
Index

- Abbott, Frederick M. 264, 268
active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs)
148–49, 150
Acts of Accession 178
Adams v. Burke judgment (1873) 17–18
“affiliate exception” to gray market imports
99
aggression, acts of 427–28, 429
Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of
Intellectual Property Rights *see* TRIPS
Agreement (Agreement on Trade-Related
Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights)
American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co.
judgment (1909) 231
analogue copies 64–65
ancillary restraints 42
Andean Community of Nations (Andean Pact)
xix, 211–13
Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement
(ACTA), pharmaceutical products 162,
163
antiretrovirals 146–47
antitrust law, and case against exhaustion
28–29, 32–34
Anti-Unfair Competition Law, China 316–17
arbitrage 32, 85, 112, 115, 282
cross-border 33, 107
Aronson v. Quick Point judgment (1979) 8–11
*Art & Allposters International BV v. Stichting
Pictoright* judgment (2015) 486–87
ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian
Nations) 195, 377
Agreement on the Common Effective
Preferential Tariff Scheme for the
ASEAN Free Trade Area 378–79
ASEAN Declaration (1967) 377
“ASEAN Way” 378
current members 378, 384
Economic Community Blueprint 378, 385
Framework Agreement (1995) 379
trademark exhaustion and free movement of
goods 367, 377–83
see also European Economic Area (EEA);
European Union (EU); NAFTA (North
American Free Trade Agreement)
Australia, national exhaustion rule 104
author incentives, functions of copy ownership
530–31
Bangkok Declaration (1967) 377
Bauer v. O'Donnell (1913) 59
Berne Convention for the Protection of
Literary and Artistic Works (Berne
Convention) 91–92
Appendix 95, 96
Betts v. Willmott judgment (1871) 290
bilateral agreements 249
biotechnology
biological material, and general exhaustion
rules 296–97
Biotechnology Directive (Directive 98/44/
EC of the European Parliament and
Council on the Legal Protection of
Biotechnological Inventions) 296, 302,
303, 305, 306
EPSPS enzymes 292, 293, 304–5
expansion of intellectual property
protections xviii
Bloomer v. McQuewan judgment (1852) 17
Bobbs-Merrill v. Strauss judgment (1908) 11,
15, 18, 57, 58, 66
digital exhaustion 520, 523
Bodenhausen, G. H. C. 92
Boesch v. Graff judgment (1890) 241, 242,
276–77
Bowman v. Monsanto judgment (2013)
analysis
general exhaustion and biological material
296–97
“making” and self-replication 298–99
plant variety protection and saving seeds
299–301
case history 294
decision 294–95
incentives theory, and intellectual property
exhaustion 4, 10, 11, 19, 21
see also Monsanto Company (publicly
traded American multinational
agrochemical and agricultural
biotechnology corporation)
brand differentiation strategies 360, 362, 366
Brazilian Industrial Property Law 206
Brazilian Intellectual Property Code 297
Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Paranova judgment
(1997) 101, 171–72, 174, 191, 348, 349–50,
351–52, 357, 360, 503
Brulotte v. Thys judgment (1964) 8–9, 10

- Brunei Darussalam 380
- Burberry* judgment (Supreme Court of South Korea, 2002) 413–14
- calibration tool, parallel importation as 96, 105
- Cambodian Law concerning Marks, Trade Names and Acts of Unfair Competition 380, 386
- Canada
- case law of importance
 - CCH Canada v. Law Society of Upper Canada* (2004) 492
 - Kraft Canada v. Euro-Excellence* (2007) 30, 480, 483, 484, 492
 - Rogers Communications Inc. v. Société canadienne des auteurs, compositeurs et éditeurs de musique* (2012) 493
 - Society of Composers, Authors and Music Publishers of Canada v. Bell Canada* (2012) 492
 - Théberge v. Galerie d'Art du Petit Champlain, Inc.* (2002) 486, 487, 494
 - copyright 478–97
 - Act to Amend the Copyright Act (1997) 481
 - Copyright Act 480, 481–87
 - importation remedy provision (s. 27(2)(e) of Copyright Act) 482–85
 - new old first sale right (s. 3(1)(j) of Copyright Act) 485–87
 - parallel importation of books (s. 27.1 Copyright Act) 481–82
 - digital exhaustion, case for 487–96
 - digital goods 487–91
 - exhaustion as users' right 491–93
 - distribution law 496
 - and EU Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (2014) 480
 - international exhaustion 375, 376
 - statutory rights 481–87
 - trademark infringement 377
 - Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (2016) 480
- Capitol Records, LLC v. ReDigi Inc.* judgment (2013) 66, 68, 71, 74–75, 528
- Cartagena Agreement (1973) 211
- cartels 165
- Cartoon Network v. CSC Holdings, Inc.* judgment (2008) 527–28
- CCH Canada v. Law Society of Upper Canada* pharmaceutical products judgment (2004) 492
- cDNA (artificially produced sequences) 140
- Centrafarm B.V. v. American Home Products Corp.* judgment (1978) 173, 360
- Central American Integration System (SICA) 213, 214
- Chafee, Zechariah 55–56
- chattel servitudes 44, 54, 55, 56, 59, 60, 525
- Chen, Yeh-ning 185
- Chen, Yongmin 117
- Chile 201
- China 308–23
 - Anti-Unfair Competition Law 316–17
 - Customs Office 317
 - Customs Regulation on IPRs 317–18
 - as developing country 312
 - economic effects, parallel imports 318–22
 - impact on authorized importers or distributors 319
 - impact on consumers 319–20
 - impact on patentees 320
 - parallel imported cars in the Shanghai Free-Trade Zone 320–22
 - permitting parallel imports in China 319–20
- international exhaustion, adoption of 311
- IP position 136, 142
- legal framework 309–18
 - Anti-Unfair Competition Law 316–17
 - Customs Regulation on IPRs 317–18
 - Third Amendment to Chinese Patent Law, changes before and after 309–16
- as member of WTO 309
- patent exhaustion, development 308–23
- Shanghai Free-Trade Zone (SFTZ), parallel imported cars in 320–22
- State Intellectual Property Office 312, 313
- Third Amendment to Chinese Patent Law, changes before and after 308, 309–16
- current Patent Law of 2008 and current regulation of parallel imports 311–12, 322
- legislation background 312–15
 - legislation basis (without prejudice to international agreements) 315–16
 - state of patent law prior to 309–11
- and TRIPS 310
- and United States 141–42
- CJEU *see* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- CNL-SUCAL N.V. v. Hag G.F. A.G. (Hag II)* judgment (1990) 175
- Coase Theorem and transaction costs 40–41
- Colomer, Ruiz-Jarabo (Advocate General) 260, 261, 359
- Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Scorpio Music Distributors, Inc.* judgment (1984) 234, 235, 236

- Commerce Department, U.S. 285, 287
- Committee on International Trade Law,
International Law Association 146
- common law
in Australia 491
chattels 525
first sale doctrine 23, 334, 335, 340
implied licenses 295
passing off 191
patent exhaustion 16
peaceful enjoyment of property 57
restraint of trade 41, 42
and restrictions on alienation of private
property 334, 340, 343, 480
servitudes 44, 47, 48, 52, 54–5, 60, 63
and origins of intellectual property
exhaustion 56–9
trademark infringement 394, 396
in United States 273, 274
- Common Market of the South (MERCOSUR)
see MERCOSUR (Common Market of
the South)
- common-enterprise argument, market-
communitarian conflict 142
- Compagnie générale pour la diffusion de la
télévision & ors. v. Ciné-Vog Films S.A.
& ors (Coditel II judgment)* (1982) 488,
510–11
- competition law
European Union
free movement of goods 344–45
and parallel trade in pharmaceuticals
255–62
principle of European exhaustion and EU
competition law 253–55
and trademark exhaustion 343–89
wider integration of competition concerns
in exhaustion doctrine 352–63
“interbrand” price competition 250, 251
Korea 419–20
and parallel trade in pharmaceuticals
255–62
Greek and Spanish *GlaxoSmithKline*
judgments 256–58, 261, 262
innovation vs. parallel trade in
pharmaceuticals 260–61
no benefits for consumers created by
parallel trade 259–60
regulation of pharmaceutical markets in
the Member States 258–59
see also European Union (EU); parallel
trade; pharmaceutical products;
trademark exhaustion
- Comprehensive Economic and Trade
Agreement (CETA), 2014 480
- compulsory licensing
and India 332, 337
pharmaceutical products
consent and reward 156–57
lawful placement 158–59
and TRIPS 94, 95
- confusion, trademarks 423, 425–27
challenging mark owner’s desire for total
control 437–39
likelihood of 448
- consent, Latin American parallel imports
201–2
- consumers
China, patent exhaustion in 319–20
incentives for 531–32
and parallel trade 259–60
- contract law
and efficient distribution 35
and patents 8, 9
redundancy of contractual typologies 508–9
sales contracts, digital products 66
- contributory infringement claims, trademark
exhaustion 428
- Copad v. Christian Dior judgment* (2009)
356–57
- copies
analogue 64–65
cases 527–28
copyright exhaustion, U.S. 12–13
functions of ownership 532–33
imported, first sale rule in U.S. 390–407
and information costs 532–33
infringing 496
legally imported genuine, in Taiwan 455–56
under Marrakesh Treaty (2013) 467–70
pirated 408
see also copyright; copyright exhaustion;
digital products/digital exhaustion
- copy
erosion of 527–29
freeing exhaustion from 534–36
functions of ownership 529–33
author incentives 530–31
consumer incentives 531–32
freeing exhaustion from the copy 534–36
- copyright
in Canada *see* Canadian copyright
conflict with trademark policy 404–7
Copyright Directive (Directive 2001/29/EC),
EUCD 503, 507, 509, 511, 512, 513
first sale doctrine 23
in Germany 499
“interim” harmonized standard 143
Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley decision, impact 391,
392, 393, 457, 460, 474

