

Index

- abuse of dominance
 - in Israeli competition law 46–7
 - in Singapore competition law 53–5
- academics, in new competition regimes:
 - promotion and development
 - advantages 291–2, 306–7
 - challenges 293, 295, 311, 318–19
 - collaborative approaches 314, 326–7
 - conferences and forums 327–8, 330–31
 - demographic and cultural influences on 298–9, 301
 - educational models 319–24
 - funding difficulties 318, 323, 328
 - holistic approaches 314, 326–7
 - infrastructure, relevance of 311–15
 - institutional context 313–15
 - institutional support mechanisms 308–9
 - joint educational programmes 328–30
 - mentors and role models, advantages of 296–7, 302–9
 - national focus for 313–15
 - networking 306–7
 - principles behind 293–5, 324–6
 - professional bodies, role of 330–31
 - professional socialization 303–4, 307–9
 - research, relevance of 315, 321–3
 - research networks, role of 311
 - research training, importance of 299–307
 - researchers, selection of 297
 - self-reliance, role of 312
 - studying abroad, relevance and difficulties 308–11
 - teacher training, importance of 300–303, 307
 - tertiary education trends 316–17
 - trans-disciplinary knowledge 320–24
 - undergraduate education, improving 295–9
 - workshops, role of 298
- Africa
 - Central and Western 316–17
 - see also* South Africa
- Agreements to Preserve the Reversibility of Transactions (APRO) (Brazil) 271–2
- amnesty
 - Amnesty Plus Programmes 161–3
 - see also* leniency
- Anderson, R.D. 237–8
- anti-competitive actors *see* vested interests
- anti-competitive conduct, identification *see* detection
- Antitrust Improvements Act 1976 (US) *see* Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act 1976
- Argentina 157–9
- Armenia 129–30
- Asian Competition Forum 327
- Austin, A. 299, 302, 306–7, 320
- Becker, Gary
 - on role of vested interests 82–4
 - theory of deterrence 4, 132–5
- Bigelow v RKO Radio Pictures (US)* 181
- Bipartisan Trade Promotion Authority's Act 2002 (US) 42
- Brazil
 - competition authorities 268–9
 - competition law, generally
 - criminal sanctions 163
 - criticisms of 266–8
 - fines 155, 266
 - principles 265–6
 - reforms 268–9
 - timescale issues 266–7

- FDI
 inbound trends 265
 protectionist policies 266–7
 global trade role 265
 leniency programs 157, 159, 161
 merger control 226–7
 Agreements to Preserve the
 Reversibility of Transactions
 (APRO) 271–2
 bilateral agreements, influence of
 273–4
 blocking mechanisms 280–82
 development 264–9
 divestiture, as remedy 280–82, 284
 extra-territorial consequences
 277–80
 fast track procedures 268
 ‘gun-jumping’ 266–7, 270–72,
 282–5
 information exchange/cooperation
 272–5, 284
 interim measures 271–2
 multi-jurisdictional cross-border
 mergers 269–82
 New Holland-Case, agricultural
 machines merger 275–7
 notification thresholds 269–70
 Owens-Corning-Saint-Gobain
 merger (Brazil) 270–72,
 280–81, 284
 reforms 268–9
 remedies, behavioural and
 structural 275–80
 timescale issues 267–8, 270–71,
 275, 282–3, 285–6
 tertiary education 320
 bread cartel, in South Africa 190, 194,
 198, 205–6
 Bris, A. 237–8
- Cabolis, C. 237–8
 Camara, A. 317–18
 Canada 155
 CARICOM, EPA with EU 42–3
 cartels
 bread cartel 190, 194, 198, 205–6
 cement cartels 109–10, 187, 190
 in Central America 112–14
 criminalization 199, 221
 cultural entrenchment 94–5, 183–4
 detection
 difficulties 183, 187
 and media interest 198, 218–19
 rates 131, 143–4, 146, 161–2, 169
 flour cartel 112–14
 global trends 170
 harm caused by 95, 131, 146
 investigations
ex post nature of 214–15
 information sources, reliability of
 215–16
 in Latin America 170
 detection, probability of 143–4,
 146
 errors, possibility of 146
 factors influencing 142, 217–19
 fines 147–8, 218
 leniency plus programmes 161
 leniency policies 161, 191–200, 210
 influence on case trends 207–9,
 213
 ringleaders/instigators, immunity
 of 194–5
 penalties 170
 calculation criteria 202–7
 and compensation 171–3
 fines, optimum 147–8, 218
 policies, convergence 30
 private enforcement trends 168–70
 in South Africa 5, 191–200, 207–9
 cultural influences 183–4
 investigations 187, 190
 investigative success 219–22
 legal implications 191–2
 penalties, calculation criteria
 202–7
 prisoner’s dilemma 191–2, 197–8,
 210
 vitamin cartel 73
 vulnerability to 94–5, 183–4
 cement industry cartels 109–10, 187,
 190
- Central America
 cartels, vulnerability to 94–5
 competition authorities 103, 107–10,
 115
 competition policies
 adaptation to national
 characteristics 115
 challenges 109, 115, 118, 125–30

