

Index

- Abbott, A. 152, 153
Abell, P. 152, 153
Achten, W. 19
Adamides, E. 158
Africa, eco-industrial parks and sustainable development 30–45, 228, 229, 230
agriculture sector 32, 36, 37–8, 40
Benin Republic 34, 36
beverage industries, recycling of waste materials 35–6
Cleaner Production Technology (CPT) 35–6, 38
economic development and cooperation, connection barriers 31, 40–41
education for sustainable development 36–7, 42
energy flows 34, 36
financial outlays 40–41
flexible and facilitated planning systems, need for 40
future direction 42
hazardous materials exposure 36
income gains and time lags, agricultural sector 37–8, 40
industrial symbiosis projects 32–5
institutional capacity 40–41, 42
international bodies, support from 35, 36–7, 38
Liberia 34, 36, 37, 38
pulp and paper industries, recycling of waste materials 35
resource recovery practices 34, 35
South Africa 32–5, 38
sustainable development issues 38–42
Tanzania 34, 35–6, 38, 42
UNEP, Mainstreaming Environment and Sustainability in African Universities (MESA) initiative 36–7
Agarwal, A. 14
agricultural sector 12, 17, 32, 36, 37–8, 40
Aizawa, H. 184
Alfaro, J. 3, 31, 34, 36, 37, 38, 41, 89
Allenby, B. 3, 30
Andrews, C. 145
Arzoumanidis, I. 3
Ashton, W. 2, 3, 5, 12–29, 39, 42, 73, 82, 89, 147–8, 175, 206, 228, 229
Asia *see* China; India; Japan; South Korea
Australia, bilateral symbiosis and geographic proximity issue 126–41, 229
by-product and waste, distinction between 128–9
commercial proximity and cost factors 127, 136–7
environmental regulation effects 130
geographic dispersion 134
independence between projects 132
industrial symbiosis attributes 129–32
Kalundborg, significance to Australia 130–32, 135–6
knowledge transfer 131
Kwinana Industrial Area 108
legal boundary of site where waste is held 128
manufacturing sector 133–5
network symbiosis 130–31, 132, 134
political structure 134
'remote configuration' problems 134–5
social proximity 131, 135–6
'third-party' facilitation effects 133, 136
transport hubs 134

- waste and environmental regulation,
NSW government strategy
137–8
- waste, problems in defining 128
- Austria 2
- Baas, L. 69–88, 128, 142, 150, 191, 193
- Bain, A. 2, 3, 17, 18, 89
- Balakrishnan, M. 17
- Bao, S. 158
- Bates, G. 137
- Batra, V. 17
- Baumann, H. 3, 69–88
- Behera, S. 31, 38, 39, 41, 42
- Belgium 75, 80, 81, 82, 84
- Benin Republic 34, 36
- beverage industries, Africa, recycling
of waste materials 35–6
- Bi, J. 157–74, 209–33
- bilateral symbiosis
Australia *see* Australia, bilateral
symbiosis
Europe 81, 83–4
- biofuel production, India 19
- biomass plants, US 51, 53, 60
- Blackman, A. 191, 197, 199, 205
- Block, C. 210
- Booher, D. 145, 150, 152
- Boons, F. 2, 3, 4, 5–6, 7, 16, 30, 31, 39,
40, 41, 69–88, 142, 143, 146, 148,
149, 150, 152, 153, 168, 175, 191,
192, 193–4, 205, 228, 231
- brand-marketing 58, 168
- Branson, R. 6, 126–41, 229
- Brent, A. 3, 30, 31, 32, 35, 38, 40, 41,
42
- Brullot, S. 69–88
- by-products
agricultural, and energy generation,
India 17
exchange programme, US 47, 48, 49,
50, 55, 57, 62, 63
synergies 48, 54, 55, 56, 63, 64, 144
and waste, distinction between,
Australia 128–9
see also residue; waste
- carbon emissions, China *see* China,
greenhouse gases reduction
strategies for eco-industrial parks
- Chauhan, M. 19
- Chen, X. 108, 131
- Chernykh, K. 69
- Chertow, M. 3, 6, 31, 38, 39, 41, 46, 47,
49, 50, 73, 94, 109, 112, 122, 126,
128, 131, 132–3, 142, 148, 175,
176, 231
- Cheung, K. 169
- China
circular economy programme and
eco-industrial parks 148
intra-firm network of symbiotic
exchanges 149
regulation of environmental issues
151
UK–China collaboration for
industrial symbiosis *see*
UK–China collaboration for
industrial symbiosis, and policy
transfer analysis
- China, eco-industrial park
development 157–74, 230
brand-marketing 168
China Power Valley 169
Circular Economy (CE) pilot areas
164–5
cost factors 163, 170
eco-development moves 170–71
economic growth rate 157, 164, 167
energy intensity improvement
163–4
environmental programme
implementation 162–7, 168,
170–71
foreign direct investment (FDI) 158,
159, 166, 169
future research 171
history 158–60
innovativeness 157–8, 167–8, 169
institutional flexibility, local 167
land area used and new zone
development 161, 162, 167
National Economic and Technology
Development Zones (NETDZ)
158, 159–61, 166, 169
National Torch Programme 169
organisational structure 166–7
pollution concerns 157, 159, 163,
166
Reform and Opening-up strategies

