

---

# Index

---

- AAAS (American Association for the Advancement of Science) 106–7, 111, 114, 117
- ‘academic capitalism’ 3–4, 270, 448
- academic drift 227
- ‘actions concertées’ (joint actions) 127, 131–2
- active management 386, 393–4
- adaptive learning 30
- Advocacy Coalition Framework 15
- AERES (Agence d’Évaluation de la Recherche et de l’Enseignement Supérieur) 124, 128–30, 133–4
- agencies’ culture and structure 458–60
- agencification
- broadening of 452–3
  - definition by implication 453
  - of evaluation and quality assurance in higher education 454
  - increasing relevance of RFOs 448
  - of innovation support 461
  - large variation across countries 454, 456, 462
  - leading to increasing fragmentation of state activities 458
  - limited role of sectoral agencies 461
  - as ‘norm in our time’ 454
  - organizational forms 458–60
  - and state control 455–6
- Agora Energiewende 259–61
- ANR (Agence National de la Recherche) 114–15, 128–30, 134–5, 454, 458–9
- ANSTO (Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation) 165
- anticipation 29–31, 59, 513
- anticipatory governance 28, 512–13
- application, links to contexts of 434–5, 440–41
- ARC (Australian Research Council) 169–70, 173–4, 176, 180, 182
- assemblage 19, 21–2
- Athena Swan award scheme 302, 311
- Australia
- at critical juncture 163–4
  - ex post* assessments 489, 491
  - ideas, innovation and impact 172–81
  - innovation, science and research landscape 164–8, 181–2
  - ‘punching above one’s weight’ metaphor 162–3, 182
  - pursuing excellence via horizontal differentiation 237
  - R&D expenditure 169–70, 172
  - rate of international collaboration 169
  - research council 169–70, 173–4, 176, 180, 182
  - research portfolio 439
  - Research Quality Framework 499
  - science funding 163, 166, 169–72, 174
- authority fragmentation 243, 254–5, 261
- authority relations
- changing, in public sciences 204–8
  - in decision situations 436–8
  - differences affecting reforms on innovation development 216, 218–23
  - epistemic conditions of action modifying 433–6
- automated knowledge production 351–3
- BEC *see* Bose-Einstein Condensates (BEC)
- BEIS (Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy) 112
- big data 350–52
- Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation 18
- BMBF (Ministry for Education and Research) 113, 326, 328–9
- Bonney, R. 340–344, 347, 350, 352
- bootlegging 212, 439, 441

- Bose-Einstein Condensates (BEC)
  - experimental realisation of 209–12
  - results of study 218–23
  - supporting conditions
    - importance of 210
    - provision of 217
- Boston's Route 128 357, 359, 370–71
- boundary objects
  - evidence mobilising 245
  - quality and impact as 8, 480–83
- brain drain 151
- Brexit 413–16
- buffering 438–41
- bureaucracy
  - bureaucratic management of
    - scientific practices 128–30
  - bureaucratising government 126–8
  - future research avenues 135–8
  - policy culture 77–8, 81, 86
  - and professional logics 123–5, 130, 135
  - two dynamics of 125–30
  - waves of 125
- capability and capacity building 22
- care 28
- cascade model 276–9
- catalysis 385, 393
- Central and Eastern European (CEE)
  - countries 401, 409–13, 416
- charitable foundations 18–19
- citizen science
  - accomplishments 347–9
  - citizens taking on role of scientists
    - 60, 270
  - classifying 343–5
  - conceptual foundations
    - increasing scientific literacy 341–2
    - as more democratic science
      - 340–41
    - programmatic views on 343
    - unhindered search for truth 342–3
  - levels of participation 345–6
  - light 342–3
  - new funding initiatives at EU level
    - 336–7
  - as part of open science policy goal
    - 349–50
  - paving way for automated
    - knowledge production 351–3
    - proper 342–3, 347
    - proponents and opponents of 336
    - as public engagement format 81, 83, 340
    - push towards socialization of science
      - 61
    - quality assurance in 346
    - risks for 62
    - science–society relation
      - contracting 58–9, 336
      - denoting new understanding of 49
    - 'civic epistemologies' 60, 252, 325–6
  - CNRS (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique) 114–15, 124, 126–7, 133
  - co-constituting 2, 61, 322–3
  - co-constitutive arrangements 58–60
  - co-production
    - of knowledge 4, 32, 270, 319
    - of science 7, 270, 493
    - with society 26
  - co-production practices 70, 75
  - coercion
    - as mode of influence 423–4
    - of researchers 425–7
  - cognitive imprinting 526–7
  - collaborative platforms 248–9, 260–61
  - collaborative research
    - appearing as process 5, 49
    - and Brexit negotiations 416
    - citizen science 352
    - ERA as driver and example of
      - benefits of 116
    - as format of engagement 81, 83
    - global science defined as 92
    - and interdisciplinarity 377, 383, 394, 437
    - in nanotechnology 142
    - substantial growth in 98
  - competitive innovation 110
  - competitive pressures 529–30
  - complex systems theory 92, 96–7, 99
  - complexity, acknowledging 61
  - concertation
    - and assemblage 19, 21–2
    - characteristic of next generation 17
    - explanation 15
    - Grand Challenge consortia as
      - responsible for 18
      - mission-oriented 70

- move towards 14
- new spaces for 15
- policy-oriented 78
- of research capacity 79
- and social problem-solving 85
- consensus space 364, 369–70
- Constructive Technology Assessment (CTA) 14–15, 30, 68, 507
- consumerism 81–3
- corporatism
  - comparison to ‘opening up’ 14–15
  - creative 20–21
  - neo-corporatism 14–15
  - public engagement 81–2
- coupling 191–2, 195–6, 506
- CRC (Cooperative Research Centre) 170, 175–6
- create and transform futures* 28
- Cresson, Edith 291, 293
- cross-national collaboration 408–13
- crowdsourcing
  - catalysing democratic citizen engagement 344
  - contributing to rise of big data 351
  - as participation level of citizen science 345
  - socio-demographic characteristics 348
- CSIRO (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation) 164–5, 169–70, 173, 178
- D-CRC (Defence Co-operative Research Centre) program 176
- data sharing 148, 156
- de-institutionalisation 44
- decision-making
  - autonomous 453, 455
  - citizens as ‘external actors’ 58
  - democratic 19
  - ensuring gender balance in 38, 286, 290, 295, 297, 300, 310–11
  - involvement of expertise in 253
  - legitimate 31–2
  - link with scientific knowledge production 321
  - opening up 80
  - power 231
  - of researchers 421–3, 436
  - of RFOs 452, 457
  - in science 286–7, 490
  - and wicked problems 252
  - women under-represented in 285, 303–4, 308
- decision situations 436–8, 442–3
- decomposability of research 440–41
- deliberative democracy 34, 81, 83, 246
- Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) 112
- DGRST (General Delegation for Scientific and Technical Research) 124, 126–8, 131
- differentiation
  - of engagement practices 75–6
  - horizontal, of universities 237, 267
  - organizational 8, 253, 449–50, 453, 456–8
  - profile building through 272–6
  - societal 460
  - vertical, of universities 267, 272–6, 327
- Digital Life programme 40
- digitalisation 344–6, 348–9, 351–3
- diplomacy
  - ‘innovation’ 110
  - new 104–5, 107–8
  - public 107–8
  - for science 106, 109
  - science for 106–7, 152, 156
  - science in 107
  - see also* science diplomacy (SD)
- Directorate-General for Research and Innovation 115, 185, 289–91, 296, 389, 393
- discursive coalitions 243, 253–4
- disruptive expertise 244, 256, 259–60, 261–2
- distributed agency 14
- distribution of tasks 456–8
- doctoral education 233–5, 238, 279
- DST Group (Defence Science and Technology Group) 165, 169–70
- ELSI/ELSA (ethical, legal and social aspects of technologies) 28, 30, 70, 509, 513
- emerging technologies 27, 29–30, 141–2
- energy and mobility policy
  - convergence of energy and transport transitions 256–9