- common features 105
- competition advocacy 105–6, 114, 117, 126–7
- development 91–2, 100–101, 110–15, 117–18
- economic characteristics relevant to 93–6, 99, 116
- enactment provisions 102
- enforcement 124–30
- exclusions 108, 117–18
- finances 111–12
- focus of 117
- on horizontal practices 106–7
- information collection 112
- joint policy proposals 110
- judicial processes 118
- leniency programs 112, 114, 118, 157, 159
- on merger control 103, 107, 117
- models for 3, 32, 96–7, 106–7, 116
- non-contentious solutions, development 114
- on *per se* rule 111
- on precautionary measures 107
- progress 116–17
- reforms 102, 110–15, 117–18
- regional integration and harmonization 93, 99, 116, 124–5
- regional learning process 91–2
- rule of reason 106–7
- sanctions 109–10, 114
- SMEs, relevance to 104–5, 117, 121, 123
- tribunal processes 118
- utilities regulation, development 101–4
- Western influences on 3, 32, 97, 106–7, 116, 167–8
- Customs Union proposals 110
- domestic market protectionism 94, 99–100
- economic characteristics 93–6
- economy efficiencies, scope for 93–5, 98–100
- influences on
 - international trade 93–4, 104
 - intraregional trade 93
 - market forces 98
 - multinational companies 94–5, 109–10
 - privatization 101
 - oil industry sanctions 109–10
 - per capita income 93
 - production structures 95
 - see also* individual countries
- Central American Common Market 124
- Central American Group of Competition 124
- Chile 150, 155
- China
 - Antimonopoly Law 3, 9–10, 52–3
 - merger law 230
 - tertiary education 316, 320
- Cities Service Co 19–20
- Clark, S. 319
- class actions 176–7, 223–4
- Clayton Act 1914 (US) 13
- Colombia 150, 155, 157, 163
- compensation, of victims
 - class actions 176–7, 223–4
 - flexibility, need for 181–2
 - trends 171–4
 - in Europe 171–2
 - in United States 172–3
- competition advocacy
 - in Central America 105–6, 114, 117, 126–7
- competition authorities
 - administrative burdens 24–6
 - capabilities
 - balanced with resources 135–8, 145–7
 - capacity building mechanisms 216
 - complexity of rules, difficulties with 136
 - case handling and prioritization strategies 25, 200–202, 208
 - case selection 137
 - in Central America 103, 107–10, 115
 - credibility 137–8, 209
 - disclosure rules 26
 - enforcement role
 - in adoption policies 74–5
 - private enforcement, overlap with 137
 - experiences, pooling 26–7, 74, 216–17

- guidance for 209–11
- independence 2
 - advantages of 87–8
 - lack of 92
 - in small developing economies 92
- inside information, importance of 215–16
- inter-agency conflicts 232
- investigative powers, importance 125–6
- leniency, discretion over 85–6
- public perception 127
- see also* Federal Trade Commission
- Competition Commission v. Federal Mogul Aftermarket (South Africa)* 203–4
- Competition Commission v. Pioneer Foods (South Africa)* 205–6
- Competition Commission v. South African Airways* 204–5
- Competition Commission v. Southern Pipeline Contractors and Conrite Walls (South Africa)* 206–7
- competition law, generally
 - advantages 70–74
 - development
 - second generation reforms 71–2
 - Washington Consensus 71
 - as international policy prerequisite 1, 79, 104
 - purpose 72, 134–5
- competition regimes
 - convergence, need for 30, 286–7
 - drafting and development
 - influences on 41–4, 46–9, 122–3
 - models 3, 37
 - ‘contextualized’ approach 36, 51–7, 65–6, 97
 - ‘cut and paste’ model 36, 45–51, 65, 97
 - interpretation differences 46–9
 - multi-model approach 36–7
 - social influences on 58–9
 - ‘tailor-made’ approach 36, 57–66, 97, 122–3
 - UNCTAD Model Law on Competition 122–3
 - Western 3, 41–4, 46–9, 122–3, 167–8
- sector specific rules 1
 - transferability 3, 31–2, 37–8, 120–21
 - adoption, reasons behind 41–3, 167–8
 - context, importance of 37, 39–40, 50–51, 63–7
 - difficulties 3, 49
 - and investment, attraction of 44
 - prerequisite conditions 50–51
 - and trade agreements 42, 44
 - see also* new competition regimes
- ‘compliance trap’ 80
- Connor, J.M. 168–72
- Consumer Antitrust Institute 177
- consumers
 - influence of 84–7
 - and true burden of fines 154
- ‘contextualized’ approach, to
 - competition law adoption 36, 51, 65–6, 97
 - advantages and disadvantages 55–7
 - examples 52–5
 - as trial and error approach 56–7, 123–4
- convergence
 - difficulties with 29–30
 - and harmonization, compared 286
 - need for 30, 286–7
 - see also* transferability of competition regimes; transferability of law
- Corcoran, M. 319
- corruption
 - ‘shadow economy’ 129–30
 - see also* cartels; vested interests
- Costa Rica
 - competition authorities 103, 108–9
 - competition policies
 - competition advocacy 106
 - development 100–103
 - exclusions 108
 - finances 111–12
 - influences on 104, 106
 - leniency programs 112, 157, 159
 - merger control 103, 107
 - reforms 103, 110–11, 114–15, 117–18
 - per capita income 93
- Crehan v Intreprenuer Pub Company (Courage) (UK)* 181–2