- and coastal special economic zones 158–9, 166, 167
 - regulatory enforcement and consensual negotiation balance 170
 - sustainable industrial strategies 164
 - veto system based on environmental performance 168
 - waste and wastewater reuse 164
- China, greenhouse gases reduction strategies for eco-industrial parks 209–27, 228, 229
- carbon accounting method 212–15
- carbon emission levels 215–20
- carbon management initiatives 222–5
- carbon reduction potentials 220, 221–2, 224
- Circular Economy (CE) strategy 211
- Economic Input-Output Life-Cycle Assessment (EIO-LCA) 212
- energy-saving measures 224
- industrial structural adjustment 222–3
- local carbon mitigation and low-carbon development role 211
- Suzhou Industrial Park (SIP) 168, 211–12, 216
- technological innovation and ‘double-hundred’ project 223–4
- Chitra, A. 15
- Chiu, A. 31, 39
- Choudhary, A. 20
- Christensen, J. 130, 135, 136
- Christerson, B. 160
- Cimren, E. 49
- Circular Economy (CE)
 - China 94, 100, 164–5, 211
 - Europe, industrial symbiosis comparative analysis 61, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80, 81
 - Japan, plastic recycling symbolising 184
 - UK–China collaboration 94, 100
 - US policy, influence of 61
- Cleaner Production (CP)
 - and foreign assistance, Africa 35–6, 38
 - initiatives, Colombia 191–208, 229, 230
 - climate change considerations, US 62–3
 - closed-loop systems 15, 176, 186
 - Cohen-Rosenthal, E. 2, 30, 46, 47
 - Cole, M. 157, 162
 - collaboration processes
 - Africa, eco-industrial parks and sustainable development 31, 40–41
 - Europe *see* industrial symbiosis (IS), collaboration processes, Europe
 - UK–China *see* UK–China collaboration for industrial symbiosis, and policy transfer analysis
 - US, environmental management features in industrial parks 50
 - Colombia, Cleaner Production (CP)
 - initiatives, Caldas experience 192, 195–204
 - antecedents of CP development 196–9
 - development mechanisms 199–202
 - educational levels of local professionals 197
 - environmental issues 197, 199, 203
 - government policy initiatives 197
 - implementation outcomes 203–4
 - innovation and initiatives, support for 201
 - ISO 14000 certification 202
 - lack of interest from potential participants 200–201
 - local authorities’ role 198–9
 - location-specific features 197–8
 - regional-level dissemination 199–202
 - social indicators 199, 203–4
 - voluntary environmental agreements with leading industrial sectors 199
 - Colombia, institutional capacity for sustainable industrial systems 191–208, 229, 230
 - emerging markets and environmental regulation 191–2
 - knowledge resources 194, 199–200, 203–4
 - mobilisation capacity 194, 201, 204
 - pre-existing industrial geography 193–4

- regional institutional capacity and interaction among stakeholders 192, 198–9, 200–201
- relational resources 194, 200, 204
- combined heat and power plant (CHP), US 48, 52, 53, 60
- Combustion Residue (coal ash) management and environmental impact, US 111–12, 113, 122
- cost factors
 - commercial proximity and cost factors, Australia 127, 136–7
 - eco-industrial park development, China 163, 170
 - eco-industrial parks, Africa 40–41
 - funding considerations, US 63–4, 65, 113
 - income gains and time lags, African agricultural sector 37–8, 40
 - post-consumption recycling fee, Japan 177, 178, 181, 182, 186–7
 - transport costs and residue types, US, Pennsylvania industrial waste management improvement 118, 122
- Costa, I. 61, 69–88, 89, 90, 92, 97, 122
- Côte, R. 2, 30, 58, 149, 211
- Cruz, F. 198
- Cumbers, A. 4

- Daly, H. 4
- Darnall, N. 191
- Das, T. 35
- Dasgupta, S. 191
- Davies, M. 3, 135
- Davis, C. 69–88
- De Loe, D. 90, 91
- Del Rio, P. 41
- Denmark
 - Greek green jobs transfer programme failure 91
 - Kalundborg multi-industry park 2, 80, 108, 126, 128–9, 142, 149
 - Kalundborg, significance to Australia 130–32, 135–6
- Dernbach, J. 109, 110, 112, 113, 121
- Deschenes, P. 31
- design for environment (DfE) guidelines, Japan 176, 178, 184
- Despeisse, M. 3

- Desrochers, P. 12, 30
- Deutz, P. 1–11, 20, 30, 46, 47, 57, 58, 59, 64, 69–107, 108, 142, 150, 151, 158, 175, 176, 191, 192, 205, 224, 228–33
- Diamond, J. 170
- Dicken, P. 5, 231
- Dijkema, G. 38
- Dippenaar, R. 109
- Doberstein, B. 147, 148, 159
- Dolowitz, D. 91, 102
- Doménech, T. 3, 135
- domestic appliance industry, Japan *see* Japan, product-based industrial ecologies
- domestic waste strategy, UK 97
- Dong, H. 157
- Dong, L. 89
- Dunn, B. 57

