

# Index

---

- 3D printing 169, 266–7, 268
- absolute novelty 17–19
- abuse of dominance rules 163–8
- Acacia v. Audi and Porsche* case 77–8, 162
- Acacia v. BMW* case 195–6
- actors in the design field 33–5
- aesthetic functionality 124–5, 130
- aesthetics 213–14
- Agreement on a Unified Patent Court 205
- AIC* case 54
- anticompetitive effects 222–3
- anti-dilution protection 113–15, 116
- anti-misappropriation protection 113–15, 116, 129
- Antrax It* case 59, 185–6
- AOIC standard (Author's Own Intellectual Creation) 99–101, 106
- appearance 8, 51–2, 77–8, 95
- applicable law 198–200
- applied art, works of 22, 214, 232, 244–9, 249–50
- architectural education 37
- art 38–9, 96, 213–14
- works of applied art 22, 214, 232, 244–9, 249–50
- artistic value criterion 85–6
- artistic works 245, 248–9
- authenticity 33
- author-centred approach 98
- author status of the designer 106–7
- autonomous EU-wide interpretation 50, 65–8, 71–3, 78–9
- Ball Beverages* case 181, 182
- Beebe, B. 116–17
- Beier, F.-K. 3
- Berne Convention 22, 246–7
- Bezzera, L. 39
- Birthday Train* case 83–4, 89–92, 101, 108–9
- Biscuits Poult* case 52
- Bogsch, Dr 233, 234
- Branzi, A. 38
- Britain *see* United Kingdom (UK)
- Brussels Regulation 192–3, 194–5, 195–6, 197–8
- burden of proof 19, 69–70
- Burstein, S. 222–3
- business strategy 96–7
- car industry 156, 256, 269–70
- spare parts *see* spare parts
- '*Cartier Tank watch*' case 136
- causative theory 148–9, 150–1
- Celaya* case 53, 68–9
- central competence 193–4
- China 232–50, 253
- design becoming subject matter in patent law 233–6
- design patent approach 236–50
- duration of protection 246–8, 249–50
- harmonization between copyright protection and design patent protection 244–9
- protection requirements 236–7
- scope of protection 241–3, 244
- separability criterion 248–9
- similarity test 238–41
- State Intellectual Property Office (SIPO) 237
- Guidelines 238, 239–40, 245–6
- CICRA v. Renault* case 157, 163, 165, 167, 270

- citations
  - for the purpose of 71–3, 79, 260–1
  - scope of lawful citation 73–4
- Commission 6–7, 11, 12, 13
  - proposals for design legislation 18–20, 23–4, 25, 147
  - spare parts 158–9, 160
- communication function 8, 116–18
- Community Design Regulation (CDR)
  - 26, 49–50, 141, 143, 145–6, 264
  - and copyright protection 82–3, 92
  - enforcement 192, 193–4, 195, 199, 201–2
  - guidance through the EU court to interpretation 51–80
    - Art. 3(a) 51–2
    - Art. 4 53–4
    - Art. 5 55–6
    - Art. 6 56–63
    - Art. 7 19, 63–5
    - Art. 14 65–8
    - Art. 19 68–71
    - Art. 20 71–4
    - Art. 85 75–6
    - Art. 110 76–8
  - presumption of validity 173–5
  - repair clause 76–8, 155, 159, 160–2
  - spare parts 155, 160–2, 268–9
- Community Design System 206, 251–7
  - cross-border enforcement 192, 193–4
  - evaluation 252–7
  - right to a Community design 65–8
  - rights conferred by a Community design 68–71
    - limitation of 71–4
  - US design legislation and role of 224–30
- Community Patent Convention (CPC) 9
- Community Trade Mark Regulation (CTMR) 8–9, 194
- competition 115–16
  - unfair competition law *see* unfair competition law
  - US preference for 207, 209–10
- competitive individuality 134
- competitive necessity test 217–18
- complex products 54, 76–8
- computer-aided design (CAD) files 266–7
- computer programs 13, 245
- concept of design
  - Danish 45–7
  - interpretation of design law 51–2
  - Italy 37–40
  - neutral 28, 47–8, 143, 144–5
- confusion
  - likelihood of 113–15, 116, 127–8, 133–5, 140–1
  - test in China 238–9, 243–4
- consumption of design 34, 48, 97
  - Danish design 47
  - Italian design 42
- copyright 5–6, 10, 21–2, 81–107, 115, 170–1
  - China 232, 235–6, 249–50
    - harmonization with design patent protection 244–9
  - citations 261–2
  - EU law notion of copyrightable work 99–104
  - overlapping legal regimes 257–9, 261–2
  - restricted 88, 92–3, 101–2
  - unrestricted 88, 95–6
  - US 210–16
- Cornwell, J. 180
- corrective measures 200, 205
- Coty v. First Note* case 194
- counterclaims 194–5
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 2, 139
  - act of infringement 194
  - case law on IPRED 201–4
  - copyright 83, 99–104
  - guidance to interpretation of design law 49–80
  - indispensability test 165
  - informed user 56–9, 179, 180–1, 183
  - multiple defendants 196–8
  - overlapping legal regimes 258–62
  - products dictated by function 150–2
  - scope of protection 126–9