- vs. paradigmatic possessory property rights
 - in tangible objects 45
- parallel imports 107, 391–93
- pharmaceutical trade 153
- and public domain works 7
- servitudes 57–58
- software as copyright-relevant activity 73, 77
- and tangible objects 45
- term extension 5, 6, 7
- trademark law as a copyright alternative 393–94
- WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) 70, 485–86, 500, 508, 512
- see also* first sale doctrine; *Kirtsang v. John Wiley & Sons* judgment (2013); patents; trademarks
- copyright exhaustion
 - and copyright doctrine 505–9
 - digital *see* digital copyright exhaustion
 - patent exhaustion distinguished 17
 - pirated or counterfeited goods *see* counterfeit goods
 - United States 11–16
 - contemporary version 14
 - copies 12–13
 - Copyright Act 1976, s. 109 12–13, 234, 473–74
 - first sale doctrine 12, 14, 15, 17
 - industry practices 13–14
 - multi-territorial conduct, context of 234–38
 - sale based on economic and business realities of a transaction 13
 - see also* United States
 - see also* incentives theory, and intellectual property exhaustion
- copyrighted works
 - Lanham Act remedies, applying to 400–403
 - and material differences 400–402
- cost-benefit analysis 37–39
- Coty Prestige Lancaster Group GmbH v. Simex Trading A.G.* judgment (2010) 349
- counterfeit goods 15, 16, 421, 422, 423, 429, 430, 436, 452
 - domestic sale 394–95
 - and non-counterfeit 264, 390, 394, 395
 - trademarked 382, 413
 - see also* trademark exhaustion; trademarks
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 101, 479, 499
 - Canadian copyright perspective 486, 487, 490, 493, 494, 495, 496
 - and digital products/digital age 64, 69, 70, 72, 74, 76, 79, 80, 81
 - and internal market 170, 171, 172, 173, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 184
 - Internet and exhaustion 500–501, 502, 504, 505, 506, 510, 513, 517
 - patent exhaustion
 - pharmaceutical products 253, 254, 255, 265, 266, 267
 - self-replicating technologies 269, 290, 301, 302, 304, 305, 306
 - and pharmaceutical products 157, 160, 165
 - patent exhaustion 253, 254, 255, 265, 266, 267
 - and Taiwan 446, 459
 - trademark exhaustion 344, 347, 364, 365
 - competition concerns 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 363
 - free movement of goods 368, 369, 372, 384
 - Trade Mark Directive and exhaustion 348, 349, 350, 352
 - see also* European Court of Justice (ECJ)
- covenants, real 47
- Craigslist site 422, 423, 437
- cross-border arbitration 33, 107
- Cuba 201, 207
- Curb v. MCA Records, Inc.* judgment (1995) 233
- Curtiss Aeroplane & Motor Corp. v. United Aircraft Engineering Corp.* judgment (1920) 277
- Customs and Border Protection (CBP), U.S. 394, 396–97, 399, 402–3, 407
- Customs Regulation on IPRs, China 317–18
- Daimler Manufacturing Co. v. Conklin* judgment (1909) 277
- Danzon, Patricia M. 120, 121
- Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.* (2003), quasi-preemption under 403–4
- data exclusivity, pharmaceutical trade 153–54
- De Mattos v. Gibson* (1858) 54, 57
- dead-hand control, property law 44, 50–51
- dealer investment, parallel trade 31–32
- DeepSouth Packing Co. v. Laitram Corp.* judgment (1972) 238, 239
- Deutsche Grammophon v. Metro* judgment (1971) 170
- Dickerson v. Matheson* judgment (1893) 277
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) 428
 - Section 104 Report 75
- digital products/digital exhaustion xix, 64–82, 487–91

- analogue copies, use of (first period) 64–65
benefits of shifting from hard copy
distribution 393
- case law of importance
Bobbs-Merrill v. Strauss (1908) 66
Capitol Records, LLC v. ReDigi Inc. (2013)
66, 68, 71, 74–75, 528
UMG v. Augusto (2001) 521–22
UsedSoft v. Oracle (2012) *see UsedSoft v. Oracle*
judgment (2012)
- copy, erosion of 527–29
- digital future 21–22
- digital markets and exhaustion 509–16
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act 75, 428
- downloading of works by user himself on
own device (second period) 65, 66, 72
- e-books 74, 75, 78–79, 80
- evaluation of digital age 76–81
- exhaustion
in Canada 493–96
in first period of digital age 66–72
- first period of digital age 64–65, 66, 73
exhaustion in 66–72
- first sale doctrine 66, 68, 69, 70, 71
- hybrid business models 66, 68
- implied license theory 67, 76, 78
- incentives theory, and intellectual property
exhaustion 14
- InfoSoc Directive (Directive 2001/29) 64,
69, 74
- opinions and evaluation 68–72
- outlook, digital age 81–82
- ownership, erosion of 520–26
property, shifting views on 523–25
software divide, shrinking 525–26
software exceptionalism 521–23
software ownership cases 520–21
- and personal property 518–36
erosion of copy 527–29
erosion of ownership 520–26
- physical carriers, use of (in first period)
64–65, 71
- pure enjoyment criterion 77
- reality of 489
- sales contracts 66
- second period of digital age 65
exhaustion in 66–72
first sale doctrine 70
vs. third period 68
- software, opinions regarding works other
than 74–76
- Software Directive (Directive 2009/24) 64,
72, 74, 80, 81, 504, 507
- streaming, downloading works by 65
- technical developments in 64–66
- technical protections measures, purposes
80–81
- terminology 66–68
- third period of digital age 65, 77
vs. second period 68
transfer of capacity to be a licensee in
72–81
- transfer of capacity to be a licensee in the
third period 72–81
- undesired use activities, hindering 78
- in United States 68–9
see also copies; Internet, and trademark
exhaustion; Internet and exhaustion as
a distribution channel; software
- Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU),
Latin America 203–4
- distribution
Canadian law 496
and exhaustion 34–36, 137–38
integrity of selective distribution systems 358
- introduction of a general distribution right,
in Taiwan 455
- Marrakesh Treaty (2013) *see* Marrakesh
Treaty (2013)
- patents, initial authorized sale terminating
patent owner's rights to control 99
- subsequent (under Marrakesh Treaty) 467
limitations on 470–72
under targeted exhaustion principle
474–77
under U.S. copyright law 472–74
- vertical pricing and distributor-level parallel
trade 116–18
see also distributional problem; Internet and
exhaustion as a distribution channel
- distributional problem
addressing 136–38
exhaustion 129–33
IPR harmonization 134–36, 140–43
- DNA 140
self-replicating technologies 292, 293, 301,
302, 306
- Doha Ministerial Declaration on TRIPs and
Public Health (2001) 128, 135, 137, 147,
159, 284, 331
- Dominican Republic 201, 202
Industrial Property Law 205–6
- Doncaster Pharmaceuticals v. Bolton
Pharmaceutical Co.* (2006) 175–76
- Dr. Miles Medical Co. v. John D. Park & Sons*
(1911) 55, 58
- drug approval 149–50
see also pharmaceutical products
- drug regulatory authority (DRA) 149
- Duffy, John 60

- easements 47
- eBay site 422, 423, 430–31, 437
- e-books *see* electronic books (e-books)
- ECJ *see* European Court of Justice (ECJ)
- economic factors
 - parallel importation 115–18
 - parallel trade 251–53
 - profit-increasing parallel imports 115–16
 - rationale for exhaustion *see* economic rationale, exhaustion
- economic integration schemes, parallel imports
 - in (Latin America) 207–13
 - Andean Community of Nations (Andean Pact) 211–13
 - Central American Integration System (SICA) 213, 214
 - Common Market of the South (MERCOSUR) 207–13
- economic rationale, exhaustion 23–43
 - antitrust scholarship, limited relevance to exhaustion 32–34
 - case against exhaustion 28–34
 - parallel trade 30–32
 - case for exhaustion 25–28
 - conflicting views, reconciling
 - efficient distribution 34–36
 - margin, focus on 36–37
 - short-term and long-term costs and benefits 37–39
 - distributional problem 129–30
 - exhaustion as sticky default rule 40–42
 - first sale doctrine, formulations 24
 - limited relevance of antitrust scholarship to exhaustion question 32–34
- efficiency
 - distribution 34–36
 - dynamic 26–27
 - IPRs, efficiency-based 130, 131, 134, 139
 - “purist” approach 141
 - static 25–26
- Eldred v. Ashcroft* judgment (2003) 6–8
- electronic books (e-books) 393, 476, 526, 529
 - digital products/digital exhaustion 74, 75, 78–79, 80
- EMI Records v. United Kingdom* judgment (1976) 177
- Enlarged Board of Appeal, European Patent Office 300
- EPSPS enzymes 292, 293, 304–5
- equitable servitudes 47
- erosion
 - of copy 527–29
 - of general applicability of exhaustion principle 476
 - of ownership
 - property, shifting views on 523–25
 - software divide, shrinking 525–26
 - software exceptionalism 521–23
 - software ownership cases 520–21
- of traditional limitations on land servitudes 54
- Etablissements Consten, S.A.R.L. & Grundig-Verkaufs GmbH v. Commission* (1966) (“*Consten and Grundig*”) judgment 344, 346, 348, 354, 356, 365
- European Commission 109
- European Council, *New Approach to Technical Harmonization and Standards* 371
- European Court of Justice (ECJ) 253, 344, 501, 529
 - trademark exhaustion and free movement of goods 368, 369–70
 - see also* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- European Economic Area (EEA) xix
 - Agreement on 169, 170, 371
 - goods not put on the market in 177–78
 - trademark exhaustion and free movement of goods 367, 368–73
- European Medicines Agency (EMA) 148
- European Patent Convention (EPC) 300
- European Patent Office (EPO), Enlarged Board of Appeal 300
- European Union (EU)
 - Acts of Accession 178
 - Biotechnology Directive (Directive 98/44/EC) 296, 302, 303, 305, 306
- case law of importance
 - Art & Allposters International BV v. Stichting Pictoright* (2015) 486–87
 - Compagnie générale pour la diffusion de la télévision & ors. v. Ciné-Vog Films S.A. & ors (Coditel II)* (1982) 488, 510–11
 - Merck v. Stephar* (1981) 255
 - Syfait v. GlaxoSmithKline* (2005) 256
 - UsedSoft v. Oracle* (2012) *see* *UsedSoft v. Oracle* judgment (2012)
- competition law and parallel trade in
 - pharmaceuticals 255–62
 - Greek and Spanish *GlaxoSmithKline* judgments 256–58, 261, 262
 - innovation vs. parallel trade in pharmaceuticals 260–61
 - no benefits for consumers created by parallel trade 259–60
 - regulation of pharmaceutical markets in the Member States 258–59
- Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (2014) 480