- criminalization, of competition
 offences
 difficulties with 164–5
 in Latin America 163–5
 leniency, as incentive 133–4, 199, 221
 in South Africa 221
- cross-border mergers *see* inbound
 cross-border mergers; multi-
 jurisdictional mergers
- ‘cut and paste’ model, for competition
 law adoption 36, 65, 97
 advantages and disadvantages 49–51
 concept 45
 differences from original model
 46–9
 examples 45–9
- Davis, J.P. 172–3
- De Leon, I. 50
- detection, of competition infringement
 cartels
 difficulties 183, 187
 and media interest 198, 218–19
 rates 131, 143–4, 146, 161–2, 169,
 198
 identification difficulties 133–5
 and leniency programs 156–63
 motivation for 132–4, 199, 221
 prisoner’s dilemma 191–2, 197–8,
 210
 probability of 132–3, 140, 143–6,
 156
- deterrence
 Becker’s theory of 4–5, 132–5
 and cartels, in South Africa 5,
 191–210, 219–22
 and compensation 171–4
 factors affecting 213
 cognitive bias 133–4
 detection, probability of 132–3,
 140, 143–6, 156
 disgorgement 177
 errors, levels of 145–6
 gains, degree of 133–5
 human capital underdevelopment
 144–5
 prisoner’s dilemma 191–2, 197–8,
 210
 punishment, probability of 132–3
 transparency 147–9
- importance 131–2, 135
 in inbound cross-border mergers
 regulatory impact on 241–2
 ‘naming and shaming’ 165
 overdeterrence 5, 132–3, 145, 174–5
 resources for
 balancing with institutional
 capabilities 135–6, 146–7,
 213
 complexity of rules, difficulties
 with 136
 misapplication, impact of 136,
 146–7
 whistle-blowers, rewards for 162–3
see also fines; Latin America;
 leniency; private enforcement;
 sanctions
- developing countries
 cross-border/ multi-jurisdictional
 mergers
 bilateral agreements, influence of
 273–4, 284
 challenges for 282–4
ex ante reviews, advantages of
 284–6
 FDI implications 260–64, 283,
 285–6
 information sharing/cooperation
 272–5, 284
 policy lessons 285–6
 regulatory impact on, study
 245–7, 253–9
 remedies 275–80, 283–4
 timescales 282–6
- foreign direct investment (FDI)
 protectionist policies 266–7
 trends in 260–61, 265
- global influences on
 compliance, capacity to impose
 228
 different focus and goals 228–9
 jurisdictional frameworks 227–8
 merger control 226–7
 political context 228–9, 232–3
 resources, relevance of 229
 legal experience and expertise
 and competition law enforcement
 26–7, 64, 76–8, 147, 216–17
 need for competition law 70–74
 skepticism over 73–4

