
Figures

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 1.1 | Steps in the systematic literature review | 7 |
| 1.2 | Top ten scholarly outlets | 9 |
| 1.3 | Entrepreneurial settings | 10 |
| 1.4 | Types of methodological approach | 11 |
| 1.5 | Top countries studied | 12 |
| 5.1 | Input–processes–outcome (I-P-O) framework for boards and TMTs in high-tech start-ups | 115 |
| 5.2 | Development of studies on high-tech board and board/TMT | 119 |
| 6.1 | Terminology used in the chapter | 145 |
| 7.1 | Full sample predicted probabilities | 179 |
| 9.1 | A possible representation of the effect of organizational size on the adoption of formal alliance governance mechanisms in family versus non-family firms | 242 |
| 10.1 | Building blocks for the development of innovation-promoting boards | 257 |
| 10.2 | Process model for the development of innovation-promoting boards | 264 |
| 11.1 | Engagement theory of governance negotiated field, static view, model 1 | 284 |
| 11.2 | Engagement theory of governance negotiated field, continuing view, model 2 | 285 |
| 12.1 | Different defensive mechanisms put in place by Rigiflex International founders before and at IPO | 318 |
| 14.1 | The set of corporate governance mechanisms | 373 |
| 14.2 | The research model | 375 |
| 15.1 | The mechanisms for board and top management to govern and support corporate entrepreneurship in a large company | 425 |