- Copyright Directive (Directive 2001/29/EC), EUCD 503, 507, 509, 511, 512, 513
- data exclusivity, pharmaceutical industry 153
- exclusion of exhaustion for services under EU law 510–11
- exhaustion landscape 500–504
- InfoSoc Directive *see* InfoSoc Directive (Directive 2001/29)
- parallel trade
 - competition law on restraints 253–62
 - jurisdictional approaches to parallel importation 100–102
 - negative and positive integration 254
 - pharmaceutical products 255–62
 - Regulations 100
- pharmaceutical products 153
- principle of European exhaustion and EU competition law 251, 253–55
- regulation of pharmaceutical markets in the Member States 258–59
- Software Directive *see* Software Directive (Directive 2009/24)
- Trade Mark Directive *see under* trademarks
- trademark exhaustion and free movement of goods 368–73
- transit of pharmaceuticals, dealing with 264–69
 - appropriate legal regime 267–69
 - legal situation in EU 264–67
 - whether collision between copyright and EU law 504–9
- exchange rate variations, East Asia 110
- exclusive dealing 29
- exhaustion of rights doctrine, intellectual property
 - application of doctrine 125
 - benefit-cost optimization 129–30
 - case against 28–34
 - case for 25–28
 - Coase Theorem and transaction costs 40–41
 - common law origins 56–59
 - copyright *see* copyright exhaustion
 - definitions 125–26, 498
 - developed nations' position 130–31, 132, 136
 - digital exhaustion, case for (Canada) 487–96
 - and digital markets 509–16
 - capacities and parameters 515–16
 - compliance with international Convention law 512–13
 - exclusion of exhaustion for services under EU law 510–11
 - interpretation flexibilities 514–15
 - online exhaustion and supremacy of primary Union law 511–15
 - primary law, continued relevance 514–15
 - distributional problem 129–33
 - in domestic law, and parallel importing 85
 - economic rationale for 23–43, 129–30
 - effects on static efficiency 25–26
 - erosion of 476
 - EU exhaustion landscape 500–504
 - European exhaustion and competition law 251, 253–55
 - general exhaustion and biological material 296–97
 - geographic scope (United States) 226–27
 - and national treatment principle 227–28, 229–31
 - and territoriality 231–44
 - and German copyright law 499
 - global policies 114
 - and implied consent doctrine 498
 - and incentives *see* incentives, and intellectual property
 - intangible property *see* intangible property
 - international diversity 409–10
 - international exhaustion *see* international exhaustion
 - international harmony, working towards 138–40
 - and Internet as a distribution channel 498–517
 - in Latin America *see* Latin America
 - and Marrakesh Treaty 465–66
 - in a multi-layered system 515–16
 - national *see* national exhaustion
 - one-size-fits-all 138–39
 - online exhaustion and supremacy of primary Union law 511–15
 - and parallel importation 106–24
 - patent *see* patent exhaustion
 - principles 11, 13, 169, 424, 503
 - “red wine” fallacy 34
 - regional *see* regional exhaustion
 - and resale right 67
 - and right to use 67
 - secondary markets 129–30
 - in Singapore *see* Singapore
 - as sticky default rule 40–42
 - in Taiwan *see* Taiwan
 - terminology 67, 68
 - and Trade Mark Directive 348–52, 363, 364
 - triggering events 125
 - and TRIPS 126–29
 - as users' right 491–93
 - whether collision between copyright and EU law 504–9
 - wider integration of competition concerns in doctrine 352–63

- “Exhaustion Plus,” internal market 171–84
 “BMS conditions” 172, 173
 examples of relevant goods
 changed condition 171–73
 not put on the market by the proprietor or
 with his consent 175–77
 not put on the market in the EEA 177–78
 put on the market under a different mark
 173–75
 right holder not objecting quickly enough
 178–81
 packaging 171–73
 services 181–84
 Specific Mechanism 179, 180
 externalities, servitudes 52–53, 61
- fair dealing, users’ right 493
 farmer’s privilege, plant variety protection 299
 FDA *see* Food and Drug Administration
 (FDA)
 finished pharmaceutical products (FPPs)
 148–9, 150
 first marketing theory *see* implied license
 theory
 first sale doctrine 23, 25, 27, 58, 67, 156, 408
 Canada 485–7
 common law 23, 334, 335, 340
 copyright exhaustion, U.S. 12, 14, 15, 17
 digital age 66, 68, 69, 70, 71, 503
 distinguished from exhaustion principle
 88–89
 effects on dynamic efficiency 26–27
 first unconditional sale 24
 first-authorized sale 24
 formulations 24
 genuine goods 433–35
 international intellectual property rules and
 exhaustion 88–89
 and material differences 395–96
 see also copyright exhaustion; exhaustion;
 horizontal restraints; post-sale
 restraints; United States; vertical
 restraints
 Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 148,
 152, 283, 285, 286
Football Assoc. Premier League v. QC Leisure
 judgment (2011) 181
 free movement of goods
 and competition law 344–45
 in GATT 86
 mutual recognition principle 370, 372
 and trademark exhaustion 352, 367–89
 see also trademark exhaustion
 free riding 33, 129, 136, 362
 parallel trade/imports 31, 33, 109, 121, 361
 free trade agreements (FTAs) 199, 200, 211,
 249
 French, Susan 49
*Fuji Photo Film Co., v. Jazz Photo Corp (Jazz
 Photo II)* judgment (2005) 186, 242, 277
Fujifilm judgment (Supreme Court of South
 Korea, 2003) 416
 full appropriation of creative work/invention 5
- Ganslandt, Mattias 110, 111, 117, 185, 280
 General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
 (GATT) 96, 155, 271
 Article V 266
 Article IX 90
 Article XX 90, 91
 exceptions 89, 91
 free movement of goods 86
 pre-TRIPS 89–91
 provisions 89
 General Court of the European Union 359
 genetic material, “function” 304–5
 genuine goods 424, 431
 first sale doctrine 433–5
 in Singapore 188, 189
 see also goods
 Germany 499
 Gilead (drug company) 154, 155
GlaxoSmithKline judgments, Greek and
 Spanish 256–58, 262
 globalization 108
 Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) 148
 goods
 changed condition 171–73
 counterfeit *see* counterfeit goods 394–95
 digital xix, 487–91
 free movement of
 and competition law 344–45
 and trademark exhaustion 367–89
 genuine *see* genuine goods
 intangible, expansion of exhaustion rule
 towards 504–5
 labeling 163, 376, 377, 385, 401, 403, 473
 not put on the market by the proprietor or
 with his consent 175–77
 not put on the market in the EEA 177–78
 positional 32
 put on the market under a different mark
 173–75
 relabeling 360, 372, 377
 repackaging *see* repackaging of goods
 resold, and Internet 422–39
 right holder not objecting quickly enough
 178–81
 used or repaired, selling 431, 432
 see also services

- Google France, Google Inc. v. Louis Vuitton Malletier*; *Google France v. Viaticum Luteciel*; *Google France v. CNRRH Pierre Alexis Thonet Bruno Raboi Tiger* judgment (2010) 354
- gray market 98, 99
 see also parallel trade
- gray market goods *see* parallel imports (PI)/parallel importation
- Grossman, Gene M. 121, 281
- Guatemala 201, 202
 Copyright Law 206
- harmony, international
 context 125–29
 definitions 125–26
 distributional problem 129–38
 exhaustion 138–40
 under and after TRIPS, and transnational IPRs 126–29
 intellectual property rights 134–36, 140–43
 IPR harmonization 134–36, 140–43
 Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons (2013) 126–27, 133, 138
 realpolitik of parties' respective bargaining positions 134
 transnational IPRs 126–29
 working towards 125–44
- Heald, Paul 7
- Heller, Michael 51–52
- Henry v. A. B. Dick Co.* (1912) 58, 59
- Hoffmann-La Roche v. Centrafarm* judgment (1978) 171, 347, 350, 358
- Holiday v. Mattheson* judgment (1885) 241
- Holmes, Oliver Wendell (Justice) 45
- Honda Giken v. Maria Patmanidi* judgment (2013) 364, 365
- Hong Kong 186
- horizontal restraints 28, 29
- Hynes, Richard 60
- IHT Internationale Heiztechnik v. Ideal-Standard* judgment (1994) 175, 346
- implied consent doctrine, and exhaustion 498
- implied license theory
 common law 295
 digital products/digital exhaustion 67, 76, 78
 patent exhaustion 289, 290–91
 terminology 67
 see also licensing
- import controls, pharmaceutical products 150
- Incandescent Gaslight Co. v. Cantelo* (1895) 59
- incentives theory, and intellectual property exhaustion 3–22
- author incentives, functions of copy ownership 530–31
- case law of importance
 Adams v. Burke (1873) 17–18
 Aronson v. Quick Point (1979) 8–11
 Bloomer v. McQuewan (1852) 17
 Bobbs-Merrill v. Strauss (1908) 11, 15, 18
 Bowman v. Monsanto (2013) 4, 10, 11, 19
 Brulotte v. Thys (1964) 8–9, 10
 Eldred v. Ashcroft (2003) 6–8
 Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons judgment (2013) 14–15
 Quality King v. L'Anza (1997) 14, 15
 Quanta v. LG Electronics (2008) 18–19, 20, 242
 United States v. Univis Lens Co. (1942) 18
- consumer incentives 531–32
- contract law 8, 9, 10
- copyright exhaustion 11–16
- criticism of IP incentives 5
- digital future 21–22
- direct rewards 5
- gauging incentives 6–7
- incentives-based justification for intellectual property 3, 6, 7
- inventions, nonpatentable 9, 10
- limitations of incentives theory 3–4
- monetary rewards 4, 5, 9
- patent exhaustion 16–21
- pre-emption issue 8–11
- randomness and serendipity 5
- size of incentives 5
- U.S. approach to copyright and patent exhaustion 11–21
- India, patent exhaustion in 324–40
 ambiguities in patent exhaustion doctrine 326–29
 compulsory licensing 332, 337
 and Copyright Act (1957) 334
 development of exhaustion doctrine 324–25
 fixing patent law on “international exhaustion” 333–35
 and international exhaustion 326, 333–35
 legal interpretation vs. legal construction 325–26
 legislative amendments and lack of judicial guidance 326–29
 and national exhaustion 335–39
 policy style reasoning of exhaustion law 330–33
 Rajagopal Ayyangar Report 326
 Statement of Objects and Reasons of 2002 Amendment to Patents Act 327
 strict legal construction 335–39
 teleological interpretation 330–33