- see also* academics; Central America; Latin America; new competition regimes; small developing economies (SDEs)
- Diamond Shamrock-Dow Chemical merger 19
- DirectTV-News Corporation merger 277–80
- discovery
criticism of 179–80
in new competition regimes 179–81
in US enforcement 11–12, 179
- disqualification orders, as deterrence mechanism 165
- Dow Chemical-Diamond Shamrock merger 19
- Ecuador 157
- effects doctrine, in multi-jurisdictional mergers 279–80
- El Salvador
competition authorities 103, 109–10
competition investigations/cases 112–14
competition policies
competition advocacy 105–6, 114, 126–7
development 101–4
fines 111–12
leniency programs 112, 157
merger control 103, 107
reforms 103, 110–11, 114–15
- emerging markets *see* developing countries
- enforcement
adoption 67
competition authority role 74–5
focus of 74
slow progress, reasons for 68
compensation of victims 171–4
criminal sanctions 164–5
and economic efficiency 73–4
errors, possibility of 137, 145–6
judicial role in 78, 164
legal experience and expertise, role in 26–7, 64, 76–8, 147, 216–17
optimum levels of control 73–4
over-enforcement 145–6
political influence on 82–3, 129
public interest *vs.* self-interest 78–80, 82–4, 126–8, 175–6
reform focus 69, 87–90, 125
trends 168–9
under-enforcement 145–6
vested interests' impact on 68–9, 81–90, 128–9
see also deterrence; new competition regimes; private enforcement; supply and demand model
- environment, social/cultural competition policy, influence on 37–9, 51, 63–6
- European Union
CARICOM EPA 42–3
competition law
characteristics 168
conditionality, in trade agreements 42–3, 47
leniency programs 159
model, influence/adoption by other regimes 46–9, 52–5, 97, 106
and private enforcement 171–4, 177–8
supranational merger regulation 250–51
transferability, reasons for 42–3, 168, 171–2
- damages/compensation
need for flexibility 181–2
for victims 171
- discovery procedures 179–81
fines 150, 155
- Evans, L. 98
- Evenett, S. 237–8
- ex ante* reviews
advantages of 23, 169, 284–6
models of 245–7
- Federal Trade Commission (US), merger control powers under HSR
administrative burdens 24–6
amendments 24
case handling 25
development 13–14, 23–4
emphasis, change in 23
ex post assessments 26
expanded disclosure 26
fast tracks, development 22–3

- guidance instruments, development
 - of 20–22
- implementation 16–23, 26
- lessons learned 23–5
- notification thresholds 22–3
- second requests, difficulties with
 - 16–20
- fines
 - ability to pay 151–6
 - capping 152
 - in Central America 111–12
 - coercion 151
 - collaboration during investigation
 - 151
 - costs element 153
 - for latecomers 159–60
 - in Latin America 143
 - aggravating and mitigating factors
 - 150–53
 - baseline and calculation criteria
 - 149–50
 - problems with 148–9
 - recidivism 150–51
 - leniency program reductions
 - 158–60
 - optimum levels
 - and ability to pay 153–6
 - for cartels 147–8
 - discretion, influence of 148–9
 - guidance, need for 148–9
 - methods for establishing 154–6
 - obstacles 153–6
 - and transparency 147–9
 - and uncertainty 148–9
 - and public perception 160–61
 - third party benefits of offence,
 - relevance 152–3
 - true burden of 154
- Fingleton, John 226
- flour industry cartels 112–14
- foreign direct investment (FDI)
 - developing countries
 - protectionist policies 266–7
 - trends in 260–61, 265
 - mergers
 - cross-border, role of 260–64
 - impact on 263–4, 283
 - regulatory influences on 234–5,
 - 255, 259–61
- Fox, E.M. 44, 59–60, 64, 96–7
- free market enterprise
 - and competition law, conflict
 - between 73–4
 - negative aspects of 71–2, 81
 - and public interest 78–80, 82–4,
 - 126–7, 175–6
- Freund, Otto 38–40, 51, 65–6
- Gal, Michal S. 46, 97–8
- Georgetown Study of Private Antitrust
 - Litigation 175
- Gibbons, M. 320–24
- Gingras, Y. 320
- globalization 5
 - developing countries, influences on
 - compliance, capacity to impose
 - 228
 - different focus and goals 228–9
 - jurisdictional frameworks 227–8
 - merger control 226–7
 - political context 228–9, 232–3
 - resources, relevance of 229
 - and international policy 29, 167–8,
 - 225
- Godin, B. 320
- Grossfeld, B. 40
- Guatemala 93, 101, 104
- Gulf Oil 19–20
- harmonization
 - and convergence, compared 286
 - and regional integration, in Central
 - America 93, 99, 116, 124–5
- Hart, Philip 14–16
- Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust
 - Improvements Act 1976 (US)
 - background 11–12
 - criticisms 13–14
 - emphasis, change in policy on 23
 - enactment procedures 14–16
 - FTC implementation 16–23, 26
 - administrative burdens 24–6
 - amendments 24
 - case handling 25
 - development 13–14, 23–4
 - emphasis, change in 23
 - ex post* assessments 26
 - expanded disclosure 26
 - fast tracks, development 22–3
 - guidance, development of 20–22