- and limitations 262–5
- spare parts 162, 167, 270
- substantial value exclusion 122–3
- see also under individual cases*
- creation test 239–41, 244
- cross-border infringements *see*
  - enforcement
- culture, design 33–5
  
- damages 199, 200, 201, 202–4, 205
- Danish design 35, 42–7
  - class of professional designers 44
  - consumers 47
  - education of designers 43–4
  - modern concept of design 45–7
- deadweight loss 227–8
- declaration of non-infringement 195–6
- definitional problems 228–9
- degree of distinctive character 23
- degree of freedom of the designer 243
- Deng Xiaoping 235
- dependency requirement 161–2
- Design Approach 3–27, 28, 47–8, 152, 170, 251–71
  - evaluation 252–7
  - interfaces and overlaps with other legal regimes 257–62
  - MPI Proposal *see*
    - Max-Planck-Institute (MPI) Proposal
  - neutral concept of design 28, 47–8, 143, 144–5
  - scope of protection and limitations 262–6
  - spare parts 143, 157–62, 169, 268–70
  - technological challenges 266–7
  - see also* Community Design Regulation (CDR); Design Directive (DDir)
- design concept *see* concept of design
- design culture 33–5
- Design Directive (DDir) 49, 141, 143, 145–6, 258, 264
  - and copyright protection 82–3, 88, 92, 93, 101, 104, 105
  - spare parts 155, 160
- design field 33–5, 48
- design historical perspective 28–48
  - abandonment of ornament 31–3
  - Danish design 42–7
  - design and design protection 47–8
  - early approaches 30–1
  - industrial design 29–30
  - Italian design 35–42
  - modern design culture 33–5
- design law premium 167–8
- design patent approach
  - China 236–50
    - harmonization with copyright protection 244–9
    - US 208–9, 219–23
- design registration *see* registration
- Design Review 49, 78, 103–4, 168–9, 254, 256–7
- design space 243
- designers 28, 29, 34, 48
  - author status 106–7
  - education of 36–7, 43–4
  - freedom of the designer *see*
    - freedom of the designer
  - interpretation of design law 65–8, 79
- dictated by function products 142–71
  - LEGO-exception 12, 146, 154–5
  - must-fit exception 12, 26, 146, 153–4, 158, 268
  - ‘solely dictated by function’ 146–53
    - spare parts *see* spare parts
- dilution 113–15, 116
- Dior v. Evora* case 261–2
- direct comparison 58, 60
- Directive on Enforcement of Intellectual Rights (IPRED) 191–2, 200–4
- disclosure 63–5
- distinctiveness 16, 23, 109
  - trade marks 120–1
- DOCERAM* case 12, 150–2, 170
- dominant position, abuse of 163–8
- Donner* case 104
- double identity protection 126–7, 263–4
- duration of protection 21–4, 98
  - China 246–8, 249–50