- Eckelman, M. 109
- eco-industrial development (EID)
 - India, initiatives and shortcomings 17–19, 23
 - US *see* US, eco-industrial development progress analysis *see also* industrial symbiosis
- eco-industrial parks
 - Africa *see* Africa, eco-industrial parks and sustainable development
 - China *see* China, eco-industrial park development; China, greenhouse gases reduction strategies for eco-industrial parks
 - definition, US 46–7
 - resource recovery parks 34, 35, 48, 52, 77, 81
 - US *see under* US, eco-industrial development progress analysis *see also* industrial symbiosis
- eco-towns, Japan 176–81, 182, 186–7
- economic coordination, industrial symbiosis 148–9
- economic development considerations 31, 40–41, 47, 57–8, 62, 80–81, 130
- economic growth rate, China 157, 164, 167

- economies of scale 120, 182, 183, 186
- education
 ‘action-oriented intentional learning’
 92
 industrial ecology education, India
 22, 23
 ‘learning-by-doing’ programme,
 Colombia 203
 local professionals, levels of,
 Colombia 197
 for sustainable development, Africa
 36–7, 42
 see also knowledge transfer
- effluent *see* waste
- Ehrenfeld, J. 2, 31, 36, 38, 94, 108, 112,
 126, 131, 132, 142, 175, 176, 195
- Eilering, J. 142, 151
- Eklund, M. 69–88
- electronic consumer goods sector,
 India 20–21
see also manufacturing sector
- Emtairah, T. 78, 94
- energy
 energy-saving measures, China 224
 flows 34, 36, 73–4, 82
 generation and agricultural by-
 products, India 17
 intensity improvement, China 163–4
 renewable energy and energy from
 waste, US 59–60
- Engberg, H. 126, 130
- environmental concerns
 climate change considerations, US
 62–3
 environmental programme
 implementation, China 162–7,
 168, 170–71
 greenhouse gas emissions *see* China,
 greenhouse gases reduction
 strategies for eco-industrial
 parks
 India *see* India, environmental
 protection
 International Council for Local
 Environmental Initiatives
 (ICLEI) 61, 212
 pollution *see* pollution
 regulation effects, Australia 130, 138
 waste *see* waste
 see also recycling
- Erkman, S. 2, 17, 21, 22, 79
- Eskeland, G. 157
- Etriki, J. 40
- Europe
 Austria 2
 Belgium 75, 80, 81, 82, 84
 Denmark *see* Denmark
 EU SWITCH-Asia programme
 funding 94, 95
 France 75–6, 80, 81, 82
 Germany 76, 80–81, 82
 industrial symbiosis (IS)
 comparative analysis *see*
 industrial symbiosis (IS)
 comparative analysis, Europe
 Netherlands 76–7, 80, 81, 82, 142,
 148, 150–52
 Portugal 77, 80–81, 82, 84
 Spain 77–8, 81, 82, 84
 Sweden 78, 80, 82
 Switzerland 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84
 UK *see* UK headings
 Waste Framework Directive and
 Landfill Directive 97
- Evans, L. 142
- Evans, M. 90, 91, 92
- Event Sequence Analysis (ESA),
 industrial symbiosis 152–3
- extended producer responsibility
 (EPR) 19–21, 177
- fees, post-consumption recycling fee,
 Japan 177, 178, 181, 182, 186–7
see also cost factors
- Feichtinger, J. 65
- Ferrão, P. 77, 84
- financial factors *see* cost factors;
 ‘economic’ headings
- Fischer, M. 59
- foreign direct investment (FDI) 35, 38,
 158, 159, 166, 169
- France 75–6, 80, 81, 82
- Fredriksson, P. 157, 162
- Frosch, R. 3, 80, 108, 129
- Fujita, T. 176, 179, 180
- funding *see* cost factors
- future developments 23–4, 42,
 64–5
- future research 103, 122, 152–3, 171,
 192, 206

- Gallopoulos, N. 3, 80, 108, 129
 Geng, Y. 6, 147, 148, 159, 165, 166, 209, 211
 geographic context appreciation, need for 3
 geographic proximity, Australia *see* Australia, bilateral symbiosis and geographic proximity issue
 Germany 76, 80–81, 82
 Gertler, N. 2, 73, 108, 126, 130–31, 132, 136, 142, 148
 Gibbs, D. 4, 46, 47, 57, 58, 59, 64, 69–107, 108, 142, 150, 151, 158, 175, 176, 191, 192, 205, 224, 231
 Gidwani, V. 14
 Glazer, B. 111, 112
 Global North and Global South development level classification 5
 Global Reporting Initiative implementation, India 15
 Gmünder, S. 19
 Gokhale, J. 20
 Goldblum, C. 168
 government sponsorship, Japan 183, 186
 Graedel, T. 30
 Greece, green jobs transfer programme failure 91
 green jobs and green marketing focus, US 57–8, 62
 greenhouse gas emissions, China *see* China, greenhouse gases reduction strategies for eco-industrial parks
 Gregson, N. 3, 5
 Guevarra, L. 62, 63