- lessons learned 23–4
 - notification thresholds 22–3
 - prohibition rulings 26
 - second requests, difficulties with 16–20
- importance 10–11
- opposition to 15–16
- parens patriae* authority to obtain damages under 12, 16
- pre-complaint discovery powers under 11–12
- pre-merger mechanisms 12–14
- Honduras
 - competition authorities 103
 - competition policies
 - development 101–4
 - exceptions 108
 - international trade policy
 - influences 104
 - leniency programs 112
 - merger control 103, 107
 - reforms 103, 110
 - per capita income 93
- Hong Kong 1
- Hughes, P. 98
- IMF, influence on competition law
 - development 1, 79, 104
- immunity from prosecution *see* leniency; whistle-blowers
- inbound cross-border mergers
 - in developed countries 245–7, 253–9
 - in developing countries 245–7, 253–9
 - influences on
 - competition authority actions 242, 246
 - country-level control variables 239, 251–2
 - for target and acquirer 240–45
 - merger control
 - absence, impact of 239–41
 - implementation 237–8
 - measures of 249–50
 - supranational merger regulation 250–51
 - regulatory impact
 - administrative and fiscal burdens 246–7
 - deterrence effects 241–2
 - effectiveness scores 236–7, 246
 - institutional hazards 246
 - procedural effects 241–5
 - studies
 - literature review 236–9
 - model and criteria 239–45, 252–3
 - of regulatory impact 242–7, 253–9
 - variables 248–9
- India 9–10
- Indonesia 3, 61–3, 123
- information
 - collection mechanisms 112
 - exchange/cooperation
 - in cross-border and multi-jurisdictional mergers 272–5, 284
 - in developing countries and new competition regimes 27, 272–5, 284
 - inside information, importance of 215–16
 - sources, reliability of 215–16
- International Competition Network (ICN) 226
- international policy
 - competition law, as prerequisite 1, 79, 104
 - convergence 30, 286–7
 - and globalization 29, 167–8, 225
 - supranational merger regulations 250–51
- Isabella, L. 304
- Israel 45–7
- J. Truett Payne Co. v Chrysler Motors Corp (US)* 181
- Janowski, V. 238
- Japan 155
- Jordan 78
- judiciary, competition enforcement role 78, 164
- Juwuna, H. 43–4
- Kahn-Freund, Otto 38–9, 51, 65–8
- Kenya 72
- Keserauskas, Š. 227
- Korea 72–3, 162
- Kores, Neelie 175
- Kovacic, W.E. 235, 246
- Kram, K. 304

- Lande, R.H. 172–3
- Latin America
- cartels in 170
 - detection, probability of 143–4, 146
 - errors, possibility of 146
 - factors influencing 142, 217–19
 - finest 147–8, 218
 - leniency plus programmes 161
 - competition agencies
 - and legislative intervention 4
 - poor reputation, impact of 144–5
 - resources, impact of limitations 144–6
 - competition policies, generally
 - support for 122–32
 - corporate governance 154
 - deterrence
 - criminal sanctions 163–5
 - detection, probability of 140, 143–6, 156
 - discretion, influence of 148–9
 - disqualification orders 165
 - errors, influence of 145–6
 - guidance, need for 148–9
 - and human capital
 - underdevelopment 144–5
 - increased focus on 4, 139–40
 - measures and approaches 140–41
 - ‘naming and shaming’ 165
 - overdeterrence 145
 - phased reform, advantages of 140–41, 147, 166
 - success 166
 - and transparency 147–9
 - uncertainty 148–9
 - whistle-blowers, rewards for 162–3
 - finest
 - ability to pay 151–2
 - aggravating and mitigating factors 150–53
 - baseline and calculation criteria 149–50
 - for cartels 147–8, 218
 - coercion 150–51
 - collaboration during investigation 151
 - costs element 153
 - discretion, influence of 148–9
 - guidance, need for 148–9
 - methods for establishing 154–6
 - optimum levels of 143, 147–56
 - problems with 148–9
 - recidivism 150–51
 - third party benefits of offence, relevance 152–3
 - and transparency 147–9
 - trends 160
 - and uncertainty 148–9
 - national and regional features
 - cartels, factors influencing 142, 217–19
 - and competition resources, balancing 146–7
 - industry, concentration in 142
 - institutional characteristics 142
 - social and economic
 - characteristics 141–2
 - tertiary education trends 316, 318
 - whistle-blowers, rewards for 161–3
- Lavoie, C. 187
- legal education
 - role of 122
 - trans-disciplinary knowledge, theory of 320–24
 - see also* academics
- legal profession
 - experience and expertise
 - importance 76–8, 147
 - pooling 26–7, 74, 216–17
 - scarcity, in new regimes 64
 - see also* academics; information
- legal theory
 - interplay with economic theory and reality 32
 - on transferability of law, generally
 - criticism of 40
 - environment, relevance of 38–9, 51
 - Freund 38–40, 65–6
 - Montesquieu 38–40, 51, 65–6
 - Watson 38–40, 45, 65–6
- leniency
 - Amnesty Plus Programmes 161–3
 - in cartel activity 161, 191–200, 210
 - in Central America 112, 114, 118, 157, 159
 - competition authority discretion over 85–6