- overlapping legal regimes and 111, 115–16
- duty of diligence 77, 78
- dynamics of design innovation 93–9
- Easy Sanitary Solutions* case 51, 55, 56, 63
- Eco, U. 40–1
- Economic Review of Industrial Design in Europe* 49, 169
- economic theory
  - overlapping legal regimes 112–16
  - unfair competition law 130–3
  - US 225–9
- education of designers
  - Denmark 43–4
  - Italy 36–7
- Eigenart* 16
- eligibility for protection 10–13
- employment relationship 65–8
- enforcement 191–205
  - applicable law 198–200
  - jurisdiction 192–8
  - sanctions 191–2, 199, 200–5
- Enforcement Directive *see* Directive on
- Enforcement of Intellectual Rights 205
- espresso machines 39–40
- equivocal economics 225–9
- EU design system *see* Community Design System
- European Design Law proposal *see* Max-Planck-Institute (MPI) Proposal
- European Patent Convention (EPC) 9
- European Trade Mark and Design Network (ETMDN) 190
- European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) 50, 51, 146, 176
- Board of Appeals 148–9, 184
- EUTMR 173, 258
- examination 24, 173
- exceptions 25–6
  - disclosure 63–4
  - for the purpose of citations 71–3, 79, 260–1
  - exclusion from protection 11–13
  - exclusionary rules in trade mark law 119–25, 140
- existing design corpus 55–6
- fair trade practice 74
- Fallan, K. 42
- fashion 41
  - design registrations 255–6
  - unfair competition law 137–8
  - US design protection 223–30, 231
- '*Fashion Novelty*' case 138
- fault 202–3
- fast-fashion copying 223, 226
- FEIA* case 49–50, 65–8, 69
- Filitz, R. 254–6
- first-mover advantages 95
- Flos* case 102, 103, 104
- 'for the purpose of citations' 71–3, 79, 260–1
- Ford v. Wheeltrims* case 76–7, 262
- France 5, 30, 97, 269
- freedom of the designer 17, 243
  - interpretation of design law 61–3
  - procedural practice 183–5, 187–8
- freeze plus model 160, 268
- function theory 126–7, 262–5
- functionalism 45–7
- functionality 109, 213–14
  - aesthetic 124–5, 130
  - multifunctionality of designs 108–15
  - products dictated by function *see* dictated by function products
  - US design protection 217–18, 221–2
  - utilitarian 124
- fundamental rights and freedoms 265–6
- furniture 43–4, 255
- Gautzsch Großhandel* case 56, 63, 69–71
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 246
- general consumers 238–9, 242

- General Court of the European Union (GCEU) 50, 52, 54, 150  
 freedom of the designer 61–3, 184–5  
 informed user 58–9, 180–2
- Geneva Act of the Hague Convention 25
- German Post* case 121
- Germany 5, 6, 31, 269  
 copyright protection 83–5, 89–92, 101–2, 106  
 interdependence theory 134–5  
 scope of protection 186–8  
 unfair competition law 20, 132, 134–5, 137–8
- Gestaltungshöhe* criterion 83, 84, 101
- Goodlatte, B. 225–6
- goodwill 133
- grace period 14–15
- graphical user interface (GUI) 13, 245
- Green Paper on the Legal Protection for Industrial Design 26, 110
- H&M v. OHIM – Yves Saint Laurent* case 62
- Haertel, K. 3, 9
- harmonization  
 copyright protection and design patent protection in China 244–9  
 EU 2–3, 9–10  
 copyright protection 88, 92–3, 104–7  
 copyrightable work and 99–104  
 interpretation of design law 49–80  
 lack of harmonization for spare parts 161  
 policy recommendations 105–7
- Hemphill, S. 226
- Henriksen, H.P. 46
- Huawei* case 167
- hybrid nature of design 209–10
- hypothetical licence fee 204
- identification of protected subject-matter 177–9
- identified design 40–1, 47
- imitation 95, 96–7, 135, 226  
 slavish 137–8
- IMS Health* case 165–6
- incentives 114, 140  
 US design legislation 209–10, 225–7
- indirect comparison 58, 60
- indispensability test 165–6
- individual character 16, 90  
 establishing validity 175–7  
 freedom of the designer 61–3  
 informed user 56–9  
 interpretation of design law 53, 56–63, 75–6  
 overall impression 59–61
- industrial design 29–30
- industrial sectors, registration filing in 254–7
- informal protection 20–1
- information 114, 216  
 procurement of 200, 204–5
- informed user 17, 28, 48, 239  
 abstract notion v. empirical matter 182–3  
 definition and operation in practice 179–82  
 and freedom of the designer 184–5  
 interpretation of design law 56–9  
 procedural practice 179–83
- infringers' profits 203–4
- injunctive 199, 200, 201–2
- interdependence theory 134–5
- interfaces  
 between legal regimes *see* overlapping legal regimes  
 of modular products 12–13, 154–5  
 software 13, 245
- Internal Market 81–2, 252
- interpretation of design law 49–80  
 disclosure 63–5  
 individual character 53, 56–63, 75–6  
 notion of design 51–2  
 novelty 53, 55–6  
 presumption of validity 75–6  
 requirements for protection 53–4