- emerging types of expertise 259–60
- potential for disruptive expertise 261–2
- transport as problem of energy transition and climate protection 255–6
- engagement positivism/interpretivism 504–6, 508, 514
- Entrepreneurial University 359, 363, 368–9, 371
- epistemic authority 62, 73, 254–5, 261–2, 317–19, 322, 329, 331–2
- epistemic drift 62, 253
- epistemic practices
  - conditions of action
    - affecting decision situation 438, 442–3
    - modifying authority relations 433–6
    - researchers responding to influences modified by 439–40, 442
  - epistemic distance between researchers' interests 437
  - epistemic properties 330, 434, 440
  - epistemic quality 317–22, 331–2, 336
  - epistemic regimes
    - as borderlands between science and society 318–22
    - definition of 318–19
    - different orders of 330–32
    - multi-level perspective
      - landscape level 323–4
      - niche level 327–30
      - regulatory centre level 326–7
      - socio-technical level 325–6
    - problem articulation 320
    - problem setting 319–20
    - problem solving 320–21
    - science policy
      - as co-producer of 318
      - at different levels of 322–30
      - intermingled with both structure and processes of 321–2
      - societal problem solving 318–20, 322–3, 325, 330–33
    - 'epistemic subsidiarity' 331
    - epistemic systems
      - NSI 511–12
      - STS 512–14
- EPSRC (Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council) 33, 40
- equipping
  - as mode of influence 424, 439
  - of researchers 428–31, 435, 442
- ethics 26, 35, 38–40, 45, 57, 513
- European approaches to impact assessment 494–7
- European External Action Service (EEAS) 107, 115
- European Framework Programmes for Research
  - gender in science 289, 296
  - as important issue in Brexit negotiations 414–15
  - interdisciplinarity in 379, 381, 386–8
  - learning from 394
  - organisations as part of funded consortia 408–9
  - success factors for 385–6, 393–4
  - transitioning within 36–7
  - wording strongly referencing society 4
- European Institute for Gender Equality 289
- European Institute of Innovation and Technology (EIT) 401
- European research and innovation governance changes and its effects 401–3, 416–17
- impact of Brexit 413–16
- integration as outcome 403–5
- new EU members
  - rapid internationalisation of 409–10
  - as weakest performers 408
- R&D policy coordination 407–8
- European Research Area (ERA)
  - as driver of collaborative international research 116
  - and gender equality 289–91, 297–300, 302–4, 307–8, 310–11
  - mainstreaming RRI across 36–7, 39
  - purpose 401
  - and RFOs 450–51
- European Research Council (ERC) 116, 185, 273, 300–301, 311, 401, 404, 437, 451
- European research policy, gender in actors 288–92

- challenges 285–6
  - gender in research content 288
  - gender pay gap 287–8, 295, 299–300, 310–11
  - leaky pipeline and decision-making 286–7
- current policies and instruments 297–301, 310–11
- historical overview 292–7, 308–9
- importance of funding 300–301, 310–11
- inequalities 284–5, 288, 292–7, 300, 306, 308–10
- policy implementation 301–10
- European science
  - actors for gender equality 289–90, 292
  - funding policy instrument influential in 296
  - leaky pipeline in 286–7, 304, 310
- European Science Foundation (ESF) 376, 381, 383
- European Technology Platforms (ETPs) 15, 327
- European Union
  - Brexit and its effects 413–16
  - Central and Eastern European countries 401, 409–13, 416
  - changing governance and its effects 401–3, 416–17
  - effects of coordinating national research policy reforms 406–8, 416
  - effects of new members' accession 408–13, 416
  - enlargement 401, 408–13
  - European integration as outcome 403–5
  - learning and organisational capacity 406–8
  - Open Method of Coordination 402, 406–7
  - in science diplomacy field 115–17
- European University Association (EUA) 234, 285, 290, 299–300, 310–11
- evaluation *see* research evaluation
- Evolutionary-Developmental Biology (evo-devo)
  - experimental 212–14
  - results of study 218–23
  - supporting conditions
    - importance of 210
    - provision of 217
- Excellence Initiative 7, 124, 267–8, 272–6, 279–80, 327
- expertise 61–2
  - see also* policy expertise
- experts, 'good' 133–4
- Finke, P. 340, 342–3, 347, 352
- fixing approaches 296, 298, 309–10
- Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) 112–13
- framings
  - AAAS and Royal Society 106–7
  - changing 6–7
  - of citizen scientists 336, 350
  - collaborative problem-framing 383
  - of diplomacy 108
  - driving funding and shaping growth 516
- frames 2
- French research governance problem 127–8
- goal to understand 31
- for innovation 16, 29, 35
- narrow, as risk 40–41
- of problems and solutions 248
- of research evaluation 476
- RRI keys 26–7, 35–41
- of science policy 13
- of SD activities 117–18
- France
  - case studies
    - dynamics of bureaucratisation 125–30
    - empirical data and methods 124–5
    - peer review 131–5
    - theory and conclusions 135–8
  - research councils 454, 456, 458
  - in science diplomacy field 114–15
- funding *see* research funding; science funding
- gender equality
  - benchmarking for 289, 299, 302, 304, 307–9, 311
  - current European policies 297–301
  - decision-making

- need for balance in 38, 286, 290, 295, 297, 300, 310–11
  - women under-represented in 285, 303–4, 308
- economic dimension 297
- historical overview 292–7
- implementation of policies in EU
  - member states 301–11
- policy actors 288–92, 309
- as RRI key 26, 38–40, 45, 513
- gender imprinting 527
- gender in research policy
  - actors 288–92
  - challenges 285–6
    - gender in research content 288
    - gender pay gap 287–8, 295, 299–300, 310–11
    - leaky pipeline and decision-making 286–7
  - current policies and instruments 297–301, 310–11
  - historical overview 292–7, 308–9
  - implementation of policies 301–10
  - importance of funding 300–301, 310–11
  - inequalities 284–5, 288, 292–7, 300, 306, 308–10
- gender orders 301–2, 307, 310
- genetically modified organisms (GMOs) 320–21
- Geoghegan-Quinn, Maire 36, 291, 386–8
- German Research Foundation (DFG) 113–14, 220, 269, 273–4, 278, 305, 326, 328, 454
- Germany
  - education researchers and schools 429
  - energy and mobility policy 250, 256–8, 262
  - enhancing competition between universities 326–7
  - epistemologies 324–5
  - funding of approved proposals 205
  - gender initiatives 293, 304–6
  - government intervention in research 126, 164
  - niche creation 329–30
  - pillaring of 271
  - policy expertise 252
  - quality and innovation in teaching and learning 229
  - R&D expenditures 146, 269
  - real-world laboratories 251
  - research councils 212, 453, 461
  - research funding 328–9, 439
  - RFOs 449, 453–4, 457, 461–2
  - RRI 41
  - in science diplomacy field 106, 113–14
  - science system
    - cascade model 276–9
    - challenges 267–8
      - Excellence Initiative 7, 124, 267–8, 272–6, 279–80, 327
    - innovation and scientific landscape in 268–72
      - as static or progressing 279–81
    - scientific innovations 208–23
    - 'self-renewal' of car industry 259
    - sustainability 57–8
    - technology platform 82
    - welfare state governance 15
- global challenges
  - complex policy nature of 152–3
  - global science for 92–101
  - Horizon Europe's focus on 394
  - and science diplomacy 108, 112, 114–16, 118
- global level
  - connectivity at 92–3
  - evolution of 93–5
  - potential for 98–9
  - of scientific communications 97–8
- global network of science
  - emergent global level as influential 98
  - governance
    - challenges 98
    - as critical for 95
    - self-organization of 94, 99
- global science
  - changing landscape 6
  - definition 92
  - European Commission's new mission-orientation for 116
  - fundamental principles of governance 101
  - for global challenges 92–101
- global scientific collaboration

