

Index

- allocation of resources 5, 134, 145, 260, 270
 - efficient 5
 - externalities influencing 4–5
 - inefficient 5
 - voter preferences influencing 5
- angel investments 128, 145, 175, 179–80
 - see also* income
- anti-trust policies, encouraging competition 5
- Argentina
 - social enterprise model 71, 78
- Atlanta nonprofit housing 243–4, 254
 - see also* resilience
 - Atlanta Homeless Housing 245
 - financial insolvency risk 251–2
 - governance 251
 - mission change risk 232
 - Housing for Women and Children 245
 - financial insolvency risk 252–3
 - governance 253
 - mission change risk 253
 - Metro Community Development 244
 - financial insolvency risk 246
 - governance 246
 - mission change risk 246, 250
 - revenue composition 244
 - sequester of government funding and 243
 - South Atlanta Development Corporation 245
 - financial insolvency risk 250
 - governance 250, 251
 - mission change risk 251
 - threshold parameters of 247–9
- benefit corporations
 - hybrid legal form, as 50
 - Italy, in 54–5
 - triple bottom line 51
 - US, in 8, 19, 25, 51–2, 120, 231
 - B Corps differentiation 56
 - criticism of 52
 - for-profit, being 51
 - public benefit mandate 51
- benefits theory 167, 168–70, 187–8
 - see also* income
 - associative goods 169
 - diversified income, potential for 187
 - earned income 167, 171
 - group goods 168, 169
 - /portfolio theory distinction 188
 - private goods 168, 169
 - public goods 168, 172–3
 - redistributive goods 168–9, 171
 - start-ups and 182, 187–8
 - trade/exchange goods 169
- B Corps certification 55–6, 121, 200, 231, 264
- chaordic nature 9–12, 34
- charter schools 19, 115
- civil society models 69, 71
 - deferred democratization 65, 70, 71, 72, 78
 - liberal 70, 71, 73, 80, 198
 - social-democratic 70, 71, 73, 81, 198
 - traditional 70, 71, 72, 77
 - welfare partnership/state 70, 71, 72, 79, 81–2
 - liberal 198
- collective interest cooperative societies 47
 - see also* cooperatives
- commercial nonprofit organizations *see* nonprofit organizations
- community contribution company (CCC) (Canada) 54
- community interest companies (CICs) 25–6, 48, 200, 205

- Canadian consideration of 54
 - UK, in 20, 53–4, 119
- competition 22, 93, 96, 99, 104–5, 238
 - capital grants, for 179
 - governments encouraging 5
 - saturation, and 105–6
 - Schumpeterian 28, 160
- cooperation 81, 95, 99–100
- cooperatives 3, 8, 43, 176–7, 291
 - see also* social cooperatives
 - collective interest (France) 47
 - conventional 36, 39–40, 43, 64
 - dues income 177
 - European 46–9
 - for-profit 167
 - institutional logic of 36–7
 - internally-driven, as 162–3
 - private sector legal form, as 36–7
 - profit-limited, as 162–3
 - sale of equity, and 180
 - social (Poland) 47
 - social with limited liability (Greece) 47
 - social initiative 47
 - social solidarity 47
 - worker 37
- corporate social responsibility 24, 258, 289
 - microfinance legitimizing 106
 - revitalization of 276
- cost-effectiveness (x-efficiency) 5
- creative destruction 28, 158–9, 160, 226
- cross-subsidization 34, 105, 169, 187, 188, 224
- CSR *see* corporate social responsibility
- defining social enterprise 18–20, 24–5, 26, 34
- Delaware
 - Chancery Court 44
 - constituency statute, not having 45
 - corporate jurisprudence 44–5
 - corporations 46
- direct regulation 5
- disaster planning 134, 238
 - see also* resiliency
- double bottom line companies 52, 54, 55
- duck-billed platypus 33–4
- earned income *see* income
- eBay Domestic Holdings Inc. v. Newmark* 44–5
- economic disparity 4
- economy types
 - see also* MISE framework
 - efficiency-driven 70, 71
 - factor-driven 70, 71
 - innovation-driven 70, 71
- effectiveness 29, 99, 276
 - cost- 5
 - government 81
- efficiency 4–5, 6, 7
 - frontier *see* social efficiency frontier
 - internal 5, 7
 - market 4
- EMES International Research
 - Network framework 9, 34, 148
- emergence 96, 100–102
 - see also* life cycles; organizational ecology
- England
 - Big Society 202, 204
 - centralized government 198
 - community interest companies 200
 - early social enterprise 198–9
 - expansion 200–202, 206
 - institutionalization 199–200
 - National Council for Voluntary Organizations 201
 - Office for Civil Society 202
 - Office of the Third Sector 200–201
 - policy environment 205–6
 - Social Enterprise Coalition 200, 201
 - Social Enterprise Investment Fund 201
 - Social Enterprise London 198–9
 - Social Enterprise UK 202
 - Social Enterprise Unit 199
 - social impact bonds 201–2, 204
 - social investment 201
 - state support 193–4, 198, 202–4
- Enterprise ESS 48–9, 55
- entrepreneurial opportunity
 - /social enterprise relationship 83–4, 86
- entrepreneurial teams 129, 148
- entrepreneurs 127–8, 146–7, 151
 - characteristics 146–8

