

Index

- Abud, M. J. 12
Acharya, R. 36, 38
Ahn, S. 183–4, 248
Allen, R. C. 24
Allred, B. 21
Almeida, P. 143
Angeles, L. 19
apparel sector (of Indian T&A industry) 121, 123–4, 129, 132–3, 135
appropriation mechanisms 287–8, 289–90, 292–3, 294–5, 298, 304–5
Aranha, J. G. 28
Arellano, M. 280
Argentina 217–18, 229–31
Armstrong, J. 143–4
Arora, A. 18
Arundel, A. 296, 304
Asociacion Nacional de Fabricantes de Autopartes (Mexico) 251–2
Awokuse, H. 14
- Bacchiocchi, E. 182, 206–7
Balassa indicator 223
Baldini, N. 183
Bangladesh 124–5
Bank of Korea 99
Bascavusoglu, E. 40
'basicness' measures 5, 181, 191, 193–4, 206
Bayh-Dole Act (1980) 183
BEA (Bureau of Economic Analysis) 46
Bern Convention 48–9
Blind, K. 304
Blundell, R. 280
Bond, S. 280
brand building 129, 130, 134–5
Branstetter, L. G. 15, 25, 41, 43, 182
Brazil 25, 217, 229, 229–31
Brouwer, E. 304
business executive surveys 50
- Caballero, R. 182, 194
Canadian Patent Office 268
Carnegie Mellon Survey (1994) 18, 295
Ceccagnoli, M. 18
Chen, Y. 17, 22
Chile 229–31
China
foreign patent applications
and competitive threat hypothesis 6, 265, 267, 274, 275–82
costs incurred 266–7
drivers of increase 270–75
and FDI 264
and imports 275–81
increase of 264, 265–6, 270–5, 281–2
industry matching 267–70
market covering hypothesis 264, 265, 267, 274, 275–82
and patent data 266, 267–75, 276–81
and patent rights 264, 265–7
utility models 266, 270, 271–4, 275, 277, 279, 281
patent rights system 285–6
world patent shares 224–6
Cimoli, M. 104
'citation spillover' 233–5
Co, C. 40–41
Coe, D. 39
Cohen, N. 295
Cohen, W. 143
co-inventions 159, 161–8, 171–7
'co-inventors spillover' 234–5
Colombia 217–18, 229–31
competitive threat hypothesis 6, 265, 267, 274, 275–82
Contractor, F. 43

- converted applications 95
 co-ownership of patents 159–60,
 163–70, 176–7
 copyright index 49–50, 51–2, 57,
 59–61, 69, 74–5, 87–8
 corporate patents 181–2, 185, 191–4,
 203–5, 206
 Correa, C. 36
couture (Indian apparel sector stream)
 132, 133, 135
 cross country evidence (technological
 development) 20–23
 Cuba 230–31

 Darby, M. 143–4
 Darjeeling Tea 127, 131
 developing countries
 and technological development
 19–26
 and technology transfer
 conceptual framework of study
 43–6, 81, 86–9
 empirical studies 35
 and FDI 33–6, 38–9, 41–3, 44–6,
 53–5, 56–75
 future research agenda 76
 imports 36, 38–9, 44–6, 53–7,
 59–62, 64–70, 71–5
 literature review 35–43
 and patent rights 33–4, 39–42,
 44–6, 53–6, 67–75
 and R&D 33, 35, 41–3, 45, 47,
 53–4, 58, 66, 70, 72–5
 study data 46–56, 81–5
 study results 56–74
 perspectives on IPRs 35–6
 Doing Business Rank 56–68, 84
 ‘domestic co-applicants (co-
 ownership)’ classification 163,
 165–6, 175
 ‘domestic co-inventors’ classification
 162–3, 165–6, 175
 Dosi, G. 104
 dual applications 95

 Eaton, J. 38–9, 267
 EFN (Economic Freedom Network) 51
 Eicher, T. 1, 91
 English patent law (1624) 24

 EPO (European Patent Office) 160,
 215, 216–20
 Evenson, R. 21
 exports
 Mexican auto industry 245, 247,
 253, 258–9
 T&A industry 120–21, 122, 123–4,
 129
 and technology transfer 14
 and technology transfer in
 developing countries 39–42, 45

