

Index

- Aarhus Convention (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters), 2015 176
- Article 9(2) 184–5
- Article 9(3) 186–9
- implementation 181
- and national standing rules 182–9
- securing access to justice 182–9
- access to justice, environmental policy 183–4
- acquis*, EU 14, 20, 42, 203
- actor-centred institutionalism, transnational networks 111–12
- adjudication process 12
- Administrative Commission for the Coordination of Social Security Schemes 140
- administrative procedure, detection and processing
- and Commission layered enforcement model 48, 50, 51, 64–70
- databases 51, 54, 60, 63, 64, 65, 66, 68
- ‘innovations’ in enforcement 66
- regulatory styles 51
- statistics 67–8
- see also* layered enforcement model of European Commission
- ADR *see* alternative dispute resolution (ADR)
- advertising industry, self-regulation 88–9
- AFSJ *see* Area of Freedom, Security and Justice (AFSJ)
- age discrimination 21
- agencification of EU law 286, 314
- Alert Mechanism Report 298
- alternative dispute resolution (ADR)
- 3, 218–25
- consumer ADR 204, 205, 220–25
- costs 214
- holistic approach with emphasis on ADR, need for 225–9
- national court-annexed schemes 215
- schemes 219, 221, 225
- traditional 204–5
- see also* consumer policy, enforcement; dispute resolution; online dispute resolution (ODR)
- annex competence 256, 283
- antitrust law, EU, collective redress 235–8
- Area of Freedom, Security and Justice (AFSJ) 256, 258, 259
- and compliance 274–83
- constitutional vision of EU as 278–83
- and private enforcement, effectiveness 39–40
- Article 19(1), Treaty on European Union (TEU)
- and private enforcement, effectiveness 14, 28–30, 43
- Article 47, European Charter of Fundamental Rights (EUCFR)
- as new source of fundamental rights 32–3
- open-textured nature of 36
- and principles of effectiveness and equivalence 34–5
- and private enforcement, effectiveness 30–37, 43
- and right to an effective remedy as general principle of law 33–4
- see also* fundamental rights

- Article 83(2), Treaty on Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) 256–84
 analysis of Article 261–3, 264–6
 compared to Article 83(1) 262
 competence, exercise of 257
 compliance, informed approach to 274–8
 and constitutional vision of EU as an AFSJ 278–83
 criminal law 259–63
 as challenge for integration 259–61
 enforcement and compliance 266–74
 as system of ‘nested’ enforcement 258–9, 263–74
see also criminal law
- Asmodee II database (ASM) 51, 54, 63, 64, 66, 68
- Australia, construction industry 94
- Ayres, Ian 48, 50, 90, 92, 93
- Babylonian confusion, regulatory enforcement 3, 77, 78, 95, 104
- best available techniques (BAT) 194
- Best Available Techniques Reference Documents (BREFs), environmental law 193–4, 195, 197
- black letter rules, regulatory enforcement 78, 90
- Börzel, Tanja A. 61, 62
- Bottoms, Anthony 268, 270, 273, 275, 283
- Braithwaite, John 48, 50, 90, 91, 92, 93
- Canada
 construction industry 94, 97
 example of functioning networked enforcement model (Vancouver) 97–100
- Cappelletti, Mauro 216
- centralized enforcement of EU law 45–76
 ad hoc development 54
 delegated centralized enforcement 316–17
 environmental policy, limitations with respect to 177–81
 infringement proceedings *see* infringement proceedings
- Internal Market and Tax sector 56
 layered enforcement model of European Commission *see* layered enforcement model of European Commission
 legal (doctrinal) scholarship 46
 light touch delegated centralized enforcement 316
 regulatory scholarship 47, 50
 shortcomings 155–6
 Transport and Energy sector 56, 57
see also decentralized enforcement of EU law
- certainty and stability, constitutional value of 18, 43
- certified professionals 97, 99, 100
- CHAP database 51, 65, 66
- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (EUCFR)
 Explanations 31
 legal status 30
see also Article 47, European Charter of Fundamental Rights (EUCFR)
- civil servants 158
- CJEU *see* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- clarity, regulatory goal 16, 43
- collective redress, EU
 antitrust law 232, 235–8
 Communication and Recommendation (2013) 232–3, 247, 254
 consumer law 215–18
 effectiveness of EU rules
 relationship between effectiveness and legitimacy 251–3
 selected rules 250–51
 soft law 249–50
 opt-in and opt-out model, choice between 216, 243, 248
 private judicial enforcement, individual and collective redress 210–18
 requirement for 253–4
 selected EU rules, effectiveness 250–51
 terminology 216
see also competition law, effective private enforcement; damages

