

Index

- access to justice 27, 51, 66–8, 81, 111, 130, 134–5, 141, 183, 235–6, 241–2, 248, 254, 262
- access-to-justice movement 228–9
- ADR
 - see* alternative dispute resolution
- alternative dispute resolution
 - Australia 198–201
 - definition and classification 223–4, 238–9, 245
 - England and Wales 108–109, 111–12, 126–9
 - origin and historical development 226, 229–32
 - regulatory requirements 237–8
 - scheme
 - see* Australia, “Storm Resolution Scheme”
 - techniques 223–4
- area of judicial cooperation
 - see* European civil judicial area
- arbitration 35, 147, 153, 164–5, 226–7, 241, 287–9, 295, 297–8
- Australia
 - Civil Dispute Resolution Act 2011 (CDR Act) 198–9
 - class action regimes 173–4
 - Federal Court of Australia Act 1976 (FCA Act) 172
 - Practice Note CM 17
 - see* guidance on the conduct of class actions
 - section 33V 177, 178
 - federal court class actions
 - cost and funding 175–6
 - court approval of mass settlements 176–7, 179–82, 184–90
 - guidance on the conduct of class actions 173, 179–80, 185–6, 201
 - “guardian for group members’ interests” 197–8
 - “Storm Resolution Scheme” 199–201
- Belgium
 - Belgium Mediation
 - see* Belmed
 - Belmed 6, 285–6
 - access 289–90
 - information on ADR techniques and agencies 286–7
 - online application to dispute resolution 287–9
 - class action proposals 271–2
 - ombudsman services 277, 278, 279
 - “*partie civile*” technique 280–82, 292
 - proposal of a procedure of collective claim settlement for consumers 272–3
- public enforcement
 - Belgian Institute for Postal Services and Telecommunication (BIPT) 277–8
 - Commission for the Regulation of Energy and Gas (CREG) 278
 - Federal Agency for Medicines and Health Products (FAMHP) 274–5
 - Federal Public Service (FPS) Economy, Small and Medium Enterprises, Self-Employed and Energy 274, 291
 - Financial Services and Markets Authority (FSMA)
 - see* regulatory supervision
 - regulatory supervision 275–7
 - public prosecutor 274, 279, 281, 292

- CADR
see consumer alternative dispute resolution
- Canada
 class action
 certification cost 136
 certification statistics 133–4
 evaluation 146
 settlement rate 133–4
 consumer protection 146–7
 treble and punitive damages 137
- case management 10–12, 109, 125–6, 134–5, 199
- CDR
see consumer alternative dispute resolution
- Chargeback procedure 301
- China 3–4
 “appealing to the higher authorities”
see “petitions by letters and calls”
- class action
see group action
- group action 3
 division of cases 207
 efficiency 214–6
 independent case registration and combined hearings 205–206
 independent case registration and individual case hearing 206
 model suits 206–207
 representative proceedings with a certain number of claimants (Art. 53 Civil Procedure Law) 204–205, 207
 representative proceedings with an uncertain number of claimants (Art. 54 Civil Procedure Law) 3, 204–205, 207, 214–7
 varying judicial practice 3, 204–207
- lack of deterrent effects 218
 lack of judicial authority and independence 4, 217, 218–9
- mediation 4, 208–210
 “mediation first” 4, 208
 “rule-by-men” vs. “rule-by-law” 209
 “petitions by letters and calls” 210, 217
- “public interest litigation” 205, 218–9
 social harmony and stability 4, 209, 210
- civil judicial area
see European civil judicial area
- class action
 class certification 14
 appellate review of a certification decision 161
 certification criteria 161, 174
 certification cost 136
 “conditional” certification 162
 “overbroad” certification 160
 significance 160
 timing directive 159–61, 162
- class counsel 158
 “closed class” 17, 173–4, 182
- closing the class
see identification of class members
- cost and funding
 compensation for acting as representative party 193
 cost of class certification 136
 “funding equalisation factor” 192–3
 litigation funding agreement 174, 175–6
 reimbursement of group members’ expenses 193–4
- identification of class members 182–4, 195–6
- collective action
 abusive practices 13–14, 22–3, 56, 100–102, 123–4, 129, 146–7, 151, 236–7
 conflict of interests
see principal-agent conflict
 formation of the class 49
see also opt-out-mechanism
 funding 100–103, 121–4
 role of judges 9–12
- “collective redress” 4, 9–10, 63–4, 67–74
see also collective action
- consumer alternative dispute resolution
 advantages over litigation 51–4
 consumer trust and confidence 232, 240