- and Trade Marks Act (1999) 334, 337, 338
- and TRIPS 325, 330, 331, 332, 334
- Waiver Decision (2003) 331
- Indian Patents Act (1970) 326
- 2002 Amendment 327
- 2005 Amendment 328
- section 107A 327
- section 107A(b) 328, 329, 330, 332, 340
 - reworking 333–35
 - whether referring to national exhaustion 335–39
- Indonesia 380
- Industrial Revolution, land-use planning needs 47
- information costs, servitudes 44, 47–50, 61
- InfoSoc Directive (Directive 2001/29 of the European Parliament and of the Council of May 22, 2001 on the harmonization of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society) 64, 74
- Recitals 28 and 29 69
- innovation
 - “Innovation Wetlands” 28
 - vs. parallel trade in pharmaceuticals 260–61
 - producer-centric view of 27
- intangible property 56, 88, 490, 499
 - expansion of exhaustion rule towards 504–5
- intellectual property (IP)
 - developed nations’ position 136
 - “different strokes for different intellectual property (IP) folks” approach 186, 195–96
 - exhaustion *see* exhaustion of rights doctrine, intellectual property; incentives theory, and intellectual property exhaustion
 - exhaustion in domestic IP context 129
 - as an intangible property right 88
 - internal politics and particular IP regimes 142–43
 - international rules *see* international intellectual property rules
 - and pharmaceutical products *see under* pharmaceutical products
 - see also* intangible property; intellectual property rights (IPRs)
- intellectual property rights (IPRs)
 - efficiency-based 130, 131, 134
 - exclusive, deadweight loss resulting from grant of 25
 - harmonization 134–36, 140–43
 - intangible 88
 - Latin America, provisions in legislation relating to imports of products protected by 215–25
 - market-based 141–42
 - as matter of trade policy, ignoring 107–8
 - transnational 126–29
 - TRIPS standards 142
 - see also* intellectual property (IP); TRIPS Agreement (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights)
- “interbrand” price competition 250, 251
- internal market 169–84
 - case law of importance
 - Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Paranova* (1997) 171–72
 - Centrafarm v. American Home Products* (1978) 173
 - CNL-SUCAL N.V. v. Hag G.F. A.G (Hag II)* (1990) 175
 - Deutsche Grammophon v. Metro* (1971) 170
 - Doncaster Pharmaceuticals v. Bolton Pharmaceutical Co.* (2006) 175–76
 - EMI Records v. United Kingdom* (1976) 177
 - Football Assoc. Premier League v. QC Leisure* (2011) 181
 - Hoffmann-La Roche v. Centrafarm* (1978) 171, 347, 350, 358
 - IHT Internationale Heiztechnik v. Ideal-Standard* (1994) 175, 346
 - Merck v. Sigma* (2015) 179, 180
 - Peak Holdings v. Axolin-Elinor* (2004) 177
 - Pharmacia & Upjohn v. Paranova* (1999) 174, 360
 - Silhouette Int’l v. Harlauer* (1998) 177, 364
 - Speciality European Pharma v. Doncaster Pharmaceuticals Ltd* (2015) 175
 - Van Zuylen Freres v. Hag (Hag I)* (1974) 175, 344, 346
 - European framework 169–71
 - “Exhaustion Plus” *see* “Exhaustion Plus,” internal market
 - TRIPs-plus 169
- international exhaustion
 - acceptance 409
 - Canada 375, 376
 - China 311
 - defined 106
 - India 326, 333–35
 - and international non-exhaustion 128, 131, 132, 135, 143
 - lawful placement 158
 - and patents 151–52, 154
 - argument for international patent exhaustion 273–75
 - China 311, 314
 - regulatory responses to 271–88

- pharmaceutical products 263
- Taiwan
 under Patent Act 448–50
 under Taiwan Integrated Circuits Layouts Protection Act 444–45
 under Taiwan Trademark Act 445–48
 theory building 456–59
 and TRIPS 250, 251
 United States xviii, 375–76
- international intellectual property rules 85–105
 Berne Convention 91–92
 Appendix 95, 96
 case law of importance
Japan—Customs Duties, Taxes and Labelling Practices on Imported Wines and Alcoholic Beverages (1983) 91
United States—Imports of Certain Automotive Spring Assemblies (1983) 90
United States—Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (1989) 90
 exhaustion and first sale doctrine 88–89
 General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 96
 prior to TRIPS 89–91
 and parallel imports 85–105
 Paris Convention 91–92
 TRIPS Agreement 92–96
- International Law Association, Committee on International Trade Law 146
- International Trade Commission (ITC), U.S.
 90, 285
 Summary Notice of Determination 86
- International Trademark Association (INTA) 380
- International Union for the Protection of Plant Varieties (UPOV) 297
 Convention 299, 300
- Internet, resales and trademark exhaustion 422–39
 challenging mark owner's desire for total control 435–39
 confusion 437–39
 strengthening available defenses 436–37
- defenses
 available, strengthening 436–37
 first sale of a genuine good 433–35
 repackaged goods, selling 431, 432
 reselling a genuine good 431
 trademark nominative fair use 432–33
 used or repaired goods, selling 431, 432
- litigation 425–31
 aggression, acts of 427–28, 429
 confusion, claiming 423, 425–27
 contributory infringement claims 428
 Copyright Act 1976 428
 ISPs and online auction sites 429–31
 Standard Process 429
- Waterford mark example 423
- Internet and exhaustion as a distribution channel 437, 498–517
- EU exhaustion landscape 500–504
 exhaustion and digital markets 509–16
 capacities and parameters 515–16
 compliance with international Convention law 512–13
 exclusion of exhaustion for services under EU law 510–11
 interpretation flexibilities 514–15
 online exhaustion and supremacy of primary Union law 511–15
 primary law, continued relevance 514–15
 “Internet of things” xviii
 whether collision between copyright and EU law 504–9
 exhaustion and copyright doctrine 505–9
 intangible goods, expansion of exhaustion rule towards 504–5
 “proper” solution under secondary software copyright law 507–8
 redundancy of contractual typologies 508–9
 secondary legislation, construing 505–9
UsedSoft judgment as interpretation *contra legem* 506–7
see also digital products/digital exhaustion; distribution; Internet, resales and trademark exhaustion
- Internet Service Providers (ISPs) 424
 and online auction sites 429–31
- inventions
 nonpatentable 9, 10
 restrictions on use of chattels embodying 59
see also patent exhaustion; patents
- Inwood Laboratories, Inc. v. Ives Laboratories* judgment (1982) 430
- IPRs *see* intellectual property rights (IPRs)
- ISPs *see* Internet Service Providers (ISPs)
- Israel, parallel importation, jurisdictional approaches 102–4
- ITC *see* International Trade Commission (ITC), U.S.
- Japan
 copyright law 127–28
 national exhaustion 106
Japan—Customs Duties, Taxes and Labelling Practices on Imported Wines and Alcoholic Beverages (1983) 91