 Hall, P. 148, 151
 Hansen, J. 38, 41
 Harpet, C. 76
 Harrison, A. 157
 Hasler, A. 76
 Hauff, M. von 80
 Hayter, R. 229, 231
 Healey, P. 7, 145–6, 150, 192, 194
 Heeres, R. 31, 39, 63, 132, 151
 Heiskanen, E. 224
 Hekkert, M. 192
 Hewes, A. 175
 Hillman, T. 212
 Hirschhorn, J. 191

 Hoffman, A. 193
 home appliance industry, Japan *see* Japan, product-based industrial ecologies
 Hotta, Y. 179, 186
 Howard-Grenville, J. 2, 16, 38, 39, 82, 94, 109, 131, 133, 142, 231
 Hu, L. 108–25
 Huang, X. 223
 Hussler, C. 169
 Husted, B. 192

 income gains and time lags, African agricultural sector 37–8, 40
 India, environmental protection 12–29, 228, 229
 agricultural sector 12, 17
 biofuel production 19
 Common Effluent Treatment Plants (CETPs) 16–17
 E-waste (management and handling) Rules 20–21
 eco-industrial development (EID) initiatives and shortcomings 17–19, 23
 electronic consumer goods sector 20–21
 extended producer responsibility (EPR) 19–21
 future focus recommendations 23–4
 Global Reporting Initiative implementation 15
 industrial ecology, current implementation 13–22
 industrial ecology education 22, 23
 international programmes, limited effect of 16
 ISO 14001 implementation 15
 life cycle assessment (LCA) 19, 23
 manufacturing sector 12
 pollution awareness 12–13, 24
 regional networks and industrial symbiosis 16–19, 23
 Samsung Takeback and Recycling (STAR) programme 20–21
 small-scale enterprises, environmental initiative challenges 15–16
 social metabolism of energy and materials 22, 23

- steel industry life cycle assessment (LCA) 19
- sugar industry and by-product management 17
- Tata group of companies, sustainability focus 15
- Tetra Pak, and extended producer responsibility (EPR) 20
- textile industry resource recycling 21–2
- traditionally recyclable materials and industrial waste, contrasting resource management 13–14
- UN, National Cleaner Production Centre (NCPC), Delhi 16
- waste management and sustainability measures 14–16
- World Bank, 'Environmental Management Capacity Building' project 16
- industrial ecology
 - academic interest expansion 2–3
 - geographic context appreciation, need for 3
 - Global North and Global South development level classification 5
 - industrialisation effects 7
 - institutional importance 6–7
 - international perspective 16, 59, 151–2, 228–33
 - transferability of practices from developed to developing countries 3–4
 - see also* individual countries; waste
- industrial symbiosis
 - Africa, eco-industrial parks 32–5
 - business motivations 148
 - by-products, synergies 48, 54, 55, 56, 63, 64, 144
 - collaboration *see* UK–China
 - collaboration for industrial symbiosis, and policy transfer analysis
 - economic coordination 148–9
 - Event Sequence Analysis (ESA) 152–3
 - and firm size, US 120, 121
 - future research 152–3
 - institutional capacity, building 145, 147–52
 - mechanism-based approach 146–52
 - mobilisational capacity 145
 - motivations and opportunities of actors, effects of 145, 147–52
 - network interactions and decisions made by particular people at particular moments 144–5, 147–52
 - process 143–6
 - product-based industrial ecologies, Japan 175–6
 - regional industrial system, global differences 151–2
 - regulatory pressure 147
 - self-regulation effects 151
 - societal pressures 147–8
 - utility synergies 144
 - varieties 142–56, 230
 - see also* eco-industrial development; eco-industrial parks; waste
- industrial symbiosis (IS) comparative analysis, Europe 69–88, 228, 229
- bilateral inter-firm residue flows 81, 83–4
- Circular Economy 61, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80, 81
- collaboration processes 70–74
- defining IS 72–4
- energy flows 73–4, 82
- networks, incidence of 73, 80
- place-oriented IS 74, 84
- private and public sector involvement 80, 81
- process-oriented IS 83
- regional economic development initiatives 80–81
- residue-oriented IS 73–4, 81, 83–4
- resource recovery parks 77, 79, 81
- terms, usage comparison 71
- waste policies 81, 82, 84–5
- see also* Europe
- informal waste disposal sector, China 101
- information exchange *see* knowledge transfer
- infrastructure synergies and energy generation, US 59–60
- Innes, J. 145, 150, 152