- “core sectors” 234
- cost and funding 33, 52, 53, 267, 268
- customer care and complain departments
 - see* in-house dispute resolution
- definition and classification 2, 24–5, 224–5, 245
- determination by national context 229
- financial services dispute resolution 26, 247–8
 - see also* EU-legislation on CADR in financial services
 - insurance sector 254–62
 - England and Wales 127–8
 - Germany 244–5
- in-house dispute resolution 233–4
- EU directives on CADR 69, 225, 240, 263–5, 266–7, 285–6
- historical development 227–8
- regulatory principles for out-of-court bodies 29–31, 249, 251, 265
- regulatory effects 33–4, 52, 237, 239–40
- stages 27–8
- “vertical CDR spread” 240
- compensation arrangements 120
- compensation for crime victims in criminal proceedings 279–84
 - Belgian and French “partie civile” technique 280–82, 292
 - Dutch “clear case criterion” 284
 - EU Directive on the rights, support and protection of victims of crime 279–80
 - German Adhäsionsverfahren 284
 - outsourcing of civil claims 283
 - “piggyback” technique 6, 281
- conditional fee arrangements 43, 55–7, 122–4
 - judicial review 129–30, 137
- contingency fees
 - see* conditional fee arrangements
- consumer organizations
 - see* representative organizations
- consumer redress 234–7, 263, 293, 307–308
- cost of litigation
 - see also* litigation funding
- class action
 - compensation for acting as representative party 193
 - cost of class certification 136
 - reimbursement of group members’ expenses 193–4
- cost aversion 42–6, 121
- cost of formal disclosure 110
- cost management 117–118
- cost of objection to a settlement 188
- cost problem 230–231
- cost shifting 114, 116–17, 121, 124
- court approval for cost agreements 190–91
- English Rule 135–6, 175
- legal fees 190–91
- loser pays rule
 - see* English rule
- proportionality 110–11, 117–18
 - test of proportionality 111, 115
- two-way-costs rule
 - see* English rule
- data protection
 - Art. 8 European Charter of Human Rights 309
 - data controller 309, 310
 - data minimisation principle 312
 - EU directive on data protection 309
 - personal data 309–310
 - purpose limitation principle 311–12
- “disappearing trials” 35–6
- disclosure of information
 - see also* pre-action protocol
 - cost 110
 - full disclosure 142–3
 - public policy benefit 108
- discount coupons
 - see coupon mass settlements*
- dispersed losses 46–51
- England and Wales
 - alternative dispute resolution 108–109, 111–12, 126–9
 - amount of settlements 107
 - Civil Procedure Rules (CPR) 11
 - incorporation of ADR techniques 230–31
 - Jackson reforms 11, 110–13, 114–8