- College of Commissioners 51, 65
 command-and-control regime,
 limitations 79, 83–7
 Common Implementation Strategy
 (CIS), environmental law 196–7
 compensation *see* damages
 Competition and Market Authority,
 UK 227
 competition law, effective private
 enforcement 231–55
 collective redress in EU antitrust law
 232, 235–8
 effectiveness of EU rules 249–53
 consumers' associations, standing
 of 242
 DG COMP, on collective redress
 236, 237
 DG SANCO, on collective redress
 236
 empirical analysis 239–49
 findings 247–9
 four key rules, positions on 244–6
 funding of actions and cost
 allocation 242–3
 Green Paper (2005) 234, 236, 247,
 250, 254
 harmonization programme 236, 237
 legal and theoretical framework
 235–9
 'loser-pays' principle 248, 251
 national competition authorities
 231
 opt-in and opt-out collective redress
 model, choice between 216, 243,
 248
 punitive damages 236, 238, 243, 247,
 252
 synergy and trade-off hypothesis/
 trade-off hypothesis 233, 239,
 240, 249, 250, 251, 254
 theoretical assessment framework
 238–9
 White Paper (2008) 234, 236, 240,
 241
see also collective redress, EU;
 legitimacy
- compliance
 and Area of Freedom, Security and
 Justice 274–83
 'compliance culture' 318
 compliance-based enforcement
 strategy 82–3
 'comply or explain' approach
 311–12
 and criminal law 6, 266
 and enforcement 266–74
 and EU law 4, 152, 157–8
 'unknown universe' of 4, 49–50, 52
see also compliance toolkit
 and Commission layered
 enforcement model; criminal
 law; deterrence- and
 compliance-based strategies
- compliance toolkit and Commission
 layered enforcement model 47, 48,
 50, 51–2, 54, 70–73
 effectiveness of 73–5
 'elite actor meeting' tools 72
 toolkit as black box of enforcement
 measures 73
see also Conformity Checking;
 Correlation Tables; layered
 enforcement model of
 European Commission
- conferral principle 39
 confidence, concept 289
 conflict resolution *see* dispute
 resolution
 Conformity Checking 54, 70, 71
 consistent interpretation principle *see*
 indirect effect doctrine
- constitutional values
 adherence to 15
 conflict between 19
 description of individual values
 17–18, 43
 reasons for selection 15
 and regulatory goals 12–44
 alignment 35–7, 43
 co-existence in harmony 18
 conflict between 19
 moving closer to alignment 30–37
 relationship 18–20
see also legality, constitutional value
 of; legitimacy
- construction industry 92–3, 94
 constructivism 113
 consumer ADR (CADR/CDR) 204,
 205
 new legal framework for 220–25

- see also* alternative dispute resolution (ADR)
- consumer policy, enforcement
 - collective and class actions 216, 252
 - collective redress for consumers in the EU 215–18
 - Consumer Protection Cooperation Network 207–9
 - Consumer Protection Cooperation Regulation 207
 - damages 217
 - ECC-Net 207, 209–10, 213
 - emergence of network enforcement in 206–10
 - emerging EU legal framework for extrajudicial redress (ADR and ODR) 218–25
 - European Small Claims Procedure 204, 210, 211–15, 222
 - holistic approach with emphasis on ADR, need for 225–9
 - low value cross-border transactions 210, 211
 - see also* European Small Claims Procedure (ESCP)
 - by national public authorities 206
 - pan-European networks 204, 205, 206–10
 - private judicial enforcement, individual and collective redress 210–18
 - prongs 203–4, 205
 - first (public enforcement and emergence of a pan-EU network enforcement) 206–10
 - second (private judicial enforcement) 210–18
 - third (emerging EU legal framework for extrajudicial redress) 218–25
 - see also* alternative dispute resolution (ADR); collective redress, EU; dispute resolution
- Consumer Protection Cooperation Network (CPC Network) 207–9
- Consumer Safety Network (CSN) 109, 121, 122, 123
- consumers' associations, standing of 242, 248, 250
- cooperation, transnational networks 115–20
 - 'bottom-up' coordination in presence of interdependence 119–20
 - conflict resolution 119–20
 - and informality 120–26
 - perception of cooperation as informal 123–6
 - mutual learning 115–17
 - Mutual trust 117–18
 - and perceived interdependence 114–15
 - resource sharing 118–19
- Correlation Tables 54, 70
- cost allocation, competition law 242–3
- Council of Ministers 161, 320
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 4
 - case law 12–13, 25, 36
 - and Charter of Fundamental Rights 32–3
 - and Fiscal Compact Treaty 304
 - policy 13
 - and private enforcement, effectiveness 12, 19, 32–3, 36
 - supranational enforcement practices 155
 - working languages 38
 - workload 38
- criminal law
 - and Article 83(2) TFEU 259–63
 - behaviour *mala in se* (intrinsically wrong) 261, 263
 - behaviour *mala prohibita* (merely regulatory) 261
 - as challenge for integration 259–61
 - compliance concept 6, 266
 - and 'positive morality' 260
 - see also* Article 83(2), Treaty on Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
- CSN *see* Consumer Safety Network (CSN)
- damage-based agreements 217
- damages
 - competition law 236, 238, 243, 247, 252
 - consumer law 217