- cooperative 64
- demographics of 150
- for-profit 63
- motivations 148–9
- nonprofit 64
- risk, and 147
- Schumpeterian *see* Schumpeterian entrepreneurs
- social *see* social entrepreneurs
- types 156–7
- entrepreneurship
 - factor-driven economies, in 70
 - needs-based 72
 - risk, and 147
 - social 16, 18
- environment 22, 28, 29
 - economic 35, 162, 240, 288
 - immersion landscapes 27
 - institutional 21, 77
 - policy 143, 144, 202, 204, 288
 - English 205–6
- environmental opportunities 83
- environmental mission 7, 49, 50, 51, 55
- equal protection 5
- European Economic and Social Committee 126
- evolution 164–5, 194
 - see also* legal form
 - English social enterprise, of 198–204
 - Italian social enterprise, of 194–8
 - legal forms, of 33
 - microfinance, of 96–7, 100
 - organizational world, in 94
 - speciation 100
- excludability 6
 - non- 5
- expansion 102–4, 130–33
 - see also* life cycles; organizational ecology
 - niche development, in 96, 97
 - product portfolio, of 130
 - quality control issues, and 133
 - social enterprise legal form
 - England, in 200–202, 206
 - Italy, in 196–7
 - US, in 273–4
- externalities 4–5, 290
 - correcting for 5
- finance
 - see also* benefits theory; funding; income
 - hybrid 126
 - micro- *see* microfinance
 - portfolio theory 187, 188
 - social enterprise 187–8
 - sources of 168–9, 170
 - stress in 133
- for-profit organizations 29, 36–7, 103, 163, 175, 224, 255
 - see also* community interest companies
 - adaptation of legal form 42–4
 - B Corps certification 56, 121
 - benefit corporations 51
 - CICs, as 53–4
 - conventional 38, 54, 63
 - cooperatives 167
 - dedicated forms of 101
 - dual purpose 38
 - Enterprise ESS 48–9, 55
 - entrepreneurs 150, 156
 - failure 118, 123, 135
 - funding 95, 99, 129, 167
 - affiliated organizations, through 175
 - earned revenues 175–6, 182
 - foundation grants 173–4
 - government contracts 172–3
 - grants 182
 - outside capital 176
 - portfolio theory, and 187
 - PRIs 98, 185–6
 - retained earnings 179
 - sale of equity 180–81, 182
 - G8, in 49–50
 - growth 125, 132
 - hybrid forms 45, 50, 53, 55
 - inherent legal purpose 44–5
 - institutional logic of 36–7
 - L3C *see* limited liability companies
 - limited by share 199
 - LLCs *see* limited liability companies
 - public-private partnerships, and 40, 41–2
 - size, measuring 117
- frameworks 34
 - benchmarking 263–6
 - benefits theory *see* benefits theory