- innovation 100, 157–8, 167–8, 169, 201, 223–4
- institutional capacity
 Africa, eco-industrial parks 40–41, 42
 China, eco-industrial park development 167
 Colombia *see* Colombia, institutional capacity for sustainable industrial systems
 industrial symbiosis varieties 145, 147–52
- integrated farming research (IFR), Africa 36, 37–8
- international bodies, support for Africa 35, 36–7, 38
- International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) 61, 212
- international perspectives 16, 59, 151–2, 228–33
- International Society of Industrial Ecology 59
- Ioppolo, G. 2, 3
- Isenmann, R. 69–88
- ISO 14000 certification 15, 202
- Jacobsen, N. 132, 209
- Jain, S. 22
- Janssen, M. 142, 175
- Japan, product-based industrial ecologies 175–90, 228
 Association for Electric Home Appliances (AEHA), performance guidelines 177, 181
 closed-loop system 176, 186
 consultation and localisation versus national integration 185–7
 design for environment (DfE) guidelines 176, 178, 184
 distribution system 177–8
 eco-towns with HARL recycling plants 179–81
 eco-towns and Home Appliance Recycling Law (HARL) 176–81, 182, 186–7
 Ecology Net Ltd, establishment of 183
 economies of scale 182, 183, 186
 government sponsorship 183, 186
 individual producer responsibility through recycler integration 181–5
 industrial symbiosis interactions 175–6
 knowledge transfer 184, 185
 national recycling capacity contribution 179
 original equipment manufacturers' (OEMs) responsibilities 178, 182–4, 185, 187
 plant location decisions 185, 186
 post-consumption recycling fee 177, 178, 181, 182, 186–7
 recycling materials into appliance manufacturing process 184–5
see also manufacturing sector
- Jensen, P. 6, 81, 109, 118, 126, 133, 135, 229
- Jiao, W. 4, 89
- Jones, D. 158
- Jung, S. 209, 210, 211, 224
- Kakkar, M. 19
- Kalundborg multi-industry park *see under* Denmark
- Kapur, A. 17
- Kaseva 34, 35, 36, 38, 42
- Kennedy, C. 212, 216
- Kennett, P. 6
- Knight, L. 128
- knowledge transfer 17, 73, 92, 184, 185
 geographic proximity issue, Australia 131
 knowledge resources, Colombia 194, 199–200, 203–4
see also education
- Koenig, A. 61
- Korevaar, G. 69–88
- Korhonen, J. 131, 132, 175
- Kronenberg, J. 30
- Ladi, S. 91
- Lalchandani, N. 20
- land use
 brownfield development, US 51, 52, 53, 54, 57, 60, 63
 and new zone development, China 161, 162, 167
see also location

- landfill regulation 97–9, 101, 138, 213
 Laybourn, P. 89, 94, 131, 135
 Layton, A. 4
 Leal, J. 195
 learning *see* education; knowledge transfer
 Lehtoranta, S. 169
 Lei, Y. 209–27
 Lever-Tracy, C. 160
 Li, L. 224
 Liang, S. 157, 209
 Liberia 34, 36, 37, 38
 life cycle assessment (LCA), India 19, 23
 Lifset, R. 176
 Lin, P. 169
 Lindqvist, T. 176
 Liu, L. 6–7, 95, 157–74, 209, 210, 224, 230
 local authorities' role, Colombia 198–9
 local community policies, shortage of, US 61–2
 local governments and environmental protection policies, China 166–7, 168, 170–71
 location
 geographic proximity, Australia *see* Australia, bilateral symbiosis and geographic proximity issue
 localisation of waste generation and treatment/disposal, US 116–18, 122
 location-specific features, Colombia 197–8
 place-oriented industrial symbiosis, Europe 74, 84
 plant location decisions, Japan 185, 186
 relocation of backward industries, China 224–5
 see also land use
 Lombardi, D. 2, 30, 31, 69, 89, 112, 131, 133, 135
 Lopes, M. 77
 Lowe, E. 142, 209
 Lowitt, P. 5, 38, 46–68, 108, 121, 228
 Luo, Q. 91
 Lyon, T. 206
 Lyons, D. 1–11, 65, 74, 83, 108–25, 175, 228–33
 McKinnon, D. 4
 McKitterick, T. 59
 MacLachlan, I. 108
 McManus, P. 6, 73, 126–41, 229
 Magrini, A. 76
 Mahadev, R. 19
 Malik, A. 109, 112
 Mangan, A. 50–51, 57, 62–3
 Mami, M. 15
 Manomaivibool, P. 3
 manufacturing sector 12, 20–22, 116, 133–5
 Japan *see* Japan, product-based industrial ecologies
 Marsden, G. 91, 102
 Marsh, D. 91, 102
 Martens, P. 5
 Martin, M. 60, 78
 Massard, G. 69–88
 Massey, D. 5
 Mathews, J. 24, 89, 100, 102, 164, 165
 Mathiyazhagan, K. 15
 Matus, K. 162
 Maudgal, S. 19
 Maxwell, J. 206
 Mayilvaganan, V. 21
 Mbuligwe, S. 34, 35, 36, 38, 42
 Miller, S. 3, 31, 34, 36, 37, 38, 41, 89
 Mirata, M. 78, 79, 94, 133
 mobilisation capacity 145, 194, 201, 204
 Montiel, I. 192
 Moraes, C. 15
 Morrissey, M. 94
 motivations and opportunities of actors, effects of 145, 147–52
 Mouzakitis, Y. 158
 Muduli, K. 13, 16
 Müller, M. 176
 Murakami, S. 184
 Netherlands
 Canal Zone and Biopark Terneuzen 151–2
 industrial symbiosis characteristics 76–7, 80, 81, 82, 142
 sustainable development of industrial parks 148, 150–52
 networks
 Europe 73, 80