- Impact Assessment Report on Proposal for Directive 242 *see also* collective redress, EU
- decentralized enforcement of EU law
 - environmental law
 - direct effect and state liability, limitations 189–91
 - distinct nature 181–91
 - national standing rules and Aarhus Convention 182–9
 - problem-solving 169
 - transgovernmental networks as decentralized management mechanism 173
- Deloitte report 212
- Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, UK 223
- Department of Work and Pensions (DWP), Support Allowance Office 139
- deterrence- and compliance-based strategies 81–3, 101, 102, 103, 269
- direct effect doctrine 14
 - codification of 22
 - constraints upon development of doctrine 21–2
 - environmental policy 189–91
 - horizontal direct effect 20, 22
 - incidental horizontal direct effect 22
 - language of direct effect 31–2
 - limitations, environmental law 189–91
 - private enforcement, effectiveness 20–22, 27
 - scope 22
 - vertical direct effect 20
- Directorate General Internal Market and Services 130–31
- dispute resolution
 - alternative dispute resolution *see* alternative dispute resolution (ADR)
 - informal
 - role of Commission 170–73
 - and SOLVIT network 161–6
 - by means of management 157
 - transnational networks 119–20 *see also* SOLVIT network
- dual enforcement model 2, 12, 155, 320
- ECJ (European Court of Justice) *see* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- economic governance, enforcement 285–319
 - conditionality and financial assistance provision 286, 309–11
- Excessive Deficit Procedure *see* Excessive Deficit Procedure (EDP)
- Fiscal Compact Treaty 302–5
- fiscal policy 292
- and Greece 310, 311
- innovations 290–308
- Macro-Economic Imbalance Procedure *see* Macro-Economic Imbalance Procedure (MIP)
- Meroni* principles 313–14, 315
- micro-prudential regulation and increased agencification of EU law and its enforcement 286, 311–17
- moral hazard 293
- ‘no bail out’ provision 293
- and Portugal 309, 310–11
- qualified majority voting/reverse qualified majority voting 295–6, 301
- ‘Six Pack’ of legislative instruments 293–4
- Stability and Growth Pact 290–97
- ‘Two Pack’ regulations 286, 305–8
- understanding enforcement in economic governance 288–9
- Economic Governance Review (Commission) 295
- EEJ Network 209
- effective enforcement
 - and accountability/transparency issues 6
 - cost–benefit understanding 80
 - definitional issues 4–5, 154, 276
 - economic governance *see* economic governance, enforcement
 - ‘effective implementation’ 264
 - and fundamental rights 26–7
 - judge-made system of law, effectiveness of 38–40