 Falvey, R. 2, 92
 FDI (foreign direct investment)
 and foreign patent applications in
 China 264
 and Mexican auto industry 241–4,
 247–51, 257
 and R&D 14, 15–17
 and technology transfer 14–18, 27
 in developing countries 33–6,
 38–9, 41–3, 44–6, 53–5,
 56–75
 and trade secrecy 15, 27
 Fink, C. 40, 41
 firm performance 91, 101, 108–10
 firm-specific effectiveness factors
 (Korea) 297, 304
 foreign exchange budget (Mexican
 auto industry) 245–7
 Fosfuri, A. 16
 France 161–2, 170, 171–6
 Freedom to Trade Internationally
 Index 56–65, 69, 71, 84
 Frost, T. 241

 generality index 191–3
 Geographical Indications of Goods
 Act (1999) 120, 126–7
 Germany 161–3, 170, 171–6
 Ginarte, J. C. 15, 21, 47, 93, 99
 Ginarte-Park index 21–2
 GIs (Geographical Indications) 121,
 126–31, 134–5
 GLS (generalized least squares)
 method 46
 GMM (generalized method of
 moments) 22, 109, 279, 280–81
 governance variable 56–69, 84
 Griliches, Z. 182

- Grossman, G. 1–2, 19, 92
 Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (Korea) 180
- Hall, B. 146, 182, 214
 Haskel, J. 244
 Hausman test 108
 Heald, P. J. 15
 Helpman, E. 39
 Henderson, R. 183, 191, 193
 Hoekman, B. 39
 Hu, A. 182, 183
- imitation risk 12–13, 14, 264
 IMPI (Mexican Industrial Property Institute) 241, 252–3, 260
 imports
 and foreign patent applications in China 275–81
 Mexican auto industry 247
 and technology transfer 13–14
 in developing countries 36, 38–9, 44–6, 53–7, 59–62, 64–70, 71–5
 incremental innovation 104, 105, 108, 110
 India
 technological specialization in 229
 textiles and apparel industry
 apparel sector 121, 123–4, 129, 132–3, 135
 brand building 129, 130, 134–5
 design focus 123–5, 129, 132–3
 evolution of 122–5
 and exports 120–21, 122, 123–4, 129
 and Geographical Indications 121, 126–31, 134–5
 niche markets 120–21, 124, 125, 127, 129, 131, 133, 134
 research methodology 121–2
 survey findings 129–33
 use of IP 125–6, 128–32, 134
 world patent shares 224–6
 Industria Nacional de Autopartes (Mexico) 252
 industry citation of patents 152–3, 154
 industry-specific effectiveness factors (Korea) 297–8, 305
 INEGI (National Institute of Statistics, Geography and Informatics) 248–50
 innovation
 and adaptation 104
 and effectiveness of Korean patents
 see Korea: effectiveness of patents in
 and imitation risk 12–13, 14, 264
 impact of patent protection 26–8
 incremental 104
 and IPR protection in Korea 91–5, 98–103
 and market size 2, 91–2
 in Mexican auto industry 240–41, 242–5, 251–7, 260
 and patent data analysis 183–5
 process innovation 292–4, 296–7, 298–305
 product innovation 292–4, 296–7, 298–302, 304–5
 and technological development 19–26
 and technology transfer in developing countries 44–6, 53
 and university research/researchers 143–4
 and utility models 92–3, 103–10
 inter-industry effect 249
 ‘international co-applicants (co-ownership)’ classification 163–4, 165–7, 172–5
 ‘international co-inventors’ classification 162–4, 165–6, 172–5
 international knowledge diffusion (in LACs) 213–14, 231–6
 international research collaborations
 and backward citations 160, 161, 171–3
 and co-inventions 159, 161–8, 171–7
 and co-ownership 159–60, 163–70, 176–7
 data set used 160–61
 and intra-firm internationalization of research 164, 166–7, 176–7
 literature on 160
 and non-patent literature citations 161, 171–3, 175–6
 and prior knowledge 161, 171–6
 and R&D 159–60, 172, 177