- comparative 260–63
- competing 8–9, 34
- EMES International Research
 - Network *see* EMES
 - International Research framework
- entrepreneurial stereotypes 151–2
- life cycle *see* organizational life cycles
- MISE *see* MISE frameworks
- resiliency *see* resilience
- social efficiency frontier *see* social efficiency frontier
- zoo 10, 34, 148
- France
 - Enterprise ESS in 48–9, 55
- fraud 134, 259, 273
- funding
 - see also* finance; income
 - crowd- (crowdsourcing) 107, 183–4
 - foundation grants 98, 123, 173–4, 179
 - for-profit organizations 95, 99, 129, 167
 - gaps 132
 - grant 173
 - loan guarantees 184
 - new organizations, of 100–101, 104, 130
 - nonprofit organizations 29, 169
 - philanthropic 169, 258–9, 273
 - pooling 184–5
 - PRIs 185–6
 - public 170, 172
 - changes in conditions for 242, 243
 - sequester of government 243
 - sale of equity 180
 - social enterprise organizations 187–8
 - social impact bonds 186
- Global Competitiveness Index 69–70, 77, 79, 81
 - see also* MISE framework
- Global Competitiveness Report* (2010–11) 78, 80
- Global Development Finance
 - categories (WTO) 84–5
- Global Entrepreneurship Monitor
 - Adult Population Survey (2009) 82
- GLOBE survey on culture 82
- governance 21–2, 29–30, 74, 81, 125, 240
 - see also* legal form
 - corporate, disputes 44
 - democratic 195, 196, 199
 - diversity 67–8, 288
 - failure 242
 - indicators 77, 78, 79
 - legal form, and 35
 - models 194
 - rights 180
 - style 67
 - Sweden, in 81
 - thresholds 240, 242, 245
- government
 - influence of 193
 - institutional logic of 35–6
 - laissez-faire US approach 193
 - policy 21, 30, 193
- historical institutionalism 9, 68, 82, 95
- income
 - benefits theory *see* benefits theory
 - capital financing
 - bonds 181
 - debt 181–2
 - foundation grants 179
 - patient capital 179–80
 - quasi-equity 180–81
 - retained earnings 179
 - sale of equity 180
 - start-ups, of 182
 - sweat equity 179
 - crowdsourcing 183–4
 - government support
 - in-kind
 - loan guarantees 184
 - operating funds
 - earned income 167, 171
 - endowments 178
 - federated gifts 174
 - foundation grants 173–4
 - government contracts 172–3
 - individual contributions 175–7
 - investments 178
 - membership fees 177–8
 - shared resources 174–5
 - philanthropy 169

- pooling 184–5
- program-related investments 185–6
- social impact bonds 186
- sources of 167, 169
 - importance of variety of 186–7, 188
 - legal form affecting 170
- industry life cycles *see* life cycles
- inefficiencies 4, 5–6
 - addressing 6, 8, 291
- information asymmetry 5
 - nonprofit organizations addressing 6
- innovation 226–31
 - see also* social innovation; theory of change
 - categories 228
 - definition 227
 - driving efficiency 232
 - ecological (business model) 227, 231
 - factors influencing 227–8
 - firm-level 227
 - intrinsic to social enterprise, as 232
 - institutional 227, 231
 - marketing 227, 230
 - organization 227, 230
 - process 229
 - product 218, 224–5, 229, 232
 - school 9, 34
 - social 19, 213, 226–31, 232
 - social entrepreneurs and *see* social entrepreneurs
 - successful 228
 - theories of 226
 - theory of change by 233
- institutional divides 84–6
- institutional logic 35–40
 - conventional nonprofits, of 37–8
 - cooperatives, of 36–7
 - for-profit organizations, of 36–7
 - government 35–6
 - social cooperatives, of 39
 - social enterprise organizations, of 38–40
- institutionalization 133, 199–200, 240
- International Classification of Non Profit Organizations 84
- Italy
 - decentralized government 194
 - developing concept of social enterprise 193
 - ‘golden age’ of nonprofits 195–6
 - policy environment 204, 205–6
 - social cooperatives 194–5
 - social enterprise model 79
 - changing 197–8
 - expansion of 196–7
- laissez-faire* governmental approach in US 193
 - see also* government
- legal form
 - see also* institutional logic
 - adaptation of 42–4, 46–9
 - benefit corporations 50
 - conventional, institutional logic of 35–8
 - cooperatives 36–7, 45
 - entrepreneurial preferences for 160
 - evolution of 33
 - fields of service and 161
 - for-profit 20, 42–4, 45
 - funding, affecting 170
 - G8 countries, three categories in 49–50
 - governance and 35
 - hybrid 45, 175
 - Canada, in 54
 - France, in 49
 - Italy, in 54–5
 - UK, in 53–4
 - US, in 50–53
 - influence of 35, 95
 - LLCs 46, 119
 - nonprofit 45
 - public–private partnerships 40–41
 - public sector social enterprises 41–2
 - social enterprises, of *see* social enterprise organizations
 - social entrepreneurs and 160
 - usefulness 95
- legitimacy
 - lack of 102, 127
 - legitimization 50, 96, 100, 104, 106
 - loss of 134
- liability of senescence 134
- life cycles
 - industry 158
 - life cycle theory 113–14, 135
 - organizational *see* organizational life cycles