- legal notion of effectiveness 232, 233, 234
- and legitimacy 251–3, 323
- multidisciplinary approach to study of 3–8
- networked enforcement *see* networked enforcement; transnational networks
- private *see* private enforcement, effectiveness
- problem-solving capacity and resolution speed 154
- regulatory enforcement *see* regulatory enforcement
- strategies and gaps 320–27
- vague nature of effectiveness 79
- effective judicial protection principle, private enforcement 28, 34
- ‘Effective Problem Solving in the Internal Market’ *see* SOLVIT network
- effective remedy, right to 31
 - as general principle of law 33–4
- effectiveness principle
 - and Article 47 EUCFR 34–5
 - private enforcement 24, 25, 27, 34–5
 - see also* effective enforcement
- efficiency, regulatory goal 16
- enforced self-regulation 89–90, 101
- enforcement
 - and compliance 266–74
 - concept 131
 - dual enforcement model 2, 12, 155, 320
 - effective *see* effective enforcement
 - enforcement deficit 12
 - ‘nested’ 258–9, 263–74
 - see also* Article 83(2), Treaty on Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
- enforcement pyramids 91, 92, 262
- environmental policy, EU 175–201
 - access to justice in environmental matters 183–4
 - Best Available Techniques Reference Documents 193–4, 195, 197
 - centralized enforcement and limitations 177–81
 - Common Implementation Strategy 196–7
 - conception of environment as a common good 182
 - decentralized enforcement
 - and distinct nature of EU environmental law 181–91
 - direct effect and state liability, limitations 189–91
 - Environment Action Programme (2013–20) 175–6, 199
 - European Commission 175, 178, 179, 181, 182, 186, 188
 - future of enforcement 191–9
 - general and persistent breach 178
 - infringement proceedings 178, 179, 180
 - national standing rules and Aarhus Convention 182–9
 - Article 9(2) 184–5
 - Article 9(3) 186–9
 - networked implementation and enforcement 195–9
 - new governance 191–2
 - and NGOs 183, 184, 185, 189
 - operationalization stage 177
 - roadmap document (Commission) 188
 - Seville process 197
 - soft law, emergence as an enforcement tool 192–5
 - see also* IMPEL (EU Network for the Implementation and Enforcement of Environmental Law)
- equality, constitutional value of 18, 36
- equivalence principle
 - and Article 47 EUCFR 34–5
 - private enforcement 23, 24, 25, 34–5
- EU *see* European Union (EU)
- EU Network for the Implementation and Enforcement of Environmental Law *see* IMPEL (EU Network for the Implementation and Enforcement of Environmental Law)
- EU Pilot
 - ‘administrative letter’ procedure 68
 - centralized enforcement of EU law 51, 53, 64, 66, 67, 68, 74

- complaints referred to 69
- Contact Points 149
- Eurocontrol 110
- European Advertising Standards Alliance (EASA-alliance) 88
- European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) 110, 124
- European Central Bank (ECB), Governing Council 300
- European Commission
 - detection bias in sample 63
 - Economic Governance Review 295
 - environmental policy 175, 178, 179, 181, 182, 186, 188
 - good governance programme 53
 - as guardian of the treaties 45, 55, 322
 - layered enforcement model *see* layered enforcement model of European Commission
 - 'logic of appropriateness', bound by 62
 - mandate to prosecute infringements 61
 - and networked governance 106
 - on notion of effectiveness 5
 - proposal for a General Data Protection Regulation 322
 - role in dispute settlements 170–73
 - and transgovernmental networks, effectiveness 166–9
 - small claims 216
 - and smart regulation 94–5
 - supranational character 62–3, 155
 - and transgovernmental networks, effectiveness 166–9
 - Work Programme 52, 71
- European Consumer Centre (ECC) 209, 224
- European Consumer Centres Network (ECC-Net) 207, 209–10, 213
- European Consumer Information Centres 209
- European Council 320
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) 32, 36–7
- European Court of Justice *see* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- European Economic Area (EEA) 207
- European Free Trade Association (EFTA) 118
- European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) 311
- European integration
 - criminal law as challenge for 259–61
 - effectuating 154–8
 - practical application of EU law 156–8
 - and SOLVIT network 132–3
- European IPPC Bureau 197
- European Market Surveillance Forum 122–3
- European Network of Ombudsmen (ENO) 138
- European Ombudsman (EO) 46, 65, 138, 139, 320
- European Parliament 47, 54, 64, 320
 - competition policy 233, 235, 237, 239, 240, 252, 254
 - consumer law 216, 217
- European SAFA Steering Group (ESSG) 109–10, 119, 120–21, 123–4
- European Securities and Markets Agency (ESMA) 311, 312, 313, 315, 316
- European Small Claims Procedure (ESCP) 205, 210, 211–15, 222
- European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs) 311, 313
 - Appeal Boards 316, 317
- European System of Central Banks, Statute 300
- European Union (EU)
 - acquis* 14, 20, 42, 203
 - centralized enforcement of law *see* centralized enforcement of EU law
 - challenge of guaranteeing effective enforcement 1
 - and compliance 4, 152, 157–8
 - correct application of law by national public authorities 141–5
 - decentralized enforcement *see* decentralized enforcement of EU law
 - exercise of legislative compliance 270–71