- Jazz Photo Corp. v. International Trade Commission* judgment (2001) 242, 243, 244, 277
- Jefferson, Thomas 51
- John D. Park & Sons v. Hartman* (1907) 54, 58
- K Mart Corp v. Cartier, Inc.* judgment (1988) 98
- Keppel v. Bailey* (1834) 48
- Kirtsang v. John Wiley & Sons* judgment (2013) xvii–xviii, 30, 138
- and copyright law 391, 392, 393, 457, 460, 474
- details of case xvii, 234
- impact of, for international exhaustion 391, 392, 393
- incentives theory, and intellectual property exhaustion 14–15
- international harmony, working towards 126–27, 133
- patent exhaustion 276, 288
- territoriality and geographic scope of exhaustion 234, 236, 237, 238, 241, 242, 243, 245
- and trademark law 393
- transnational IPRs and exhaustion 126–27
- Kohler, Josef 291, 292, 295, 296, 498
- Koninklijke Philips Elecs. v. Lucheng Meijing Indus. Co. & Nokia Corp. v. Her Majesty's Commissioners of Revenue and Customs (Philips and Nokia)* judgment (2011) 265, 267, 269
- Korea *see* South Korea, trademark exhaustion in
- Korea Customs Office (KCS) 420, 421
- Korean Fair Trade Commission (KFTC) 419
- KP Permanent Make-Up, Inc. v. Lasting Impressions I. Inc.* judgment (2004) 437
- labeling of goods 163, 376, 377, 385, 401, 403, 473
- see also* goods; relabeling of goods
- Lai, Edwin L.-C. 121, 281
- laissez faire* approach, trademark exhaustion 373–83
- land servitudes 46, 47, 61, 62
- Lanham Act (Trademark Act 1946), U.S.
- aims 423–4
- genuine goods 424
- parallel importation 99
- remedies, applying to copyrighted works 400–403
- trademark exhaustion and Internet 423–24, 428, 435, 439
- trademark infringement 394, 396
- see also* United States
- Lao PDR 380–81, 386
- Latin America
- exhaustion of rights in 198–225
- free trade agreements 199, 200, 211
- parallel importation *see* Latin American parallel imports
- provisions in legislation relating to imports of products protected by IPRs (Table) 215–25
- and TRIPS 198, 199, 202
- Latin American parallel imports
- Argentine Patent Law 202, 203
- Dispute Settlement Understanding 203–4
- in economic integration schemes 207–13
- Implementing Regulations 205
- Industrial Property Law 204, 205–6
- under national legislation 201–7
- consent not given 202–6
- consent-based approach 201–2
- exhaustion within national market 206–7
- see also* MERCOSUR (Common Market of the South)
- least developed countries (LDCs) 126, 128
- and India 330, 331
- Leegin Creative Leather Prods, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc.* (2007) 358
- Lemley, Mark 7
- Lever Bros Co. v. United States (Lever II)* judgment (1993) 99, 163
- Lexmark International, Inc. v. Impression Products, Inc.* judgment (2014) 243, 278
- licensing
- compulsory 94, 95, 156–59, 332
- consent and reward 156–57
- escalator clauses, conditional on patent grant 5
- implied license theory 67, 289, 290–91
- lawful placement 158–59
- pharmaceutical products 154–59
- transfer of capacity to be a licensee in the third period of digital age 72–81
- voluntary, and differential pricing 154–56
- Lisbon Treaty (2007) 353, 499
- L'Oréal S.A. v. eBay International A.G.* (2011) 349
- Los Angeles News Service v. Reuters* judgment (1998) 232–33
- Madison, James 51
- Madrid Agreement for the Repression of False or Deception Indications of Source 91
- Mahoney, Julia 50
- Malaysia Trademark Act (1976) 382–83
- Malueg, David A. 114, 280

- manufacturing, pharmaceutical products 148–49
 margin, focus on 36–37
 market-communitarian conflict, common-enterprise argument 142
 Markus, Keith 185
 Marrakesh Treaty (2013) 461–77
 copies first distributed under exceptions and limitations to copyright 467–70
 critics 463
 evaluation 477
 goals 463
 implementation of obligations under 463–64
 and exhaustion principle 465–66
 subsequent distribution under limitations on 470–72
 under targeted exhaustion principle 474–77
 and U.S. law 467, 472–74
 three-step test 469, 470, 471
 and TRIPS 461, 462, 464
 Maskus, Keith E. 110, 111, 117, 280
 material differences
 and copyrighted works 400–402
 and first sale doctrine 395–96
Merck v. Sigma judgment (2015) 179, 180
Merck v. Stephar judgment (1981) 255
 MERCOSUR (Common Market of the South)
 xix, 207–13
 admissibility of parallel imports 210
 Andean Community of Nations (Andean Pact) 211–13
 Central American Integration System 213
 Protocol for the Harmonization of Intellectual Property Provisions on Trademarks, Indications of Source and Appellations of Origin (2000) 207–8
 Protocol on Basic Principles and General Rules on Intellectual Property (MERCOSUR Protocol 2008) 208, 209
 Protocol on Harmonization of Norms in the Field of Industrial Design (1998) 208
 Resolution 1/00 210
 Treaty of Asunción, established by (1991) 207
 see also Latin America; Latin American parallel imports
 Merrill, Thomas W. 48, 53
 Mexico 201, 205, 206, 384, 385
 Industrial Property Law 222, 376, 377
 and NAFTA 373, 376, 377
Microsoft v. AT&T judgment (2007) 240
 Monopoly Regulation and Fair Trade Act (MRFTA), Korea 419, 420, 421
 Monsanto Company (publicly traded
 American multinational agrochemical and agricultural biotechnology corporation)
 Canadian Supreme Court decision in *Monsanto v. Schmeisser* (2004) 293, 294, 299
 European Court of Justice decision in *Monsanto v. Cefetra et al* (2010) 290
 case history 301–2
 decision 302–3
 self-replicating technology as patented by 292–94
 U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Bowman v. Monsanto* (2013)
 analysis 296–301
 case history 294
 decision 294–95
 incentives theory, and intellectual property exhaustion 4, 10, 11, 19, 21
 Monsanto v. Cefetra et al judgment (2010) 290
 analysis
 “function” of genetic material 304–5
 possible scope of protection outside Europe 305–6
 scope of protection and contribution to society 306–7
 case history 301–2
 decision 302–3
 see also Monsanto Company (publicly traded American multinational agrochemical and agricultural biotechnology corporation)
 Monsanto v. Schmeisser judgment (2004) 293, 294, 299
 Motion Picture Patents Co. v. Universal Film Manufacturing Co. (1917) 59
 Mulligan, Christina M. 27
 mutual recognition principle 370, 372, 387
 Myanmar 380
 NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement)
 members 384–85
 trademark exhaustion and free movement of goods 367, 368, 373–77
 and TRIPS 373, 374
 see also ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations); European Economic Area (EEA); European Union (EU)
 Nash bargaining 114
 National Economic Research Associates (NERA) 109
 national exhaustion
 defined 106, 126
 India 335–39

- Taiwan 450–51, 455
- United States xviii
- National Office of Industrial Property of Vietnam 381–82
- national treatment principle
 - and geographic scope of exhaustion 229–31
 - and TRIPS 229
- Nat'l Phonograph Co. of Australia v. Menck* judgment (1911) 290–91
- New Zealand, parallel importation, jurisdictional approaches 102–4
- Nokia Corp v. Her Majesty's Commissioners of Revenue & Customs* judgment (2009) 265
- nominate fair use defense, trademarks 432–33
- non-governmental organizations (NGOs) 158
- North American Free Trade Agreement *see* NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement)
- notice, servitudes 44, 47–50
- numerus clausus* principle 27, 533
- online auction sites, and Internet Service Providers 429–31
- ordre public* 143
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) 107
- packaging 171–73
- Panama 202
- Pan-West (Pte.) Ltd. v Grand Bigwin Pte. Ltd* judgment (2003) 192, 195, 196
- Paraguay 202
- parallel imports (PI)/parallel importation
 - “affiliate exception” to gray market imports 99
 - in Australia 104
 - as a calibration tool 96, 105
 - in Canada (books, under s. 27.1 of Copyright Act) 481–82
 - case law of importance
 - K Mart Corp v. Cartier, Inc.* (1988) 98
 - Lever Bros Co. v. United States* (Lever II) (1993) 99, 163
 - in China
 - economic effects of permitting 319–20
 - regulation of parallel imports 311–12
 - and competition law (Korea) 419–20
 - copyright 107, 391–93
 - and customs law (Korea) 420–21
 - Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp* (2003), quasi-preemption under 403–4
 - definitions 390, 478
 - economic perspectives 106–24
 - empirical evidence 110–12
 - in European Union 100–102
 - exchange rate variations, East Asia 110
 - and exhaustion of rights in domestic law 85
 - few international rules concerning 85
 - free riding 31, 33, 109, 121, 361
 - international intellectual property rules 85–105
 - Berne Convention 91–92, 95, 96
 - General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 89–91, 96
 - Paris Convention 91–92, 94
 - prior to TRIPS Agreement 89–91
 - TRIPS Agreement 92–96
 - intra-EU 109
 - in Israel 102–4
 - John Wiley & Sons, invoking of trademark law against parallel imports 402–3
 - jurisdictional approaches to 97–104
 - in Australia 104
 - in European Union 100–102
 - in Israel 102–4
 - in New Zealand 102–4
 - in Singapore 102–4
 - in United States 97–100
 - in Korea 417, 418, 419–21
 - lack of harmonization/coordination among developed countries 85–86
 - lack of multilateral agreed rule, effect 87
 - Lever* rule 396–97, 402, 403, 405, 406, 407
 - uncertain impact of *Lever* disclaimers on trademark infringement claims 397–99
 - liberalized copyright rules on 391–93
 - in New Zealand 102–4
 - and North-South divide 97, 121
 - profit-increasing parallel imports 115–16
 - rationales for allowing 87–88
 - rationales for preventing 87
 - in Singapore 102–4, 190, 191
 - stylized facts 109–10
 - trademark exhaustion 360–61
 - trademark remedies against
 - Tariff Act remedies 399–400
 - trademark infringement 394–99
 - and TRIPS 88, 93
 - in United States 97–100, 118
 - see also* European Union (EU); parallel trade; United States
- parallel trade
 - and competition 117
 - distortion by regulation 145
 - distributor-level 110–11
 - and vertical pricing 116–18