- Woolf reforms 1998 106, 108–109, 111, 114
 - part 36 115–16
- Competition Appeal Tribunal 119
- consumer alternative dispute resolution 127–8
- Damage Based Agreements (DB) 124, 130
 - see also* conditional fee agreements
- incidence of multi-party actions and their outcome 118–20
- legal aid arrangements 121
- “legal aid blackmail” 121
- Legal Services Ombudsman 244
- mediation 109, 113
- Qualified One Way Cost Shifting (QOCS) 116–17, 124
 - see also* cost of litigation, cost shifting
- “Which?” 119
- EU legislation
 - CADR in financial services 249–50
 - Financial Services Complaints Network (FIN-Net) 236, 250–52, 258
 - revision of the regulatory framework 252–3
 - supervisory authorities 252–3
 - consumer *acquis* 227, 234–6, 294, 303, 313
 - directives on CADR 69, 225, 240, 263–5, 266–7, 285–6
 - cooperation with law enforcement authorities 306
 - directive on consumer injunctions 15, 71, 234
 - directive on data protection 309
 - directive on the rights, support and protection of victims of crime 279–80
 - directive on mediation 2, 68–9, 106, 231, 235, 249–50, 258
 - regulation on consumer online dispute resolution 27, 69, 240, 241, 265–7, 285–6
 - see also* ODR, European ODR Platform
 - see also* ODR, European ODR facilitators’ network
 - implementation cost 313
 - procedure 305–306
 - scope 304
 - regulation on consumer protection cooperation 234, 308
 - see also* European consumer protection cooperation system
 - small claims procedure 231, 235
- EU policy
 - consultations on alternative dispute resolution 262–3
 - digital agenda for Europe 240, 302
 - green paper on consumer collective redress 71
 - green paper on damages actions for breach of EU-anti-trust rules 71
 - public consultation on a “coherent European approach to collective redress” 72–3
 - recommendation of the European Commission on common principles for injunctive and compensatory collective redress mechanisms 5–6, 10, 15–16, 64, 74
 - recommendations of the European Commission on the principles applicable to the bodies responsible for out-of-court settlement of consumer disputes and on the principles for out-of-court bodies involved in the consensual resolution of consumer ADR 26, 19–30, 249, 251, 258, 289
 - white paper on damages actions for breach of EU-anti-trust rules 71
- European Banking Authority (EBA) 252–3
- European Consumer Centre Network (ECC-Net) 236, 241, 303, 306–307
- European consumer protection cooperation system 308
 - compatibility with data protection measures 310–13
- European civil judicial area
 - mass settlements 68–70
 - recognition and enforcement of judgements 65–7

- competence of the EU for judicial cooperation 67–8
- European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) 252–3
 - guidelines on complaints-handling by insurance undertakings 253–4, 268
- European Securities and Market Authority (ESMA) 252–3
- France
 - Fédération Française des Sociétés d'Assurance (FFSA) mediator 258–9
 - mediation 257–9
- Germany
 - Adhäsionsverfahren 284
 - consumer alternative dispute resolution 244–5
 - Capital Market Model Case Act (CMMCA)
 - see* Kapitalanlagemusterverfahrens-gesetz (KapMuG)
 - insurance ombudsman
 - see* Versicherungsombudsmann
 - Kapitalanlegermusterverfahrens-gesetz (KapMuG) 19–20, 74
 - legal culture 243–4
 - Versicherungsombudsmann 255–7
 - group litigation
 - see* collective action
- International Consumer Protection Enforcement Network (ICPEN) 307–308
- informal justice 230
- information asymmetry 125, 255
- insurance arrangements
 - see* compensation arrangements
- Key Performance Indicators (KPI) 30–31, 32
- legal aid 46, 121–2
- legal expenses insurance 43–4, 122–3
- litigation funding 13, 16, 45–6, 123–4
 - Contingency Legal Aid Funds (CLAF) 46
 - litigation funding agreement 174, 175–6
 - funding equalisation factor 192–3
 - Lord Justice Jackson 109–11, 123
 - Lord Woolf 108–109, 126
- market failure 40–51
- market regulation 33–4, 237–8, 239–40
- mass litigation
 - see* collective action
- mass settlement 7–9
 - see also* settlement
 - applicable law 20–21
 - “coupon settlement” 8, 23, 156–7, 158, 170
 - court approval
 - see* judicial review and approval
 - evaluation
 - see* judicial review and approval
 - fairness of the compromise 132, 176
 - judicial review and approval 13, 95, 129–30
 - application for approval 181–2
 - criteria, standards or framework 17–22, 76, 138–40, 177, 184–5
 - “fair, reasonable and adequate-standard” 13, 17–18, 157, 184–5
 - guardian for group members’ interests 197–8
 - inconsistency with adversarial procedure 155–7, 187, 197, 198
 - independent scrutiny 37, 145
 - presumption of fairness 139–40, 143
 - reputation and utility of judges 57–9
 - terms of funding 101–103, 190–93
 - notice to class members 22, 95, 138, 156, 157, 177, 179–81, 183–4
 - principal-agent conflict 176–7
 - recognition and enforcement in the EU 69, 82–9
 - right to object 22–4, 95, 138, 143–5, 156, 157
 - cost of objection 188
 - lack of objection 188–9
 - settlement funds
 - administration 194–5