- as deterrence mechanism 133, 135, 157–8, 210–11
- eligibility for 158–63
 - first and subsequent applicants 196, 210
 - latecomers 159–60
 - reduced fines 158–60
- incentives 196–9
 - criminalization of conduct 199, 221
 - importance of 193, 213
 - legal uncertainty 195–6, 198, 209–10
 - multi-jurisdictional investigations 199
 - and prisoner's dilemma 191–2, 197–8, 210
 - probability of detection 156–63
 - public perception 160–61, 213
 - sector-specific investigations 199–200
 - for whistle-blowers 161–3, 197–9
- in Latin America 156–63
- in South Africa 191–200
- success of 135, 158, 208–9
- leniency plus programmes 161–3
- Leslie, C. 192, 196
- Lewis, D. 59–60
- Li, Q. 236–7, 246
- Marathon Oil 17–20
- Mauritius 212–15, 220
- mergers, generally
 - globalization, impact on 225–6
 - administrative burdens 232–3, 263–4
 - challenges 232–3
 - cost implications 232–3, 263–4
 - cross-border merger trends 231–2
 - in developing countries 225–7, 231–2
 - national and international conflicts 232–3
- merger control regimes
 - and bilateral agreements 271–4, 284
 - competition law context 229–30
 - costs and administrative burdens 232–5, 262–3
 - in developing countries 231–2, 235
 - and effects doctrine 279–80
 - and foreign direct investment (FDI) 234–5, 255, 259–64
 - influences on 229, 271–4, 284
 - information exchange/
 - cooperation, role of 272–5, 284
 - multi-jurisdictional considerations,
 - need for 277–80
 - regulatory focus of 230
 - standardization 226, 273, 275
 - threshold levels 137, 188–9
 - welfare goals 58–9, 61–4, 144–5, 223, 228–9
- regulation, as impediment to 259–61
 - see also* inbound cross-border mergers; multi-jurisdictional mergers
- Mexico
 - anti-competitive behaviour 72
 - competition authority progress 219
 - criminal sanctions 163
 - fines 155
 - leniency programs 157–8
 - western influences on competition
 - law 106
- Mobil Oil 17–20
- models of competition law *see under* competition regimes
- Montesquieu, Charles de Secondat, Baron de 38–40, 51, 65–6
- motivations, for competition
 - infringement 131–3
 - see also* deterrence
- Motta, M. 196–7
- multi-jurisdictional mergers
 - in Brazil
 - divestiture, as remedy 280–82, 284
 - extra-territorial consequences 277–80
 - fast track procedures 268
 - 'gun-jumping' 266–7, 270–72, 282–5
 - information exchange/cooperation 272–5, 284
 - interim measures 271–2
 - merger blocking 280–82
 - New Holland-Case, agricultural machines merger 275–7

- News Corporation-Hughes
 DirectTV merger 277–80
 notification thresholds 269–70
 reforms 268–9
 remedies, behavioural/structural
 275–82, 284
 timescales 267–8, 270–71, 275,
 285–6
- in developing countries
 bilateral agreements, influence of
 273–4, 284
 challenges 282–4
ex ante reviews, advantages of
 284–6
 FDI implications 260–64, 283,
 285–6
 information sharing/cooperation
 272–5, 284
 policy lessons 285–6
 remedies 275–80, 283–4
 timescales 282–6
- generally
 blocking mechanisms 280–82
 effects doctrine 279–80
 jurisdictional constraints 10, 264
 merger control considerations
 277–80
 merger regulation, as impediment
 to 259–61
 standardization 226, 273, 275
 timescales 263–4, 285–6
see also inbound cross-border
 mergers
- multilateralism
 limitations 29, 311
- multinational companies
 compliance, ability to avoid 228
 influence on competition policies 6
 in Central America 109–10
 leniency applications 199
see also multi-jurisdictional mergers
- ‘naming and shaming’ 165
- national champions 73
- Netherlands 155
- new competition regimes
 ‘contextualized’ approach 36, 51–7,
 65–6, 97, 123–4
 ‘cut and paste’ approach 36, 45–51,
 65, 97
- deterrence, as balancing act in
 135–6, 146–7, 213
- economic stage, relevance of 65
- experts, scarcity of 64
- goals of 64
- interpretation, influences on 35–6
- lessons for 209–10, 213–14
- phased adoption, advantages of
 64–5, 140–41, 147, 166
- possible policy paths 96–7
 and private enforcement 136–7
- public interest 78–80, 82–4, 126–7,
 175–6
- social/cultural environment,
 influences of 3, 37–9, 51,
 63–6
- sources, choice 35–6
- ‘tailor-made’ approach 36, 57–66,
 97, 122–3
see also academics
- New Holland-Case, agricultural
 machines merger 275–7
- New Zealand 98, 155
- News Corporation-Hughes DirectTV
 merger 277–80
- Nicaragua
 competition authorities 103, 109,
 115
 competition policies
 competition advocacy 106
 development 101–5
 exceptions 108, 117
 influences on 106, 128
 leniency programs 112
 merger control 103, 107
 reforms 103, 110
 vested interests, influence of 128
 per capita income 93
- Nigeria 305
- oil companies, sanctions against
 109–10
- Okurame, D. 304–5
- Olson, Mancur 82–3
- opponents, to competition *see* vested
 interests
- overdeterrence 5, 132–3, 145, 174–5
- Owen, B. 50
- Owens-Corning-Saint-Gobain merger
 (Brazil) 270–72, 280–81, 284