- and size of invention team 160, 168–70, 172–6, 177
- intra-firm internationalization of research 164, 166–7, 176–7
- inventor name matching 144–6, 154–5
- IPC (international patent classes) 268, 271, 277
- IPR survey rating 50, 51–2, 57–69
- ISIC (International Standard Industrial Classification) 268–9
- Ito, B. 16
- ITS (international technological specialization) 223–4, 226, 228–31
- Jaffe, A. 146, 182, 183, 191, 193, 194, 195, 196, 206
- Jang, J. 184
- Japan 25, 161–6, 170, 171–7
- Javorcik, B. S. 15, 39, 42
- Jeong, S. 183
- Johnson, D. 268
- JPO (Japan Patent Office) 160
- kairi* (paisley) motif 132
- KAIST (Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology) 180, 207
- Kanwar, S. 21
- Kawaura, A. 25
- Keller, W. 36, 38
- Kim, J. 141, 149
- Kim, L. 24
- Kim, Y. K. 2, 90, 93
- KIPI (Korea Institute of Patent Information) 99
- KIPO (Korea Intellectual Property Office) 95
- KIPRIS (Korea Intellectual Property Rights Information System) 101, 107, 185, 287
- KIS (Korea Innovation Survey) 288–9, 290, 292–3, 295–7, 298
- Kleinknecht, A. 304
- ‘knowledge sourcing R&D’ 16
- knowledge spillovers 19, 39, 45, 213–14, 232–5
- Kogut, B. 143
- Korea
 - effectiveness of patents in determinants of 298–305
 - empirical model 293–8
 - and firm-specific factors 297, 304
 - industry-specific factors 297–8, 305
 - and KIS survey 288–9, 290, 292–3, 295–7, 298
 - and patent data 290–93, 294, 299–303
 - and patenting activities 290–93
 - previous studies 287–8, 289–90
 - and R&D 296–7, 298, 301, 304
 - firm-level panel analysis 101–3, 114–15
 - and firm performance 108–10
 - increased patenting in 90–91, 287, 290–92
 - innovation in 91–5, 98–110
 - IPR system in 93–4, 110
 - and patent rights index 93–4, 99–103, 110, 111, 116–19
 - R&D capabilities 96–8, 99–103, 105–6, 108–10
 - sales growth data 108–10
 - and technological development 24–5, 90–91, 96–103, 110
 - time series analysis 99–101, 114
 - university research in
 - and ‘basicness’ measures 5, 181, 191, 193–4, 206
 - and citation information 181, 182, 189–90, 194–205, 206
 - and corporate patents 181–2, 185, 191–4, 203–5, 206
 - and international trends 187, 189–90
 - model estimation results 198–205
 - and patent data analysis 180–81, 182–90, 205–7
 - R&D expenses 185–6
 - research trends 185–7
 - and research university model 180
 - and utility models 94–5, 103–10
- Korean Patent Laws 93, 94
- Kortum, S. 38–9, 267
- KPO (Korea Patent Office) 181, 187
- Kumar, J. 92, 104
- Kumar, N. 25
- La Croix, S. J. 25
- LACs (Latin American countries)
 - geographical patenting comparisons 220–23