- incidence of, Europe 80
and industrial symbiosis, India
16–19, 23
interactions and decisions made by
particular people at particular
moments 144–5, 147–52
policy transfer, UK–China
collaboration 94
recovery and recycling networks,
Japan 178
symbiosis, Australia 130–31, 132,
134
Newsham, G. 224
Ng, L. 158
Nikolic, I. 38
non-conventional reuses, increase in,
US 115, 119–20, 121
non-hazardous industrial waste, US
see US, Pennsylvania industrial
waste management improvement,
non-hazardous industrial waste
(NHIW)
North, D. 6, 145

Ohnishi, S. 7
Olayide, O. 3–4, 5, 30–45, 228, 229, 230
Oliver, C. 145, 147
original equipment manufacturers'
(OEMs) responsibilities, Japan
178, 182–4, 185, 187
Ossebaard, M. 192
Ott, T. 175

Pandey, K. 19
Paquin, R. 38, 39, 82, 94, 109, 131,
133, 142
Pargal, S. 15
Park, H. 3, 108
Park, J. 109, 111, 113, 120, 122
Patchell, J. 7, 175–90, 228
Peck, J. 6, 92, 102, 148
Pellenbarg, P. 39, 77
Penn, A. 79
Pennsylvania *see* US, Pennsylvania
industrial waste management
improvement
Perez, C. 231
Peters, G. 164
Petersson, K. 78
Phillips, P. 31

place orientation *see* location
policies
carbon management initiatives,
China 223
collaboration processes, Europe 74
drivers, US, eco-industrial
development 60–63, 65
government sponsorship, Japan 183,
186
industrial waste management
improvement, US, Pennsylvania
112–13
initiatives, Colombia 197, 198–9
local community policies, shortage
of, US 61–2
local governments and
environmental protection
policies, China 166–7, 168,
170–71
policy transfer, UK–China *see*
UK–China collaboration for
industrial symbiosis, and policy
transfer analysis
regulatory enforcement and
consensual negotiation balance,
China 170
regulatory pressure 147
self-regulation effects 151
taxation 62, 97–9
waste and environmental regulation,
need for, Australia 138
waste regulation, Europe 81, 82,
84–5
political structure, Australia, bilateral
symbiosis and geographic
proximity issue 134
pollution
changing awareness of, India 12–13,
24
concerns, China, eco-industrial park
development 157, 159, 163,
166
see also waste
Poole, M. 147, 152, 153
Portugal 77, 80–81, 82, 84
Posch, A. 30, 39, 132, 145
Pregernig, M. 65
Prince, R. 102
private sector involvement 51, 52, 53,
54, 55, 56, 63, 80, 81

- process-oriented industrial symbiosis, Europe 83
 product-based industrial ecologies *see* manufacturing
 public sector involvement 63–4, 80, 81
 Puig, R. 78
 pulp and paper industries, Africa, recycling of waste materials 35
 Puppim de Oliveira, J. 17, 191, 192, 195, 205
 Qi, Y. 225
 Qiu, J. 209
 quality protocols and guidance standards, UK 99
 Ramaswami, A. 212
 Ramaswamy, R. 17, 21, 22
 Rathi, A. 16
 Raza, M. 5
 recycling
 eco-industrial parks, Africa 35
 fees, Japan 177, 178, 181, 182, 186–7
 individual producer responsibility, Japan 181–5
 non-conventional reuses, increase in, US 119–20
 traditionally recyclable materials, India 13–14, 20–22
 Waste Resource and Action Programme (WRAP), UK 99
 see also environmental concerns; waste
 Reddy, R. 14
 regulation *see* policies
 renewable energy and energy from waste, US 59–60
 residue
 Europe industrial symbiosis 73–4, 81, 83–4
 residual waste reuse, US, Pennsylvania 111–12, 113, 115, 122
 see also by-products; waste
 resource recovery parks 34, 35, 48, 52, 77, 81
 see also eco-industrial parks
 Rice, M. 108–25
 Richards, D. 3
 Rigg, J. 2
 risk assessments, need for, US 122
 Roberts, B. 30, 31, 40, 134, 136
 Romero Arozamena, E. 69–88
 Rose, A. 113
 Rose, R. 91
 Ruiz Puente, M. 69–88
 Sakr, D. 170
 Salmi, O. 89
 Samsung Takeback and Recycling (STAR) programme, India 20–21
 Sato, M. 179
 Scheinberg, A. 40
 Schiller, F. 2
 Schön, M. 76
 Schwarz, E. 2, 132
 Scott, W. 145, 193
 Seely, A. 97, 99
 self-regulation effects 151
 Selznick, P. 193
 Seuring, S. 176
 Sharma, A. 19
 Sharma, S. 14
 Shenoy, M. 5, 12–29, 42, 228, 229
 Shi, H. 108, 147, 148, 151, 158, 159, 168, 170, 175, 209
 Simboli, A. 89
 Singhal, S. 17
 Skinner, M. 166
 small-scale enterprises, India 15–16
 Smith, M. 231
 social indicators, Cleaner Production, Colombia 199, 203–4
 social metabolism of energy and materials, India 22, 23
 social proximity, Australia 131, 135–6
 societal pressures 147–8
 Soskice, D. 148, 151
 South Africa 32–5, 38
 South Korea 3, 38, 40, 108, 210
 Spain 77–8, 81, 82, 84
 Spekkink, W. 2, 6, 7, 40, 69–88, 142–56, 230
 Srivastava, S. 176
 Stead, D. 91, 102
 steel industry life cycle assessment (LCA), India 19
 Steinemann, A. 57
 Steininger, K. 2, 132
 Sterr, T. 175