- hidden nature of enforcement work 45
- incremental and chronological development of protection of law 33
- judicial implementation of law 156
- law to be correctly applied by competent national authorities 131–2
- legal framework
 - direct effect doctrine *see* direct effect doctrine
 - fundamental rights and effective enforcement 26–7
 - indirect effect doctrine 22–3
 - procedural rules and remedies 23–6, 40
- micro-prudential regulation and increased agencification of EU law and its enforcement 286, 311–17
- Pilot forum *see* EU Pilot
- practical application of law 156–8
- problem-solving 157–8
- protection of individual and effective enforcement of law (SOLVIT network) 133–40
- rule of law 156–7
- sui generis* nature of law 1, 15
- see also* European Commission; European Parliament
- eurozone 293, 294, 298, 300, 318
- Excessive Deficit Procedure (EDP) 286, 290–97, 301, 305, 308, 312, 317
- and Macro-Economic Imbalance Procedure 299, 300, 301, 302
- Excessive Imbalance Procedure 299
- executive governance, transgovernmental networks as new means of 158–9
- fair administration of justice, constitutional value of 18, 26, 35
- fair trial, right to 26, 31
- Federal Trade Commission, US 227
- fidelity duty 45
- financial assistance, and conditionality 286, 309–11
- FIN-Net 209
- Fiscal Compact Treaty (Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union) 286, 302–5, 317
- fiscal policy 292
- Fitness Checks 53
- flexibility, regulatory goal 17
- food labelling schemes, voluntary 86–7
- Forest Stewardship Council 94
- forum shopping 276
- fundamental rights
 - Article 47 EUCFR as new source 32–3
 - and effective enforcement 26–7
 - incremental and chronological development of protection 33
 - see also* Article 47 European Charter of Fundamental Rights (EUCFR) funding of actions, competition law 242–3
- general and persistent breach (GAP breach), environmental policy 178
- General Data Protection Regulation, Commission proposal for 322
- General Product Safety Directive (GPS Directive), and transnational networks 109, 113, 114, 115, 119, 121, 122
- Governing Council, European Central Bank (ECB) 300
- Grabonsky, Peter 93–4, 95
- Greenpeace, campaign against Home Depot (1999) 93–4
- Gunningham, Neil 93–4, 95
- Hancher, Leigh 190–91
- hard law 3, 6, 7, 217, 323, 324, 326
- economic governance, enforcement 289, 312, 318
- see also* soft law
- Hedemann-Robinson, Martin 180
- Hodges, Christopher 216
- Home Centre (HC), SOLVIT 134
- horizontal direct effect 20, 22
- identification tools, compliance 70–71
- IMPEL (EU Network for the Implementation and Enforcement

- of Environmental Law) 109, 110, 115, 117, 120, 121, 198, 199, 200
- see also* Aarhus Convention (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters), 2015; environmental policy, EU
- indirect effect doctrine 14
 - effectiveness of private enforcement 22–3
- informality
 - and cooperation 120–26
 - perception of as informal 123–6
 - dispute settlement 161–6, 170–73
 - importance for making
 - transnational networks effective 126–9
 - as key to openness and mutual trust 120–23
 - see also* transnational networks
- infringement proceedings
 - centralized enforcement of EU law 45, 47, 61, 65
 - critique 65
 - damages awards to victims 235
 - description of proceedings 65
 - environmental policy 178, 179, 180
 - monitoring by Commission 153
 - see also* collective redress, EU
 - input legitimacy 232, 233–4, 238, 239
 - defined 238
 - see also* competition law, effective private enforcement; legitimacy; output legitimacy
- Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (IPPC Directive), and transnational networks 109, 113, 115, 116, 118
- integration, European *see* European integration
- interdependence, transnational networks
 - ‘bottom-up’ coordination in presence of 119–20
 - importance for making networks effective 126–9
 - perceived 114–15
 - see also* transnational networks
- interest and non-interest bearing deposits 294
- Internal Market, SOLVIT network *see* SOLVIT network
- Internal Market Information System (IMI) 142
- International Monetary Fund (IMF) 290
- International Standards Organization (ISO) 86
- judicial legitimacy 18
- judicial restraint *see* selective deference
- Juncker Commission 286
- Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) 256
- Kennedy, John F. 285
- Knoll, Moritz 61, 62, 63
- layered enforcement model of
 - European Commission 48–55
 - first (visible) layer of legal framework 48, 50, 55–64, 76
 - tip of (enforcement data), focus on 59–64
 - second (invisible) layer of
 - administrative procedure, detection and processing 48, 50, 51, 64–70
 - third layer (compliance toolkit) 47, 48, 50, 51–2, 54, 70–75
- constituent parts of model 49–50
- ‘name and shame’ sanctioning of Member State behaviour 50–51
- triangle format 48, 50
- Lead Centre (LC), SOLVIT 134
- learning theories, transnational networks 112
- legal (doctrinal) scholarship, centralized enforcement of EU law 46
- legality, constitutional value of 15, 17, 23, 43
- legitimacy
 - competition law 251–3
 - constitutional value of 17–18, 36, 43
 - and effectiveness 251–3, 323
 - indirect effect doctrine 23