- stages in 116, 121
 - transition through 115–20, 122
- limited liability companies 46, 119, 173
 - see also* program-related investment
 - low-profit (L3C) 8, 25, 50, 52–3, 56, 95, 96, 103, 145
- LLC *see* limited liability companies
- macro-institutional social enterprise (MISE) framework *see* MISE framework
- management 21–2, 29–30
- market failure
 - social enterprise, and 4–8
 - social enterprise as response to 4
 - theory 4–5, 290–91
 - three sector model *see* three sector market failure model
- maturity 104–7, 121, 124, 133–5
 - see also* life cycles; organizational ecology
- measuring success 22–3
- Microcredit Summit (1997) 102, 104
- microfinance 77, 96–7, 101–2, 104, 106–7, 269
 - microfranchise 217
- Milwaukee Parental Choice Program 102
 - see also* voucher schools
- MISE framework 68, 86
 - economy types in 70
 - influential factors in 69
 - societal models in 70
 - social enterprise country models 70–73, 74–6
 - Argentina 78
 - Italy 79
 - Sweden 81–2
 - United States 80
 - Zimbabwe 73, 77
- mission drift 125, 134–5, 172, 173, 239, 241–2
- mutual benefit organizations 43, 78
- niche development *see* organizational ecology
- nonprofit organizations 7–8, 25, 29, 42
 - see also* benefits theory; income; social enterprise organisations
 - addressing information asymmetry 6
 - benefit types 169–70
 - commercial 17, 25, 19–20, 47
 - contracting for government 6
 - conventional 63–4
 - institutional logic of 37–8
 - donative 176
 - Europe, in 46–7
 - fulfilling demand for public goods 6
 - funding *see* funding
 - income sources 169
 - internally-driven, as 162–3
 - limitations 6–7
 - free rider problem 6, 7
 - multi-product firms, as 169
 - nondistribution constraint 43, 47
 - private 155
 - profit motive, lacking 6
 - public advocacy 6
 - public sector 155–6
 - revenue theory 103
 - role in a democratic society 5–6
 - sequester of federal funding 243
 - effect on Atlanta nonprofit housing *see* Atlanta nonprofit housing
 - size/employment levels, relationship between 129
 - small, generally being 117
 - social enterprises, as
 - institutional logic of 39
 - start-up capital 129, 130
 - tax exempt 6–7
- nonrivalry 5
- OECD Development Assistance Committee 82
- Office for Social Innovation and Civic Participation 193
- Office of the Regulator of Community Interest Companies (UK) 48
- organizational capacity 128, 133, 136
- organizational ecology 93–4
 - see also* competition; life cycles
 - case studies
 - microfinance 96–7, 101–2, 104, 106–7
 - voucher schools 97–8, 102, 104, 107
 - competition 99, 105–6
 - defining 94