- and international knowledge
 - diffusion 213–14, 231–6
- inventors/applicants 215–20
- and knowledge spillovers 213–14, 232–5
- and patent citations 231–2, 233–4
- R&D data 214–15, 219–20, 231–6
- and technological specialization 223–4, 226, 228–31
- technology sectors 219–20, 221, 224–6, 228–31
- world patent shares 224–6, 227
- Lai, E. 1–2, 19, 92
- Lanjouw, J. O. 26
- learning process effect 249
- Lederman, D. 22
- Lee, J. 141, 149
- Lee, J.-Y. 14, 41, 42
- Lee, K. 90, 93, 108
- Lee, W. 185
- Legal Effectiveness Index 56–72, 84
- Léger, A. 25–6
- Lerner, J. 20
- Levin, K. 295
- Lippoldt, D. C. 15, 16, 21
- Lucknow Chikan Craft (Indian T&A company) 131
- Maloney, W. F. 22
- Mansfield, E. 11, 14–15, 41, 42, 43, 143
- manufacturing imports 13–14
- market covering hypothesis 264, 265, 267, 274, 275–82
- market expansion effects 39–40, 45, 70
- market power effects 39–40, 45, 67, 70
- market size 1–2, 91–2
- Marschke, G. 141, 149
- Maskus, K. 2, 13, 18, 39, 40, 92
- Mayer, T. 41, 42
- MC (marginal cost) 168
- McCalman, P. 22–3
- McDaniel, C. 2, 92
- merchandise imports 57, 59–60, 64, 66–70, 71–3, 75
- Mexico
 - auto industry
 - development of 240, 245–7
 - engineering capacities 257
 - and FDI 241–4, 247–51, 257
 - and foreign exchange budget 245–7
 - imports/exports 245, 247, 253, 258–9
 - innovation in 240–41, 242–5, 251–7, 260
 - and IPR management 244–5, 257, 260
 - patenting processes 240–41, 244–5, 251–6, 257, 260
 - and R&D 242–3
 - and technology transfer 243–4, 249, 257
 - training schemes 253, 256, 257, 260
 - and transnational corporations 240, 241–5, 253, 257, 260
 - and utility models 253, 255–6
 - patenting activity in 217–18, 229–31
 - and technological development 25–6
- MFA (Multi-Fibre Agreement) 120, 122
- MIPO (Mexican International Procurement Program) 244
- Miroudot, S. 42
- Montobbio, F. 182, 206–7, 232
- Moran, T. 244
- Moser, P. 20
- Mowery, D. 183
- MR (marginal revenue) 169
- NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement) 240, 244, 247
- Naghavi, A. 74
- Nakamura, Y. 25
- Nam-pyo, Suh 207
- Nanobank database 144
- nanotechnology
 - growth of 141, 146–8
 - patent trends 146–8
 - and patents data 146–53
 - university research outcomes 141–2, 153–5
- National Science and Technology Council 207
- NBER (National Bureau of Economic Research) 144, 146, 182, 187, 189–90
- Nelson, R. 143
- new market entry 27

- niche markets (Indian T&A industry)
120–21, 124, 125, 127, 129, 131,
133, 134
- non-patent literature citations 161,
171–3, 175–6
- non-resident patenting 6, 16, 45, 54,
68, 72–5, 83
- Nunnenkamp, P. 41, 43
- Odagiri, H. 1
- OECD (Organisation for Economic
Co-operation and Development)
Technology Concordance 268
- Ogura, Y. 182
- originality index 191–4
- paisley designs 132
- Pakistan 124–5
- Paris Convention 24–5, 48–9, 94
- Park, W. G. 15, 16, 21, 47, 49–50, 93,
99
- Patent Act of Korea 182, 184
- patent citation function model 182,
194–8
- Patent Cooperation Treaty (1984) 94
- patent data
and citation information 181, 182,
189–90, 194–205
and effectiveness of patents in Korea
290–93, 294, 299–303
as evaluation tool 180–81, 182–90,
205–7
and foreign patent applications in
China 266, 267–75, 276–81
in Latin American countries *see*
under LACs
Mexican auto industry 252–6, 260
triadic *see* triadic patent data
university research/researchers
146–53
- patent rights
Chinese system 285–6
and converted applications 95
and dual applications 95
and FDI 14–18, 27
and fees 28
and foreign patent applications in
China 264, 265–7
impact on technology transfer
26–8
and Indian T&A industry 126
and industry citation of patents
152–3, 154
and IPR protection 1–2, 11–12
Korean system 93–4, 98–103
and licensing 18–19
and Mexican auto industry 244, 257,
260
and substantive examinations 95
and technological development
19–26, 90–91
and technology transfer in
developing countries 33–4,
39–42, 44–6, 53–6, 67–75
and TRIPS agreement 11
and university experienced inventors
148–52, 154
and university research/researchers
141–2, 144–6
utility models *see* utility models
- patent rights index
in Korea 93–4, 99–103, 110, 111,
116–19
and technology transfer in
developing countries 47, 51–3,
56, 57–70, 74–5, 86–7
- Patents BIB (Patent Bibliographic
data) 144, 145
- PATSTAT (Patent Statistical Database)
160–61
- PCT (Patent Cooperation Treaty)
28
- Penalosa, C. 1, 91
- Penrose, Edith 13
- Penubarti, M. 13, 39, 40
- Pereira, S. 244
- Pfister, E. 41, 42
- physical property rights 51, 56–68, 83
- Pochampally Ikat (Indian T&A
company) 131
- Pohang University of Science and
Technology (Korea) 180
- prêt* (Indian apparel sector stream) 132,
135
- Primo Braga, C. 40, 41
- process innovation 292–4, 296–7,
298–305
- product innovation 292–4, 296–7,
298–302, 304–5
- Puttitanum, T. 22