- Page, W. 267
- Panama
- competition authorities 103, 108–10
 - competition policies
 - development 101–4
 - finances 111–12
 - influences on 97, 106
 - leniency programs 112, 157, 159
 - merger control 103, 107
 - monopolistic practices 111
 - reforms 103, 110–11, 114
 - per capita income 93
- Pape, W. 63
- Peru 157
- politics, influences of
- on competition enforcement 82–3, 129
 - on globalization, in developing countries 228–9
 - on merger control regimes 229
- Portnoi, L. 302
- pressure groups *see* vested interests
- prisoner's dilemma, and cartel activity 191–2, 197–8, 210
- private enforcement
- attitudes to 168
 - in cartels, trends 168–70
 - class actions 176–7, 223–4
 - and competition authorities, overlapping roles 137
 - discovery 179–81
 - disgorgement 176–7
 - errors, possibility of 137
 - in European Union 171–4, 177–8
 - importance of 136–7, 223
 - in new competition regimes 4–5, 136–7, 182
 - advantages and disadvantages 171–7
 - codification of law 177–8
 - damages, need for flexibility 181–2
 - deterrence and compensation 171–4
 - discovery procedures 179–81
 - incorporation methods 177–82
 - lessons for 209–10
 - Western influences on 171, 177–81
 - and overdeterrence 174–5
 - penalties, compared with public actions 222–3
 - undeserving competitor plaintiff 175–6, 223
 - 'producer-protection' theory of economic regulation 83–4
 - proportionality, role of 133–4
 - public choice theory 222–3
 - public interest
 - and competition policy
 - cartel detection 198
 - conflicts between 78–80, 82–4, 126–7
 - vs. self-interest 78–80, 82–4, 175–6
 - recidivism, fines for 150–51
- Reekie, D. 59
- rent preserving *see* vested interests
- reputation, 'naming and shaming' as deterrence mechanism 165
- Rill, J.F. 263
- Rodrik, D. 50
- rule of reason, in competition policies 106–7, 123, 186
- Russia 86
- Safatle, C. 275
- sanctions
- in Central America 109–10, 114
 - and cognitive bias 133–4
 - criminal sanctions
 - difficulties with 164–5
 - in Latin America 163–5
 - as motivation for detection/leniency 133–4, 199, 221
 - in South Africa 221
 - deterrence role 132–3
 - and gains, proportionality 133–4
 - non-contentious solutions to 114
 - optimum levels 132–4, 147–56
 - see also* fines
- Sarris, V. 175
- Schimmelfennig, F. 43
- Sedelmeier, U. 43
- Serbia and Montenegro 88
- 'shadow economy' 129–30
- Sheth, M. 50
- Singapore 53–5
- Singleton, R.C. 64
- small developing economies (SDEs)
- characteristics 92, 97–8
 - competition policy