- economics of 251–53
- European Union (EU)
 - competition law on restraints 253–62
 - jurisdictional approaches to parallel importation 100–102
 - negative and positive integration 254
 - pharmaceutical products 255–62
 - Regulations 100
- free riding 31, 33
- impact on local dealer investment 31–32
- as international community arbitrage 112
- no benefits for consumers created by 259–60
- in pharmaceutical products xx, 145–65
 - dealing with internationally 262–64
 - and EU competition law 255–62
 - future for parallel trade in medicine 164–65
 - Greek and Spanish *GlaxoSmithKline* judgments 256–58, 261, 262
 - innovation vs. parallel trade in pharmaceuticals 260–61
 - no benefits for consumers created by parallel trade 259–60
 - regulation of pharmaceutical markets in EU Member States 258–9
- post-sale restraints 32
- and price controls 119–22
- price discrimination 30–31
- R&D models 118–19
- restraints 32, 251, 252
 - EU competition law 253–62
- trademarks/trademark exhaustion 152–53, 358
- see also* parallel imports (PI)/parallel importation
- Parfums Christian Dior SA v. Dior v. Evora* judgment (1997) 351, 352, 355
- Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (Paris Convention) 91–92, 94, 158
- patent exhaustion
 - China 308–23
 - economic effects 318–22
 - legal framework 309–18
 - copyright exhaustion distinguished 17
 - defined 16–17
 - escalator clauses, licensing arrangements 5
 - implied license theory 289, 290–91
 - India
 - ambiguities in patent exhaustion doctrine 326–29
 - hermeneutics of 324–40
 - and international exhaustion 333–35
 - legal construction 335–39
 - legislative amendments and lack of judicial guidance 326–29
 - teleological interpretation 330–33
- international
 - argument for 273–75
 - and India 333–35
 - regulatory responses to 271–88
- origins (U.S.) 17–19
- patent owner's exclusive right to sale and right to use 17
- and regional exhaustion 286, 308, 324
- remuneration by first marketing theory 291–92
- self-replicating technologies 289–307
 - European Court of Justice decision in *Monsanto v. Cefetra et al* (2010) 301–7
 - as patented by Monsanto 292–94
 - U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Bowman v. Monsanto* 294–301
- theories 290–92
- United States 16–21, 100, 275–87
 - access, role of regulation in (pharmaceutical industry) 283–87
 - origins of patent exhaustion 17–19
 - questioning benefits of geographic price discrimination 278–83
 - recent Supreme Court developments 19–21
 - Sherman Act 1990 18
- patents
 - and contract law 8, 9
 - initial, authorized sale terminating patent owner's rights to control distribution 99
 - and international exhaustion 151–52, 154
 - parallel importation, jurisdictional approaches 102
 - pharmaceutical trade 151–52
 - territorial 99–100
 - and TRIPS 93
 - see also* copyright; patent exhaustion; trademarks
- Peak Holdings v. Axolin-Elinor* judgment (2004) 177
- per se* rules, vertical restraints 29
- personal property servitudes 54–56
- Perzanowski, Aaron 25, 60
- Pfizer (drug company) 152
- pharmaceutical products
 - access, role of regulation in 283–87
 - active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) 148–49, 150
- Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement 162, 163
- antiretrovirals 146–47

- brand names 153
- case law of importance
 - GlaxoSmithKline* judgments, Greek and Spanish 256–58, 262
 - Koninklijke Philips Elecs. v. Lucheng Meijing Indus. Co. & Nokia Corp. v. Her Majesty's Commissioners of Revenue and Customs (Philips and Nokia)* (2011) 265, 267, 269
 - Lever Bros Co. v. United States (Lever II)* (1993) 99, 163
 - Nokia Corp v. Her Majesty's Commissioners of Revenue & Customs* (2009) 265
 - Sisvel v. Sosecal* (2008) 265
 - Sot. Lélos kai Sia* (2008) 259, 260, 359–60
- complexities of medicines trade 147–50
- compulsory licensing *see* compulsory licensing
- differential pricing and voluntary licensing 154–56
- Doha Ministerial Declaration on TRIPS and Public Health (2001) 128
- drug approval aspects 149–50
- forms of intellectual property relevant to copyright 153
 - data exclusivity 153–54
 - patents 151–52
 - trademarks 152–53
- future for parallel trade in medicine 164–65
- global regulation 145
- historical perspective 146–47
- import controls 150
- licensing
 - compulsory 156–59
 - voluntary 154–56
- manufacturing aspects 148–49
- monetary value of patents 151
- originator pharmaceutical companies 154–55
- over-the-counter drugs 149
- parallel trade in xx, 145–65
 - dealing with internationally 262–64
 - and EU competition law 255–62
 - future for parallel trade in medicine 164–65
 - Greek and Spanish *GlaxoSmithKline* judgments 256–58, 262
 - imports 111
 - innovation vs. parallel trade in pharmaceuticals 260–61
 - no benefits for consumers created by parallel trade 259–60
 - regulation of pharmaceutical markets in EU Member States 258–59
 - patent exceptions, Canadian 93
 - patent protection 249–70
 - price controls 150, 159–61
 - regulatory measures 161–62
 - research-based firms 118
 - selling price/resale price 151–52
 - Supplementary Protection Certificate (SPC) 179, 180, 268
 - transit of, dealing with 264–69
 - appropriate legal regime 267–69
 - Border Measures Regulation 264, 265, 266, 267
 - legal situation in EU 264–67
 - Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement 162, 163
 - and TRIPS 153, 159, 283, 284
 - unlawful subsidies 160
- Pharmacia & Upjohn S.A. v. Paranonova A/S* judgment (1999) 174, 360
- Philippines, Intellectual Property Code (2013) 382
- phonorecords, prohibition of rental market for 13
- plant variety protection 299–301
- Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Netscape Communications Corp.* judgment (2004) 438
- Png, Ivan 185
- Polaroid Corp. v. Polarad Electronics Corp.* judgment (1961) 425–26
- Polo* judgment (Supreme Court of South Korea, 1997) 413–14
- positional goods 32
- post-sale restraints 23, 32
 - and case against exhaustion 28
 - economic benefits/justifications 36–37, 38
 - and price discrimination 31
 - short-term 24
 - see also* horizontal restraints; vertical restraints
- PP v. Teoh Ai Nee* judgment (1993) 187–88, 195
- pre-emption, incentives and intellectual property 8–11
- price controls
 - and parallel trade 119–22
 - pharmaceutical products 150, 159–61
- price differentiation 154–56, 258
- price discrimination
 - arbitrage 32, 33, 112
 - effects on global well-being 113
 - first-degree 112, 279
 - general economic benefits 272
 - international 112–15

- parallel trade 30–31
 - and post-sale restraints 31
 - questioning benefits of geographic price discrimination 278–83
 - second-degree 112, 279
 - third-degree 112–14, 115, 279, 280
 - ubiquitous nature 112
 - unofficial 25
- privacy, consumer 27
- problem of the future 47, 50–52, 53, 54
 - see also* servitudes, personal property
- product lifecycle 37
- profit-increasing parallel imports 115–16
- property
 - common law, and restrictions on alienation of private property 334, 340, 343, 480
 - dead-hand control, property law 44, 50–51
 - rights *in personam* 523, 524
 - rights *in rem* 523–24
 - shifting views on 523–25
- public domain works 7

- Quality King v. L'Anza* judgment (1997) 14, 15, 235, 236, 391–92, 407
- Quanta v. LG Electronics* judgment (2008) 18–19, 20, 242

- Raff, Horst 116
- rebranding 360–62
- ReDigi* judgment *see* *Capitol Records, LLC v. ReDigi Inc.* judgment (2013)
- reference-pricing 123
- regional exhaustion xix, xx, 89, 126, 151, 226
 - internal market 171, 177, 178
 - parallel imports and exhaustion, economic perspectives 106, 110, 114, 123
 - and patent exhaustion 286, 308, 324
 - and trademark exhaustion 364, 365, 368, 383, 384, 386–87, 410
 - whether exceptions to rule for reasons related to competition 363–65
- relabeling of goods 360, 372, 377
 - see also* goods; labeling of goods
- “remote-control” property interests, and servitudes 45–46, 49, 50, 62
- remuneration by first marketing theory, patent exhaustion 291–92
- repackaging of goods 102, 163, 173, 181, 191, 431–32
 - conditions for 171–72, 174
 - trademark exhaustion 347, 349, 350, 351–52, 360, 377
 - unauthorized 372
 - see also* *Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Paranova* judgment (1997); trademark exhaustion; trademarks
- resale price maintenance (RPM) 29, 31
- resales and Internet *see* Internet, resales and trademark exhaustion
- research and development (R&D) models, and parallel trade 118–19
- restraints
 - ancillary 42
 - competition law on 253–62
 - historical treatment of agreements in restraint of trade 41–42
 - horizontal 28, 29
 - parallel trade 32, 251, 252
 - EU competition law 253–62
 - post-sale *see* post-sale restraints
 - reasonable 41–42
 - restraint of trade 41–42
 - vertical 28–29, 31, 33
- Richardson, Martin 114
- Robinson, Glen 56, 60
- Rogers Communications Inc. v. Société canadienne des auteurs, compositeurs et éditeurs de musique* judgment (2012) 493
- Rose, Carol 47, 50
- Rothman, Jennifer 426–27
- Roussel Uclaf S.A. v. Hockley Int'l Ltd* judgment (1996) 291
- rule of reason analysis, trademark exhaustion 347, 357–58

- Saggi, Kamal 281
- Schmitt, Nicolas 116
- Schultz, Jason 25, 60
- Schwartz, Marius 114, 280
- SCM Agreement (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures), 1994 87
- secondary markets, exhaustion 129–30
- seed-saving, and plant variety protection 299–301
- self-replicating technologies
 - case law of importance
 - Betts v. Willmott* (1871) 290
 - Bowman v. Monsanto* (2013) *see* below
 - Monsanto v. Cefetra et al* judgment (2010) *see* below
 - Monsanto v. Schmeisser* (2004) 293, 294, 299
 - Nat'l Phonograph Co. of Australia v. Menck* (1911) 290–91
 - Roussel Uclaf S.A. v. Hockley Int'l Ltd* (1996) 291
 - United Wire Ltd. v. Screen Repair Services (Scotland)* (2000) 296