- benefits for US
  - impacts on decline in S&T edge 146–7
  - national-level science and prosperity 156
  - promotion of innovation and data sharing 148
  - relationship with research quality 147
  - synergistic or mutual 148–9
  - as well-documented 145
  - workforce issues 149–51
- challenges for 98–101
- climate change and nanotechnology
  - illustrating importance of 141–2
- drivers of increase for US scholars 143–5
  - as driving force for science 92
  - as emergent system 93
  - evaluation as challenge 98
  - increasing in US and other countries 142–3
  - as increasingly team based 95
  - lack of recognition for importance of US 145
  - methods of increasing and enhancing 153–5
  - as network 96–8
  - new knowledge created within 92–3
  - overcoming limitations 92
  - R&D spending 93, 146–7
  - US policy reasons for
    - complex policy nature of global problems 152–3
    - science diplomacy 151–2
- globalization
  - local appropriation of global dimension 482–3
  - and rise of key performance indicators and rankings 470–75
  - technology development for prosperity 54
- governance
  - challenge of addressing Grand Societal Challenges 16–19
  - changes in Europe, and its effects 401–3, 416–17
  - definition 421
  - developing scientific innovations in countries undergoing reforms 208–23
  - modes of 19–22
  - of research content
    - asymmetry hindering integration of studies on 420
  - challenges 441–3
  - researchers as passage points in 421–3
  - researchers' responses to exercise of influence 436–41
  - toolkit application 424–36
  - toolkit for influencing actors 423–4
  - very few studies on 419
- of research systems
  - changes in 448
  - in European countries 449–50
- of scientific research and related policies
  - changes in 7–8, 137, 205–8, 222–3
  - in France 125–9, 132
  - rules having significant impact on 124, 138
- governance of science
  - and broader research impacts 488–9, 492
  - changing 7–8
  - cultures of science policy driving dynamics of 76
  - in Europe 115–17, 284, 301
  - global network of
    - challenges 98–101
    - as critical 95
  - need for new approach to 272
  - quality assurance and control in 471
  - reforms in France 122–38
  - RFOs as actors in 448
  - rules and instruments shaping 138
  - in science diplomacy field 110–17
  - UK REF combining top-down and bottom up 494
  - upstream and downstream regulation 59
- government
  - bureaucratising 126–8
  - innovation included in vocabulary of 185–6
  - intervention in research in Germany 126, 164

- making funding dependent on performance 206
- question regarding closeness of relationship with universities 490
- role in concertation 19
- see also* University–Industry–Government interactions
- Grand Societal Challenges
  - governance challenge of addressing 16–19
  - green energy example 21–2
  - remaining open-ended 20
  - research for 114
  - science policy called to action by 4
  - signalling need for strategic alignment 55
  - as transformative 13, 22
- Helsinki group 289, 292, 294, 299, 301, 303, 306, 308
- Hess, C. 95, 98, 101
- heterarchy 6, 97–8
- higher education
  - accountability regimes 473
  - agencification of evaluation and quality assurance in 454
  - Australian expenditure 169–71
  - as celebration of diversity 472–3
  - developing scientific innovations in countries undergoing funding and governance reforms 208–23
  - doctoral education 233–5, 238
  - evaluation in Netherlands 495
  - gender imbalance 303
  - German system of 267–8, 326–7 *see also* Excellence Initiative
  - interconnection with research 227–8, 237–9
  - manager responsibility for meeting targets 206
  - neo-managerial reforms transforming 122–3
  - quality control in 171–2
  - quasi-markets in 206
  - research income
    - from Australian industry contracts 177
    - from CRCs 176
  - research–teaching nexus 228–31, 238
  - rise of university leaders and managers 231–3
  - university rankings 235–7, 472–3
  - as vital to economic growth in Ireland 496
  - women in 286–7
- hoarding 438, 441
- Horizon 2020
  - EC transitioning into 36–7
  - on European Commission 336
  - European Framework Programme for Research and Innovation 386
  - expenditure on R&D 35
  - impact criteria as assessment component 488
  - integrating humanities and social sciences 388–92
  - interdisciplinarity in 386–8, 392, 394
  - reorganizing research at regulatory centre level 327
  - requiring gender dimension 290, 296–8, 300, 311
  - and science diplomacy 116
  - Science in Society programme 37
  - Societal Challenges pillar 378, 381, 498
- Horizon Europe 301, 394, 396
- ‘hybrid forums’ 248, 261
- hyper-competition 529–30, 534–5
- impact *see* quality and impact; research impact
- imprinting theory 526–7
- inclusive deliberation 29–32, 38
- indicators
  - Australian 169, 182
  - CMR 320, 333
  - constructing new 332
  - of evaluation agencies 129
  - for gender inequality 293–4
  - impact 491–2, 494–6
  - Norway’s Publication 494, 497
  - PBT 320, 333
  - performance
    - challenge of breaking free from 531, 537
    - increasing emphasis on 530–31
    - rise of 470–75, 482
  - for quality of SSH integration 396



- of research quality 430
- of RRI initiatives 37
- in university rankings 235–6
- of velocity of interaction 359
- inducement
  - as mode of influence 424
  - of researchers 431–2
- influence
  - application in governance of
    - research 424–36
  - challenges of 441–3
  - distribution of means for exercising 443
  - modes and forms of exercising 423–4
  - researchers' responses to exercise of 436–41
- innovation
  - as adoption of research results 198
  - banality of 43
  - conceptual history 185–99
  - cultural power of concept 199
  - 'dark' 526–7, 538
  - definition 29
  - as destabilizing societal practices 54
  - as endless process 370
  - as exacerbating problems facing planet 43
  - factors influencing 198
  - in Germany 268–72
  - as holistic process 192–5
  - inclusion in vocabulary of
    - governments and industry 185–6
  - as inclusive concept 198–9
  - from knowledge base 364–5
  - need driving 190–91, 194, 198
  - promotion of
    - in Australia 178–80
    - in US 148
  - remaining tied to notion of
    - Schumpeterian competitive destruction 34–5
  - social expectations about 53–4
  - social vs commercial 35
  - system approach to 195–8
  - tension with legitimacy 49–50, 55, 58
  - two main discourses on 186–7
  - see also* scientific innovations; technological innovation
- innovation model *see* Triple Helix
- innovation policy
  - Australian 164–8, 181–2
  - concertation in 15
  - consortium 15–16
  - European 401–17
  - public–private partnerships in 17
  - third framing for transformative change 13
- innovation space 364, 369–70
- innovation studies (IS)
  - attempts towards closer relationship with STS 515–16
  - attention to sectoral and technological systems of innovation 507
  - dearth of knowledge 503
  - divisions with STS 517
  - emergence and evolution of 505
  - as not pursuing reflexivity 515
  - pursuing positivist epistemology 504
  - revitalisation of agenda 515
  - see also* science policy and innovation studies (SPIS)
- Innovation Systems Theory 361–4, 368
- INSERM (French National Institute of Agricultural Research) 114, 124, 126–7
- institutional diversity 268, 274, 442–3
- institutionalisation
  - challenges of 42–5
  - example of weak 126
  - RI 27, 30
  - RRI 41
- 'instrument constituencies' 253–4, 261
- integration
  - European 402–6, 412–13, 416–17
  - gender 290, 305, 308, 310
  - Horizon 2020 to stimulate 388
  - of institutions in post-modern era 368
  - and interdisciplinarity 384–5
  - RI frameworks emphasising 30
  - 'of social into research' 383
  - of SSH in Horizon 2020 389–94, 396
- intellectual inbreeding 527–8
- inter-disciplinary approach 30, 32, 42, 384
- interdisciplinarity
  - applicability gap 377