- barriers to 92
- competition advocacy, role of 105–6, 126–7
- and economic efficiency 98
- independence, lack of 92
- Western influences on 118
- see also* Central America
- Sokol, D. 315, 318
- South Africa
 - cartels
 - in bread industry 190, 194, 198, 205–6
 - case prioritization 201–2, 208
 - in cement industry 187, 190
 - development of 185–6
 - enforcement policy, history 5, 186–91, 220–21
 - finances and penalties 198, 202–7
 - investigation trends 187–91, 198
 - leniency policies 191–200, 207–9
 - prohibited conduct 186–7
 - and rule of reason 186
 - competition law
 - case identification criteria 201–2
 - deterrence, as focus 202–3
 - development 183–6, 221–2
 - early focus 5, 187–9, 200–201
 - legal challenges 187–8
 - merger control 187–9
 - model for 3, 36–7, 57–60
 - principles behind 185–6
 - prioritization strategies 200–202, 208
 - social and economic goals 58–9, 98–9
 - structural and cultural influences on 185–6, 221–2
 - unique features 60
 - economy
 - structural and cultural influences on 185–6, 221–2
 - leniency policies
 - amendments 194–6
 - immunity of ringleaders/instigators 194–5
 - importance of 207–9
 - incentives, for whistle-blowers 193–4, 197–9
 - and legal certainty 195–6, 198, 209–10
 - marker procedures 195
 - oral submissions 195
 - sectoral application trends 202
 - settlement procedures, role of 196–7
 - subsequent applicants for 196, 210
 - The Spirit of the Laws* (Montesquieu) 38
 - Stigler, George 69, 82, 87
 - supply and demand model of
 - economic theory
 - and competition enforcement 3, 68–9, 88–90, 125–30
 - competition culture, cultivation of 80–89
 - ‘compliance trap’ 80
 - consumer complaints
 - opportunities, relevance of 79–80
 - demand-side responses 78–81, 125–8
 - domestic support for 79–80, 126–7
 - excess supply 69, 80, 127
 - legal experience and expertise, importance 76–8
 - limitations 128–9
 - low enforcement equilibrium 69, 75–6, 80–81, 89
 - public goods 75
 - public interest 78–80, 82–4, 126–7, 175–6
 - reform analysis 69, 87–90, 125
 - regulatory landscape, relevance of 76–7, 79–80
 - restraints on 76–8
 - supply-side strategies 76–8, 125–6
 - suppressed supply 69
 - vested interests, role/influence of 68–9, 81–8
 - ‘tailor-made’ approach, to competition law adoption 36, 65–6, 97
 - advantage and disadvantages 63–5, 122–3
 - economic environment, relevance to 63
 - examples 57–63, 123
 - Takigawa, T. 246
 - theory *see* legal theory

- The Theory of Economic Regulation*
(Stigler) 69, 82
- Toure, K. 317–18
- transferability of competition regimes
120–21
difficulties 3, 49
Western models
adoption, reasons behind 41–3,
167–8
context, importance of 37, 39–40,
50–51
interpretation differences 46–9
and investment, attraction of 44
prerequisite conditions, lack of
50–51
and trade agreements 42, 44
- transferability of law, generally
consolidation, history 37–8
environment, relevance of 38–9, 51
European legal convergence 37–8
ideas and context 37, 39–40, 50–51
law and society, relationship between
38–9, 51, 65–6
pressure force 40
receptivity 39–40
socialist legal development 38
theories
criticism of 40
Freund 38–40, 65–6
Montesquieu 38–40, 51, 65–6
Watson 38–40, 45, 65–6
- trial and error approach, to
competition law adoption 56–7,
123–4
- ‘trial by ambush’ 179
- Turkey 3, 47–9, 155
- UNCTAD
competition law, support role 1–4,
121–2
holistic approach of 314, 326–7
Model Law on Competition 122–3
technical assistance programmes
314, 326–7
- undeserving competitor plaintiff
175–6, 223
- United Arab Emirates 1
- United Kingdom
competition policy, development 214
disqualification orders 165
fines 155
merger review procedures 214
whistle-blowers, rewards for 162
- United States
cartels 131
competition law
influences on Central American
policies 97, 106
leniency programs 159
transferability, reasons for 41–3
fines 155
merger control
compliance, capacity to impose 228
effects doctrine 279–80
multi-jurisdictional considerations
275–80
per capita income 93
private enforcement
advantages of 173
compensation trends 172–3
criticism of 173–4
development 178
discovery procedures 179–81
studies 175
trade policy influences 1, 42
treble damages actions 172–5
see also transferability of
competition regimes
- Velho, L. 312, 320, 323
- Venezuela 157
- vested interests
and competition enforcement
collective action, barriers to 83–5
competition authority
independence 87–8
consumers, influence of 84–7
and corruption 85–7
influences of 81–8
influences over 83–6, 128–9
lobbying 85–6
organizational barriers 83–4, 87,
128
pro-competition businesses, role
87
‘producer-protection’ theory of
economic regulation 83–4
public interest, conflicts with
policy 78–80, 82–4, 126–7,
175–6

- reform focus 87–8, 128
- supply and demand model of
 - economic theory 68–9, 81–90
- vitamin cartel, economic impact of 73
- Waked, D. 292
- Waller, S.W. 42
- Washington Consensus 71
- Watson, Alan 38–9, 45, 65–6
- whistle-blowers
 - and legal certainty 195–6, 198
 - leniency towards 161–3
 - incentives 197–9
 - in South Africa 193–4
- Williams, M. 246
- Wils, W.P.J. 161–2
- World Bank, influence on competition law development 1, 79, 104