- input legitimacy *see* input legitimacy
- judicial 18
- output *see* output legitimacy
- terminology 238
- Lehmann Nielsen, Vibeke 91–2
- Lipsky, Michael 84–5
- litigation, enforcement through 15
- ‘loser-pays’ principle, competition law 248, 251
- loyal or sincere co-operation principle 24
- MacCormick, Neil 279, 280
- Macro-Economic Imbalance
 - Procedure (MIP) 286, 297–302, 305, 308, 312, 317
 - and Excessive Deficit Procedure 299, 300, 301, 302
 - sanctions 298–9
- Marjosola, Heikki 313, 317
- Martinsen, Dorte 96
- May, Peter 92–3
- medium-term budgetary objective (MTO) 290
- Member States
 - assessment of performance 55
 - enforcement of building codes in 85
 - and Fiscal Compact Treaty 303, 304
 - ‘name and shame’ sanctioning of behaviour 50–51
 - procedural systems 253
 - and SOLVIT network 162
 - study of behaviour 63–4
 - ‘metagoverners,’ transnational networks 107
 - moral hazard 293
 - multi-level enforcement regime *see* ‘nested’ enforcement 6
 - mutual learning, transnational networks 112, 198
 - students and teachers 115–17
 - mutual trust, transnational networks 198
 - and cooperation 117–18
 - and informality 120–23
 - and resource sharing 111–12
- national competition authorities (NCAs) 231
- national courts, power to impose EU standards 35
- national public authorities, consumer law enforcement by 206
- ‘nested’ enforcement 6, 258–9, 263–74
- network governance 196
- networked enforcement 3, 96–100, 257
 - emergence in EU consumer law and policy 206–10
 - environmental policy 195–9
 - practice and example of a functioning model 97, 99–100
 - schematic representation 98
 - theories 96–7
 - see also* SOLVIT network; transnational networks
- new governance, environmental policy 191–2
- NGOs *see* non-governmental organizations (NGOs)
- NIF database 51, 60, 65, 66
- non-discrimination principle 21
- non-governmental organizations (NGOs) 6, 85–6, 183, 184, 185, 189, 200
- online dispute resolution (ODR) 3, 220
- Online Dispute Resolution Platform 215, 221, 223, 224, 226–30
 - see also* alternative dispute resolution (ADR); consumer policy, enforcement; dispute resolution
- output legitimacy 5, 232, 233, 234, 239
 - assessing effectiveness of EU rules on collective redress 249–53
 - defined 238–9
 - see also* competition law, effective private enforcement; input legitimacy; legitimacy
- pan-European networks 204, 205
 - emergence of a pan-EU network enforcement 206–10
- Parker, Christine 91–2
- Polak, Josine 96
- political science approaches 1, 4, 77, 78
 - environmental policy, EU 198–9
 - regulatory enforcement 154–8
 - see also* regulatory enforcement