- calculation of the group members' loss 196, 211, 214
 - distribution scheme 194–6
 - trade-off between precision and cost 196
- mediation
 - EU mediation directive 2, 68–9, 106, 231, 235, 249–50, 258
 - France 257–9
 - mandatory mediation 112–13
 - incentives to engage in mediation 109, 209
- model suits 206–207
- National Legal Culture 242–5
- the Netherlands
 - Dutch Mass Settlement Act of 2005
 - see WCAM*
 - Geschillencommissie 25, 50, 227, 243
 - legal culture 243–4
 - WCAM (Wet collectieve afwikkeling massaschade)
 - amendments and proposals 98–100
 - applicability of Art. 32 Brussels I on “judgements” 83–4
 - applicability of Art. 58 Brussels I on “settlements” 84–6
 - applicability of the Brussels I rules on “recognition and enforcement” 16, 86–9
 - binding effect and opt-out-mechanism 16–17, 76–7
 - case examples 96–7
 - origin and further development 75, 91–3, 95
 - Jurisdiction in international cases 78–81
 - legal nature and mode of treatment 75–6, 77, 94–6
 - reasons for application 97–8
 - requirements for representative organizations 76, 94–5, 103–105
 - review of the settlement 18, 20–2, 76, 95
 - review of class counsel funding 100–103
- the “nine-factor-test” 18–19, 184–5
 - see also* judicial review of mass settlements
- ODR
 - see* online dispute resolution
- ombudsman model 6, 226, 227–8, 232, 245
 - cost and funding 256, 259, 260–61
 - Dutch Geschillencommissie 25, 50, 227, 243
 - Englisch and Welsh Legal Services Ombudsman 244
 - European Ombudsman 226
 - German Insurance Ombudsman 255–7
 - Sweden 226
 - UK Financial Ombudsman Service (FOS) 25, 28, 29, 30, 31, 129, 237, 244, 259–62
- online dispute resolution (ODR) 27, 240–42
 - see also* consumer alternative dispute resolution; EU regulation on consumer online dispute resolution
 - Belmed (Belgium Mediation) 285, 287–9
 - blind-bidding negotiation 294–5
 - definition 294–5
 - European ODR facilitators' network 266, 303
 - European ODR Platform 265–6, 267, 303, 304
 - “Clearing House” function 304–305
 - “Enforcement” function 306, 308
 - Lack of tools for negotiation 304
 - “Referral” function 305
 - “Transfer” function 305
 - “Transparency” function 305
 - implementation requirements for EU Member States 245–6, 266, 313
 - “mock trials” 294
 - UNCITRAL normative framework for ODR 27, 295–7, 314
 - see also* UNCITRAL draft procedural rules
- opt-out mechanism 16–17, 159, 182–3, 212