- Qian, Y. 22
 QRS (Quick Registration System) 95
- R&D (research and development)
 and effectiveness of patents in Korea
 296–7, 298, 301, 304
 and FDI 14, 15–17
 internationalization of 242–3
 and international research
 collaborations 159–60, 172, 177
 and IP strategy 3
 in LACs 214–15, 219–20, 231–6
 and Mexican auto industry 242–3
 and patent data analysis 184–5
 and technological development 21–2,
 25, 96–8, 99–103
 and technology transfer in
 developing countries 33, 35,
 41–3, 45, 47, 53–4, 58, 66, 70,
 72–5
 and university research/researchers
 143, 185–6
 and utility models 95, 105–6,
 108–10
- Rassenfosse, G. 28
 research university model 180
 Reynolds, T. 49–50
 Rome Convention 48–9
 royalties 15, 43, 54–5, 65, 71
 RTA (Revealed Technological
 Comparative Advantage) index
 223–4, 226, 229, 231
 Rules of Origin Chapter 247
 Ryan, M. 25
- Sakakibara, M. 25
 sales growth data (Korea) 108–10
 Sampat, B. 183
 Scherer, F. 268
 Schmookler, J. 182, 268
 Schneider, P. 2
 Scotchmer, S. 19–20
 Seo, H. 184
 service imports 57, 61–2, 65–8, 70,
 71–2, 73, 75
 Shin, H. 184
 SIEM (Mexican Enterprise
 Information System) 246
 ‘single applicant (ownership)’
 classification 163, 165–6, 175
 ‘single inventor’ classification 162–3,
 165–6, 175
 SIPO (State Intellectual Property
 Office) 264, 265–7, 268–71, 274–5,
 277–9, 281, 285
 Slaughter, M. 244
 Smith, P. 40
 Smith, P. J. 14, 18
 Song, J. 184
 SOUNDEX (coded index) 145, 158
 Spatz, J. 41, 43
 Sri Lanka 124–5
 STEPI (Science and Technology Policy
 Institute) 288, 295
 Sterzi, V. 232
 substantive examinations 95
- T&A (textiles and apparel) industry
 (India)
 apparel sector 121, 123–4, 129,
 132–3, 135
 brand building 129, 130, 134–5
 design focus 123–5, 129, 132–3
 evolution of 122–5
 and exports 120–21, 122, 123–4, 129
 and Geographical Indications 121,
 126–31, 134–5
 niche markets 120–21, 124, 125, 127,
 129, 131, 133, 134
 research methodology 121–2
 survey findings 129–33
 use of IP 125–6, 128–32, 134
 Tanjore Jamdani (sari design) 131–2
 technological development
 case studies 23–6
 cross country evidence 20–23
 in Korea 90–1, 96–103, 110
 and R&D 21–2, 25
 theoretical analysis 19–20
 technologically-intensive transfers 33
 Technology Development Promotion
 Act (1972) 96
 technology transfer
 developing countries
 conceptual framework of study
 43–6, 81, 86–9
 empirical studies 35
 and FDI 33, 34, 35, 36, 38–9,
 41–3, 44–6, 53–5, 56–75
 future research agenda 76

