 - REACH Regulation 112
 - regulation of POPs and EDCs 183, 187, 188, 192
 - TFEU provisions 11
- pre-emption 9, 49, 63, 72, 274
 - foreign affairs 5, 9–11, 17
 - problems 8
 - statutory 8–9, 17
 - and subsidiarity principle 5
- prevention principle, TFEU provisions 11
- process and production methods (PPM) 165
- procurement, public 17–18
- Prodi, Romano 210
- product stewardship programmes 113
- product-related environmental legislation 308–309
- Proposed Early Actions to Mitigate Climate Change in California* (EPA) 307
- Proposition 65 (Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act 1986) 186
- proprietary activities of states, and commerce clause 6, 7
- protectionism 6
- public utility 94
- pueblo rights 90

- R&D (research and development) 168, 171, 175, 176, 301, 304
- Ragusa v. Commission* 32
- rate decoupling 143
- REACH Regulation (chemicals regulation) 12, 14, 45, 103–104, 111, 116, 118, 119, 262, 278
- impacts 112–114
- information exchange 28
- potential limitations 114–115
- regulation of POPs and EDCs 187, 189, 190, 191, 195
- structure 112
- Reagan, Ronald 140
- Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) 136–137, 147
- Regulation ((EC) No. 1907/2006) on the registration, evaluation, authorization and restriction of chemicals *see* REACH Regulation (chemicals regulation)
- regulatory impact analysis (RIA) 252, 300
- release-and-monitor policy 175
- renewable portfolio standard, climate policy 131
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 183, 184
- Restriction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) Directive *see* RoHS (Restriction of Hazardous Substances) Directive
- Revesz, R.L. 55, 71
- riparian doctrine 90, 94
- riparian zones 83
- risk assessment (GMOs)
 - identification of common principles on transatlantic risk analysis 220
 - interaction between risk assessors and risk managers 219–220
 - risk policies, making explicit 219–220
 - and structured dialogue 217–218
 - transatlantic dialogue as privileged institutional tool 218
- river restoration 87
- Roadmap for EU-US Regulatory Cooperation and Transparency 212
- RoHS (Restriction of Hazardous Substances) Directive 111, 113, 251
- proposed review of 308–309
- Rosenfeld, A.H. 141–142, 156
- Rotterdam Protocol on the Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade 189, 192, 194
- Roundtable on Sustainable Biofuels 307
- Rush Creek 93

- Sacramento Delta 92
- Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act 1986 (Proposition 65) 186

- safeguard clause, TFEU provisions 12
- salmon 85, 87
Chinook 92
- San Francisco Bay Area, pollution problems 64
- Sandoz factory
chemical spill in Rhine (1986) 94
fire in (1986) 87
- 'savings clauses,' pre-emption 9
- Schapiro, M. 300
- Schumpeter, J. 152
- Schwarzenegger, Arnold 26, 28, 33, 34, 35, 40, 129, 187
- science-based policy-making, mutual understanding 296–298
- Scoping Plan (CARB) 126, 130, 133–134, 136
- Seehofer, Horst 215–216
- Senate Bill (SB) 1078, 129
- Senate Bill (SB) 1368, 127, 128
- Senate Bill (SB) 1379, 187
- sewage sludge 234
- Silicon Valley 67, 308
- Single European Act 1987 49
- smog, Los Angeles 52, 137
- soft law instruments 22, 23, 38, 275
legal implications of, under EU law 31–33
- source principle, TFEU provisions 11
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) 65, 66
- soybeans 162
- Special Activity on Endocrine Disrupter Testing and Assessment 194
- SPS Agreement (Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures) 205, 208, 240
shortcomings of GMO regime 213–214
- State Implementation Plan (SIP) 54, 59, 63, 64, 65–66, 66
- State Water Board 90
- State Water Project 92
- statutory pre-emption 8–9, 17
- Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants 119, 183, 192, 194
Community Implementation Plan 189
- Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM) 191, 192
- Sub-Cabinet Group 253
- subnational jurisdictions 22, 25–26
California as 3
- subsidiarity principle, EU 5
- substances of very high concern (SVHCs) 112, 113, 114, 117–118
- sulphur dioxide (SO₂) 136, 147, 148, 149, 279
- super-regulators 22, 51, 73
see also under California
- supply chain transparency, chemicals policy 113
- supply-push policies 154
- supranational frameworks 3, 22, 28
- supremacy clause, US Constitution 5, 8
- Supreme Court, US
on commerce clause 6
on foreign policy pre-emption 5, 10
on statutory pre-emption 8–9
- sustainability criteria, biofuels 306–307
- Sustainable Consumption and Production (SCP) initiative 299, 303
- sustainable production practices, bilateral agreements 15
- SVHCs (substances of very high concern) 112, 113, 114, 117–118
- Syngenta (chemical company) 172, 280
- Task Force on Endocrine Disrupter Testing and Assessment (OECD) 190, 195
- Taylor, M.R. 152, 153
- TBT (Technical Barriers to Trade) Agreement 205, 240
- Thyssen v. Commission* 32
- Tichner, J.A. 184
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) 1976 102–103, 107–110, 183, 184, 185, 297
- Transatlantic Business Dialogue 255–256
- Transatlantic Consumer Dialogue 256, 260
- Transatlantic Declaration (1990) 253