- personal injury suits 109, 112, 116, 123, 124, 125, 152, 280
 exposure to asbestos 152–3
 Vioxx litigation 167, 169–70
 “piggyback technique”
see compensation for crime victims
 in criminal proceedings
- pre-action protocol 109–13
- principal-agent conflict
 collective action 12, 13–16, 48–9
 guardian for group members’ interests 197–8
 mass settlements 176–7
 representative action 50
- principal-agent relationship 154–5, 186–7
 fiduciary duties 186
- process evaluation
see *Key Process Indicators*
- public enforcement 9, 210, 274–9
- public-private partnerships
see litigation funding
- rational apathy 46–51, 68, 102
- representative action
 Competition Appeal Tribunal 119
 principal-agent conflict 50
 UK Court of Chancery 173
- representative organizations 14–15, 272, 281–2
 ad-hoc-organizations 104
 “Claim Code” 103–104
 financial resources and funding 15, 163
 incentives to act 159, 163–4
 public pension funds 103, 105
 requirements under the WCAM 76, 94–5, 103–105
 “Which?” 119
- representative party
 compensation 193
 selection and election 204, 211
 withdrawal 178
- representative suit
see representative action
- securities cases 19, 20, 78, 79, 92, 97, 150, 199–201, 210–14, 252, 272, 281–2
- settlement 2–3, 178
 as standard practice in common law systems 106–108
 effects of cost rules 109–10
 financial incentives 144
 cost management 117–18
 cost shifting 116–17
 English rule 135–7
 sanctions for declining settlement offers 115–16
 test of proportionality of costs 115
- commercial incentives 128–9
 information as prerequisite 106–107, 108, 142–3, 186–7
- institutional incentives 109–113
 Australian Civil Dispute Resolution Act 2011 198–9
 scarce judicial resources 2, 5, 141
 national cultural approaches 242–3
 public policy benefit 108, 141
 small claims 112–13, 217, 231–2, 235, 241, 248, 273, 298
- statute of limitations 167
- Sweden
 consumer alternative dispute resolution 25, 243
 ombudsman model 226
- TFEU
see Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
- Third Party Funding (TPF)
see litigation funding
- trial plan 162
- transfer of claims 45
- Treaty of Amsterdam 63, 65
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union 66–70, 89, 293
- UNCITRAL draft procedural rules 295–6, 314
 application by contractual agreement 297–8
 due process
 language of dispute resolution 300
 notification of the respondent 299
 time-limits 299–300
 no distinction between B2B, B2C and C2C disputes 297–8

- scope (“cross-border low value and high volume disputes”) 298–9, 301
- substantive legal principles 296
- three-stage-procedure 295
- United Kingdom
 - see* UK
 - see also* England and Wales
- United States of America
 - see* US
- UK
 - see also* England and Wales
 - consumer alternative dispute resolution system 244–5
 - court of chancery 173
 - Financial Ombudsman Service (FOS) 25, 28, 29, 30, 31, 129, 237, 244, 259–62
 - Financial Services Authority (FSA) 260–62
 - Group Litigation Order (GLO) 11, 19, 118, 126
 - Office of Fair Trading (OFT) 232–3, 260
 - trade association for the consumer package travel sector (ABTA) 232, 245
- US
 - arbitration 164–5
 - class action 2
 - class certification 159–64
 - class counsel 158
 - “consumer class action” 151
 - fear of adoption outside the US 148
 - federal court jurisdiction 158–9
 - “magnet states” 158
 - “mass tort class actions” 152–3
 - origin and further development 149–50
 - Class Action Fairness Act 2005 158–9, 166, 168
 - common fund approach 193
 - multidistrict litigation (MDL) 165
 - judicial panel on multidistrict litigation 165, 167
 - scope 166
 - significance for US mass litigation 166–8
 - permissive joinder of parties
 - equation with class actions 168
 - Lone Pine Order 169–70
 - role of judges 10–11, 154–6, 167–8
 - rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16, 17, 23
 - amendment of 1966 149
 - amendment of 1998 161
 - revision of 2003 154, 157–8
 - Vioxx Litigation 167, 169–70