- barriers to practice 381–3
- current status 376–7
- definitions 380–81
- ‘disciplined’ 510
- expectations affecting funding 429
- ‘good’ 381
- locus of 385, 393
- in practice
  - in European Framework
    - Programmes for Research 386–8
    - integrating SSH 388–91
    - lessons for future EU funding programmes 391–4
  - rhetoric vs practice 377–8, 382
  - rise of concept 378–80
  - success factors for programmes 385–6, 393–4
  - top-down approach
    - versus bottom-up approach 383–6
    - favoured by European Commission 392
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 14, 108, 111, 255, 321
- international collaboration *see* global scientific collaboration
- International Large-Scale Student Assessments (ILSA)
  - description 215–16
  - importance of supporting conditions 210
  - provision of supporting conditions 217
  - results of study 218–23
- International Panel on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) 331
- international relations
  - science for diplomacy 106–7
  - as shaped by collaboration and competition 474
  - US linking SD to objective of bolstering 111, 151–2
- internationalisation
  - research and innovation systems 408–11, 414
  - of science 109
  - university perspective on 236–7
- Ireland
  - addressing gender in science 300, 304–5, 311
  - gender pay gap 288
  - pursuing excellence via horizontal differentiation 237
  - research council 305, 496
  - research impact assessment 494, 496–7
- Irwin, A. 340–41, 343, 347, 352, 507
- IS *see* innovation studies (IS)
- ILSA *see* International Large-Scale Student Assessments (ILSA)
- Italy 449–50, 456–8
- Joint Technology Initiatives 15
- journal impact factor 468, 482, 532
- journals
  - challenges related to 533, 537
  - more critical reviews of submitted papers 534–5
  - predatory publishers of 533, 537, 539–40
  - proliferation of 533
  - pursuit of ‘top’ 531–2
- knowledge codification, degree of 434–5, 439–40
- knowledge politics 55, 317–32
- knowledge production
  - automated, paving way for 351–3
  - call for more participation in 56–7
  - changing 7, 13–14
  - and citizen science 340, 342, 348
  - coalitions and constituencies 243–4, 254
  - communal 422–3, 437
  - emerging dominant forms of 3–4, 270
  - governance approaches facilitating 21–2
  - and laboratory life 137–8
  - Mode 1 12, 14, 22
  - Mode 2 12, 14, 22, 52–3, 56, 235, 270
  - in mode of ‘secluded research’ 68, 71
  - partial and locally situated character of 508
  - positivistic approaches to 505
  - relation to government agencies 19
  - scripts structuring practices of 245

- societal problem solving 317, 320–26, 330–33
- transdisciplinary 56
- knowledge society
  - debate on doctoral education 233–4
  - European 325
  - political constitution of 84–6
  - relation with citizen science 60
  - where scientific knowledge exercises influence 443
- knowledge space 364, 369–70
- ‘knowledge spaghetti’ 29
- laboratory-based realities 72–3
- leaky pipeline 286–7, 304, 310
- learning
  - and continuity 386
  - and education 394
  - effects of coordinating national research policy reforms 406–8
  - policy 290, 302–4, 307, 309–11
  - and teaching 229–30
- legitimacy
  - of DGRST 127, 131
  - in ERA 303–4, 308–9
  - gender policies gaining 296, 304
  - Grand Societal Challenges 15, 17
  - and isomorphism 285, 299, 302, 307, 311
  - of LERU and Science Europe 291, 304, 308
  - policy maker’s need to establish 20 problems 338
  - RI/RRI 34, 44
  - tension with innovation 49–50, 55
- legitimation
  - government role to offer 19
  - need to generate new knowledge practices 61
  - social expectations about 53–4, 56
  - tension with innovation 49–50, 55, 58
- LERU (League of European Research Universities) 285, 287–8, 290–292, 299–300, 303–4, 308, 310, 376, 380
- living labs and experimental spaces 248, 250–51
- lock-in 525–6
- ‘looking where the light is’ 529
- meta-governance 21, 272
- meta-research 468–9
- Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) 113, 326, 328–9
- misconduct 38, 469–70, 535–6
- mission-orientation 15, 70, 109, 112–14, 116
- mobility policy *see* energy and mobility policy
- ‘modern constitution’ 69, 84
- Morton, J. 187, 193, 195–7
- MRFF (Medical Research Future Fund) 173–4, 182
- mutual gains bargaining 82
- nanotechnology 93–4, 141–2, 146, 429, 452, 496
- National Initiative for E-Mobility (NPE) 256–8, 261
- national research policy reforms, effects of coordinating 406–8
- National Research Prioritisation Exercise (NRPE) 494, 496–7
- National Science Foundation (NSF) 111–12, 129, 136, 489
- National Systems of Innovation (NSI)
  - close association with evolutionary economics 505
  - epistemic system 511–12
  - limitations and success 516
  - mission 514
  - need for more understanding of policy development 517
  - origins 505
- need driving innovation 190–91, 194, 198
- Netherlands
  - funding agency 212, 220, 224
  - gender initiatives 302
  - gender pay gap 288
  - governance 222
  - meta-research 469
  - PRO 220
  - quality of research 467–8
  - research council 32–3, 40, 214, 224, 496
  - research impact assessment 489, 494–6
  - RRI 41
  - scientific innovations 208–23