- DNA 292, 293, 301, 302
- European Court of Justice decision in
Monsanto v. Cefetra et al (2010) 290
 analysis 304–7
 case history 301–2
 decision 302–3
- general exhaustion and biological material
 296–97
- “making” and self-replication 298–99
- and patent exhaustion rules 289–307
- as patented by Monsanto 292–94
- plant variety protection and saving seeds
 299–301
- theories of patent exhaustion 20
 implied license 290–91
 remuneration by first marketing 291–92
- U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Bowman v. Monsanto* (2013) 4, 294–301
 analysis 296–301
 case history 294
 decision 294–95
 incentives theory, and intellectual property
 exhaustion 4, 10, 11, 19, 21
- see also* Monsanto Company (publicly
 traded American multinational
 agrochemical and agricultural
 biotechnology corporation); patent
 exhaustion
- serfdom, feudal 51
- services
 exclusion of exhaustion for, under EU law
 510–11
 “Exhaustion Plus,” internal market 181–84
see also goods
- servitudes, personal property 44–63
 case law of importance
Bauer. v. O’Donnell (1913) 59
Bobbs-Merrill v. Strauss (1908) 57, 58
De Mattos v. Gibson (1858) 54, 57
Dr. Miles Medical Co. v. John D. Park & Sons (1911) 55, 58
Henry v. A. B. Dick Co. (1912) 58, 59
Incandescent Gaslight Co. v. Cantelo
 (1895) 59
John D. Park & Sons v. Hartman (1907)
 54, 58
Keppel v. Bailey (1834) 48
*Motion Picture Patents Co. v. Universal
 Film Manufacturing Co.* (1917) 59
Straus v. Victor Talking Machine Co.
 (1917) 59
Taddy & Co v. Sterious & Co (1904) 54, 57
Tulk v. Moxhay (1848) 48, 54, 55
White-Smith Music Publishing v. Apollo
 (1908) 45
- chattel servitudes 44, 54, 55, 56, 59, 60, 525
- common law 44, 47, 48, 52, 54–55, 60, 63
 and origins of intellectual property
 exhaustion 56–59
- contemporary critiques 59–62
- copyright context 57–58
- dead-hand control in property law 44, 50–51
- definitions 46
- easements 47
- equitable 47
- externalities 52–53, 61
- free alienation policy 55
- history 44, 45–56
- land 46, 47, 61, 62
- notice and information costs 44, 47–50, 61
- personal property 54–56
- problem of the future 47, 50–52, 53, 54
- real covenants 47
- and “remote-control” property interests
 45–46, 49, 50, 62
- Restatement (Third) of Property: Servitudes*
 (2000) 49, 53
- tangible property 62, 63
- third parties 52–53
- transaction-cost-insulated 51–52
- use restriction “annexed to a chattel” 58
- Shanghai Free-Trade Zone (SFTZ, China)
 Administrative Committee 321
 parallel imported cars in 320–22
 Shanghai Municipal Commission of
 Commerce, notice on parallel imported
 cars 320–21
see also China
- SICA (Central American Integration System)
 213, 214
- Silhouette Int’l v. Harlauer* judgment (1998)
 177, 364
- Simes, Lewis 50–51
- Singapore
 asymmetries 195–96
 case law of importance
*Pan-West (Pte.) Ltd. v. Grand Bigwin Pte.
 Ltd* (2003) 192, 195, 196
PP v. Teoh Ai Nee (1993) 187–88, 195
*Société des Produits Nestlé S.A. v. Petra
 Foods Ltd* [2014] 189
- Copyright Act (1987) 187–90, 191, 195
- exhaustion doctrine in 187–95
- “genuine” products 188, 189
- and Hong Kong 186
- Imperial Copyright Act (1911) 187
- parallel importation
 jurisdictional approaches 102–4
 Trade Marks Act (1998) 190, 191
 Patents Act (1994) 186, 193–95

- Select Committee on the Copyright Bill 187
 Trade Marks Act (1998) 186, 190–92, 381
 Trade Marks Ordinance (1938) 190
 and United Kingdom Patents Ordinance (1937) 193
 and WTO Decision of August 30, 2003 193, 194
see also ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations)
- Single European Act (1986) 253, 254
Sisvel v. Sosecal judgment (2008) 265
 Smith, Henry E. 48, 53
Société des Produits Nestlé S.A. v. Petra Foods Ltd judgment [2014] 189
Society of Composers, Authors and Music Publishers of Canada v. Bell Canada judgment (2012) 492
- software
 as copyright-relevant activity 73
 digital age 72–74
 opinions regarding works other than software 74–76
 divide, shrinking 525–26
 exceptionalism 521–23
 ownership cases 520–21
 rental market, prohibition 13
 Software Directive (2009) 64
 use of as a copyright-relevant activity 67, 77
see also digital products/digital exhaustion; Internet, and trademark exhaustion; Internet and exhaustion as a distribution channel
- Software Directive (Directive 2009/24 of the European Parliament and of the Council of April 23, 2009 on the legal protection of computer programs) 72, 80, 81, 504, 507
lex specialis character 64, 74
Sot. Lélos kai Sia judgment (2008) 259, 260, 359–60
see also *GlaxoSmithKline* judgments, Greek and Spanish
- South Africa
 public health policy 147
 South African Medicines Amendment Act (1997) 146
- South Korea, trademark exhaustion in 408–21
 case law of importance
Burberry (2002) 414–16
Fujifilm (2003) 416
Polo (Supreme Court, 1997) 413–14
 competition law and parallel imports 419–20
 customs law and parallel imports 420–21
 exhaustion of trademark rights and parallel imports under Korean law 410–18
 current statutory and case law, analysis 417–18
 judicial decisions on parallel imports of trademarked products 413–16
 legal background 411–13
 parallel imports and competition law 419–20
 and Korea Customs Office 420, 421
 Monopoly Regulation and Fair Trade Act (MRFTA) 419, 420, 421
 parallel imports 417, 418, 419–21
 Trademark Act (TMA), 1949 411, 412–13
 and TRIPS 411
 Unfair Competition Prevention and Trade Secret Protection Act (UCPA) 411, 418, 421
- Speciality European Pharma v. Doncaster Pharmaceuticals Ltd* judgment (2015) 175
- State Intellectual Property Office (SIPO), China 312, 313
- statin drugs 152
- Straus v. Victor Talking Machine Co.* (1917) 59
- streaming, downloading works by 65
Strix Ltd. v. Maharaja Appliances Ltd judgment (2009) 328–29
- Subafilms, Ltd. v. MGM-Pathe Communications Co.* judgment (1994) 231, 233
- Supplementary Protection Certificate (SPC) 179, 180, 268
- Syfait v. GlaxoSmithKline* judgment (2005) 256, 257, 258
- Taddy & Co v. Sterious & Co* judgment (1904) 54, 57
- Taiwan 443–60
 cases 450, 455, 456, 457
 Copyright Act 456–60
 Copyright Committee, former 454
 exhaustion principle under intellectual property laws 444–51
 indirect granting of right of importation and limited “exceptions” 452–55
 Intellectual Property Court 447, 448
 international exhaustion
 under Patent Act 448–50
 under Taiwan Integrated Circuits Layouts Protection Act 444–45
 under Taiwan Trademark Act 445–48
 theory building 456–59
 introduction of general distribution right and national exhaustion 455
 legally imported genuine copies 455–56

- national exhaustion
and introduction of a general distribution
right 455
under Taiwan Plant Variety and Plant
Seed Act 450–51
- Patent Act
and Copyright Act 456–60
international exhaustion under 448–50
- Survey on Monopoly over Imported
Copyrighted Works 458
- Trademark Act
and Copyright Act 456–60
international exhaustion under 445–48
and TRIPS 443
- U.S.–Taiwan Copyright Protection
Agreement (1993) 451–52, 453
terminating 459–60
- Taiwan Intellectual Property Office (TIPO) 457
- tangible property 334, 490
servitudes 62, 63
- Tariff Act remedies, trademark infringement
(U.S.) 399–400
- TEC *see* Treaty Establishing the European
Community (TEC)
- term of copyright, extending 5, 6, 7
- territoriality and geographic scope of
exhaustion, United States
Copyright Act 231–38
impact of territoriality principle 228
Patent Act 238–44
- TFEU *see* Treaty on the Functioning of the
European Union (TFEU)
- Thai Central Intellectual Property and
International Trade court 383
- Thai Supreme Court 383
- Théberge v. Galerie d'Art du Petit Champlain,
Inc.* judgment (2002) 486, 487, 494
- Third Amendment to Chinese Patent Law,
changes before and after 309–16
current Patent Law of 2008 and current
regulation of parallel imports 311–12,
322
legislation background 312–15
legislation basis (without prejudice to
international agreements) 315–16
state of patent law prior to 309–11
- tiered pricing 108
- Torrance, Andrew W. 28
- TPP *see* Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement
(TPP), 2016
- trademark exhaustion
in ASEAN 377–83
brand differentiation strategies 360, 362, 366
case law of importance
Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Paranova (1997)
101, 171–72, 174, 191, 348, 349–50,
351–52, 357, 360, 503
*Centrafarm B.V. v. American Home
Products Corp.* (1978) 173, 360
Copad v. Christian Dior (2009) 356–57
*Coty Prestige Lancaster Group GmbH v.
Simex Trading A.G.* (2010) 349
*Etablissements Consten, S.A.R.L.
& Grundig-Verkaufs GmbH v.
Commission* (1966) (“*Consten and
Grundig*”) 344, 346, 348, 354, 356,
365
*Google France, Google Inc. v. Louis Vuitton
Malletier; Google France v. Viaticum
Luteciel; Google France v. CNRRH
Pierre Alexis Thonet Bruno Raboi
Tiger* (2010) 354
Honda Giken v. Maria Patmanidi (2013)
364, 365
*Inwood Laboratories, Inc. v. Ives
Laboratories* (1982) 430
*KP Permanent Make-Up, Inc. v. Lasting
Impressions I. Inc.* (2004) 437
*Leegin Creative Leather Prods, Inc. v.
PSKS, Inc.* (2007) 358
L'Oréal S.A. v. eBay International A.G.
(2011) 349
Parfums Christian Dior SA v. Dior v. Evora
(1997) 351, 352, 355
*Pharmacia & Upjohn S.A. v. Paranonova
A/S* (1999) 174, 360
*Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Netscape
Communications Corp.* (2004) 438
Polaroid Corp. v. Polarad Electronics Corp
(1961) 425–26
Viking Gas A/S v. Kosan Gas A/S (2011)
353–54
- comparison of EU/EEA, NAFTA and
ASEAN 367, 368, 383–88
- Copyright Act (1976) 428
- in EU/EEA 368–73
and free movement of goods 352, 367–89
genuine goods 424
interface with EU competition law 343–89
and Internet
challenging mark owner's desire for total
control 435–39
defenses 431–35
ISPs and online auction sites 429–31
litigation 425–31
and Internet (of re-sold things) 422–39
in Korea *see* Korea, trademark exhaustion in
laissez faire approach 373–83
Lanham Act (Trademark Act 1946), U.S.
423–24, 428