- right to the Community design 65–8
- rights conferred by the Community design 68–71
  - limitation 71–4
  - transitional provision 76–8
- invalidity defence 194–5
- IPRED 191–2, 200–4
- Italian design 35–42
  - concept of design 37–40
  - consumption 42
  - designers and manufacturers 40–1
  - education of designers 36–7
- Italy 6
  - copyright protection 85–6, 97
- Japan 253
- Jehoram, H.C. 3
- 'Jif' case 133
- Julier, G. 34–5
- jurisdiction 192–8
  - Community designs 193–4
  - declaration of non-infringement 195–6
  - general rules 192
  - invalidity defence and counterclaims 194–5
  - multiple defendants 196–8
  - national designs 193
- Karen Millen Fashions v. Dunnes Stores* case 59–60, 75–6, 174
- Keurkoop* case 1–2
- Klint, K. 43–4
- Kur, A. 3, 117–18
- Kwang Yang Motor* case 54
- La Pavoni espresso machines 39–40
- labelling 128
- Laufendes Auge* case 101–2
- Legal Review of Industrial Design Protection in Europe* 49, 78, 103–4, 168–9, 254, 256–7
- LEGO-exception 12, 146, 154–5
- Lemley, M.A. 125–6
- Levin, M. 3, 4, 20, 117–18
- licensing 227
- likelihood of confusion 113–15, 116, 127–8, 133–5, 140–1
- limitations 25–6
  - of the rights conferred by a Community design 71–4
  - scope of protection and 262–6
- Lindner* case 148–9
- London School of Economics 159
- Loos, A. 32–3
- L'Oréal v. Bellure* case 126
- Maastricht Treaty 10
- Machlup, F. 252–3
- Madrid Union 233
- mandatory approach 12
- manufacturers 29, 34, 48
- market-based approach 7–8, 16–17, 28
- market for spare parts 156, 163
- market saturation 7, 35, 184–5
- marketing approach *see* Design Approach
- Max-Planck-Institute (MPI) Proposal 3–27, 92–3, 173
  - drafting framework 8–10
  - market-oriented perspective 7–8, 16–17, 28
  - products dictated by function 143, 146–7
  - salient features 10–26
    - eligibility for protection 10–13
    - limitations and exceptions 25–6
    - procedures 24–5
    - protection requirements 14–20
    - scope of protection 21–4
    - unregistered designs 20–1
    - spare parts 143, 157–9, 169, 268
- Max-Planck-Institute Study Group 3, 28, 109–10, 139
- McCarthy, J.T. 125
- McKenna, M.P. 125–6
- mention of the source 74
- misappropriation
  - anti-misappropriation protection 113–15, 116, 129
  - of reputation 136–7
  - misrepresentation 133–5