- Prechal, Sacha 190–91
- predictability, regulatory goal 17
- preliminary ruling procedure,
effectiveness 40–41, 44
- Princen, Sebastiaan 114, 115
- private enforcement, effectiveness
competition law *see* competition
law, effective private
enforcement
- effective judicial protection principle
28, 34
- effectiveness principle 24, 25, 27,
34–5
- equivalence principle 23, 24, 25,
34–5
- inequality between private litigants
20–21, 23
- institutional design of private
enforcement system 37–41, 43
effectiveness of a judge-made
system of law 38–40
preliminary ruling procedure,
effectiveness 40–41, 44
whether case for legislation
38–40
- legal framework for private
enforcement
direct effect doctrine 20–22
fundamental rights and effective
enforcement 26–7
indirect effect doctrine 22–3
procedural rules and remedies
23–6, 40
- new analysis framework 15–20
- post-Lisbon innovations, impact on
private enforcement model 14,
27–37
Charter of Fundamental Rights,
Art 47 30–37, 43
TEU, Article 19(1) 14, 28–30, 43
- private judicial enforcement,
individual and collective redress
in consumer policy 210–18
- public enforcement mechanism,
reinforcement 12
- selective deference 25, 26, 43
- terminology 231
- and Treaty of Lisbon 43
see also constitutional values;
regulatory goals
- private sector actors *see* certified
professionals
- problem-solving
decentralized informal 169
and practical application of EU law
157–8
problem-solving capacity 154, 166
SOLVIT network 164, 166–9
see also SOLVIT network;
transgovernmental networks
(TGNs)
- procedural rules and remedies, private
enforcement, effectiveness 23–6,
40
- proportionality principle, private
enforcement 24
- Prosafe 109, 110, 118, 119, 120, 122,
123, 127
- public authorities, national, correct
application of law by 141–5
- public enforcement and emergence of
a pan-EU network enforcement
206–10
- punitive damages
competition law 236, 238, 243, 247,
252
consumer law 217
- pyramids, enforcement 91, 92, 262
- qualified majority voting (QMV) 295
- Reagan, Ronald 87
- real effective exchange rates (REER)
298
- REER (real effective exchange rates)
298
- regulatory capture 82
- regulatory enforcement 77–104
Babylonian confusion 3, 77, 78, 95,
104
black letter rules 78, 90
command-and-control regime 79,
83–7
deterrence- and compliance-based
strategies 81–3, 101, 102, 103,
269
evolution of regulation 81–95
food labelling schemes, voluntary
86–7
legal scholarship 77, 78

- mixture of deterrence- and compliance-based incentives 84–5
- networked enforcement 96–100
- non-governmental actors involved in 85–6
- political science approaches 1, 4, 77, 78
- responsive regulation 90–93, 101, 262
- sanctions 81
- self-regulation and enforced self-regulation 87–90, 101
- smart regulation 93–5, 101
- and SOLVIT network 145–50
- surrogate regulators 93, 94, 98, 99–100, 102, 103, 104
- switching between styles 92–3
see also SOLVIT network
- Regulatory Fitness and Performance programme (REFIT) 52, 53, 95
- regulatory goals
 - adherence to 15
 - conflict between 19
 - and constitutional values 12–44
 - alignment 35–7, 43
 - co-existence in harmony 18
 - conflict between 19
 - moving closer to alignment 30–37
 - relationship 18–20
 - description of individual goals 16–17
 - policy trade-offs 19
 - promotion by regulatory powers 19–20
 - reasons for selection 15
- Regulatory Reform Conference (2011) 95
- resolution speed 154, 166
- resource sharing 118–19
 - and mutual trust 111–12
- responsive regulation 90–93, 101, 262
- Responsive Regulation* (Ayres and Braithwaite) 90, 91
- responsiveness, regulatory goal 17
- reverse qualified majority voting (rQMV) 294, 295, 301
- Safety Assessment of Foreign Aircraft Directive (SAFA Directive), and transnational networks 109, 113, 114–15, 119
- National Coordinator 125
see also European SAFA Steering Group (SAFA) Scott, Colin 100
- selective deference, private enforcement 25, 26, 43
- self-regulation and enforced self-regulation 87–90, 101
 - advertising industry 88–9
- SGP *see* Stability and Growth Pact (SGP)
- Single Market 206
 - Single Market score board 149
- ‘Six Pack’ of legislative instruments 293–4, 305
- smart regulation 93–5, 101
- Smart Regulation* (Gunningham and Grabonsky) 93
- socialization 113
- soft law
 - collective redress, competition law 249–50
 - economic governance, enforcement 311, 318
 - environmental policy 192–5
see also hard law
- SOLVIT network 3, 86, 209, 324
 - aims and purposes 131, 133, 162
 - amendments to national regulatory framework 145–50
 - ‘business cases’ handled by 136
 - Centres 134, 135, 136, 138, 139–40, 141, 143, 144, 145–6, 147, 149, 150, 160, 162, 167, 171, 172
 - characteristics and modes 160
 - Commission Recommendation in relation to 130–31, 133, 137, 138, 139–40, 148, 149, 151
 - and correct application of EU law by national public authorities 141–5
 - cross-border element of cases 164
 - and effective enforcement 130–51
 - establishment in 2001 159, 161
 - and informal dispute settlement in EU 161–6
 - initial communication 162
 - and Internal Market Information System 142
 - in Italy 139
 - mandate of SOLVIT 138, 142–3, 147