- density dependence 106
- emergence 96, 100–102
- expansion 96, 102–4
- generalists in 103
- inter-organizational relationships 98–100
- liability of newness 100–101
- liability of smallness 101
- maturity 96, 104–7
- organizational niche 95–6
 - development 97
- resource availability 98
- resource extraction 105
- saturation 105–6
- specialists in 103
- speciation 100
- organizational life cycles 113, 114–15, 135
 - age 118–19
 - /size correlation 117, 120
 - difficulty growing 123, 130
 - failure of organization 124, 131, 132
 - form, and 124, 125–6
 - growth inertia 122–3, 130, 131
 - population sizes 117–18, 122
 - processes, and 124
 - professionalization 131–2
 - scaling up 132–3
 - slow growth 123
 - transition stages 115–20, 121–4, 130, 135–6
 - emergence 127–30
 - growth 130–33
 - maturity 133–5
- organizational practices 21–2, 29–30
- organizational volatility 127
- philanthropy 169, 258–9
- population ecology 94, 102, 107
- portfolio theory 103, 187, 188
- pragmatic movement, social enterprise as 287
- PRI *see* program related investment
- private markets
 - failures in 83
 - limitations of 5
 - social enterprise and 24–5
- profit distribution
 - limiting 25, 48, 155
 - prohibiting 170
- profit maximization 49, 83, 161
 - default setting, as 45
- profit motive
 - government agencies lacking 5
 - harnessing 7
- program-related investment (PRI) 53, 95, 168, 173, 185–6
- public goods 5, 168, 186, 290
- public–private partnerships 20, 40–41, 155–6
- public sector social enterprises 20, 25, 41–2
- recession 80, 237, 243
- resilience
 - Atlanta nonprofit housing, in *see* Atlanta nonprofit housing
 - defining 237–8
 - latitude 240
 - movement types 239
 - demise 242
 - drift 241–2
 - inertia 241
 - transformation 242
 - panarchy 240, 243
 - precariousness 240
 - resiliency theory *see* resiliency theory
 - resistance 240
 - stable equilibria 239
 - thresholds 239–40, 254
 - crossing 242–3
 - embeddedness 240, 254
 - financial structure 240
 - governance 240
 - leadership 240
 - organizational slack 240
 - unstable equilibria 239
 - resiliency theory 237, 239–40, 253–4
- responsible enterprises 19
- Roberts Enterprise Development Fund 193
- Schumpeterian competition 28
 - creative destruction 158–9, 160, 226
- Schumpeterian entrepreneurs 146, 151, 152
 - see also* social entrepreneurs
 - architects 156
 - choice of legal forms 160
 - hybridity 161–2

- controllers 156–7
 - /conventional entrepreneur
 - distinction 144, 148–9, 153
 - disproportionate impact of 149
 - fields of service 161, 163
 - income seekers 157
 - innovation, and 157, 164, 233
 - players 156
 - poets 156
 - professionals 156
 - scale of organizations, and 162
 - searchers 157
- social accounting 270–71
 - see also* social impact
- social businesses 18, 25, 155
 - income sources 170
- social cooperatives 8, 18, 25, 29, 119, 155
 - see also* cooperatives; income
 - European 47
 - income sources 170
 - institutional logic of 39
 - with limited liability 47
- social economy 3, 155
- social efficiency frontier 23–4, 25, 26–7, 170, 289, 292
- social enterprise organizations
 - see also* for-profit organizations; MISE framework; nonprofit organizations; organizational ecology; resiliency theory
 - adapting conventional legal forms 42–4
 - Europe, in 46–9
 - autonomous diverse 70, 71, 73, 80
 - autonomous mutualism 70, 71, 72, 78
 - Belgian 48
 - country models 70
 - dependent focused 70, 71, 72, 79
 - enmeshed focused 70, 71, 73, 82
 - for profit 20, 29, 39–40, 43–4
 - G8 legal forms 49
 - functioning of 20–21
 - income divides, and 84–6
 - innovation in *see* social innovation
 - institutional context influencing 67–8, 82–3
 - entrepreneurial opportunities 83–4, 86
 - institutional logic of 38–40
 - intrinsic tensions in 238
 - Italian 47–8
 - macro-level institutions 68–9
 - MISE framework, and 68–70
 - models 70–73, 78, 79, 80, 82
 - organizational niche of 95–6
 - skepticism over 50
 - sustainable subsistence 70, 71, 72, 77
 - third-party certification of 55–6
 - UK government support for 48, 53–4
 - US, in 50–53
 - social enterprise theory 8–9
 - social entrepreneurs 143–4
 - see also* entrepreneurs
 - characteristics of 146–8
 - conventional 154
 - believers 157
 - choice of legal forms 160
 - conservers 157
 - fields of service 161
 - hybridity, and 161–2
 - independents 157
 - innovation, and 164
 - small organizations, preferring 162
 - definition of 153–4
 - demographics of 150
 - entrepreneurial teams 129, 147–8
 - forms of social enterprise, and 154–8
 - initial influence 143
 - internally-driven 158
 - imitators 143
 - innovators 143, 159–60
 - motivation 147, 148–9, 151
 - opportunistic 158, 163
 - product champion, as 147
 - quality of services 162–3
 - responsiveness 162–3
 - Schumpeterian *see* Schumpeterian entrepreneurs
 - selection of organizational form 145–6, 154–8
 - stereotypes 151–2
 - /theory of change link 232
 - types 163
 - social impact 258–60, 267–8
 - accountability, of 273, 274, 275
 - legal 277–8