- modern design culture 33–5
- modernism 32–3, 45–7
- modular systems 12–13, 154–5
- monopolization 142–3, 152
  - trade mark law and risk of 129–30
- moral damage 204
- mosaic approach 205
- multifunctionality of designs 108–12
  - orthodox economic approach 112–15
- multiple defendants 196–8
- multiplicity-of-forms test 148–51
- must-fit elements 12, 26, 146, 153–4, 158, 268
- Nadia Plesner case* 265
- Nancy Kean Gifts v. Keurkoop case* 1–2
- national designs, jurisdiction for infringement of 192, 193
- neutral concept of design 28, 47–8, 143, 144–5
- ‘new product’ criterion 165–6
- Nikolajeva case* 203–4
- Nintendo case* 71–3, 199–200, 260–1, 264
- no undue prejudice 74
- novelty 14–15, 89–90
  - absolute 17–19
  - China 236–7, 239
  - establishing validity 175–7
  - interpretation of design law 53, 55–6
- ornamentation 31, 45–6
  - abandonment of 31–3
- orthodox economic theory 112–15
- overall impression 57, 59–61, 183
- overall visual effect 238, 241–3
- overlapping legal regimes 108–41, 257–62
  - economic theory 112–16
  - semiotics 116–18
  - trade mark law and design law 109–10, 118–30, 140–1, 257–9, 261
  - unfair competition law and design law 110, 130–9, 140–1, 258–9
  - over-protection 152–3
- Painer case* 100–1, 197
- Paris Convention 131, 235
- Paris Union 233, 235
- passing-off action 133–4
- patent attorneys 179
- patents 5, 10, 21–2, 112–13, 115
  - China 237
    - reason for design becoming subject matter in Chinese patent law 233–6
  - design patents *see* design patent approach
  - US patent law 210–16, 237
- PepsiCo case* 57–8, 179, 180–1, 183
- Perot-Morel, M.-A. 3
- PMS v. Magmatic case* 177–8
- Ponti, G. 40
- Posner, B. 159
- presumption of validity 75–6, 173–5
- pricing
  - deadweight loss and 227–8
  - unfair 165, 167
- prior art 98–9
- prior designs 17–19, 55–6
  - transformation of 240–1
- prior disclosures 14–15
- prior users’ rights 25, 26
  - establishing validity 175–7
- private international law 199
- procedural practice 172–90
  - establishing legal validity of designs 173–7
  - freedom of the designer 183–5, 187–8
  - identifying protected subject matter 177–9
  - informed user 179–83
  - time factor 185–9
- procedures 24–5
- product, defining 10–11
- product shapes *see* shapes
- products dictated by function *see* dictated by function products

- professional class of designers 44
- profits, infringers' 203–4
- qualitative step 15–17
- quasi-empirical approach 182–3
- range of assessment 17–19
- Raustiala, K. 226
- reciprocity theory 186–9
- registration 89–92, 98–9, 137–8, 173
  - by industrial sectors 254–7
  - number and pattern of registrations 253–4
  - and scope of protection 125–6
- Reichman, J.H. 270
- relevant design corpus 17–19
- Renault* case 157, 163, 165, 167, 270
- repair clause 76–8, 155, 159, 160–2, 169, 269
- reputation, misappropriation of 136–7
- requirements for protection
  - China 236–7
  - interpretation of design law 53–4
  - MPI Proposal 14–20
- restricted copyright protection 88, 92–3, 101–2
- right to the Community design 65–8
- rights conferred by the Community design 68–71
  - limitation of 71–4
- Roche Nederland* case 196–7
- Rodriguez, N. 226
- Rome II Regulation 198–9
- Roscioni, M. 2
- sanctions 191–2, 199, 200–5
- saturated markets 7, 35, 184–5
- Schumer, C. 230
- scope of protection 21–4, 140
  - China 241–3, 244
  - and limitations 262–6
  - time factor and 186–9
  - trade mark law 125–9
- Sealed Air Ltd v. Sharp Interpack Ltd* case 152–3
- semiotics 8, 116–18
- Senz Technologies* case 59, 62, 65, 150
- separability criterion
  - China 248–9
  - Italy 6, 83
  - US 214–15, 248–9
- shapes 135, 257–9
  - dictated by function *see* dictated by function products
  - exclusionary rules 119–25, 140
- Shenzhen Taiden v. OHIM* case 58, 181–2, 182–3
- shoes industry 255–6
- significant difference 236–7, 239
- similarity 236
  - test 238–41
- Simmel, G. 33
- Single Market 81–2, 252
- slavish imitation 137–8
- software interfaces 13, 245
- 'solely dictated by function' designs 146–53
- Sottsass, E. 39
- South Korea 253
- '*Spacenet*' case 108–9
- Spain 65
- spare parts 26, 143, 155–69, 262, 268–70
  - case for competition law 163–8
  - Design Approach 143, 157–62, 169, 268–70
  - design protection 155–6
  - Economic Review 169
  - freeze-plus 160, 268
  - interpretation of design law 76–8
  - Legal Review* 168–9
- Sprigman, C. 226
- Star Athletica v. Varsity Brands* case 215
- subject matter for protection
  - China 233–6, 244–6
  - definition and exclusions 10–13
  - identifying 177–9
- substantial value exclusion 121–4, 130
- substantive law 200–4