- university funding 477
- valorisation 478–9
- Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) 32–3, 40, 214, 224, 496
- new diplomacy 104–5, 107–8
- New Public Management (NPM)
  - as absorbed within science policy 492–3
  - aims 7–8
  - arrival in France 136
  - diffusion of agency model 450, 454–5, 462
  - policy beliefs 453–4, 459–60
  - reforms 122–3, 456–7
  - relations with professions 122–4
- Newton Fund 112
- next generation science policy 12–13, 16–17, 19–22
- NHMRC (National Health and Medical Research Council) 169–70, 173
- non-epistemic values 55
- Norway
  - financial support for research and expertise centres 229
  - gender policies 297, 301, 304–5
  - mingling higher education and research 227
  - pursuing excellence via horizontal differentiation 237
  - research council 16, 33, 40, 454
  - research impact assessment 489, 494, 497
  - RFOs 449, 454, 456, 460
  - tripartite cooperation 41
- NSI *see* National Systems of Innovation (NSI)
- ‘3 Os’
  - European Commission moving to policy of 27–8
  - offering vision of openness 101
  - report on citizen science 336
  - RRI’s future framing 39
  - tensions between policies 474–5
- ‘old boy networks’ 128, 136
- open access
  - as fundamental principle of governance of global science 101
  - journals 533, 537
  - and open science 349–50
  - as RRI key 26, 38, 40
  - transparency and accountability 4
- open innovation
  - attention given to 530
  - managed 35
  - as one of ‘3 Os’ 28, 39, 101, 474
  - purpose 39
  - relation to Triple Helix 361
  - toolkits to boost 61
- open science
  - citizen science as part of policy goal 349–50
  - as one of ‘3 Os’ 27–8, 38, 101, 474
  - as open access, open data, open metrics, open review 7
  - purpose 39
  - and research integrity 470
  - as RRI key 38
  - open to the world 28, 39, 101, 474
  - openness 32, 35, 38, 40, 101, 248–9, 260–61, 362
  - organisational capacity 406–8
  - organizational differentiation 8, 253, 449–50, 453, 456–8
  - organizational forms 458–60
  - organizational innovation 95, 360, 369
  - Ostrom, E. 94–5, 98, 101
- participation
  - citizen science 58–9, 344–50
  - contracting science–society relation 60
  - in European consortia 405, 409, 414
  - as extension of science in social terms 61
  - female 286, 291, 293, 295–6, 305–8, 311
  - foundation for new social contract 50, 56–7
  - industry 327, 361
  - interest-driven 339
  - Internet to increase opportunities for 351
  - ‘invited’ 57, 59, 62, 339
  - levels of 344–5
  - new formats 338–9
  - opening up 492
  - and policy expertise 246–7, 251

- rates of 347–9
  - RI advocating public 34
  - RRI embracing 59
  - social dimension 56–7
  - SSH 390–91
  - participatory science 7, 77–8, 81, 270, 345
  - path dependence 525–6
  - patrons* (bosses) 131–3
  - peer review
    - bureaucratisation of 129–30, 136
    - debates on 136
    - depersonalising 133–5
    - ex ante* 345, 452, 488
    - ex post* 345, 489
    - as feature of project-based funding 430
    - and gender equality 293, 305, 310
    - grant 477–8, 480
    - historical and geographical variations in 137
    - impact of rules 122, 124–5, 128
    - ‘informed’ 476
    - inherent bias in 129–30
    - journal 480, 533, 535
    - versus metrics 476, 491–2, 494–7
    - personalising 131–3
    - remaining at heart of science policy 137
    - remaining dominant form of evaluation 278
    - success in reframing 130, 135
  - performance indicators
    - challenge of breaking free from 531, 537
    - increasing emphasis on 530–31
    - rise of 470–75, 482
  - personal interpretation, role of 434–5, 439–40
  - policies
    - move towards practices 39–42
    - RI discourse 27–35
    - RRI discourse 35–9
  - policy advice 244–5, 247, 252–4, 261
  - policy expertise
    - arrangements
      - interactive policy assessments and foresights 249–50
      - living labs and experimental spaces 250–51
    - monitorings, simulations and RCTs 250
    - transdisciplinary platforms and stakeholder fora 249, 260–61
  - changing landscape 244–51
  - disruptive 244, 256, 259–60, 261–2
  - energy and mobility policy cases 247, 255–62
  - epistemic dimension 248, 253, 260
  - evidence 245
  - future research avenues 262
  - rare species simile 243
  - social-political dimension 248–9, 250, 261
  - wicked problems, new coalitions and fragmented authorities 243, 252–5, 261
- policy learning and convergence 290, 302–4, 307, 309–11
- political appropriation of impact agenda 492–3
- political authority 254–5, 261
- political constitution of knowledge society 84–6
- political science approach 453–62
- ‘pollution haven’ 153
- practices
  - academic 124–6
  - co-production 70, 75
  - engagement 80–84
  - engagement from different contexts of 76–9
  - evaluation 133–5, 471, 477
  - gender equality 298–9, 304, 307, 309–11
  - learning and teaching 229–30
  - move from policies 39–42
  - professional 127–8, 135–8
  - questionable research 38, 469–70, 535–6
  - of representing society 80–85
  - vs interdisciplinarity rhetoric 377–8, 382
- scientific
  - bureaucratic management of 128–30
  - epistemic core 58
- PREF (Public Research Funding in Europe) project 449
- PRINTEGER project 469, 483

- process, innovation as 192–5
- professions, sociology of
  - bureaucratic and professional logics 123–5, 130, 135
  - bureaucratisation as control 125–30
  - future research avenues 136–8
  - peer review as control 131–5
  - relations with NPM 122–4
- profile building 272–6
- project funding
  - differentiation and multiplication of instruments 450
  - in European countries 218–20, 224, 461–2
  - increasing role in research policy 448–9
  - managed by governmental departments with specific mission 453
  - SPIS as field heavily dependent on 525
  - and state control 457–8
  - varying importance of 449
  - see also* research funding organizations (RFOs)
- protected space
  - in BEC research 211, 213–14, 217
  - definition 207
  - in epistemic dimension of policy expertise 248, 261
  - in ILSA studies 215, 217
  - impact of funding and governance changes 207–8, 223
  - importance for researchers 207, 210–11
  - real-world laboratories offering 329
  - in Swiss case 222
- public engagement in science and technology (PEST)
  - actors speaking for 80–84
  - assumption of greater commitment 341
  - and consensus 34
  - criticism of procedural style 60
  - from different social positions/ contexts of practice 76–9
  - effective in science policy 338
  - entry points 74–6, 85
  - formats of 81
  - importance of 70–71
  - mapping in three dimensions 85
  - methods of 74–9
  - movement promoting spread of 246
  - in NEST fields 507
  - Observatory 68, 84–6
  - practices implying specific political orders in representing ‘society’ 80–85
  - problematizations and purposes 76–9, 85
  - reasons for 71–4
  - relation to citizen science 340
  - as RRI key 26, 38–9, 336
  - study methodology 68–9
  - towards analytics of 67–8, 70–71
  - voluntary work 339
  - working as catch-all notion 67, 70
- public research organisations (PROs)
  - changes in funding and governance 204, 208, 222
  - competition for funding 208, 220
  - governments making funding dependent on performance 206
  - importance of maintaining substantial levels of recurrent funding 219–20
  - importance of project-based grants 205
  - increasing significance of performance evaluations 219
  - performance-based funding as reducing strategic discretion 223
  - playing limited role in project funding 461
  - subject to regular evaluations 208
- publication at all costs 533
- Publications Indicator 494, 497
- quality and excellence 478
- quality and impact
  - anxiety about 467–70
  - as boundary objects 480–83
  - discipline-specific norms 466
  - as outcome of evaluation 475–81
- quality assurance
  - in citizen science 346
  - in governance of science 471
  - in higher education sector 454