- Stone, D. 6, 90, 91
 Streicher-Porte, M. 20
 Stulgross, D. 12
 sugar industry and by-product management, India 17
 sustainable development
 converging traditional practice and modern environmental protection, India 14–16
 eco-industrial park development, China 164
 eco-industrial parks, Africa *see* Africa, eco-industrial parks and sustainable development
 institutional capacity *see* Colombia, institutional capacity for sustainable industrial systems
 Suzhou Industrial Park (SIP), China 168, 211–12, 216
 see also China
 Swainson, R. 90, 91
 Sweden 78, 80, 82
 Switzerland 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84
- Tan, H. 24, 100, 165
 Tang, M. 169
 Tanzania 34, 35–6, 38, 42
 Tasaki, T. 177, 178
 Tata group, India 15
 taxation 62, 97–9
 see also policies
 technological innovation 100, 157–8, 167–8, 169, 201, 223–4
 Terazono, A. 178, 186
 Terneuzen Biopark, Netherlands 151–2
 see also Netherlands
 Tetra Pak, and extended producer responsibility (EPR), India 20
 textile industry resource recycling, India 21–2
 Thapliyal, A. 109, 112
 Theodore, N. 148
 third-party facilitator approach 94, 103, 133, 136
 Thompson, R. 13
 Tian, J. 2, 6, 108
 Tianjin Economic-Technological Development Area (TEDA), China 92–7, 100–101, 163, 168
 see also China
- Togo, M. 36
 Tojo, N. 180
 traditional practice, India *see* India, converging traditional practice and modern environmental protection
 training *see* education
 transport costs and residue types, US 118, 122
 transport hubs, Australia 134
 Tsay, A. 153
 Tuan, C. 158
 Tudor, T. 30, 31, 39
- UK
 Circular Economy policy 61, 79, 80, 81, 94, 100
 industrial symbiosis characteristics 61, 79, 80, 81, 84
 National Industrial Symbiosis Programme (NISP) 50, 57, 81, 82, 92–5, 133, 135, 142
 UK–China collaboration for industrial symbiosis, and policy transfer analysis 89–107, 230
 ‘action-oriented intentional learning’ 92
 Circular Economy development 94, 100
 company liability and duty of care, UK 99
 domestic waste strategy, UK and EU Waste Framework Directive and Landfill Directive 97
 EU SWITCH-Asia programme funding 94, 95
 future research 103
 implementation and enforcement standards, consideration of differing 103
 industrial symbiosis promotion, China 100–101
 industrial symbiosis support, UK 99
 industrialisation effects, China 95
 informal waste disposal sector, China 101
 landfill bans, UK 99
 landfill disincentives, China 101
 landfill disincentives and landfill tax, UK 97–9