- practical/owner 275–6
- public opinion, to 276–7
- assessment approaches 268–70
- benchmarking 263–6, 267, 271
- comparative 261
 - data 262–3
 - standards for 261–2
- generating awareness 266–7
- impact investments 267, 271–2
- increasing focus on 273
- influencing intentions 266
- negative 265–6
- nuances of 280
- philanthropy, on 273
- priorities, of 273, 274–5
 - contractual 279–80
- regulatory oversight 278–9
- social accounting 270–71
- social innovation 19, 213, 226–31, 232
 - see also* innovation; Schumpeterian entrepreneurs; theory of change
 - business development 233
 - definitions of 226–7
 - disruptive 213
 - distinctiveness of 232
 - ecological/environmental 231, 233
 - education 233
 - health 233
 - incremental 213
 - institutional/policy 231
 - market 230
 - organizational 230–31
 - process 229
 - product 229
 - Schumpeterian entrepreneurs and 213
 - theory of change model 213–14, 232
 - methodology 214–16
 - typology of 214–15
- Social Innovation Park (Bilbao) 21
- social origins approach 68
- social purpose corporation/organizations 48, 52
 - double bottom line 52
- social ventures 20, 204, 213–14
 - for-profit 29
 - tax benefits for 53
- Société Coopérative d'Intérêt Collectif* 48, 55
- speciation 100
- spectrum school 8–9, 34
- stakeholder-benefit 43, 45
- sustainable businesses 19, 25, 155
- sustainability frontier 9
- Sweden
 - social enterprise model 81–2
- taxation
 - CCCs, of 54
 - Community Interest Tax Relief (UK) 201
 - conventional for-profit companies 63
 - flow-through 63
 - LLCs, of 46
 - nonprofits, of 63–4, 117–18, 181, 238
 - tax exemption 39, 42, 63–4, 145, 173, 176
 - PRIs, and 53
 - UK, in 53
- theory of change 213–14, 216, 218
 - see also* innovation; social innovation
 - building networks theory 220–22
 - advocacy 220–21
 - leverage in 222
 - research and development 221
 - social support 221
 - business development theory 218–19
 - by innovation 233
 - causal statement, as 216
 - education and training theory 219
 - environmental protection theory 223–4
 - health systems theory 222
 - levels of action 225–6
 - microfranchise 217
 - product innovation theory 224–5
 - three-sector market failure model 3–4, 6, 7–8
 - failure to accommodate social economy 8
 - transparency 4, 5
 - benefit corporations, of 19
 - triple bottom line companies 51, 55
 - two-part economic model 3
- United States
 - see also* benefit corporations
 - Atlanta nonprofit housing *see* Atlanta nonprofit housing
 - benefit corporations in 8, 19, 25, 51–2, 120, 231

- Delaware law *see* Delaware
- expansion of social enterprise in
 - 273–4
- hybrid legal forms in 50–53
- social enterprise model 80

- variants 23, 25–6
- viability 29, 147, 157
 - financial 125
- volunteer fatigue 128
- voucher schools 97–8, 102, 104, 107

- Work Integration Social Enterprises 288
- World Development Index 82
- World Governance Indicators 77

- Zimbabwe
 - social enterprise model 73, 77
- zoo metaphor 15–16, 287–91
 - criticism of 34–5
- zoos
 - history of design 16–17
 - immersion landscapes 27–8