- R&D expenditures 93, 146–7, 169–70, 172, 239, 411–12, 415
- R&D Tax Incentive 166, 171–2
- randomised controlled trials (RCTs) 247–8, 250–51, 261
- rankings *see* university rankings
- re-interpretation of situation, suggesting  
as mode of influence 424  
to researchers 432–3, 436, 443
- real-world experiments 70, 251
- real-world laboratories 75, 251, 317, 329
- real-world problems 332, 377, 379, 384, 509, 524
- reflexivity 29–31, 45, 52, 513, 515
- Regional Innovation Organizers (RIOs) 358, 364
- reputation 270, 273, 276–7, 279–81
- research  
basic  
as absent from vocabulary of governments and industry 185  
assumptions of linear model 51  
common-goods-oriented 110  
decline in funding-agency support for 219–20  
German focus on 275–8  
inclusion in EU's funding portfolio 116  
innovation as ultimate outcome of 186  
need to couple with development 192  
in new academic triad 365  
quality criteria 52–3  
in systems approach 196  
and technological innovation 188, 191–2, 194, 199  
behaviour 535–6  
interconnection with higher education 227–8, 237–9  
'is not enough' discourse 187–95, 198  
as one of central social and cultural values 185  
tensions with intervention 511–14  
research challenges  
for future of SPIS  
avoiding disciplinary sclerosis 528  
breaking free from performance indicators 531  
brought from changes in external world 525–6  
developing customised databases specific to factors at work 529  
encouraging risk-taking and diversity 529  
establishing reputable open-access journals 533  
expose and exclude predatory publishers 533  
judging importance of publication by journal status 533  
maintaining research integrity 536  
maintaining sense of collegiality, social responsibility and civility 535  
pricking academic bubbles and moving beyond fads 530  
shifting balance of empirical focus 527  
summary 536–8  
from visible to 'dark innovation' 527  
of institutionalisation and transformative change 42–5  
research content, influences on *see* governance: of research content  
research councils  
addressing gender in research 301, 305, 310–11  
Australian 169–70, 173–4, 176–7, 180, 182  
changing governance of 449–61  
direct involvement of individual researchers 421  
distinction with other research organizational forms 459–60  
Dutch 32–3, 39–40, 214, 224, 496  
France 454, 456, 458  
as funding agencies 19–20  
funding structure trend 450  
Germany 212, 453, 461  
impact of continuing state pressures 219  
initial purpose 20  
international 376, 380  
Irish 305, 496

- Norway 16, 33, 40, 454
- questions regarding 462
- repositioning of 449
- Sweden 224
- Switzerland 212, 453–4, 458
- United Kingdom 33, 39–40, 112, 129, 205, 454, 488, 498
- United States 111, 148–54, 193
- see also* European Research Council (ERC)
- research evaluation
  - as bootstrapping exercise 475
  - comparison at heart of 481
  - debate on 476–7
  - emotions, important role of 478
  - exercises linked with funding 498
  - folk theories of 475–6
  - global dimension 482–3
  - global university ranking as instrument of 472
  - goal of 481
  - as interface between science policy and scientific practice 466, 481–2
  - long-term development of 471–2
  - national evaluation exercises 494–8
  - as not based on stable comparables 477–8
  - objects of 481
  - performance indicators, role of 468
  - practices 133–5, 471, 477
  - quality and impact as outcome of 475–81
  - quantitative indicators for 491 and research integrity 470
  - of societal impact 478–9
- Research Excellence Framework (REF) 124, 437, 476, 479–80, 482, 489, 493–5, 499
- research funding
  - block 169, 171, 476–7, 489, 494–5, 499
  - for blue-skies research 490, 498
  - changes to 205–8, 223
  - competitive 488, 496–7
  - European programmes
    - effects of new members' accession 409–10, 416
    - lessons for 391–5
  - ex post* assessment of broader impacts 488–9
  - impact on scientific innovations 208–23
  - impact with regard to protected space 207–8, 222–3
  - increased, as not always 'a panacea' 395
  - intense competition for 205
  - Ireland's top-down approach to 496
  - Netherlands assessment not tied to 494, 496
  - Norway's top-down approach to 494, 497
  - to short-term broader impacts 490
  - steering researchers towards broader impacts 498
  - study premise 204–5
  - see also* science funding
- research funding organizations (RFOs)
  - agencification 448, 452–6, 458, 461–2
  - changing policy context 449–53
  - differentiation 8, 449–50, 453, 456–8
  - future research avenues 462
  - as organizations that distribute project funding for research 448
  - patterns emerging from study 460–62
  - political science approach 453–5
    - agencies' culture and structure 458–60
    - state autonomy vs control 455–6
    - vertical vs horizontal specialization 456–8
  - reasons for increase of relevance 448–9
  - as relevant actors in public governance of science 448
- research impact
  - assessment
    - methods 491–2
    - in practice 136, 180, 494–7
  - broader impacts
    - assessing, as relatively new phenomenon 489, 498
    - defining 488, 494–7
    - encouraging research with 488–9
    - harnessing science policy to stimulate 488



- impact agenda
  - ARC pursuing 180
  - pejorative use of term 492
  - political appropriation of 492–3
  - politics of defining 490–92
  - relationship between state and publicly funded universities 490
  - science–society relationship 490–93, 497–8
- research innovation and legitimation 53–4, 56
- research integrity
  - as argument in favour of sharing data and open science policies 470
  - as emergent research area 469–70
  - maintaining, as challenge for SPIS researchers 535–6
  - principles of 38
- research policy
  - effects of coordinating reforms in Europe 406–8
  - European 401–17
  - example of top-down approach to 496
  - France's centralised system of 449
  - gender in 284–311
  - increase in role of project funding in 448–9
  - ministries vs delegated agencies 456, 461
  - as multi-actor arena 460
  - political coordination 458
  - and research councils 456
  - role of RFOs 452
- research quality 147
- research–teaching nexus 228–31, 238
- researchers
  - coercing 425–7
  - decision-making 421–3, 436
  - epistemic conditions of action
    - modifying authority relations 433–6, 442
  - (not) equipping 428–31
  - impact of SPIS factors on behaviour 535–6
  - inducing 431–2
  - modes and forms of exercising influence 423–4, 441
  - as obligatory passage points in governance of research content 421–3
  - production of specific knowledge 441–2
  - responses to exercises of influence
    - adapting research content 439
    - buffering 438–41
    - decision situations 436–8, 442–3
    - links to contexts of application and resource intensity 440–41
    - opportunities modified by
      - epistemic conditions of action 439–40
      - smoothing environment 438, 440
      - window-dressing 439–40
    - self-identification 422–3, 425–7, 430, 437–8, 441
    - suggesting re-interpretation of situation to 424, 432–3, 436, 443
- resource intensity 434–5, 440–41
- Responsible Innovation (RI)
  - challenges of institutionalisation and transformative change 42–5
  - commonalities with and differences to RRI 27–8, 35, 42
  - emerging discourse 27–35
  - explanation 26–7
  - focus shift from policy to practice 39–42
  - need to move towards 525–6, 537
  - process dimensions of 31–2
  - research gaps 42–4
- Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI)
  - aims within ERA 272
  - challenges of institutionalisation and transformative change 42–5
  - commonalities with and differences to RI 27–8, 35, 42
  - contracting science–society relation 59–60
  - definition 36
  - denoting new understanding of science and society relationship 49
  - emerging discourse 35–9
  - explanation 26–7
  - focus shift from policy to practice 39–42