- imports 36, 38–9, 44–6, 53–7, 59–62, 64–70, 71–5
- literature review 35–43
- and patent rights 33–4, 39–42, 44–6, 53–6, 67–75
- and R&D 33, 35, 41–3, 45, 47, 53–4, 58, 66, 70, 72–5
- study data 46–56, 81–5
- study results 56–74
- defining 12–13
- and FDI 14–18
- and foreign patent applications in China 265
- and imitation risk 12–13, 14
- impact of patent protection 26–8
- and imports/exports 13–14
- and licensing 18–19
- and Mexican auto industry 243–4, 249, 257
- policy implications 28
- and trade 13–14
- and TRIPS agreement 11
- and university research/researchers 142–3, 144
- terroir* 126
- Tewari, M. 124–5
- Thesis and Patent Strategy for Successful University Research and Development* (guidebook) 185
- Thursby, J. G. 17
- Thursby, M. 17
- TNCs (transnational corporations) 240, 241–5, 253, 257, 260
- trade secrecy 15, 20, 27, 46, 132
- trademark protection 36, 44
- trademark rights index 50, 51–2, 57, 59–61, 69, 74–5, 88–9
- Trajtenberg, M. 146, 182, 183, 191, 193, 195, 196, 206
- triadic patent data
 - data set used 160–61
 - and co-inventions 159, 161–8, 171–7
 - and co-ownership 159–60, 163–70, 176–7
 - and technology sectors 164, 166–8, 174
- TRIPS (Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights)
 - and Chinese patent rights 285
 - and Korean IPR system 94
 - and technological development 26
 - and technology transfer 11, 27, 28
 - in developing countries 34, 35, 37–8, 42, 48–9, 51, 74
 - and WTO pro-protection bias 1
- Turner, J. L. 15
- UK (United Kingdom) 161–2, 170, 171–6
- UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development) 46, 53, 128, 131, 241
- UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization) 214–15
- university research/researchers
 - industry citation of patents 152–3, 154
 - and innovation 143–4
 - inventor name matching 144–6, 154–5
 - in Korea
 - ‘basicness’ measures 181
 - citation information 181, 182, 189–90, 194–205, 206
 - and corporate patents 181–2, 185, 191–4, 203–5, 206
 - and international trends 187, 189–90
 - model estimation results 198–205
 - and patent data analysis 180–81, 182–90, 205–7
 - R&D expenses 185–6
 - research trends 185–7
 - research university model 180
 - literature review 143–4
 - patent data 146–53
 - and patent rights 141–2, 144–6
 - and R&D 143
 - survey data 144–6
 - survey results 146–53
 - and technology transfer 142–3, 144
 - and university experienced inventors 148–52
- Uruguay 218, 229–31
- ‘US spillover’ 233, 235
- USPTO (United States Patent and Trademark office)

- and FDI 15, 17
- and foreign patent applications in China 265–6, 269–71, 277, 280, 281
- and Korean patents 90, 181, 184–5, 187, 189, 197–8, 203, 206
- and LACs patent data 214, 215, 216–19, 221, 227–8, 233–4
- and Patent Bibliographic data 144
- Usselman, S. 257
- utility models
 - and Chinese patent rights 285–6
 - and economic growth 92
 - and firm performance 108–10
 - and foreign patent applications in China 266, 270, 271–4, 275, 277, 279, 281
 - and innovation 92–3, 103–10
 - in Korea 94–5, 103–10
 - and Mexican auto industry 253, 255–6
 - and patent rights 2
 - and R&D 95, 105–6, 108–10
 - and technological development in Korea 91
- van Pottelsberghe, B. 28
- Venezuela 217, 229–31
- Vernon, R. 241
- Wakasugi, R. 16
- Walsh, J. 143
- Wang, J. 39
- WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization) 36, 48–9, 90, 94
- World Bank 2, 92, 276
- World Economic Forum surveys 50–51
- world patent shares 224–6, 227
- WTO (World Trade Organisation) 1, 11, 22, 36, 38, 48
- Xu, B. 39
- Yale Technology Concordance 268
- Yang, L. 18
- Yin, T. O. 14
- Youn, T. 183
- Zhao, M. 17
- Zucker, L. 143–4
- Zuniga, M. 40