- Transatlantic Economic Council 201, 212, 256, 257, 273, 282
- Transatlantic Economic Partnership 209, 254
- transatlantic learning, chemicals policy 116–119
- transatlantic regulatory cooperation
 evaluation 273–293
 federalism and cooperation at national/international levels 274–276
 food safety and agriculture 280–283
 legal guidelines *see* legal guidelines for cooperation
 policy recommendations 286–288
 potentials and limitations 283–285, 288–290
 water regulation (California and EU) 276–280
- Transatlantic Regulatory Cooperation Forum 273
- Transatlantic Risk Assessment Dialogue 214
- treaties, definitions 23
- Treaty Establishing the European Community (TEC), 1993 13
- Treaty of Amsterdam (1997) 11–12
- Treaty of Lisbon (2009) 11–12
- Treaty of Westphalia (1648) 20–21, 39, 93
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 2009 11–12, 251, 296
- treaty-making authority, US law 29–31
- Turkey, human rights violations 24, 39–40
- Turner v. Commission* 32
- TVA v. Hill* 96
- UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) 192, 193
- United Haulers Association, Inc. v Oneida-Herkimer Solid Waste Management Authority* test 7, 8
- United Kingdom, MOU with California 22, 34
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development 241
- United Nations Economic Commission on Europe (UNECE), POPs Protocol 189
- United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) 191
- United States
 chemical policy gaps 104 and California 110–111
 data 108–109
 safety 108, 109–110
 technology 108, 110
- Constitution *see* Constitution, US
- food labels, standardization 231–233
- genetically modified organisms, regulatory framework for 203–204
- horizontal regulatory cooperation with EU *see* horizontal regulatory cooperation (EU-US)
- limitations on policy implementation by states 4–11
- POP and EDC regulation in 183–188
- Supreme Court *see* Supreme Court, US
- treaty-making authority and foreign affairs doctrine 29–31
see also California; California and European Union
- Urban Wastewater Directive 86, 94
- Ursin, E. 54, 64
- US Constitution *see* Constitution, US
- USDA (US Department of Agriculture) 233, 234, 239, 240
 Proposed Rule 236, 238–239
- USDA-APHIS (US Department of Agriculture's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service) 169–170, 203–204
- US-EU High-Level Regulatory Cooperation Forum 218
- Valeriano, L. 187
- Verheugen, Günter 298
- Virginia v. EPA* 60
- Vogel, David 49, 300
- Vogel, J.M. 185

- Warren-Alquist Act 1974 140
- waste, state efforts to control flow of
6, 7
- Waste in Electrical and Electronic
Equipment (WEEE) Directive
111, 113
- Water Agency tax system 95
- Water Code Section 1707 91
- Water Framework Directive (WFD)
86, 94–95, 97
- Water Pollution Control Revolving
Fund 91
- water regulation (California and EU)
83–99, 276–280
- evolution of regulation in California
 89–95
- evolution of regulation in EU
 93–95
- future challenges 95–98
- ‘good status’ requirement 94–95
- relevance of comparing California
 and EU 84–89
- Watson, James D. 161
- Western Climate Initiative (WCI) 16,
126, 135–136
- Wiener, J.B. 300
- World Health Organization (WHO)
191, 304
- World Summit on Sustainable
Development 189
- World Trade Organization (WTO)
Biotech dispute 200, 203, 207, 221,
222
- Dispute Settlement Body *see*
 Dispute Settlement Body
- shortcomings of GMO regime
 213–214
- trade disciplines of 5
- Wu, K.-M. 162
- Zasloff, Jonathan 70
- Zchernig v. Miller* 30–31, 41
- zero emissions vehicles (ZEV) 57–61,
130, 139
- Zilberman, D. 172–173
- Zito, A.R. 191