- as guiding principle for research
  - 324–5, 327
- as opening up science to public 336
- push towards socialization of science
  - 61
- research gap 43
- risks for 62
- scientists as citizens accountable to society 60
- STS epistemic system 512–14
- responsiveness
  - Europe's movements to add to S&T agendas 99
  - as extension of science in systemic terms 61
  - foundation for new social contract
    - 50, 55–6
  - relating to research councils 452
  - as RI dimension 28–30, 32
  - RRI's emphasis on 36, 59, 513
  - of science vis-a-vis societal concerns
    - 53
  - systemic dimension 55–6, 61
- RFOs *see* research funding organizations (RFOs)
- RI *see* Responsible Innovation (RI)
- Royal Society 104, 106–7, 110, 113–14, 117, 415
- RRI *see* Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI)
- RRI-Practice project 40–41, 45
- RSP (Research Support Program) 169, 171
- Santer Commission 296, 308
- science
  - concept of 19
  - epistemic core of 5, 50, 53, 61
  - 'finalization' debate 51–2
  - gender inequalities in 284–5, 288, 292–7, 300, 306, 308–10
  - gender pay gap in 287–8, 295, 299–300, 310–11
  - 'good' 277, 280
  - growing internationalisation of 109
  - as handmaiden of technological innovation 101
  - 'historical blindness' 186
  - leaky pipeline in European 286–7, 304, 310
  - more democratic 340–41
  - new social contract for 5, 54–8, 68
  - next generation of 12–13
  - opening up 31, 56, 67–8, 70, 73–4, 78–9, 82, 336–7, 349, 352, 503, 515
  - operating as network 94–5
  - organization of 96
  - participants 348–9
  - participatory 7, 77–8, 81, 270, 345
  - post-normal 13, 70, 75, 270
  - as public concern 71–4
  - radical autonomy of 52
  - socially responsive 55–6
  - with and for society 4, 26, 36, 60, 272
  - strategic 13, 70, 181–2, 270
  - use as 'tool of diplomacy' 152, 156
  - see also* citizen science
- Science and Innovation Network (SIN) 112
- science and public policy
  - co-evolution 19–20
  - relations in flux 1–8
  - RI and RRI emerging in 42–5
  - US setting for 3
- science and technology (S&T) policy
  - characteristics of issues 141
  - impacts on decline in US 146–7
  - importance of international collaboration 141–2, 153
  - promotion of innovation and data sharing 148
  - and science diplomacy 104–6, 110–11, 113–15
- science and technology studies (STS)
  - attempts towards closer relationship with IS 515–16
  - attention to science policy 516
  - bottom-up character of research 516
  - changing 8
  - closer engagements with technoscience 515
  - concepts emerging from 28
  - current developments in 508–10
  - dearth of sociology of 503
  - dictum of democratising science through citizen science 349
  - divisions with IS 517
  - emergence and evolution of 505–8

- emphasis on reflexivity 515
- epistemic system 512–14
- founded on critical engagement with modernity 514
- journey into new sociology of markets 517
- policy work focus 516–17
- and political studies 515–16
- renewal of ambitions and strategies 514–15
- RI building on history of 42
- as scientific and intellectual movement 503
- 'secluded research' 71
- turn towards constructivist viewpoints 504
- work on social construction of technology 539
- science diplomacy (SD)
  - actors in field of 110–17
  - concept of 104–5
  - emergence of 105–10
  - as policy reason for international collaboration by USA 151–2, 155
  - prospects and challenges for 117–18
  - rising global interest in 6
- science education 26, 38–9
- Science Europe 290–292, 299–300, 308, 310, 377
- science funding
  - agencies 154, 156, 471
  - Australian 163, 166, 169–72, 174
  - European Union 298, 300
  - see also* research funding
- Science in Society programme 26, 35–7, 272
- science policy
  - addressing Grand Societal Challenges 16–19
  - assessing broader research impacts as relatively new phenomenon 498
  - Australian 162–82
  - as celebration of diversity 472
  - consequences of science–society relationship 1, 3–4
  - coping with system transformation and uncertainty 19–22
  - epistemic regimes
    - as co-producer of 318
    - at different levels of 322–30
    - as sign of reconfiguration of science–policy interface 330–32
  - evaluation as interface between scientific practice and 466, 481–2
  - framings 13
  - furthering tradition of studying peer review 477
  - German 272, 279–80
  - governance of
    - changes in 7–8, 137, 205–8, 222–3
    - in countries undergoing reforms 208–23
    - in France 125–9, 132
    - rules having impact on 124, 138
  - having to respond to globalization 472, 474
  - idea of harnessing to stimulate broader research impacts 488
  - impact agenda for opening up participation in 492
  - IS as inattentive towards 516
  - many different frameworks of 476
  - next generation 12–13, 16–17, 19–22
  - NPM absorbed within 492
  - as often designed from natural science perspective 491
  - ongoing changes in 13–16
  - perennial problems in 493
  - preferences as important component of 474
  - processing issues in 317–32
  - quality emerging in 471–2
  - question regarding closeness of relationship between government and universities 490
  - research integrity as argument in favour of 470
  - rhetoric versus practice 376–95
  - and valorization 478–9
- science policy and innovation studies (SPIS)
  - generic factors
    - growing competitive pressures 529–30

- increasing emphasis on performance indicators 530–31
    - publication at all costs and proliferation of journals 533
    - pursuit of ‘top journals’ 531–3
  - impact of factors
    - on research behaviour 535–6
    - on SPIS culture 534–5
  - nature and characteristics of 523–4
  - specific factors
    - cognitive imprinting 526–7
    - gender imprinting 527
    - intellectual inbreeding 527–8
    - ‘looking where the light is’ 529
    - path dependence and lock-in
      - vs keeping up with rapidly changing world 525–6
    - specialization and diminishing returns 528–9
  - summary of challenges for 536–8
- science policy cultures 76–9, 80–81, 86, 272
- ‘science slam’ 67, 70
- science–society contract
  - changing 5–6
  - experimenting with co-constitutive arrangements 58–60
  - finalized model 51–2
  - hybridized model 52–3
  - linear model 51
  - metaphor 49–50, 63
  - performative fictions of 63
  - processing ambivalences of 62–3
  - responsiveness, participation and sustainability as foundations for new 55–8
  - reworking 60–62
  - social expectations about research innovation and legitimation 53–4
- science–society relationship
  - changing 1, 7, 337–40
  - consequences for science policy 1, 3–4
  - contracting 58–60
  - and research impact 490–93, 497–8
  - RRI and citizen science denoting new understanding of 49
- science–society contract as metaphor 49–50, 63
- scientific communities
  - adjusting actions through observation and unilateral action 422
  - authority relations overlaying social order of 436
  - and autonomy of research 8, 51–2
  - bottom-up interdisciplinarity generated by 384, 393
  - and coercion 426–7
  - consensus on climate change 141
  - as core actors in research systems 309
  - definition 424–5
  - on evolution of diplomacy 107
  - increased calls for interdisciplinary research in 382
  - influence on radical change of research content 441–2
  - and knowledge production 8, 22
  - likeliness of deferring to judgements of elite 437
  - limitations 425
  - low degree of codification of knowledge reducing influence of 435
  - making knowledge available to 429
  - quality control largely in hands of 52–3, 471–2
  - red tape bureaucracy criticised by 130
  - and research funding 430
  - researchers’ interests coinciding with 437
  - reward and inducement 431–2
  - RFOs largely self-governed by 459
  - Science Europe representing 291, 308
  - thematic foci 238–9
  - time for reflection as ‘disappearing luxury’ for 467
  - and valorization 479
  - values of 432–3
  - working within, in France 135
- scientific innovations
  - Bose-Einstein Condensates 209–12
  - description 208–9