- Member States 162
 - national regulatory framework, amendments to 145–50
 - policy documents 145
 - problems 135–6, 138, 145–50
 - problem-solving/ability to resolve cases 164, 166–9
 - procedure for complaint handling 134–5
 - protection of individual and effective enforcement of EU law 133–40
 - resolution speed 166
 - SOLVIT+ cases 141, 146, 164
 - structural problems 145–50
 - study of 159–73
 - case management process 161
 - explorative interviews 160–61
 - resources and relations 161
 - survey details 160, 161
 - successes/becoming a successful dispute settlement mechanism 135, 150, 159–60, 164–6
 - and transgovernmental networks, effectiveness 152–74
 - workload 135, 159, 164, 165–6
 - see also* alternative dispute resolution (ADR); dispute resolution; online dispute resolution (ODR); transgovernmental networks (TGNs)
- Stability and Growth Pact (SGP) 318
 - and enforcement of excessive deficit procedure 290–97
 - rules 297
- state liability doctrine
 - environmental policy 190–91
 - private enforcement 27, 43
 - street-level bureaucrats 84–5, 97
- subsidiarity principle, private enforcement 24, 40
- sui generis* nature of EU law 1, 15
- supranational enforcement practices 62–3, 155
- surrogate regulators 93, 94, 99–100, 102, 103, 104
- Susskind, Richard 206
- synergy and trade-off hypothesis, competition law 233, 239, 254
- Tallberg, Jonas 155
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs), environmental policy 197
- teleological interpretation, private enforcement 17, 21, 24
- TGNs *see* transgovernmental networks (TGNs)
- Thatcher, Margaret 87
- thin legality 15
- trade-off hypothesis, competition law 250, 251, 254
 - see also* synergy and trade-off hypothesis, competition law
- transgovernmental networks (TGNs)
 - compared to transnational networks 154
 - as decentralized management mechanism 173
 - effectiveness of 152–74
 - in dispute settlements, and role of Commission 166–9
 - and European integration, effectuating 154–8
 - as new means of executive governance 158–9
 - and SOLVIT network 159–73
 - see also* dispute resolution; SOLVIT network
- transnational networks
 - compared to transgovernmental networks 154
 - conflict resolution 119–20
 - cooperation
 - conflict resolution 119–20
 - and informality 120–26
 - interdependence, ‘bottom-up’ coordination in presence of 119–20
 - mutual learning 115–17
 - mutual trust 117–18
 - perception of as informal 123–6
 - resource sharing 118–19
 - evaluation on the community level 106–7
 - evaluation on the network level 106
 - evaluation on the participant level 106
 - informality
 - importance for making networks effective 126–9

- as key to openness and mutual trust 120–23
- perception of cooperation as informal 123–6
- interdependence
 - ‘bottom-up’ coordination in presence of 119–20
 - importance for making networks effective 126–9
 - perceived 114–15
 - ‘internal effectiveness’ 108
- laggard countries 117
- meaning of ‘transnational’ 105
- money, importance 118–19
- mutual learning 112, 115–17
- mutual trust 111–12, 117–18
- reasons for 110–13
 - actor-centred institutionalism 111–12
 - constructivism 113
 - learning theories 112
 - socialization 113
- resource sharing 111–12, 118–19
- role in implementation of EU directives 105–29
 - GPS Directive 109, 113, 114, 115, 119, 121, 122
 - IPPC Directive 109, 113, 115, 116, 118
 - and Portuguese officials 118–19, 121
 - reasons for networks 110–13
 - SAFA Directive 109, 113, 114–15, 116, 119, 125
 - scope of study 108–10
 - usefulness for making directives work 113–20
- see also* networked enforcement; SOLVIT network
- Transposition and Implementation Plans (TIPS) 72, 73, 74
- Treaty on European Union (TEU) *see* Article 19(1), Treaty on European Union (TEU)
- Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union *see* Fiscal Compact Treaty (Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union)
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) *see* Article 83(2), Treaty on Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
- TSCG *see* Fiscal Compact Treaty (Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union)
- ‘Two Pack’ regulations 286, 305–8
- United Kingdom, telecom services 100
- United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) 183
- United States, construction industry 92–3
- ‘unknown universe’ of compliance 4, 49–50, 52
- Van Boetzelaer, Karin 114, 115
- Versluis, Esther 96
- vertical direct effect 20
- visibility, regulatory goal 16
- whistle-blowing 94
- Yeung, Karen 13, 15, 16, 18–19