- national policy context, China 100–101
- national policy context, UK 97–9
- operational delivery 94–5
- policy transfer network 94
- quality protocols and guidance
 - standards for recovered materials being used as inputs, UK 99
- resource efficiency focus, China 100
- suitability assessment 91–2
- third-party facilitator approach 94, 103
- Tianjin Economic-Technological Development Area (TEDA), China 92–7, 100–101, 163, 168
- Waste Resource and Action Programme (WRAP) and recycling, UK 99
- Zero Waste Economy (ZWE) proposal, UK 97
- UN Habitat report 61
- UN National Cleaner Production Centre (NCPC), Delhi 16
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme)
 - Cleaner Production Technology (CPT) 16, 35
 - Mainstreaming Environment and Sustainability in African Universities (MESA) initiative 36–7
- UNIDO (United Nations Industrial Development Organisation), Cleaner Production Technology (CPT) 35, 38
- Unruh, G. 169
- US, eco-industrial development
 - progress analysis 46–68, 228
 - academic support 59, 65
 - American Planning Association (APA) involvement 60, 61, 62–3, 65
 - biomass plants 51, 53, 60
 - brand-marketing 58
 - brownfield development 51, 52, 53, 54, 57, 60, 63
 - by-product synergies (BPS) 48, 54, 55, 56, 63, 64
 - Circular Economy policy, influence of 61
 - climate change considerations 62–3
 - collaborative environmental management features in industrial parks 50
 - combined heat and power plant (CHP) 48, 52, 53, 60
 - contaminated land restoration 60
 - eco-industrial park definition 46–7
 - eco-industrial parks (EIP) 46–54*passim*, 57–65*passim*
 - economic benefits 57–8, 62
 - economic development considerations 47
 - energy generation 59–60
 - environmental concerns 50, 57–9, 62
 - first wave developments 46–8, 49, 50, 57, 58, 60
 - funding considerations 63–4, 65
 - future developments 64–5
 - green jobs and green marketing focus 57–8, 62
 - holistic development 51, 52, 53, 54, 58–9, 61
 - International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) involvement 61
 - local community policies, shortage of 61–2
 - new wave projects 50–57
 - new wave projects, drivers for 57–64
 - policy drivers 60–63, 65
 - private sector involvement 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 63
 - public sector funding 63–4
 - regional non-park projects 50, 57
 - resource recovery parks 48, 52
 - tax considerations 62
 - US Business Council for Sustainable Development (USBCSD), by-product exchange programme 47, 48, 49, 50, 55, 57, 62, 63
 - waste tracking suggestion 64–5
- US, Pennsylvania industrial waste management improvement 108–25, 229
- disposal strategies 115
- economies of scale and re-use capacity 120

- financial constraints 113
- future research 122
- industrial symbiosis by firm size 120, 121
- policy context 112–13
- residual waste reuse 111–12, 113, 115, 122
- risk assessments, need for 122
- source-reduction strategy
 - requirement for each type of waste 112
- US non-hazardous industrial waste estimates 109, 110
- US, Pennsylvania industrial waste management improvement, non-hazardous industrial waste (NHIW) 110–20
- coal ash in Portland cements 111, 113
- Combustion Residue (coal ash) management and environmental impact 111–12, 113, 122
- geographic variations 115–20
- industrial symbiosis increase 118–19
- localisation of waste generation and treatment/disposal 116–18, 122
- manufacturing economy evolution 116
- non-conventional reuses, increase in 115, 119–20, 121
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) programme 109–10
- transport costs and residue types 118, 122
- US Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) 110
- utility synergies, industrial symbiosis 144
- Van Beers, D. 6, 83, 108
- Van Berkel, R. 15, 16, 132, 144, 176, 179, 206
- Van Beukering, P. 14
- Van de Ven, A. 152
- Van der Veeke, T. 39
- van Hoof, B. 7, 40, 191–208, 229, 230
- Van Leeuwen, M. 175
- Van Rossem, C. 176
- Varun, I. 19
- Vassanadumrongdee, S. 3
- Veiga, L. 76
- Velázquez, L. 191
- Veleva, V. 47
- Venkatesan, R. 21
- Verguts, V. 69–88
- Vermeulen, W. 3, 39, 142, 151
- veto system based on environmental performance, China 168
- voluntary environmental agreements, Colombia 199
- Wang, D. 169
- Wang, H. 4–5, 157, 165, 209–27, 228, 229
- Wang, Q. 6, 38, 50, 70, 79, 81, 89–107, 122, 133, 230
- Wang, S. 101
- Ward, J. 113
- waste
 - by-product and waste, distinction between, Australia 128–9
 - Common Effluent Treatment Plants (CETPs), India 16–17
 - definition problems, Australia 128
 - energy from waste, US 59–60
 - environmental concerns *see* environmental concerns
 - hazardous materials, exposure to, Africa 36
 - informal waste disposal sector, China 101
 - landfill regulation 99, 101, 138, 213
 - management improvement *see* US, Pennsylvania industrial waste management improvement
 - policies, Europe 81, 82, 84–5, 97
 - regulation, need for, Australia 138 and sustainability measures, India 14–16
 - tracking suggestion, US 64–5
 - Waste Resource and Action Programme (WRAP), UK 99 and wastewater reuse, China 164 *see also* by-products; industrial ecology; industrial symbiosis; pollution; recycling; residue
- Wath, S. 20

- Wernet, G. 19
White, R. 2
Whiteley, N. 14
Wilson, D. 40
Wolf, A. 78
Wong, T. 168
World Bank, 'Environmental
Management Capacity Building'
project, India 16
- Yang, W. 224
Yellishetty, M. 19
Yong, G. 31, 39
- Yu, C. 2
Yuan, Z. 3, 148, 157, 164, 165
- Zero Waste Economy (ZWE) proposal,
UK 97
- Zhang, B. 157–74
Zhang, L. 147, 151, 164, 209, 212
Zhang, M. 223
Zhang, S. 101
Zhang, X. 101
Zhao, H. 211
Zhu, Q. 131, 149
Zhuang, Z. 108