- differences in authority relationships, funding practices and epistemic traditions 216, 218–21
- Evolutionary-Developmental Biology 212–14
- importance of conditions supporting development of 210
- International Large-Scale Student Assessments 215–16
- provision of conditions supporting 217
  - study conclusions 221–3
- scientific literacy 341–2
- SD *see* science diplomacy (SD)
- ‘secluded research’ 68, 71–2
- She Figures 287–8, 290, 296, 304
- ‘shifting external institutional contexts’ 44
- Silicon Valley formation and development 358, 366–8
- smoothing 438, 440
- social expectations about research
  - innovation and legitimation 53–4, 56
- social innovation 18, 28, 35, 43, 54, 259, 387, 526
- social sciences and humanities (SSH)
  - integration 378, 388–91, 392–4, 396
  - position of scholars and researchers 383
  - question of Commission’s commitment to 392
- socially robust knowledge 3, 7, 269, 343
- societal agenda-building 17–18, 21
- societal problem solving 318–20, 322–3, 325, 330–33
- society, representation of 80–85
- sociotechnical system-oriented approach 18
- specialization
  - and diminishing returns 528–9
  - vertical vs horizontal 456–8
- SSH *see* social sciences and humanities (SSH)
- Standard Evaluation Protocol (SEP) 494–6
- state and publicly funded universities 490
- state autonomy vs control 455–6
- strategic niche management (SNM) 327–8
- STS *see* science and technology studies (STS)
- sustainability
  - challenge of neglect of innovations aimed at 525–6, 537
  - Europe’s movements to add to S&T agendas 99
  - as extension of science in temporal terms 61
  - foundation for new social contract 50, 57–8
  - framed as responsibility for future 60
  - juggling with business goals 112
  - as more general policy goal of RRI 37
  - RRI embracing 59, 324, 513
  - temporal dimension 57–8, 60
- sustainability science 328–9
- sustainable development 318, 328–9
- Sweden
  - gender equality 296–7, 300–301
  - gender pay gap 288
  - ‘greenfield’ site 372
  - R&D expenditure 146
  - radio valley 369
  - rapid internationalisation 409
  - scientific innovations 208–23
- Switzerland
  - mingling higher education and research 227
  - National Science Foundation 220, 224
  - R&D expenditures 146
  - research council 212, 453–4, 458
  - RFOs 449, 453–4, 458, 460
  - scientific innovations 208–23
  - system approach to innovation 195–8
  - system transformation
    - ongoing efforts 14
    - science policy coping with 19–22
- technological innovation
  - coupling in relation to 191–2
  - defining 194–5
  - history of 187–95, 197
  - need-pull forces 190–91
  - originating from tension between science and society 199

- participating in market ideology 29, 199
- as process 192–5
- relation to basic research 188, 191–2, 194, 199
- science as handmaiden of 101
- success dependent on many factors 187–8, 194
- two main discourses on 186–7
- technology
  - adoption of 189–90, 193
  - flow of 361–2
  - universities as source of 368, 371–2, 374
- technology assessment
  - aims 58
  - constructive 14–15, 30, 68, 507
  - as foundation of RI/RRI 28, 35
  - goal to open up science and innovation 30
  - participatory 67, 339
- technology firms 366–8, 371
- technology transfer 359–60, 365, 370–72
- technoscientific reality-making
  - entry points for engagement in process of 75
  - in three steps 72
- tentative governance 21
- ‘Third Mission’ activities 70, 231, 329–30, 365
- trans-disciplinary approach 30, 32, 38
- transdisciplinary platforms 248–9, 260–61
- transdisciplinary research 7, 42, 78–9, 317, 332
- transformation
  - formative and generative steps of 71–2, 75
  - science policy coping with 19–22
- transformative change
  - challenges of 42–5
  - third framing of science and innovation policy for 13, 539
- Transformative Innovation Policy Consortium (TIPC) 15–16
- ‘translation’ 71–2, 75
- translational research 70, 180–82, 387, 468
- transport policy 255–62
- tripartite cooperation 41
- Triple Helix
  - advantages 4
  - as changing model of knowledge production 7
  - community 13
  - endless transition 368–70
  - as format of engagement 81–2
  - innovation from knowledge base 364–5
  - innovation model
    - chain-link 367
    - generalizable 370–73
    - universal 358, 361
  - Innovation Systems comparison 361–4
  - leading to co-production of science 270
  - as open innovation system concept 361
  - origins 358–61, 363
  - regions 357, 359–60, 367–8, 371–3
  - RI intersecting and challenging 43
  - Silicon Valley formation and development 366–8
  - spaces 364, 369–70
  - summation 373–4
- uncertainty
  - and funding 218–19
  - for PhD graduates 234
  - ‘principle’ 430–31
  - science policy coping with 19–22
- United Kingdom (UK)
  - average share of co-patents 413–14
  - Brexit, research and innovation 413–16
  - civic epistemologies 325
  - conceptual development of RI 32–3
  - flow of funds with EU 414–15
  - as forerunner of NPM 449
  - gender initiatives 293, 302, 311
  - gender legislation 297, 306
  - gender pay gap 288
  - Higher Education and Funding Council 46, 489, 495
  - imperative for economic growth 41
  - innovation agency 456
  - Innovation Research Centre 185

- international collaboration 169
- leaky pipeline syndrome 287
- mingling higher education and research 227
- PEST diversity 79
- relocation and downsizing of
  - humanities departments 233
- research councils 33, 39–40, 112, 129, 205, 454, 488, 498
- Research Excellence Framework
  - 124, 437, 476, 479–80, 482, 489, 493–5, 499
- research impact assessment 136, 180, 488–9, 493–5
- RFOs 454, 456–60
- in science diplomacy field 112–13
- Teaching Excellence Framework 229
- technological innovation 194–5, 199–200
- United States (US)
  - global scientific collaboration
    - benefits of 145–51, 155–6
    - methods of increasing and enhancing 153–5
    - policy reasons for 151–3, 155
    - trends in research and policy 142–5
  - research councils 111, 148–54, 193
  - in science diplomacy field 111–12, 151–2, 155
  - setting for science and public policy 3
- universities
  - block funding 169, 171, 476–7, 489, 494–5, 499
  - horizontal differentiation 237, 267
  - interplay between local and global 473–4
  - management 231–3, 286, 302, 472, 474, 493
  - publicly funded 490
  - technology transfer 371–2
  - vertical differentiation 267, 272–6, 327
- University–Industry–Government interactions
  - as conceptual framework 373
  - driving development of Silicon Valley 366–8
  - as dynamic 357
  - endless transition 368–70
  - origins of Triple Helix of 358–61
  - as primary actor 363
  - ‘taking role of the other’ 363, 367, 374
- university rankings 235–7, 472–5
- valorization 26, 29, 478–9
- venture capital firms 358, 360, 363, 367–8, 370–71, 373–4
- vertical vs horizontal specialization 456–8
- visionary leadership 385–6, 393–4
- ‘volunteer monitoring’ 339
- volunteering 339, 344, 346–8, 350–52
- von Schomberg, Rene 27, 35–7, 61, 324, 513, 525
- wicked problems 79, 141, 243, 252–3
- ‘willingness to pay’ 82
- window-dressing 439–40
- workforce issues 149–51
- world imaginaries 474–5, 482–3
- world ordering 73