- litigation 425–31
 - aggression, acts of 427–28, 429
 - confusion, claiming 423, 425–27
 - contributory infringement claims 428
- in NAFTA 373–77
- parallel imports 360–61
- rebranding 360–62
- and regional exhaustion 364, 365, 368, 383, 384, 386–87, 410
- rule of reason analysis 347, 357–58
- Standard Process 429
- teleology 355–56
- trademark nominative fair use 432–33
- see also* repackaging of goods; trademarks
- trademarks
 - Andean Community of Nations (Andean Pact) 212
 - conflict with copyright policy 404–7
 - essential function and specific subject matter 345–48
 - gray market goods 98
 - impairment of a trademark function 352
 - infringement 394–99
 - contributory, claims of 428
 - first sale rule and material differences 395–96
 - importation and *Lever* rule 396–97, 402, 403, 405, 406, 407
 - uncertain impact of *Lever* disclaimers on claims 397–99
 - and integrity of selective distribution systems 358
 - parallel trade/imports 100, 152–53
 - remedies against parallel imports 394–400
 - pharmaceutical trade 152–53
 - primary purpose of protection 369–70
 - remedies against parallel imports 394–400
 - Tariff Act remedies 399–400
 - trademark infringement 394–99
- Trade Mark Directive (Directive 2008/95/EC)
 - exhaustion and the TMD system 348–52, 363
 - and goods in transit 100
 - internal market 170–71
- trademark law as a copyright alternative 393–94
- using trademark law to override copyright's first sale rule for imported copies (U.S.) 390–407
- Waterford mark example 423–24
 - see also* copyright; patents
- transaction-cost-insulated servitudes 51–52
- Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPP), 2016 xvii, 460, 480
 - pharmaceutical products 162, 163
- Treaty Establishing the European Community (TEC) 253–54, 256, 260, 501
 - trademark exhaustion 345, 348, 350, 352–53
- Treaty of Lisbon (2007) 353, 499
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) 169
 - Internet and exhaustion as a distribution channel 499, 510
 - patent exhaustion 253, 254, 256, 260
 - trademark exhaustion 343, 348, 350, 353
- Treaty to Facilitate Access to Published Works for Persons Who are Blind, Visually Impaired or Otherwise Print Disabled (2013) *see* Marrakesh Treaty (2013)
- TRIPS Agreement (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights)
 - Article 6 provisions xviii, 106, 115, 128, 198
 - and China 310, 312, 315
 - and India 330, 331, 334
 - international exhaustion 250, 251
 - pharmaceutical products 262, 263, 270
 - and China 310, 312, 315
 - data exclusivity, pharmaceutical industry 153
 - distributional issues 136, 137
 - exhaustion under and after 126–29
 - failure of negotiators to agree on IPR exhaustion 127–28, 143
 - flexibilities 213
 - GATT prior to 89–91
 - grace-period extension 128, 134, 135
 - and India 325, 330, 331, 332, 334
 - international harmony, working towards 127, 128, 131
 - international intellectual property rules 91, 92–96
 - international patent exhaustion 274
 - IPR standards 142
 - and Korea 411
 - and Latin America 198, 199, 202
 - and Marrakesh Treaty 461, 462, 464
 - minimum standards 199
 - and NAFTA 373, 374
 - and national treatment principle 229
 - and parallel importing 88, 93
 - pharmaceutical products 153, 159, 283, 284
 - post-TRIPS WTO negotiations 135
 - purpose 85–86
 - and Taiwan 443
 - “TRIPS-plus” provisions 136, 169, 184
 - see also* Doha Ministerial Declaration on TRIPs and Public Health (2001)

- Tulk v. Moxhay* (1848) 48, 54, 55
 Tushnet, Rebecca 429
 tying, practice of 29, 31, 55, 135, 355, 534
- UMG v. Augusto* judgment (2001) 521–22
- Unfair Competition Prevention and Trade Secret Protection Act (UCPA), Korea 411, 418, 421
- Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) 60, 521
- United Kingdom Patents Ordinance (1937) 193
- United States
 case law of importance
American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co. (1909) 231
Boesch v. Graff (1890) 241, 242, 276–77
Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Scorpio Music Distributors, Inc. (1984) 234, 235, 236
Curb v. MCA Records, Inc. (1995) 233
Curtiss Aeroplane & Motor Corp. v. United Aircraft Engineering Corp. (1920) 277
Daimler Manufacturing Co. v. Conklin (1909) 277
Deepsouth Packing Co. v. Laitram Corp. (1972) 238, 239
Dickerson v. Matheson (1893) 277
Environmental Defense Fund, Inc. v. Massey (1993) 237
Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Jazz Photo Corp (Jazz Photo II) (2005) 186, 242, 277
Holiday v. Mattheson (1885) 241
Jazz Photo Corp. v. International Trade Commission (2001) 242, 243, 244, 277
Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons (2013) *see* *Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons* judgment (2013)
Lexmark International, Inc. v. Impression Products, Inc. (2014) 243, 278
Los Angeles News Service v. Reuters (1998) 232–33
Microsoft v. AT&T (2007) 240
Quality King v. L'Anza (1997) 14, 15, 235, 236, 391–92, 407
Subafilms, Ltd. v. MGM-Pathe Communications Co. (1994) 231, 233
 and China 141–42
 common law 273, 274
 Copyright Act xviii, 127, 231–38
 extra-territoriality and multi-territorial conduct under 232–34
 copyright exhaustion 11–16
 contemporary version 14
 copies 12–13
 Copyright Act 1976, s. 109 12–13, 234, 473–74
 industry practices 13–14
 multi-territorial conduct, context of 234–38
 sale based on economic and business realities of a transaction 13
 Customs and Border Protection 394, 396–97, 399, 402–3, 407
 data exclusivity, pharmaceutical industry 153
 digital goods 68–69
 exhaustion of intellectual property rights 228–31
 copyright exhaustion 11–16, 234–38
 national treatment rule and geographic scope of exhaustion 229–31
 patent exhaustion 16–21, 241–44
 extra-territoriality
 under Copyright Act 232–34
 and multi-territorial conduct 232–34, 238–41
 under Patent Act 238–41
 Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 148, 152
 geographic scope of exhaustion 226–27
 and national treatment rule 227, 229–31
 and territoriality 228, 231–44
 international exhaustion xviii, 375–76
 International Trade Commission 86
 Lanham Act remedies, applying to copyrighted works 400–403
 and Marrakesh Treaty 467, 472–74
 multi-territorial conduct
 under Copyright Act 232–34
 copyright exhaustion in context of 234–38
 and extra-territoriality 232–34, 238–41
 under Patent Act 238–41
 patent exhaustion in context of 241–44
 national exhaustion xviii
 parallel importation
 jurisdictional approaches to 97–100
 pharmaceutical products 118
 Patent Act xviii, 140, 238–44
 amendment (1996) 99
 extra-territoriality and multi-territorial conduct under 238–41
 patent exhaustion 16–21, 100
 access, role of regulation in (pharmaceutical industry) 283–87
 international exhaustion 275–87
 multi-territorial conduct, context of 241–44
 origins 17–19
 questioning benefits of geographic price discrimination 278–83

- recent Supreme Court developments 19–21
- Sherman Act 1990 18
- pharmaceutical products 153
- Restatement of Property* (1944) 49
- Restatement (Third) of Property: Servitudes* (2000) 49, 53
- Science, State, Justice, Commerce, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act 200
- Tariff Act remedies, trademark infringement 399–400
- territoriality and geographic scope of exhaustion 226–27, 228
 - Copyright Act 231–38
 - Patent Act 238–44
- trademark infringement 377
- trademark law, using to override copyright's first sale rule for imported copies 390–407
- TRIPS Agreement and national treatment principle 229
- U.S.–Taiwan Copyright Protection Agreement (1993) 451–52, 453
 - terminating 459–60
 - see also* first sale doctrine; International Trade Commission (ITC), U.S.; Lanham Act (Trademark Act 1946), U.S.
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) 8
- United States v. Univis Lens Co.* judgment (1942) 18
- United States—Imports of Certain Automotive Spring Assemblies* (1983) 90
- United States—Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930* (1989) 90
- United Wire Ltd. v. Screen Repair Services (Scotland)* judgment (2000) 296, 333
- UPOV *see* International Union for the Protection of Plant Varieties (UPOV)
- Uruguay 202
- Uruguay Round Communication 339, 340
- UsedSoft v. Oracle* judgment (2012) 64, 68, 70, 72, 76, 79, 81, 184, 459, 475, 490, 494, 499, 504, 505, 514, 515, 516, 529
 - as interpretation *contra legem* 506–7
- USPTO (U.S. Patent and Trademark Office) 98, 230
- Valletti, Tommaso M. 119
- Van Zuylen Frerese v. Hag (Hag I)* judgment (1974) 175, 344, 346
- Veoh Networks 425
- vertical integration 35, 366
 - imperfect/incomplete 23, 36, 43
- vertical price control (VPC) 117
- vertical pricing and distributor-level parallel trade 116–18
- vertical restraints 28–29, 31, 33
- Vietnam Intellectual Property Law (2009) 381
- Viking Gas A/S v. Kosan Gas A/S* judgment (2011) 353–54
- Von Hippel, Eric 28
- Waiver Decision (2003) 158, 331
- White-Smith Music Publishing v. Apollo* judgment (1908) 45
- World Intellectual Property Office (WIPO) 445
 - WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) 70, 485–86, 500, 508, 512
 - WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT) 485–86, 488, 510
- World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - Decision of August 30, 2003 193, 194
 - dispute settlement mechanism 92–93
 - Doha Ministerial Conference 266
 - Prequalification Program 148
 - see also* TRIPS Agreement (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights)
- Yu, Peter K. 136, 137, 281