- successor in title 65–8, 79  
 Suk, J. 226
- target public approach 122–3  
 teaching, suggestion and motion test 237
- technological challenges 266–7  
 ‘telle-quelle’ principle 119–20  
 thickets 222–3  
 threshold for protection 158–9  
   MPI Proposal 17, 18, 19–20  
 tiers theory 6  
 time
  - bars and interpretation of design law 70–1
  - duration of protection *see* duration of protection
  - factor and procedural practice 185–9
- Tischner, A. 178  
 trade dress protection 217–18  
 trade marks 8
  - China 232, 241, 243
  - duration 115–16
  - exclusionary rules 119–25
  - orthodox economics 113–15
  - overlaps with design law 109–10, 118–30, 140–1, 257–9, 261
  - and the risk of monopolization 129–30
  - scope of protection 125–9 and limitations 262–6
  - semiotics 117–18
  - US 216–19
- Trade Mark Directive (TMD) 8, 258  
 ‘trade mark use’ 128–9  
*Traffix v. Marketing Displays* case 217–18  
 transformation of prior designs 240–1  
 transitional provision 76–8  
 Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) 50  
 Treviso Group 3  
 ‘Tripp Trapp’ chair case 108, 122–3  
 TRIPS Agreement 119, 236–7, 246, 258
- ‘Trunki ride-on children’s suitcase’ case 177–8  
 ‘TX1 London taxis’ case 108, 123  
 typographic typefaces 245–6
- uncertainty 98–9  
 Unfair Commercial Practices Directive (UCPD) 132, 138  
 unfair competition law 128, 170–1
  - China 232
  - economic basics 130–3
  - Germany 20, 132, 134–5, 137–8
  - misappropriation of reputation 136–7
  - misrepresentation and confusion 133–5
  - orthodox economics 113–15
  - overlap with design law 110, 130–9, 140–1, 258–9
  - slavish imitation 137–8
  - spare parts 163–9
  - virtues and drawbacks 138–9
- unfair pricing 165, 167  
 United Kingdom (UK) 6
  - copyright protection 86–7
  - early design protection approaches 30–1
- United States (US) 36, 206–31, 237, 253
  - aesthetic functionality 124–5, 130
  - Copyright Act 207, 214
  - design legislation and the role of the Community Design System 224–30
  - design patent approach 208–9, 219–23
  - incentives 209–10, 225–7
  - patent and copyright protection 210–16
  - politics, power and pendulum swings 229–30
  - separability criterion 214–15, 248–9
  - trade mark approach 216–19
  - treatment of design protection 209–23
  - utilitarianism 207–8, 209–10, 225
- unjust enrichment 203–4

- unregistered designs 20–1, 69–71, 103
  - presumption of validity 75–6, 173, 174
- unrestricted copyright protection 88, 95–6
- utilitarian functionality 124
- utilitarianism 207–8, 209–10, 225
- utility patents 219
- validity
  - establishing legal validity of designs 173–7
  - presumption of 75–6, 173–5
- Veblen, T. 33
- visual effect test 238, 241–3
- Volvo v. Veng* case 157, 163, 164, 165, 167, 270
- '*Wanfeng Motorcycle*' case 243
- wheel trims 162
- works of applied art 22, 214
  - China 232, 244–9, 249–50
- World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) 24–5, 233
- Yin Xintian 239